7 Appendices - Supporting Documentation

7.1 Agricultural land study



Agricultural Report
For Proposed Solar Farm and Industrial Precinct
Lots 1 -3 DP 1234850 and Lot 60 DP1227482
55 Dampier Street and 72 Wallamore Road,
Taminda NSW 2340

Melaleuca Group Pty Ltd

Date: 7th March 2019

Prepared for:
Elton Consulting on behalf of Tamworth Industrial Pty Ltd

Further Information:
Melaleuca Group Pty Ltd

M 0427 628 847
melissa.vanzwieten@exemail.com.au

M. N Van Zwieten

.....
Dr. Melissa Van Zwieten
Environmental and Agricultural Scientist

Melaleuca Group Pty Ltd

Table of Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. The Study Area 1
2.1 Site Identification 1
2.2 Zoning and Proposal 1
2.3 Site Usages 1
2.4 Local Geology and Soil Description 2
2.5 Topography 7
2.6 Surface Water 7
2.7 Agricultural Land Classification 7
3. Agricultural Impacts 12
3.1 Proposal 12
3.2 Agricultural Characteristics 12
3.3 Agricultural Impacts by the proposal 13
4. Discussion and Conclusion 14
5. References 15

Melaleuca Group Pty Limited

1. Introduction

Melaleuca Group has been engaged by Elton Consulting on behalf of Chan Abbey Holdings Pty Ltd to undertake an Agricultural Assessment for 55 Dampier Street and 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda NSW 2340 (the site) to allow for the proposed rezoning of part of the Study Area. The proposal will subsequently include development of a Solar Farm and industrial lands. Please refer to Figures 1 and 2 for the site locality plan and a plan showing the rezoning proposal.

The objective of this assessment is to assess the agricultural impact of the proposed development.

2. The Study Area

2.1 Site Identification

The Study Area is located approximately 2.5km west of the Tamworth CBD. The Area consists of four parcels namely (note areas approximate only):

- Lot 1 DP 1234850 - 90 ha;
- Lot 2 DP 1234850 - 5 ha;
- Lot 3 DP 1234850 - 4.5 ha;
- Lot 60 1227482 - 52 ha; and
- a 1.9ha road reserve.

The Study Area is bound by the Peel River in the north and industrial lands/Wallamore Road in the south. To the east is Tamworth Cemetery and Racecourse and to the west is agricultural lands. The Wallamore Anabranch dissects the Study Area in approximately the middle of the Study Area.

2.2 Zoning and Proposal

The Study Area is zoned RU4 Primary Production Small Lots in accordance with the Tamworth Regional Council (TRC) Local Environmental Plan (2010). Surrounding lands are predominantly also zoned RU4 with exception of lands to the south and south-east whereby a mixture of zones occur including IN1 (General Industrial), SP1 (Special Activities), SP3 (Tourist), RE1 (Public Recreation) and B5 (Business Development).

The proposal would see the rezoning of approximately 11ha within Lots 2-3 to IN1 (6.7ha), B5 (2.4ha) and Road Reserve (1.9ha). The remainder of the Area would remain as RU4. The Solar Farm would be located in Lot 1 which would also not be rezoned. Further, while also not being rezoned, approximately 13ha within Lot 60 would be utilised for flood mitigation measures. This area would not be exclusive to flood mitigation activity as flooding is irregular, thereby allowing for other uses to occur.

2.3 Site Usages

Information pertaining to the historical landuses are limited to information available from Kayandel Archaeological Services (2018), available aerial photography and knowledge of agricultural activities

in the locality. Given the characteristics of the land it is surmised the Study Area has been used for a range of agricultural pursuits for many years (estimated for nearly 200 years).

Kayandel Archaeological Services (2018) indicate the first Europeans to venture into Tamworth area was from 1818 (John Oxley) with other explorers and settlement of the area soon after. In 1833, 313,298 acres of the Liverpool Plains were granted to the Australian Agricultural Company. The following extract is provided from Kayandel Archaeological Services (2018):

> *Sheep and cattle were grazed on the alluvial plains of the Peel River, and farming consisted primarily of crops such as wheat and, later, tobacco. The Tamworth region, specifically the Goonoo Goonoo estate owned by the Australian Agricultural Company, became known for wool (EJE Town Planning, 2001). The estate was fenced from the mid-1950s, which prevented the shepherding of sheep and was subsequently less cost effective (EJE Town Planning, 2001).*

Given the generic history of the area, it is likely the Study Area was settled and cleared around 100 - 180 years ago with the establishment of large pastoral properties in the area. Initially principal uses were likely to be grazing animals but also some subsistence farming could have occurred. Establishment into broad acre cropping would have soon followed and is the typical agricultural pursuit of the area of today.

Thereby it is surmised predominantly the land was used low intensity grazing (cattle and sheep) and for broad scale cropping such as Wheat, Tobacco with possibilities of Cotton, Canola, Soybean, Corn, Lucerne and a range of other crops throughout its history. Given the Study Area lies within the floodplain of the Peel River, agricultural uses would need to have been adaptive for the ephemeral nature of flooding.

Plates 1 to 2 show general views some of the cropping land within the site in July 2018.

2.4 Local Geology and Soil Description

Banks (2001) maps (Figure 3) and describes the soil landscapes and geology of the Study Area as follows:

- Majority of Study Area, northern section: Alluvial *Peel* Soil Landscape. Geology - Quaternary (Qa) and recent alluvium of the Peel and lower Cockburn Rivers. Sources of parent materials are extremely diverse;
- Majority of southern section of Study Area (south-west of Racecourse): Alluvial *Goonoo Goonoo* Soil Landscape. Geology - Quaternary alluvium derived predominantly from Tertiary basalts of the Liverpool Ranges; and
- Small section near southern boundary of Study Area: Residual *Duri* Soil Landscape. Geology - Complex folded Carboniferous and Devonian sedimentary rocks of the Tamworth Fold Belt. The Devonian geology units include the Baldwin Formation, Keepit Conglomerate, and Mandowa Mudstones.



Scale at A4	NTS
Drawn	GC
Date	11.12.2018
Checked	MV
Dwg. No.	MG18026-PL04A

Melaleuca Group Pty Ltd
Dr Melissa Van Zwieten
Senior Environmental Scientist
Mobile: 0427 628 847
melissa.vanzwieten@exemail.com.au

Project: Lots 1 - 3 DP1234850 & Lot 60 DP1227482, 21 & 72 Wallamore Rd & 55 Dampier St, Taminda

Title: LOCALITY PLAN

Figure 1

The existing planning controls identifies the land use as an Primary Production Small Lots. It is proposed to amend the planning controls on site as follows.

The proposal:

- Amendments to LEP to allow for B5 Business Development and IN1 General Industrial

Total site area = 10.9Ha

- B5 = 2.4Ha
- IN1 = 6.6Ha
- Bypass Easement = 1.9Ha

Existing Land Zoning



Proposed Land Zoning



Zone

B1	Neighbourhood Centre
B2	Local Centre
B3	Commercial Core
B4	Mixed Use
B5	Business Development
B7	Business Park
E1	National Parks and Nature Reserves
E2	Environmental Conservation
E3	Environmental Management
IN1	General Industrial
IN3	Heavy Industrial
R1	General Residential
R2	Low Density Residential
R5	Large Lot Residential
RE1	Public Recreation
RE2	Private Recreation
RU1	Primary Production
RU3	Forestry
RU4	Primary Production Small Lots
RU5	Village
RU6	Transition
SP1	Special Activities
SP2	Infrastructure
SP3	Tourist

Zone

B1	Neighbourhood Centre
B2	Local Centre
B3	Commercial Core
B4	Mixed Use
B5	Business Development
B7	Business Park
E1	National Parks and Nature Reserves
E2	Environmental Conservation
E3	Environmental Management
IN1	General Industrial
IN3	Heavy Industrial
R1	General Residential
R2	Low Density Residential
R5	Large Lot Residential
RE1	Public Recreation
RE2	Private Recreation
RU1	Primary Production
RU3	Forestry
RU4	Primary Production Small Lots
RU5	Village
RU6	Transition
SP1	Special Activities
SP2	Infrastructure
SP3	Tourist

Figure 2

Plate 1: General view of cropping area on Lot 1 (Source: J Foong).

Plate 2: General view of cropping area on Lot 1 (Source: J Foong).

Figure 3. Soil Landscapes (Banks 2001; source: eSPADE NSW OEH website).

These soil landscapes are described by Banks (2001) as:

Peel (**pe**):

> **Soils –** soil types are individually extensive, but random in distribution. In decreasing order of dominance, soil types encountered include giant, moderately well-drained Black Dermosols (Chernozems); very deep, imperfectly drained Calcareous Black Vertosols (calcareous Black Earths), very deep, imperfectly drained Haplic Black Vertosols (Black Earths); and moderately deep, moderately well-drained Brown Chromosols (Red-brown Earths).

Goonoo Goonoo (**gn**):

> **Soils** – soil types are diverse but individually locally extensive. In decreasing order of importance, soil types encountered include very deep to giant, imperfectly drained Brown Sodosols (Solodic Soils); deep to giant, imperfectly drained Black Vertosols (Black Earths); very deep to giant, poorly drained Grey Vertosols (Grey Clays); shallow, moderately well-drained Calcareous Rudosols (calcareous Alluvial Soils); and deep to giant, imperfectly drained Brown Vertosols (Brown Clays).

Duri (du):

> **Soils** – extremely complex due to rapid changes in underlying lithology. Generally dominated by duplex soils such as moderately deep, moderately well-drained Red and Brown Chromosols (Noncalcic Brown Soils; Red-brown Earths) with minor occurrences of shallow, very well-drained Rudosols (Lithosols) around rock outcrops. Deep, imperfectly drained Red Vertosols (Red Clays) and deep to very deep, imperfectly drained Red and Brown Chromosols (Non-calcic Brown Soils) and possibly some Sodosols (Solodic Soils) occur along drainage lines and on sodic bedrock.

2.5 Topography

The Study Area is considered relatively flat across its entirety. Some undulations occur (e.g. in vicinity of the Wallamore Anabranch). Elevation is around 380m across the Study Area.

2.6 Surface Water

The Study Area is bounded in the north by the Peel river and the Wallamore Anabranch dissects the Study Area. Timbumburi Creek is located to the west.

2.7 Agricultural Land Classification

Three (3) available mapping data sets were available for review, namely:

- Land Capability Mapping (Emery 1985 Soil Conservation Service of NSW);
- Land and Soil Capability Mapping (NSW OEH 2013); and
- Biophysical Strategic Agricultural Land (BSAL) Mapping (Department of Planning and Environment 2013).

Land Capability Mapping (1985) map the majority of the Study Area as:

- Class 2 - Suitable for Regular Cultivation: Soil conservation practices such as strip cropping, conservation tillage and adequate crop rotation (Figure 4).

This area applies to the northern 138 ha of the Study Area. The remaining section of the Area is mapped as Urban.

Land and Soil Capability Mapping (NSW OEH 2013) map (Figure 5) the Study Area into three classes, namely:

- Class 2 - Slight but significant limitations. Land capable of sustaining high impact land uses which can be managed by readily available, and easily implemented management practices;
- Class 3 - Moderate limitations. Land capable of sustaining high impact land uses using more intensive, readily available and accepted management practices;
- Class 4 - Moderate to severe limitations. Land generally not capable of sustaining high impact land uses unless using specialised management practices with high level of knowledge, expertise, inputs, investment and technology. Limitations are more easily managed for lower impact land uses (e.g. grazing).

That is, this mapping takes into a number of attributes including:

- Soil acidity;
- Water;
- Soil structure;
- Wind erosion;
- Shallow rock;
- Salinity;
- Mass Movement; and
- Water logging/flooding.

The BSAL (2013) map the majority of the Study Area (152 ha) as strategic agricultural land (143 ha). This area generally aligns to that mapped within the Land Capability mapping of 1985. That is, it excludes the southern portion of the Study Area (Figure 6). It is important to note, the Proposal is not considered neither a mining or coal seam gas development. However, this mapping was utilised to obtain an understanding of the potential of the land for agricultural pursuits. Thereby, it has been determined that this proposal will not require a submission to the Commonwealth Independent Expert Scientific Committee.



MGA

PLAN OF LAND CAPABILITY ACROSS TAMWORTH REGIONAL COUNCIL
(SUITABLE FOR REGULAR CULTIVATION & URBAN AREA)
SCALE; 1:800000



PLAN OF SUBJECT SITE LAND CAPABILITY
(SUITABLE FOR REGULAR CULTIVATION & URBAN AREA)
SCALE; 1:15000

SOURCE:
AERIAL: NearMaps
LAND CAPABILITY: Emery 1985 Soil Conservation Service of NSW)

Design	MV	Scale at A3	1:15000
Drawn	GC	Datum	Assumed
Date	28.02.2019	Filename	MG18026-PL02B.dwg
Checked	MV	Approved	
Dwg. No.	MG18026-PL02	Sheet No.	1 of 1
		Issue	B

200 100 0 250 500 750 1000

SCALE 1:15000 AT A1

Project: Lots 1 - 3 DP1234850 & Lot 60 DP1227482, 21 & 72 Wallamore Rd & 55 Dampier St, Taminda

Title: PLAN OF LAND CAPABILITY

Figure 4



PLAN OF LAND & SOIL CAPABILITY CLASS ACROSS TAMWORTH REGIONAL COUNCIL (CLASSES 2, 3 & 4)

SCALE; 1:800000

MGA



PLAN OF SUBJECT SITE WITH LAND & SOIL CAPABILITY CLASS (CLASSES 2, 3 & 4)

SCALE; 1:15000

SOURCE:
AERIAL: NearMaps
LAND & SOIL CAPABILITY: NSW OEH 2013

Design	MV	Scale at A3	1:15000
Drawn	GC	Datum	Assumed
Date	28.02.2019	Filename	MG18026-PL03B.dwg
Checked	MV	Approved	
Dwg. No.	MG18026-PL03	Sheet No.	1 of 1
		Issue	B

200 100 0 250 500 750 1000

SCALE 1:15000 AT A1

Project: **Lots 1 - 3 DP1234850 & Lot 60 DP1227482, 21 & 72 Wallamore Rd & 55 Dampier St, Taminda**

Title: **PLAN OF LAND & SOIL CAPABILITY**

Figure 5



PLAN OF BIOPHYSICAL STRATEGIC AGRICULTURAL LAND (BSAL) ACROSS TAMWORTH REGIONAL COUNCIL

SCALE; 1:800000

MGA



PLAN OF SUBJECT SITE WITH BIOPHYSICAL STRATEGIC AGRICULTURAL LAND (BSAL)

SCALE; 1:15000

SOURCE:
AERIAL: NearMaps
BSAL:https://geo.seed.nsw.gov.au

Design	MV	Scale at A3	1:15000
Drawn	GC	Datum	Assumed
Date	28.02.2019	Filename	MG18026-PL01B.dwg
Checked	MV	Approved	
Dwg. No.	MG18026-PL01	Sheet No.	1 of 1
		Issue	B

200 100 0 250 500 750 1000

SCALE 1:15000 AT A1

Project: Lots 1 - 3 DP1234850 & Lot 60 DP1227482, 21 & 72 Wallamore Rd & 55 Dampier St, Taminda

Title: PLAN OF BIOPHYSICAL STRATEGIC AGRICULTURAL LAND (BSAL) **Figure 6**

3. Agricultural Impacts

3.1 Proposal

As described above, the proposal is to rezone 11ha (or approximately 7% of the Study Area) within Lots 2 and 3 to IN1 (6.7ha), B5 (2.4ha) and road reserve (1.9 ha). The remainder of the Area would remain as RU4. The remainder of the Area would remain as RU4. The Solar Farm would be located in Lot 1 which would also not be rezoned. Further, while not being rezoned, approximately 13ha within Lot 60 would be utilised for flood mitigation measures. This area would not be exclusive to flood mitigation as flooding is irregular. That is, the area is likely to be available for use for agricultural purposes (surmised grazing only).

3.2 Agricultural Characteristics

The majority of the Study Area is considered to have good agricultural characteristics. The soils of the site are, in general, considered deep and fertile. The site is relatively flat and irrigation water can be accessed under approved licences.

However, the entire Study Area is located within a floodplain. Thereby, both historically and continuing, agricultural pursuits need to be adaptive to the ephemeral nature of flooding regimes. In addition, the site is located in close proximity to urban and industrial landuses. The latter bounds the south-eastern corner of the site. It is understood Jewry St is earmarked for extension to Wallamore Road and property boundaries confirm this alignment. That is, the road reserve dissects both Lots and 3 in the northern section of those allotments.

The Study Area represents a relatively small area of agricultural land within the LGA as follows:

Land Capability Mapping (Emery 1985 Soil Conservation Service of NSW):

- The Study Area within allotments represents 0.51% of the total area for 'Regular Cultivation' within the Tamworth LGA;
- None of the proposal is located within areas of 'Regular Cultivation' within the Tamworth LGA;
- The Proposal Area is wholly contained within the 'Urban' classification of this mapping;
- Thereby the proposal does not impact on any mapped area of Agriculture (e.g. Regular Cultivation) within the Tamworth LGA.

Land and Soil Capability Mapping (NSW OEH 2013):

- The Study Area within allotments represent:
    - 0.97% of the total area of Class 2 within the Tamworth LGA;
    - 0.06 % of the total area of Class 3 within the Tamworth LGA; and
    - 0.001% of the total area of Class 4 within the Tamworth LGA.
- The proposal area represents:
    - 0.013 % of the total area of Class 3 within the Tamworth LGA; and

- 0.001% of the total area of Class 4 within the Tamworth LGA.

Biophysical Strategic Agricultural Land (BSAL) Mapping (Department of Planning and Environment 2013):

- The Study Area represents 0.302% of the total BSAL area within the Tamworth LGA; and
- None of the proposal area is mapped within the BSAL area.

3.3 Agricultural Impacts by the proposal

The Study Area is considered to consist of relatively good Agricultural lands. It is considered if sufficient water was available, a range of agricultural activities could be pursued. However, a major natural characteristic precludes the Study Area being utilised for a range of high intensity, high value agricultural pursuits (e.g. orchards, plant nursery, intensive animal production). Flooding is considered restrictive for these pursuits as flooding would cripple any infrastructure installed (e.g. machinery, internal roads, buildings and the like). Historically the site has most likely been used for grazing purposes through the majority of its history and this would remain the most viable option for ephemeral use of the land (i.e. ease of moving stock during times of flood).

Recent cropping of the land is possible due to irrigation and crops selected would either be grown out of typical flooding periods (e.g. winter cropping, summer fallow) or crop selection may allow some tolerance to inundation.

The conflicts between Agriculture and urbanisation is likely to possibly be occurring at the site and is considered likely to escalate at the site with the continued growth of Tamworth. Conflicts often occur due to either direct or perceived impacts by both parties and include:

- air quality due to agricultural and rural industry (odour, pesticides, dust, smoke and particulates);
- use and enjoyment of neighbouring land e.g. noise from machinery;
- visual amenity associated with rural industry e.g. the use of netting, planting of monocultures and impacts on views;
- soil erosion leading to land and water pollution;
- clearing of native vegetation;
- stock access to waterways;
- harassment of livestock from straying domestic animals;
- trespass;
- changes to storm water flows or water availability; and
- poor management of pest animals and weeds.

While the proposal removes a small area of medium to good Agricultural land from the Tamworth LGA, the majority of the Study Area would be retained for agricultural purposes. This would include the area earmarked for flood mitigation measures whereby it is considered likely grazing activities could continue.

The Study Area lies in close proximity to the Tamworth urban area. The City of Tamworth is located to the north, south and east. The Study Area is somewhat isolated already from expansive areas of agricultural lands of the Tamworth LGA. Residential areas of Westdale are located to west. As such the proposal does not result in further isolation of agricultural lands. The proposal extends on existing developed lands thereby minimising the impact on agricultural lands in the immediate locality (i.e. Taminda).

4. Discussion and Conclusion

Melaleuca Group Pty Ltd has been commissioned by Elton Consulting on behalf of Chan Abbey Holdings Pty Ltd to undertake an Agricultural Assessment for 55 Dampier Street and 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda NSW 2340 (the site) to allow for the proposed rezoning of part of the Study Area.

The investigation was completed using desktop resources. The Study Area in its current condition is considered degraded due to recent prolonged drought conditions. However, physical characteristics of the Study Area, obtained from desktop resources, indicate the Area is representative of good Agricultural land for the Tamworth area.

However, the Study Area is also flood prone. As such, limitations on intensifying agricultural pursuits are limited due to the inherent risk on infrastructure for such activities. Historical and future agricultural pursuits need to be adaptive to the ephemeral nature of flooding regimes. As such, it is considered likely that low intensity grazing and winter broadscale cropping are the most likely pursuits suitable for the Study Area.

In addition, the Study Area is located within an expanding urban area of the City of Tamworth where urbanisation exists to the north, south and east. Residential development, while not immediately adjacent is located to the west and north. In essence, the Study Area has already become isolated from expansive agricultural lands of the LGA. The proposal expands on urbanisation and does not segregate the remaining portion of the Study Area from agricultural lands.

The Study Area and to a lesser amount, the proposal represents very small areas of good agricultural lands within the LGA. The rezoning proposal would result in areas less than 0.1% of good agricultural land being lost from the LGA. Part of the proposal includes a solar farm.

It is important to note that solar farms do not preclude the use of land for agriculture. Grazing is one agricultural activity that is still possible whilst a solar farm is operating. In addition, it is considered likely that agricultural activities which were occurring prior to the construction of the solar farm would be able to continue once the solar farm is decommissioned and removed. Thereby the loss of agricultural lands by this proposal is considered less than that represented within this report.

In conclusion, this Agricultural Report has identified that while the site demonstrates medium to good agricultural characteristics, flooding, proximity to urbanisation, isolation from other lands and the small scale of the proposal does not represent a significant impact on agricultural lands in the LGA.

5. References

Banks, R.G. 2001, Soil Landscapes of the Tamworth 1:100,000 Sheet Map, Department of Land and Water Conservation, Sydney

Cunningham, G.M., Higginson, F.R., Riddler, A.M.H. , and Emery K.A., 1988, Systems used to classify rural lands in New South Wales.

EJE Town Planning. (2001). Parry Shire community based heritage study : draft report. Newcastle. (cited by Kayandel Archaeological Services 2018).

Emery, K. A. 1985, Rural Land Capability Mapping, Soil Conservation Service of NSW.

Kayandel Archaeological Services 2018, Proposed Industrial Rezoning, 55 Dampier Street (Lot 3 DP1234850), Taminda, Tamworth Regional Council LGA, NSW. Statement of Heritage Impact Prepared for Elton Consulting on behalf of Tamworth Industrial Pty Ltd December 2018. Lance Syme, Natalie Stiles and Meggan Walker.

NSW Agriculture, 2002. Agricultural Land Classification Agfact AC.25. Therese Hulme, Tom Grosskopf, John Hindle.

New South Wales Department of Environment and Planning, 1981, Rural Land Evaluation Manual, Woodward, R., and F. Neilson, Eds.

New South Wales Department of Planning, 1988, Rural Land Evaluation Manual", rev. edn., Woodward, R.

NSW Office of Environment and Heritage 2013, Land and Soil Capability mapping of NSW. Bioregional Assessment Source Dataset.

NSW Department of Primary Industries Office of Water 2018, http://allwaterdata.water.nsw.gov.au/water.stm

Office of Environment and Heritage 2012, The land and soil capability assessment scheme. Second approximation. A general rural land evaluation system for New South Wales.

Riddler A.M.H., 1996, Agricultural suitability maps - uses and limitations, NSW Agriculture Agfact AC.9, 3rd edn.

Stephens, C.G., 1962, A Manual of Australian Soils; Third Edition., CSIRO, Melbourne.

Tamworth Regional Council (TRC) 2010, Local Environmental Plan.

COPYRIGHT AND USAGE NOTE

This document was prepared for exclusive use of by Chan Abbey Holdings Pty Ltd for the development described herein and shall not be used for any other purpose or by any other person or corporation. Melaleuca Group accepts no responsibility for any loss or damage suffered howsoever arising to any person or corporation who may use or rely on this document for a purpose other than that described above.

The contours shown on any plans in this document are derived from topographic sources and are suitable only for the purpose of this application. No reliance should be placed upon topographic information contained in this report for any purpose other than for the purposes of this application.

Plans accompanying this document may not be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form unless this note is included.

Melaleuca Group declares that does not have, nor expects to have, a beneficial interest in the subject project.

No extract of text of this document may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form without the prior consent of Melaleuca Group.

©Melaleuca Group 2018

DISCLAIMER

Melaleuca Group has conducted work concerning the environmental status of the property, which is the subject of this report, and has prepared this report on the basis of that assessment.

The work was conducted, and the report has been prepared, in response to specific instructions from the client or a representative of the client to whom this report is addressed, within the time and budgetary requirements of the client, and in reliance on certain data and information made available to Melaleuca Group. The analysis, evaluations, opinions and conclusions presented in this report are based on that information, and they could change if the information is in fact inaccurate or incomplete.

Melaleuca Group has made no allowance to update this report and has not taken into account events occurring after the time its assessment was conducted.

This report is intended for the sole use of the client and only for the purpose for which it was prepared. Any representation contained in the report is made only to the client unless otherwise noted in the report. Any third party who relies on this report or on any representation contained in it does so at their own risk.

7.2 Architect Masterplan package

rothelowman

Brisbane, Melbourne, Sydney
rothelowman.com.au

Solar Farm and Industrial Precinct Planning Proposal

55 Dampier Street and 21 Wallamore Road, Taminda NSW 2340

March 2019

Project Contact

Ben Pomroy
Principal

T +61 2 8045 2600
M +61 410 614 408

BenP@rothelowman.com.au

© Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd.
Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. retains all common law, statutory law and other rights including copyright and intellectual property rights in respect of this document. The recipient indemnifies Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. against all claims resulting from use of this document for any purpose other than its intended use, unauthorised changes or reuse of the document on other projects without the permission of Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. Under no circumstance shall transfer of this document be deemed a sale or constitute a transfer of the license to use this document.

Contents

Solar Farm and Industrial Precinct Discussion

1.0	Architectural Statement		3
	1.01	Proposal Statement	3
2.0	Urban Context and Site Analysis		4
	2.01	Macro Context	4
	2.02	Site Location	5
	2.03	Circulation & Accessibility	6
	2.04	Existing Site Photographs	7
	2.05	Existing Site Photographs	8
	2.06	Opportunities and Constraints	9
	2.07	Planning Controls	10
	2.08	Planning Controls	11
	2.09	Planning Controls	12
	2.10	Planning Controls	13
	2.11	Planning Controls	14
3.0	Design Response		15
	3.01	Concept & Precedents	15
	3.02	Concept & Precedents	16
4.0	Design Principles		17
	4.01	Sketch Diagrams	17
	4.02	Sketch Diagrams	18
	4.03	Sketch Diagrams	19
	4.04	Sketch Diagrams	20
5.0	Design Quality		21
	5.01	Rothelowman Exemplar	21
	5.02	Rothelowman Exemplar	22
	5.03	Rothelowman Exemplar	23

Client
Chan Abbey Holdings Pty Ltd

Town Planner
Elton Planning
Level 6/332-342 Oxford Street
Bondi Junction, NSW 2022

Architecture (Industrial Precinct & Masterplan)
Rothelowman
Level 2/171 William Street
Darlinghurst, NSW 2010

Architecture (Solar Farm)
SBA Architects
Suite 702, 83 Mount Street
North Sydney, NSW 2060

This proposal comprises a unique combination of employment uses to reinvigorate the Taminda industrial precinct.

The key drivers for this new vision are to:

- Establish a dynamic commercial industrial precinct based on current best practice and innovative typologies
- Create a quality development integrated with the solar farm to set a precedent for the future of sustainable development
- Create employment opportunities for the region by delivering a unique benchmark precinct that creates long-term growth
- Facilitate the future extension of the Tamworth bypass and connection between the town and airport

Legend

- Subject Site
- Mountain Ranges
- Taminda Region
- Tamworth
- West Tamworth
- Future Inter-Modal Freight
- Tamworth Regional Airport
- Tamworth Cemetery
- Tamworth Racecourse
- River
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Train Route
- Taminda Masterplan Boundary



2.02 Site Location

The site is located at 55 Dampier Street and 21 Wallamore Road, Taminda. It occupies the Northern edge of The Taminda Industrial Precinct and agricultural land.

The site is situated within close proximity to local amenities, Tamworth Jockey Club, Tamworth Railway Station and Oxley Highway.

Legend

- Subject Site
- Area to be Rezoned
- Industrial Zone
- B5 Business Development
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Train Route
- Taminda Masterplan Boundary



2.03 Circulation & Accessibility

The site is close to Oxley Highway and West Tamworth Train Station.

There are two main vehicular access points into the site, via Dampier Street and Wallamore Road.

The future bypass dissects the site to connect Jewry Street and Oxley Highway.

Legend

- Subject Site
- Area to be Rezoned
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Train Route
- Pedestrian Route
- Vehicular Access
- Bus Stop
- 437 Bus Route
- Taminda Masterplan Boundary



View 1 - Wallamore Road

View 2 - Entrance to site from Wallamore Road

View 3 - Dampier Street

View 4 - Dampier Street

View 5 - Looking north-west from Dampier Street

View 6- Looking north from the Dampier St and Jewry St bend

Opportunities

1. Opportunity for employment land use
2. Higher architectural exposure and entrance development to Taminda and Tamworth via future bypass
3. Solar farm for a renewable energy source
4. Primary and secondary access points via Dampier Street and Wallamore Road respectively
5. Industrial units to the south allow a point of difference to what we propose
6. Connection to neighbouring precincts and racecourse
7. Marker location for retail development
8. Opportunity for future connections to northern site

Constraints

8. Bypass splits site
9. Flood prone land
10. Flood deflection required at boundaries
11. Solar farm position and layout must consider light pollution, particularly due to nearby Tamworth Regional Airport

The existing planning controls identifies the land use as an Primary Production Small Lots. It is proposed to amend the planning controls on site as follows.

The proposal:

- Amendments to LEP to allow for B5 Business Development and IN1 General Industrial

Total site area = 10.9Ha

- B5 = 3.7Ha
- IN1 = 7.1Ha
- (Bypass Easement = 1.9Ha)

Existing Land Zoning



Zone

B1	Neighbourhood Centre
B2	Local Centre
B3	Commercial Core
B4	Mixed Use
B5	Business Development
B7	Business Park
E1	National Parks and Nature Reserves
E2	Environmental Conservation
E3	Environmental Management
IN1	General Industrial
IN3	Heavy Industrial
R1	General Residential
R2	Low Density Residential
R5	Large Lot Residential
RE1	Public Recreation
RE2	Private Recreation
RU1	Primary Production
RU3	Forestry
RU4	Primary Production Small Lots
RU5	Village
RU6	Transition
SP1	Special Activities
SP2	Infrastructure
SP3	Tourist

Proposed Land Zoning



Zone

B1	Neighbourhood Centre
B2	Local Centre
B3	Commercial Core
B4	Mixed Use
B5	Business Development
B7	Business Park
E1	National Parks and Nature Reserves
E2	Environmental Conservation
E3	Environmental Management
IN1	General Industrial
IN3	Heavy Industrial
R1	General Residential
R2	Low Density Residential
R5	Large Lot Residential
RE1	Public Recreation
RE2	Private Recreation
RU1	Primary Production
RU3	Forestry
RU4	Primary Production Small Lots
RU5	Village
RU6	Transition
SP1	Special Activities
SP2	Infrastructure
SP3	Tourist

The existing planning controls identifies the land with a minimum lot size of 40ha. It is proposed to amend the planning controls on site as follows.

The proposal:

- B5 = 2.4Ha minimum
- IN1 = 6.6Ha minimum

Existing Lot Size Map



Proposed Lot Size Map



Minimum Lot Size (sq m)

M	600
U	1000
V	2000
W	4000
X	7000
Y	1 ha
Z	2 ha
AA1	5 ha
AA2	9.9 ha
AB1	20 ha
AB2	40 ha
AD	100 ha
AF	400 ha
AH	800 ha

Minimum Lot Size (sq m)

M	600
U	1000
V	2000
W	4000
X	7000
Y	1 ha
Z	2 ha
AA1	5 ha
AA2	9.9 ha
AB1	20 ha
AB2	40 ha
AD	100 ha
AF	400 ha
AH	800 ha

The existing planning controls do not identify a floor space ratio control on the subject site. It is proposed to amend the planning controls on site as follows.

The proposal:

- Amendments to LEP to allow a maximum floor space ratio of 1:1 (N) for B5 Business Development land zone.

Existing FSR Map

Proposed FSR Map

Maximum Floor Space Ratio (n:1)

D	0.5
F	0.6
N	1
S	1.5
T	2
X	4
AA	6

Maximum Floor Space Ratio (n:1)

D	0.5
F	0.6
N	1
S	1.5
T	2
X	4
AA	6

The subject site has been identified as a flood prone area. The proposal seeks to amend LEP map CL3 map (004C) flood planning map to exclude the site with the introduction of flood protection measures.

Existing Flood Planning



Flood Planning

Flood Planning Area

Proposed Flood Planning



Flood Planning

Flood Planning Area

A road reserve has been identified for future road infrastructure. It is proposed to add the road reserve easement to the LEP road reservation acquisition map.

Proposed Land Reservation Acquisition Map


ROAD RESERVE
TAMINDA
GOONAN ST
GUNNEDAH RD
WALLAMORE RD
KINGSFORD SMITH ST
WESTDALE RD
SHOWGROUND RD
DAMPIER ST
BASS ST
COOK ST
HUME ST
EAST WEST PLACE
HAWKER RD
MACINTOSH ST
HARGRAVE ST
TIMMLER RD
GUNNEDAH RD
MARIUS ST
PEEL ST
JEWRY ST
BLIGH ST
BREWERY LN
JEWRY ST
PLAIN ST
CARTER ST
O'CONNELL ST
BELMORE ST
CROWN ST
EBSWORTH ST
BARNES ST
DENISON ST
DERKE ST
WILLIAM ST
CHURCH ST
BRIDGE ST
GIPPS ST
RESERVE PLACE
LOCKHEED ST
AVARD ST
HUDSON ST
BEAUFORT ST
WIRRAWAY ST
MARATHON ST
FLINDERS ST
MITCHELL ST
WICKHAM ST
STOKES AVE
CARNEGIE PLACE
LINDSAY RD
BANKS ST
BLACKLAND WAY
EVANS ST
WELLS PLACE
GOSSE COURT
TUNDERA ST
CHESTER CLOSE
PRESCOTT CLOSE
SILVERTON CLOSE
BURBRIDGE PLACE
ROWAN PLACE
MARSH PLACE
MAYNE DR
CUNNINGHAM ST
KENNEDY DR
MARBURTON DR
DRONFIELD ST
GOONAN ST GOONAN ST
UNDERA RD LINDSAY RD
MARSH PLACE
MARSH CLOSE
MARSH DR CRESCENT
MARSH DR
MARKET ST
GUNNEDAH RD
GUNNEDAH RD
GUNNEDAH RD

Character
Simple industrial buildings to the South and East of subject site, characterised by concrete panels, masonry, metal sheet cladding and gable end roofs.

Simple Forms
Existing single-storey buildings to the West and South of the site, identifiable by the verandah and simple roof forms.

Contemporary
Existing community and recreational building at Tamworth varying from the traditional to contemporary.

Character
Drawing on the industrial and residential character of Tamworth to create individual character for each unit.

Simple Forms
Applying an angular sawtooth roof to a low profile industrial massing articulates uncomplicated elegance.

Contemporary
The typical urban studio window to allow vast light to penetrate the building and provide views to the outdoors.

Views + Vistas

The site is relatively flat with high visibility from Tamworth Racecourse, and local streets and roads.
Being highly visible to the public domain, there is opportunity for the proposal to positively respond to the surrounding context whilst capturing views to the distant mountain ranges.



Vehicular Access

Vehicle access points are integrated into the existing and proposed road network.

Traffic Management

Safe and separated movement of heavy and light vehicles to promote a safe and flexible environment for users and visitors.

Program

Centrally locating Business/Retail functions creates a strong active community.
The solar farm is located to the North of the site for maximum efficiency.



Permeability

Building form break up to respond to access ways, building seperation and enviornmental factors.

Cross through site links to connect the industrial and B5 business retail zoning and open space.



Open Space

Open spaces located throughout the site activates Business/Retail zoning and creates pathways and view corridors.

Concept Masterplan (Pre Bypass)
The visionary proposal allows for future growth and expansion of Tamworth's industrial and sustainable sectors, allowing the precinct to adapt for future users, employment and infrastructure upgrades.

An integrated network of open spaces to encourage 'hang-out' areas for employees on their lunch breaks, and roads to a grid layout to facilitate flexibility and legibility within the precinct.

Legend

- Industrial
- B5 - Business / Retail (2.4Ha)
- Road Network



Concept Masterplan (Post Bypass)
The flexibility in the masterplan promotes adaptability, and subsequently limits disruption to traffic flow when the bypass will be introduced.

The simplicity in the internal road network will maintain the visual links and the legibility of the proposal.

Legend

- Industrial
- B5 - Business / Retail (2.4Ha)
- Road Network
- Future Bypass
- Opportunity For Intersection Traffic Management
- Opportunity For Left-In and Left-Out Onto Bypass



Indwe Street
The opportunity to create alternative amenity for the industrial precinct.

Brookvale
The use of colour and material to define spaces.

Footscray
Opportunities for green open spaces to be used for the workers and the wider community.

Indwe Street
Facade articulation to uniquely identify each unit and typology.

Indwe Street
Contemporary construction methods and materials.

Banksmeadow
Facade design to play on light and shadow to redefine industrial units.

Cosgrove Road
Facade articulation that reduces bulk to the larger units.

Sandringham
Cost efficient and effective use of materials.

Indwe Street
Modern construction methods and materials to create contemporary loft style industrial units.

7.3 Architect Drawing Package

Drawing Register

No.	Title	Rev
TP00.00	COVER SHEET	A
TP00.01	EXISTING SITE & DEMOLITION PLAN	A
TP00.02	SITE CONSTRAINTS PLAN	A
TP00.03	SITE PLAN	A
TP01.01	GROUND FLOOR PLAN	A
TP03.01	SECTIONS	A

TAMINDA SOLAR FARM AND INDUSTRIAL PRECINCT DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY

SITE AREAS

NAME	AREA	
Solar Farm	77,165 m²	
IN1 Zone	71,244 m²	Incl. Pt Bypass Easement
B5 Zone	37,463 m²	Incl. Pt Bypass Easement
Bypass Easement	*19,030 m²*	

GFA AREAS

NAME	AREA	FSR
Industrial Units (IN1 Land Zone)	35,410 m² GFA	0.5:1 FSR
Retail/Business Sheds (B5 Land Zone)	14,915 m² GFA	0.4:1 FSR



Taminda Solar Farm & Industrial Precinct

Project
55 Dampier St & 72 Wallamore Rd,
Taminda, NSW 2340

12/03/2019 2:10:37 PM

Client
Chan Abbey Holdings Pty Ltd

Disclaimer: Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. retains all common law, statutory law and other rights including copyright and intellectual property rights in respect of this document. The recipient indemnifies Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. against all claims resulting from use of this document for any purpose other than its intended use, unauthorized changes or reuse of the document on other projects without the permission of Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. Under no circumstance shall transfer of this document be deemed a sale or constitute a transfer of the license to use this document. ABN 76 005 783 997

PRELIMINARY

Project No	Date
218133	**13.11.18**
Drawing No.	Revision
TP00.00	**A**

rothelowman
Brisbane, Melbourne, Sydney
www.rothelowman.com.au



INDICATIVE MASTERPLAN

Revisions

-	20.12.18	PLANNING PROPOSAL	AK
A	27.02.19	AMENDED PLANNING PROPOSAL	AK

12/03/2019 2:10:44 PM

Demolition Notes

Contractor to confirm existing conditions on site.

Contractor to demolish existing structure/remove all materials. Cut and seal services as required in accordance with local authority requirements and a.s. codes for that trade u.n.o

Protection of works as required by local authorities

Cleaning of site to epa requirements by contractor

Preliminary
Precautionary measures to be undertaken as described in AS.2601-2001. A "hazardous substances management plan" is to be implemented before and during demolition if the preliminary investigation of the building identifies any hazardous materials contained therein. These materials will be removed as per the guidelines of the responsible authority and clearance certificate obtained before demolition commences

Safety fencing
Security fences shall be provided to the street boundary of the demolition site and any additional precautionary measures, taken as may be necessary to prevent unauthorised entry to the site, where the demolition site adjoins a public thoroughfare the common boundary between them shall be fenced for its full length with a hoarding unless the least horizontal distance between the common boundary and the nearest part of the structure is greater than twice the height of the structure. The fencing shall be the equivalent of the chain wire as specified in AS.1725

Notices lettered in accordance with AS.1319 and displaying the words "warning demolition in progress", or similar, shall be fixed to the fencing at appropriate places to warn the public. Provision shall be made for ready access to the site by emergency services personnel in the event of fire or accident

Demolition work
Structures shall be demolished in the reverse order to that of their construction. The order of demolition for buildings shall be progressive, storey by storey, having proper regard to the type of construction. No part of any structure shall be left unsupported or unattended in such a condition that it may collapse or become dangerous. Precautions shall be taken to ensure that the stability of all parts of the structure, and the safety of persons on and outside the site, will be maintained in the event of sudden and severe weather changes.

Walls on the boundaries are to be demolished in a safe and workmanship like manner. Walls shall not be laterally loaded by accumulated debris or rubble, to the extent that they are in danger of collapse, allowable loading of the suspended floors shall be determined by an independent structural engineer.

Dust control
The techniques adopted for stripping out and for demolition shall minimise the release of dust into the atmosphere. Before the commencement of stripping or demolition in an area of a structure, any existing accumulations of dust in that area shall be collected, placed in suitable containers and removed. Selection of an appropriate collection technique, such as vacuuming or hosing down, shall take due account of the nature of the dust and the type of hazard it presents. Dust generated during stripping, or during the breaking down of the building fabric to removable sized pieces, shall be kept damp until it is removed from the site or can be otherwise contained. The use of excess water for this purposed shall be avoided.

Noise control
Noise shall be minimised as far as practicable, by the selection of appropriate methods and equipment, and by the use of silencing devices wherever practicable to epa/code requirements.

Note:
1. Attention is drawn to recommendations in AS.2436
2. Hours of operating equipment may be restricted by regulatory authority

Fire services
Where a fire hydrant service or a fire hose reel service is provided in a building, it shall be available at all times during the demolition of the building, so that all remaining storeys except the two uppermost storeys, are serviced. Access to the fire protection services, including any booster fitting, shall be maintained at all times. If a sprinkler system is installed in a building to be demolished, it shall be maintained in an operable condition at each storey below the two uppermost unstripped storeys.

Suitable portable fire extinguishers shall be kept at all times in working area and are not protected by other fire services, and maintained in an operable condition.

Adjoining properties
Safe access to and egress from adjoining buildings shall be maintained at all times for the duration of the demolition work. No demolition activity shall cause damage to or adversely affect the structural integrity of adjoining buildings. The effects of vibration and conversion on adjoining buildings and their occupants shall be minimised by selecting demolition methods and equipment appropriate to the circumstances. Where any surface of an adjoining building is exposed by demolition, the need for weatherproofing the exposed surface shall be investigated and temporary or permanent protection provided as appropriate. Precautions shall be taken to minimise the spreading of mud and debris by vehicles leaving the site.

Project: **Taminda Solar Farm & Industrial Precinct**
55 Dampier St & 72 Wallamore Rd,
Taminda, NSW 2340

Drawing: **EXISTING SITE & DEMOLITION PLAN**

Project No. 218133 | Date 08.11.18 | Author AK | Scale: @ A1 1 : 2000 | Drawing No. TP00.01 A

Disclaimer: Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. retains all common law, statutory law and other rights including copyright and intellectual property rights in respect of this document.The recipient indemnifies Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. against all claims resulting from use of this document for any purpose other than its intended use, unauthorized changes or reuse of the document on other projects without the permission of Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. Under no circumstance shall transfer of this document be deemed a sale or constitute a transfer of the license to use this document. ABN 76 005 783 997

rothelowman
Brisbane, Melbourne, Sydney
www.rothelowman.com.au



INDICATIVE MASTERPLAN

Revisions			
-	20.12.18	PLANNING PROPOSAL	AK
A	27.02.19	AMENDED PLANNING PROPOSAL	AK

12/03/2019 2:10:51 PM

Project: **Taminda Solar Farm & Industrial Precinct**
55 Dampier St & 72 Wallamore Rd,
Taminda, NSW 2340

Drawing: **SITE CONSTRAINTS PLAN**

Project No: **218133**

Date: **11/22/18**

Author: **AK**

Scale: @ A1: **1 : 2000**

Drawing No.: **TP00.02 A**

Disclaimer: Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. retains all common law, statutory law and other rights including copyright and intellectual property rights in respect of this document.The recipient indemnifies Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. against all claims resulting from use of this document for any purpose other than its intended use, unauthorized changes or reuse of the document on other projects without the permission of Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. Under no circumstance shall transfer of this document be deemed a sale or constitute a transfer of the license to use this document. ABN 76 005 783 997

rothelowman

Brisbane, Melbourne, Sydney
www.rothelowman.com.au

INDICATIVE MASTERPLAN

Revisions

-	20.12.18	PLANNING PROPOSAL	AK
A	27.02.19	AMENDED PLANNING PROPOSAL	AK

12/03/2019 2:11:06 PM

Project
Taminda Solar Farm & Industrial Precinct
55 Dampier St & 72 Wallamore Rd,
Taminda, NSW 2340

Drawing **SITE PLAN**

Project No. **218133**

Date **13.11.18**

Author **AK**

Scale: @ A1 **1 : 2000**

Drawing No. **TP00.03 A**

Disclaimer: Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. retains all common law, statutory law and other rights including copyright and intellectual property rights in respect of this document.The recipient indemnifies Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. against all claims resulting from use of this document for any purpose other than its intended use, unauthorized changes or reuse of the document on other projects without the permission of Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. Under no circumstance shall transfer of this document be deemed a sale or constitute a transfer of the license to use this document. ABN 76 005 783 997

rothelowman

Brisbane, Melbourne, Sydney
www.rothelowman.com.au

INDICATIVE MASTERPLAN

Revisions

-	20.12.18	PLANNING PROPOSAL	AK
A	27.02.19	AMENDED PLANNING PROPOSAL	AK

12/03/2019 2:11:24 PM

Project: **Taminda Solar Farm & Industrial Precinct**
55 Dampier St & 72 Wallamore Rd,
Taminda, NSW 2340

Drawing: **GROUND FLOOR PLAN** | Project No: **218133** | Date: **11/13/18** | Author: **AK** | Scale: @ A1 **1 : 1000** | Drawing No.: **TP01.01 A**

Disclaimer: Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. retains all common law, statutory law and other rights including copyright and intellectual property rights in respect of this document.The recipient indemnifies Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. against all claims resulting from use of this document for any purpose other than its intended use, unauthorized changes or reuse of the document on other projects without the permission of Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. Under no circumstance shall transfer of this document be deemed a sale or constitute a transfer of the license to use this document. ABN 76 005 783 997

rothelowman

Brisbane, Melbourne, Sydney
www.rothelowman.com.au



Section 01



Section 02

INDICATIVE MASTERPLAN

Revisions

-	20.12.18	PLANNING PROPOSAL	AK
A	27.02.19	AMENDED PLANNING PROPOSAL	AK

12/03/2019 2:11:32 PM

Project: **Taminda Solar Farm & Industrial Precinct**
55 Dampier St & 72 Wallamore Rd,
Taminda, NSW 2340

Drawing: **SECTIONS**

Project No: **218133**

Date: **13.11.18**

Author: **AK**

Scale: @ A1: **1 : 1000**

Drawing No.: **TP03.01 A**

Disclaimer: Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. retains all common law, statutory law and other rights including copyright and intellectual property rights in respect of this document.The recipient indemnifies Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. against all claims resulting from use of this document for any purpose other than its intended use, unauthorized changes or reuse of the document on other projects without the permission of Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. Under no circumstance shall transfer of this document be deemed a sale or constitute a transfer of the license to use this document. ABN 76 005 783 997

rothelowman

Brisbane, Melbourne, Sydney
www.rothelowman.com.au

7.4 Biodiversity constraints assessment



Travers

bushfire & ecology

Biodiversity Constraints Report

Wallamore Road
Taminda

December 2018
(REF: 18EC03BCA)

Biodiversity Constraints Report

72 and 21 Wallamore Rd,
55 Dampier Street
Taminda

Report Authors:	Michael Sheather-Reid B. Nat. Res. (Hons.) – Managing Director Robert Sansom B. Sc. (Hons.) – Botanist George Plunkett B. Sc. (Hons) PhD – Botanist
Plans prepared:	Sandy Cardow B. Sc. Alexandra Scott B. Sc.
Checked by:	Lindsay Holmes/Michael Sheather-Reid
Date:	01/03/2019
File:	18EC03BCA

This document is copyright ©

Disclaimer:

This report has been prepared to provide advice to the client on matters pertaining to the particular and specific development proposal as advised by the client and / or their authorised representatives. This report can be used by the client only for its intended purpose and for that purpose only. Should any other use of the advice be made by any person, including the client, then this firm advises that the advice should not be relied upon. The report and its attachments should be read as a whole and no individual part of the report or its attachments should be interpreted without reference to the entire report.

Survey effort has been reduced to provide an indication of the insitu vegetation and fauna habitat present. Consequently, further target threatened species survey may be required by the determining authority. The mapping is indicative of available space and location of features which may prove critical in assessing the viability of the proposed works. Mapping has been produced on a map base with an inherent level of inaccuracy. Consequently, the location of all mapped features is to be confirmed by a registered surveyor.

TBE Environmental Pty Ltd
ABN 85 624 419 870
PO Box 7138
Kariong NSW 2250

38A The Avenue
Mt Penang Parklands
Central Coast Highway
Kariong NSW 2250

t: 02 4340 5331
e: info@traversecology.com.au
www.traversecology.com.au

Table of Contents

1.0 Background 1

1.1 Proposed rezoning 2

1.2 Site description 2

2.0 Biodiversity Offsets Scheme (BOS) 5

2.1 Threshold assessment 5

2.2 Serious and irreversible impacts on biodiversity values 6

2.3 BOS Conclusion 7

3.0 Flora 7

3.1 Survey 7

3.2 Vegetation communities 8

3.3 Threatened flora species 13

3.4 Endangered flora populations 13

3.5 Endangered ecological communities 13

3.6 State Environmental Planning Policy (Vegetation in Non-Rural Areas) 2017 13

3.7 Local Land Services Act 2013 14

4.0 Fauna 15

4.1 Survey / Habitat assessment 15

4.2 Hollow-bearing trees 16

4.3 Threatened fauna species 16

4.4 Protected migratory species (National) 17

4.5 Endangered fauna populations 17

4.6 Connectivity 18

5.0 Wetlands, Groundwater dependent Ecosystems and Watercourses ... 19

5.1 Endangered wetland communities 19

5.2 Groundwater dependent ecosystems (GDEs) 20

5.3 Watercourses 20

6.0 Conclusions 23

6.1 Recommendations 23

Figures

Figure 1 – Aerial appraisal of the study area 1
Figure 2 – Proposed rezoning (Source: Elton Consulting - February 2019) 2
Figure 3 – Field survey effort and vegetation communities 4
Figure 4 – Biodiversity value land (mauve shading) in the local area 5
Figure 5 – Native Vegetation Regulation Map 15
Figure 6 – Local connectivity 18
Figure 7 – Alluvial groundwater system discharging into a river 20
Figure 8 – Mapped Watercourses 21
Figure 9 – Ephemeral watercourses within the site 21

Tables

Table 1 – Site features 3
Table 2 – Threatened flora species with suitable habitat present 13
Table 3 – Hollow-bearing tree data 16
Table 4 – Threatened fauna species with suitable habitat present 17
Table A1.1 – Flora species recorded 26
Table A2.1 – Threatened flora species habitat assessment 30
Table A2.2 – Threatened fauna species habitat assessment 34
Table A2.3 – Migratory fauna habitat assessment 44

Appendices

Appendix 1 – Flora Species List
Appendix 2 – Threatened Flora and Fauna Species Habitat Assessment

List of abbreviations

APZ	asset protection zone
BAM	Biodiversity Assessment Method
BC Act	*Biodiversity Conservation Act (2016)*
BCR	*Biodiversity Conservation Regulation (2017)*
BDAR	Biodiversity Development Assessment Report
BPA	bushfire protection assessment
CLUMP	conservation land use management plan
DCP	Development Control Plan
DEC	NSW Department of Environment and Conservation (superseded by DECC from April 2007)
DECC	NSW Department of Environment and Climate Change (superseded by DECCW from October 2009)
DECCW	NSW Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water (superseded by OEH from April 2011)
DEWHA	Commonwealth Department of Environment, Water, Heritage & the Arts (superseded by SEWPAC)
DOEE	Commonwealth Department of Environment and Energy
EEC	endangered ecological community
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EP&A Act	*Environmental Planning and Assessment Act (1979)*
EPBC Act	*Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act (1999)*
ESMP	ecological site management plan
FF	flora and fauna assessment
FM Act	*Fisheries Management Act*
FMP	fuel management plan
HTA	habitat tree assessment
IPA	inner protection area
LEP	Local Environment Plan
LGA	local government area
NES	national environmental significance
NPWS	NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service
NSW DPI	NSW Department of Primary Industries
OEH	Office of Environment and Heritage (Part of the NSW Department of Premier and Cabinet)
OPA	outer protection area
PBP	*Planning for bushfire protection 2006*
POM	plan of management
RF Act	*Rural Fires Act (1997)*
RFS	NSW Rural Fire Service
ROTAP	rare or threatened Australian plants
SEPP 44	*State Environmental Protection Policy No 44 – Koala Habitat Protection*
SEWPAC	Commonwealth Dept. of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population & Communities (superseded by DOEE)
SIS	species impact statement
SULE	safe useful life expectancy
TPO	tree preservation order
TPZ	tree preservation zone
TRRP	tree retention and removal plan
TSC Act	*Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995*
VMP	vegetation management plan

Biodiversity Assessment

1.0 Background

Travers bushfire & ecology has been engaged to undertake a biodiversity constraints assessment within the following properties:

Lot 1 DP 1234850 – 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda
Lot 2 DP 1234850 – 21 Wallamore Road, Taminda
Lot 3 DP 1234850 – 55 Dampier Street, Taminda
Lot 60 DP 1227482 – 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda

These lots are located within the Tamworth local government area (LGA). The extent of these lots to the south of the Wallamore anabranch of the Peel River has been subject to detailed survey and is referred to as the 'study area' (Figure 1).

Figure 1 – Aerial appraisal of the study area (red).

1.1 Proposed rezoning

The proposal involves the rezoning of the two southern lots of the study area.

The future development consists of employment lands created by either filling or a levee system at the two lots. A solar farm, a high flow channel and a low flow channel is depicted in Figure 2.

Access to the site may be provided via Wallamore Road, Dampier Street and a future extension of Jewry Street along the existing (unformed) road reserve.



Figure 2 – Proposed rezoning
(Source: Elton Consulting - February 2019)

1.2 Site description

Table 1 provides a summary of the planning, cadastral, topographical, and disturbance details of the study area.

Table 1 – Site features

Location	Lot 1 DP 1234850 – 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda Lot 2 DP 1234850 – 21 Wallamore Road, Taminda Lot 3 DP 1234850 – 55 Dampier Street, Taminda Lot 60 DP 1227482 – 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda
Location description	The site is located approximately 3 km to the west of Tamworth Town Centre. Wallamore Road and existing industrial development along Kingsford Smith Street forms the boundary to the south, with part of the eastern boundary formed by Dampier Street. Adjoining rural lots are located on the entire length of the western boundary. The study area extends northwards and stops short of the top of bank of the Wallamore Anabranch channel associated with the Peel River. The allotments extend further north and are not included in this study north from the southern bank of the anabranch.
Area	Approx. 92ha
Local government area	Tamworth
Zoning	RU4 – Primary production small lots
Grid reference MGA-56	299215E 6558940N
Elevation	Approx. 371 - 377m AHD
Topography	Situated on very gently sloping floodplain, slopes of less than 0.4% with a northerly aspect.
Catchment and drainage	Catchment – Peel River Overland flow into a drainage swale which flows in a NNE direction into the Wallamore anabranch of Peel River.
Existing land use	The property currently contains a rural residence, the remainder of the study area is used for agricultural purposes.



Figure 3 – Field survey effort and vegetation communities

2.0 Biodiversity Offsets Scheme (BOS)

The *BC Act* repeals the *Threatened Species Conservation Act (TSA Act) 1995*, the *Nature Conservation Trust Act 2001* and the animal and plant provisions of the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974*.

Together with the *Biodiversity Conservation Regulation 2017*, the *BC Act* establishes a new regulatory framework for assessing and offsetting biodiversity impacts on proposed developments and clearing. It establishes a framework to avoid, minimise and offset impacts on biodiversity from development through the Biodiversity Offsets Scheme. Where development consent is granted, the authority may impose as a condition of consent an obligation to retire a number and type of biodiversity credits determined under the new Biodiversity Assessment Method (BAM).

2.1 Threshold assessment

The BOS includes two (2) elements to the threshold test – an area trigger and a Sensitive Biodiversity Values Land Map trigger. If clearing exceeds either trigger, the Biodiversity Offset Scheme applies to the proposed clearing.

2.1.1 Sensitive Biodiversity Land Map

Sensitive Biodiversity Values Land has not been mapped within the study area – an offset is not required under this trigger. Figure 4 shows the site (blue) in relation to those areas (coloured mauve) as having biodiversity values.



Figure 4 – Biodiversity value land (mauve shading) in the local area
(Source: OEH – Biodiversity Values Map – November 2018)

The area proposed for rezoning is not located on lands mapped as Sensitive Biodiversity Values Land – an offsetting is not required

2.1.2 Area clearing threshold

The area threshold varies depending on the minimum lot size (shown in the Lot Size Maps made under the relevant Local Environmental Plan (LEP)), or actual lot size (where there is no minimum lot size provided for the relevant land under the LEP).

Table 2 – BOS entry threshold report

Date of Calculation	01/03/2019 10:20 AM		**BDAR Required***
Total Digitised Area	55.85	ha	
Minimum Lot Size Method	Lot size		
Minimum Lot Size	4.4	ha	
Area Clearing Threshold	0.5	ha	
Area clearing trigger Area of native vegetation cleared	Unknown #		Unknown #
Biodiversity values map trigger Impact on biodiversity values map(not including values added within the last 90 days)?	no		no
Date of the 90 day Expiry	N/A		

Table 2 identifies that BOS entry threshold report has determined the area threshold based on the smallest lot size within the study area (given as 4.4ha). However, the Current LEP states that the minimum lot size for the study area is 40ha. Based on either of these methods, the area clearing threshold for which the BOS applies is 0.5ha. Clearing of 'native vegetation' that exceeds 0.5ha will require a biodiversity offset to be obtained. Note that 'native vegetation' includes planted native species.

The proposed rezoning area is not located within any area mapped as Sensitive Biodiversity Land. However, based upon the preliminary design, the impacts will be above 0.5ha and will trigger the BOS. The total impacts upon paddock trees which are included in the Area Clearing Threshold of native vegetation will need to be established at the development application stage to finalise the overall impact areas.

2.2 Serious and irreversible impacts on biodiversity values

Reference is made to the '*Guidance to assist a decision-maker to determine a serious and irreversible impact Office of Environment & Heritage 2017'.* Development consent cannot be granted for non-State significant development under Part 4 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979* (NSW) if the consent authority is of the opinion it is likely to have serious and irreversible impacts (SAII) on biodiversity values. The determination of SAII is to be made in accordance with principles prescribed section 6.7 of the BC Regulation (2017). The principles have been designed to capture those impacts which are likely to contribute significantly to the risk of extinction of a threatened species or ecological community in New South Wales.

The principles have been designed to capture those impacts which are likely to contribute significantly to the risk of extinction of a threatened species or ecological community in New South Wales. These are impacts that:

- will cause a further decline of the species or ecological community that is currently observed, estimated, inferred or reasonably suspected to be in a rapid rate of decline, or

- will further reduce the population size of the species or ecological community that is currently observed, estimated, inferred or reasonably suspected to have a very small population size, or
- impact on the habitat of a species or ecological community that is currently observed, estimated, inferred or reasonably suspected to have a very limited geographic distribution, or
- impact on a species or ecological community that is unlikely to respond to measures to improve habitat and vegetation integrity and is therefore irreplaceable.

The first three principles broadly align with the IUCN (2017) (see also Bland *et al*. (2016)) criteria used to identify entities at the greatest risk of extinction (i.e. critically endangered entities) and the fourth principle captures impacts on entities that cannot be offset.

Impacts upon the remnant paddock trees or small areas of replanted canopy commensurate with the vegetation type known as PCT 84 – River Oak / Rough-barked Apple red gum box riparian tall woodland (wetland) of the Brigalow Belt South Bioregion & Nandewar Bioregion is not considered to be a potential SAII as this vegetation type is not listed as a threatened ecological community, and therefore by default, does not meet any of the four (4) principles for nomination as a potential SAII.

Reference to the *threatened biodiversity data collection* for the most up-to-date information on ecological communities that are potential SAII and for entity-specific thresholds is recommended if an application is intended to be submitted or is likely to be delayed.

2.3 BOS Conclusion

For council to assess any development proposal a Biodiversity Development Assessment Report (BDAR) will be required. This may involve additional targeted flora and fauna searches and surveys to determine the presence or absence of threatened species or their habitats and to assess the likely impacts of the proposed works upon threatened species, populations or ecological communities or their habitats in accordance with the Significance of Impact Test as specified in the *BC Act.*

The Significance of Impact Test within the BDAR will determine if the proposal is likely to trigger biodiversity offsets or is likely to cause a serious or irreversible impact (SAII) upon threatened species, endangered populations or endangered ecological communities.

3.0 Flora

3.1 Survey

A field inspection was undertaken by Botanist, Mr Robert Sansom B. Sc. (Hons.) on 6-7 November, 2018 over the time frame of approximately 11hrs. This field inspection was restricted to the study area as shown in red within Figure 2 and was undertaken primarily to confirm the plant community type (PCT) or to determine the ecological and habitat value of the site.

Five (5) flora quadrats of 20m x 50m in accordance with the Biodiversity Assessment Method (BAM) were undertaken within the existing replanted native vegetation of the lot to assist in the identification of any PCT present.

Botanical survey included a random meander in accordance with *Cropper* (1993) to gain a full species list of the plants within the site. A review of the *Atlas of NSW Wildlife* (OEH 2019) was undertaken prior to the site visit to determine threatened species previously recorded within 10km of the study area, and relevant target searches were undertaken as suited, generally as meandering transects as shown in Figure 3.

All naturally occurring species were identified to species level where possible, and tabulated in Appendix 1.

3.2 Vegetation communities

Within the study area native vegetation occurs as widely scattered remnant trees within large areas of agricultural land. There are also small areas of replanted native trees which do not contain any native shrubs and the ground layer is dominated by exotics grasses, herbs and forbs. These tree planting areas occupy a total of approximately 0.57ha. The vast majority of the study area contains agricultural land currently used for growing Lucerne, oats and a small-grained wheat which are all used for making Hay and stock feed. There is a small area of household gardens located around the dwelling and ancillary farm structures. A variety of small trees consisting of exotic and planted native species occur along the centrally located drainage swale.

The vegetation in the locality has been mapped within *extant and potential natural vegetation of Tamworth, Manilla and Cobbadah VIS_3796* (Rolhauser, Thonell and Peackock 2009) as River Red Gum – River Oak riparian open forest of Brigalow Belt South and Nandewar Bioregions. This corresponds to *River Oak – Rough-barked Apple – Red Gum box riparian tall Woodland (wetland) of the Brigalow Belt South Bioregion and Nandewar South Bioregion* (PCT 84). Our quadrat and ecological survey results support this classification.

The vegetation within the study site (PCT 84) is not commensurate with any Threatened Ecological Community (TEC) listed under the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (BC Act)* or *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act).*

The remaining vegetation in the study area occurs as planted trees, garden beds and managed cropping and pastoral areas.

Field verification of the study area found the following vegetation communities for the purposes of biodiversity constraints assessment include:

- managed agricultural lands, and
- PCT 84 – *River Oak, Rough-barked Apple, Red Gum, box riparian tall Woodland (wetland) of the Brigalow Belt South Bioregion and Nandewar South Bioregion* (remnant trees or planted canopy only)

Managed Agricultural Lands

Canopy
Widely scattered *Eucalyptus camaldulensis, Eucalyptus sideroxylon, Eucalyptus albens* occur within the agricultural areas of the study area. Canopy projected foliage cover is estimated at less than 1% with a height of 15-24m.

Mid-storey

The mid-storey is generally absent but consists of very sparse occurrences of individual or small clumps of exotic species and contributes less than 1% PFC within the whole study area. Species present include *Lycium ferocissimum, Melaleuca armillaris, Callistemon viminalis* and *Indigofera adesmiifolia*. These species are either exotic weeds or were planted within the household gardens or escaped.

Ground layer

Cropping areas consisted of large areas (95%) of the study area were under cultivation of oats, lucerne or small-seeded wheat. These crops are grown to produce bales of hay or stock feed.

There are introduced or exotic species mixed with these crops and located along farm tracks and within the drainage swale which runs north through the centre of the study area. Typical exotic species observed were *Brassica tournefortii, Capsella bursa-pastoris, Carthamus lanatus, Chenopodium album, Lolium perrenne, Malva parviflora, Rorippa palustris*, and *Rapistrum rugosum.*

Photo 1 – Agricultural land in the north-east corner of the study area (quadrat 2) looking north.

Photo 2 – Agricultural land in use, looking west from the centre of the study area. Note crops of Lucerne (right) and Wheat (left) and isolated scattered individual trees in the middle distance

PCT 84 – *River Oak, Rough-barked Apple, Red Gum, box riparian tall Woodland (wetland) of the Brigalow Belt South Bioregion and Nandewar South Bioregion* (remnant trees or planted canopy only)

Canopy

There are areas of tree replanting within areas shown in Figure 3. These areas have been replanted with species that are likely to occur naturally on the Peel River floodplain. These patches of replanted trees all contain the same mixture of species such as *Eucalyptus camaldulensis, Eucalyptus sideroxylon, Eucalyptus albens* and presently have a canopy projected foliage cover of 25 to 35% with a height of 8 to 12m.

Travers bushfire & ecology notes that 'native vegetation' either naturally occurring or planted, if impacted by any future proposed works, is assessed under the Biodiversity Assessment Methodology as impacted native vegetation for determination of biodiversity offsetting.

Mid-storey

The mid-storey is absent (see photos 3 and 4).

Ground layer

This layer was generally disturbed through previous removal and impacts from cattle such as grazing and sheltering under the canopy resulting in soil disturbance (see photos 3 and 4). No planting within the ground layer appears to have been undertaken.

There are some exotic species present within the patches of planted trees. Typical exotic species observed were *Rapistrum rugosum, Brassica tournefortii, Capsella bursa-pastoris, Lolium perrenne, Alternanthera pungens, Asphodelus fistulosus*, *Chenopodium album* and *Rorippa palustris.*

This Planted trees vegetation is commensurate with Plant Community Type (PCT) 84 – *River oak, Rough-barked Apple, Red Gum, Box riparian Tall woodland (wetland) of the Brigalow Belt South Bioregion and Nandewar Bioregion*. PCT 84 is not commensurate with any Threatened Ecological Community (TEC) listed within the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (*BC Act*) or *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (*EPBC Act*).

Photo 3 – Planted Trees within Quadrat 1 looking west. Note lack of Mid-storey

Photo 4 – Planted trees within Quadrat 4, looking south.
Note lack of mid storey and ground disturbance from cattle

Photo 5 – Remnant trees located approximately 60 metres NE of Quadrat 5, looking N.E.

3.3 Threatened flora species

BC Act – A search of the *Atlas of NSW Wildlife* (OEH, 2019) indicated a list of species that have been recorded within a 10 km radius of the study area. These species are listed in Appendix 2 Table A2.1 and are considered for potential habitat within the study area.

EPBC Act – A review of the schedules of the *EPBC Act* indicated the potential for a list of threatened flora species to occur within a 10km radius of the study area. These species have also been listed in Appendix 2 Table A2.1 for consideration of potential to occur.

Based on the habitat assessment within Table A2.1 it is considered that the study area provides potential habitat for the following threatened flora species. These species should be considered in any Significance of Impact Test included within a BDAR for any future development application.

Table 2 – Threatened flora species with suitable habitat present

Scientific name	*BC Act*	*EPBC Act*	Potential to occur
Dichanthium setosum	V	V	Very low

All threatened flora species in both the Bionet (NSW) and *EPBC Act* coordinate search (National) were considered to have very low potential suitable habitat within the study area possibly due to factors such as previous clearing and landscaping works, past and ongoing land management practices, unsuitable soils / geology, unsuitable previous vegetation type or large distance to known specimens.

3.4 Endangered flora populations

No endangered flora populations occur within Tamworth Council LGA.

No specimens of any endangered flora population were observed within the study area during the flora survey. Therefore, it is considered that no endangered populations occur within the study area.

3.5 Endangered ecological communities

No endangered ecological community occurs within Tamworth Council LGA. PCT 84 is not commensurate with any Threatened Ecological Community (TEC) listed within the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (*BC Act*) or *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (*EPBC Act*).

Therefore, no endangered ecological communities were observed within the study area during the flora survey.

3.6 State Environmental Planning Policy (Vegetation in Non-Rural Areas) 2017

The *State Environmental Planning Policy (Vegetation in Non-Rural Areas) 2017* (Vegetation SEPP) was one of a suite of Land Management and Biodiversity Conservation (LMBC) reforms that commenced in New South Wales on 25 August 2017. The Vegetation SEPP (the SEPP) works together with the *BC Act* and the *Local Land Services Amendment Act 2016* to create a framework for the regulation of clearing of native vegetation in NSW.

The SEPP will ensure the biodiversity offset scheme (established under the Land Management and Biodiversity reforms) will apply to all clearing of native vegetation that

exceeds the offset thresholds in urban areas and environmental conservation zones that does not require development consent.

Vegetation SEPP applies to the following local government areas:

Bayside, City of Blacktown, Burwood, Camden, City of Campbelltown, Canterbury-Bankstown, Canada Bay, Cumberland, City of Fairfield, Georges River, City of Hawkesbury, Hornsby, Hunter's Hill, Georges River, Inner West, Ku-ring-gai, Lane Cove, City of Liverpool, Mosman, Newcastle, North Sydney, Northern Beaches, City of Parramatta, City of Penrith, City of Randwick, City of Ryde, Strathfield, Sutherland Shire, City of Sydney, The Hills Shire, Waverley, City of Willoughby, Woollahra.

The Vegetation SEPP also applies to land within a variety of zones as set out in the legislation 'Land to which the policy applies'. The zonings that the SEPP applies to include

Zone RU5 Village, Zone R1 General Residential, Zone R2 Low Density Residential, Zone R3 Medium Density Residential, Zone R4 High Density Residential, Zone R5 Large Lot Residential, Zone B1 Neighbourhood Centre, Zone B2 Local Centre, Zone B3 Commercial Core, Zone B4 Mixed Use, Zone B5 Business Development, Zone B6 Enterprise Corridor, Zone B7 Business Park, Zone B8 Metropolitan Centre, Zone IN1 General Industrial, Zone IN2 Light Industrial, Zone IN3 Heavy Industrial, Zone IN4 Working Waterfront, Zone SP1 Special Activities, Zone SP2 Infrastructure, Zone SP3 Tourist, Zone RE1 Public Recreation, Zone RE2 Private Recreation, Zone E2 Environmental Conservation, Zone E3 Environmental Management, Zone E4 Environmental Living or Zone W3 Working Waterways.

3.6.1 Is an Authority to clear vegetation required

As 'development consent' is required for the proposed works the Vegetation SEPP does not apply.

3.7 Local Land Services Act 2013

The *Local Land Services Act 2013* became fully operational in January 2014. The amended *Local Land Services Act* 2013, provides a new regulatory framework for native vegetation and land management activities in NSW. The amended Act:

- categorises regulated and exempt land to provide certainty and clarity for landholders
- creates Allowable Activities which simplify and expand the former routine agricultural management activities (RAMAs)
- creates the Land Management (Native Vegetation) Code
- establishes a Native Vegetation Panel
- enables landholders to use the Biodiversity Offsets Scheme for agricultural development.

The *Local Land Services Act 2013* repeals the *Rural Lands Protection Act 1998,* the *Rural Lands Protection Amendment Act 2008,* and the *Catchment Management Authorities Act 2003*.

The new Land Management Framework provides landholders with more options to manage native vegetation on their property. Land in NSW is categorised into three main categories including, Category 1 (exempt land), Category 2 (regulated land) and excluded land. Categorisation of land provides certainty to landholders and defines options available for each category for native vegetation management.

The NVR Map generally covers rural land in NSW. It categorises land where management of native vegetation can occur without approval or where management of native vegetation may

be carried out in accordance with Part 5A of the LLS Act. A summary of categories used in the NVR Map is shown below (Figure 5) as it applies to the study area.

Reference to the Native Vegetation Regulation Map identifies that the subject land is not mapped as sensitive or vulnerable regulated land and therefore the Local Land Services Act does not apply. The proposal does not impact vulnerable regulated land.

For the purposes of this assessment we have assumed the land is exempt Land and determination of the proposal is subject to development assessment under the Biodiversity Conservation Act.



Figure 5 – Native Vegetation Regulation Map
(Source: OEH – Native Vegetation Regulation Map – November 2018)

4.0 Fauna

4.1 Survey / Habitat assessment

A fauna habitat assessment was undertaken during the botanical survey to identify the habitat types available and its quality and to identify any specific or important features.

The fauna habitat assessment is based on a site inspection with notes and photographs taken where required, desktop analysis, threatened species records (OEH 2019) and habitat attributes identified during the flora survey. Particular note was taken to search for the following potential threatened fauna species habitat:

- A count of tree species present to determine 'Potential Koala Habitat' according to the definitions of SEPP 44.
- Hollow-bearing trees present.
- Presence of any raptor nests.

- Terrestrial shelters, burrows and/or hollows.
- Connectivity potential to and from the site.
- Presence of drainages for frog species habitat.

Significant habitat trees are defined as trees containing large hollows suitable for owls/cockatoos and/or two or more good quality medium hollows and/or several small hollows and/or a tree showing notable use by a threatened species (eg. sap feed tree, raptor nest tree or microbat roost).

A review of the *Atlas of NSW Wildlife* (OEH 2019) was undertaken prior to the site visit to determine threatened fauna species previously recorded within 10km of the study area.

The following notable habitat features were observed present:

- At least three (3) habitat trees containing good quality medium or small hollows.
- Year-round nectar producing tree species, principally *Eucalyptus* or *Angophora* species
- Seed producing C*asuarina* trees
- Ephemeral constructed drainage lines
- Commercial buildings
- Large areas undergoing agricultural use for stock feed

4.2 Hollow-bearing trees

Hollow-bearing trees were not generally surveyed during the flora survey. However, one tree HT01 was assessed as an unidentified raptor was seen leaving the tree on approach by the botanist. Hollow-bearing tree data for HT01 is provided in Table 3. The location of HT001 is in the north-eastern parts of the study area as shown in Figure 3. This Habitat tree will not be impacted by the proposed works.

Table 3 – Hollow-bearing tree data

No	Common name	DBH (cm)	Height (m)	Spread (m)	Vigour (%)	Hollows recorded
HT001	River Red Gum	180	23	16	75	1x 5-10cm branch hollow 1x 15-20cm branch hollow 2x 20-30cm branch hollows

The recorded hollows may be suitable for hollow-dependent threatened species with considered potential to occur.

The proposed development layout enables retention of this recorded hollow-bearing tree.

4.3 Threatened fauna species

BC Act – A search of the *Atlas of NSW Wildlife* (OEH, 2018) provided a list of threatened fauna species previously recorded within a 10km radius of the study area. These species are listed in Appendix Table A2.2 and are considered for potential habitat within the study area.

Fisheries Management Act (*FM Act*) – No habitats suitable for threatened aquatic species were observed within the study area and as such the provisions of this act do not require any further consideration.

EPBC Act – A review of the schedules of the *EPBC Act* identified a list of threatened fauna species or species habitat likely to occur within a 10km radius of the study area. These species have also been listed in Appendix Table A2.2.

In accordance with Table A2.2 the following state and nationally listed threatened fauna species are considered to have suitable habitat with varying potential to occur within the study area. The state listed species will be considered in the seven-part test (Appendix 3):

Table 4 – Threatened fauna species with suitable habitat present

Common name	*BC Act*	*EPBC Act*	Potential to occur
Grey-headed Flying-fox	V	V	Low
Eastern Bentwing-bat	V	-	Low
Swift Parrot	E	E	Low
Square-tailed Kite	V	-	unlikely
Little Lorikeet	V	-	unlikely
Dusky Woodswallow	V	-	unlikely
Turquoise Parrot	V	-	unlikely
Speckled Warbler	V	-	unlikely
Diamond Firetail	V	-	unlikely
Koala	V	V	unlikely
Black Falcon	V	-	unlikely

Additional protected migratory species listed under the *EPBC Act* are considered for habitat potential in Table A2.3.

It is concluded that there will be no likely serious or irreversible impact any state or nationally listed threatened fauna species with considered potential to occur.

4.4 Protected migratory species (National)

The EPBC Act Protected Matters Report provides additionally listed terrestrial, wetland and marine migratory species of national significance likely to occur, or with habitat for these species likely to occur, within a 10km radius of the study area. The habitat potential of migratory species is considered in Table A2.3 (Appendix 2).

No nationally protected migratory bird species were recorded present within the study area during the preliminary survey. The study area does not contain any breeding habitat or habitat of other unique importance for foraging or breeding for nationally listed migratory species. Therefore these species will not likely offer constraint to the proposal.

4.5 Endangered fauna populations

There are no endangered fauna populations known to occur within the Tamworth local government area.

4.6 Connectivity

The vegetation within the study area is already highly fragmented and disconnected from other areas of contiguous bushland. The nearest natural vegetation occurs immediately to the north of the study area along the banks of the Wallamore Anabranch. The planted canopy vegetation within the study area (shown as reddish-brown patches in Figure 6) are discontinuous and lack any native ground or shrub layers. Other isolated remnant trees are located within the site but do not provide any more than small widely separated single or small groups of trees. Therefore the study area provides no local or regionally important connective values to warrant protection within a conservation corridor. However, the banks of the Wallamore Anabranch located immediately to the north of the study area do support narrow bands of mixed native and exotic (Willows) vegetation.

Figure 6 – Local connectivity

5.0 Wetlands, Groundwater dependent Ecosystems and Watercourses

5.1 Endangered wetland communities

A number of wetland communities have been listed as an 'endangered ecological community' under the NSW *BC Act*. We note that ‘wetlands’ are included in the definition of ‘waterfront lands’ in accordance with the *Water Management Act* (*WM Act*) 2000 due to their inclusion in the definition of a ‘lake’ under the same act.

Impacts on wetland communities must be assessed under the *BC Act* and if present the management of wetland communities must be given due consideration in accordance with the objectives and principles of management as contained within the NSW Wetlands Policy (2010), and appropriate management as determined by NSW DPI - Office of Water in their general terms of approval (GTA’s). This may include but not limited to the provision of buffers, management of stormwater runoff and maintenance of natural inflows or runoff into those wetland communities.

- Artesian springs ecological community - endangered ecological community listing
- Castlereagh swamp woodland community - endangered ecological community listing
- Coastal saltmarsh in the NSW North Coast, Sydney Basin and South East Corner bioregions - endangered ecological community listing
- Freshwater wetlands on coastal floodplains of the NSW North Coast, Sydney Basin and South East Corner bioregions - endangered ecological community listing
- Kurri sand swamp woodland in the Sydney Basin Bioregion - endangered ecological community listing
- Lagunaria swamp forest on Lord Howe Island - endangered ecological community listing
- Maroota Sands swamp forest - endangered ecological community listing
- Newnes Plateau Shrub Swamp in the Sydney Basin Bioregion - endangered ecological community listing
- Swamp oak floodplain forest of the NSW North Coast, Sydney Basin and South East Corner bioregions - endangered ecological community listing
- Swamp sclerophyll forest on coastal floodplains of the NSW North Coast, Sydney Basin and South East Corner bioregions - endangered ecological listing
- Sydney Freshwater Wetlands in the Sydney Basin Bioregion - endangered ecological community listing
- The shorebird community occurring on the relict tidal delta sands at Taren Point - endangered ecological community listing
- Upland wetlands of the drainage divide of the New England Tableland Bioregion - endangered ecological community listing
- Wingecarribee Swamp - endangered ecological community listing

In accordance with the *WM Act*, endangered wetland communities are through the definition of ‘lakes’ potentially classed as waterfront land. Referral to DPI WaterNSW may be required for determination under the *WM Act* as a controlled activity. As well as protection, a buffer may be applied to these communities as specified by DPI WaterNSW.

No endangered wetland communities were present within the study area and therefore a referral to WaterNSW is not required.

5.2 Groundwater dependent ecosystems (GDEs)

Groundwater dependent ecosystems are communities of plants, animals and other organisms whose extent and life processes are dependent on groundwater. Some examples of ecosystems which depend on groundwater are:

- wetlands;
- red gum forests, vegetation on coastal sand dunes and other terrestrial vegetation;
- ecosystems in streams fed by groundwater;
- limestone cave systems;
- springs; and
- hanging valleys and swamps.



Figure 7 – Alluvial groundwater system discharging into a river

Groundwater dependent ecosystems are therefore ecosystems which have their species composition and their natural ecological processes determined by groundwater (NSW State Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems Policy April 2002).

Remnants of Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems (GDEs) in the form of remnant trees and planted patches of tree species commensurate with PCT 84 - *River Oak – Rough-barked Apple – Red Gum box riparian tall Woodland (wetland) of the Brigalow Belt South Bioregion and Nandewar South Bioregion* were observed within the study area This community corresponds to a Red Gum Forest as shown in point two above.

This vegetation community has in the past been reliant on flooding events along the river to create and maintain the floodplain vegetation and its habitat. Impacts on groundwater dependent ecosystems is to be determined and considered in any future biodiversity or impact assessment.

5.3 Watercourses

Topographic maps indicate presence of watercourses within the northern portion of the site (Figure 8).



Figure 8 – Mapped Watercourses

A constructed drainage channel also passes through site and discharges into the mapped streams and hence into Wallamore Anabranch as shown as a solid blue line within Figure 9. This constructed drainage channel is also fed by several small depressions which constitute overland flow within large, almost flat cropping areas as shown by blue dashed lines. The drainage channel and ephemeral overland flows are ephemeral in nature.

The parts of the main constructed drainage channel located south of the small dam as shown in Figure 9 are characterised by a series of remnant or planted endemic native trees and also planted exotics and non-endemic trees as shown in Photo 6.

Figure 9 – Ephemeral watercourses within the site

Photo 6 – Looking south along the constructed drainage swale within Quadrat 5

Photo 7 – Looking south along the constructed drainage swale within Quadrat 3

Another ephemeral drainage line exists outside the study area which is located approximately ten (10) metres outside and parallel to the eastern boundary of the study area. This drainage channel primarily drains the verges of Dampier and Jewry Streets and the horse racing property to the east.

The constructed drainage channel forms as an extension to the existing mapped streams as shown on SixMaps therefore a referral for general terms of approval and controlled activity approval may be required under the *Water Management Act 2000* (*WM Act*).

6.0 Conclusions

Ecological survey and has been undertaken within Lot 1 DP 1234850 – 72 Wallamore Road, Lot 2 DP 1234850 – 21 Wallamore Road, Lot 3 DP 1234850 – 55 Dampier Street and Lot 60 DP 1227482 – 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda.

No threatened flora species, populations or ecological communities have been observed or considered likely to occur in a natural state within the study area.

Whilst fauna survey has not been undertaken, it is considered that the habitat attributes within the study area do not provide any significant or unique habitat of breeding importance for any threatened fauna species. Remnant and planted vegetation may provide low key foraging value. The single recorded hollow-bearing tree will be retained and will not be further fragmented from other local adjacent natural habitats.

The native vegetation present within the study area is attributable to highly modified remnants or planted trees (canopy only) commensurate with Plant Community Type (PCT) 84 - *River Oak, Rough-barked Apple, Red Gum, box riparian tall Woodland (wetland) of the Brigalow Belt South Bioregion and Nandewar South Bioregion* which is not listed within the NSW *BC Act* (2016) or within the Commonwealth *EPBC Act* (1999) as a Threatened Ecological Community (TEC).

The area clearing threshold for this site is 0.5ha, that is, impacts of more than 0.5ha need to be adequately offset through the BOS. Based upon the preliminary design, the impacts will be above 0.5ha and will trigger the entry into the BOS.

6.1 Recommendations

To minimise adverse ecological impacts, the following mitigation measures are proposed:

1. Assessment of the project in accordance with the Biodiversity Offsetting Scheme (BOS) is recommended.

2. Biodiversity offsetting of impacts is likely to be required. Consideration to the establishment of a Biodiversity Stewardship Site either within the site or within the riparian zone associated with the Wallamore Anabranch is recommended.

3. Alternatively, assess the Biodiversity Credit value of the vegetation to be removed and purchase biodiversity offset credits from the NSW BioBanking Trust.

4. Revegetation such as along the existing or redirected drainage line, is to utilise locally-occurring native species commensurate with PCT 84 – *River Oak, Rough-barked Apple, Red Gum, box riparian tall Woodland (wetland) of the Brigalow Belt South Bioregion and Nandewar South Bioregion* including trees, shrubs and ground covers to encourage local fauna use, to consolidate remnant vegetation linkages and to provide ‘island’ refuges for native flora and fauna species within the locality.

5. Sediment and erosion control measures in accordance with *Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction* (Landcom 2004) to minimise impact of possible sedimentation to local drainage lines.

6. Control and eradication of invasive ecological weeds should be undertaken to prevent further invasion by these species. High threat weed species listed within the NSW *BC Act* (2016) such as Canary Island Date Palm, White Poplar, Weeping Willow, Khaki Weed, Mediterranean Turnip, Saffron Thistle and Coolatai Grass were observed within the study area.

7. It is recommended that a Vegetation Management Plan (VMP) be produced to ensure that any proposed revegetation areas within the site address the potential to expand the extent of PCT 84 along drainage lines or within the riparian corridor associated with the Wallamore Anabranch and to control or eradicate high threat and environmental weeds which are required to be controlled in accordance with the NSW *Biosecurity Act* (2015).

8. The future development of the site is to consider drainage and native vegetation restoration actions within the site.

Appendix 1
Flora Species List

Table A1.1 – Flora species recorded

Family	Scientific name	Common name
TREES		
Sterculiaceae	*Brachychiton populneus* subsp. *populneus*	Kurrajong
Cupressaceae	*Callitris spp.*	
Casuarinaceae	*Casuarina cunninghamiana* subsp. *cunninghamiana*	River Oak
Myrtaceae	*Eucalyptus albens*	White Box
Myrtaceae	*Eucalyptus camaldulensis*	River Red Gum
Myrtaceae	*Eucalyptus melanophloia*	Silver-leaved Ironbark
Myrtaceae	*Eucalyptus melliodora*	Yellow Box
Myrtaceae	*Eucalyptus sideroxylon*	Red Ironbark
Arecaceae	*Livistona australis*	Cabbage Tree Palm
Meliaceae	*Melia azedarach*	White Cedar
Oleaceae	*Notelaea microcarpa* var. *microcarpa*	Velvet Mock Olive
Arecaceae	*Phoenix canariensis**	Canary Island Date Palm
Salicaceae	*Populus alba**	White Poplar
Salicaceae	*Salix babylonica**	Weeping Willow
Anacardiaceae	*Schinus areira**	Pepper Tree
SHRUBS		
Myrtaceae	*Callistemon viminalis*	Weeping Bottlebrush
Faboideae	*Indigofera adesmiifolia*	Tick Indigo
Solanaceae	*Lycium ferocissimum**	African Boxthorn
Myrtaceae	*Melaleuca armillaris* subsp. *armillaris*	Bracelet Honey Myrtle
Chenopodiaceae	*Sclerolaena tetracuspis*	Brigalow Burr
GROUNDCOVERS		
Amaranthaceae	*Alternanthera pungens**	Khaki Weed
Asphodelaceae	*Asphodelus fistulosus**	Onion Weed
Poaceae	*Austrostipa pubescens*	Tall Speargrass
Poaceae	*Austrostipa ramosissima*	Stout Bamboo Grass
Poaceae	*Avena sativa**	Oats
Asteraceae	*Bidens pilosa**	Cobbler's Pegs
Brassicaceae	*Brassica rapa**	Wild Turnip
Brassicaceae	*Brassica tournefortii**	Mediterranean Turnip
Poaceae	*Bromus cartharticus**	Prairie Grass
Brassicaceae	*Capsella bursa-pastoris**	Shepherds purse
Cyperaceae	*Carex incomitata*	-
Asteraceae	*Carthamus lanatus**	Saffron Thistle
Asteraceae	*Centaurea calcitrapa**	Star Thistle
Chenopodiaceae	*Chenopodium album**	Fat Hen
Asteraceae	*Cirsium vulgare**	Spear Thistle
Asteraceae	*Conyza sumatrensis**	Tall Fleabane

Family	Scientific name	Common name
Apiaceae	*Cyclospermum leptophyllum**	Slender Celery
Poaceae	*Cynodon dactylon*	Common Couch
Cyperaceae	*Cyperus eragrostis**	Umbrella Sedge
Poaceae	*Echinochloa crus-galli**	Barnyard Grass
Boraginaceae	*Echium plantagineum**	Paterson's Curse
Poaceae	*Ehrharta erecta**	Panic Veldtgrass
Chenopodiaceae	*Einadia polygonoides*	-
Apiaceae	*Foeniculum vulgare**	Fennel
Poaceae	*Hyparrhenia hirta**	Coolatai Grass
Juncaceae	*Juncus usitatus*	Common Rush
Poaceae	*Lachnagrostis filiformis*	Blown Grass
Asteraceae	*Lactuca serriola**	Prickly Lettuce
Brassicaceae	*Lepidium africanum*	Common Peppercress
Poaceae	*Lolium perrenne**	Perennial Ryegrass
Malvaceae	*Malva parviflora**	Small-flowered Mallow
Malvaceae	*Malvastrum americanum**	Spiked Malvastrum
Faboideae	*Medicago sativa**	Lucerne
Poaceae	*Microlaena stipoides* var. *stipoides*	Weeping Rice Grass
Malvaceae	*Modiola caroliniana**	Red-flowered Mallow
Alliaceae	*Nothoscordum borbonicum**	Onion Weed
Poaceae	*Notodanthonia spp.*	-
Poaceae	*Paspalum dilatatum**	Paspalum
Poaceae	*Phalaris aquatica**	Phalaris
Plantaginaceae	*Plantago lanceolata**	Ribwort
Polygonaceae	*Polygonum aviculare**	Wire Weed
Lobeliaceae	*Pratia concolor*	Poison Pratia
Brassicaceae	*Rapistrum rugosum**	Turnip Weed
Brassicaceae	*Rorippa palustris**	Yellow Cress
Polygonaceae	*Rumex crispus**	Curled Dock
Malvaceae	*Sida corrugata*	Corrugated Sida
Malvaceae	*Sida rhombifolia**	Paddy's Lucerne
Asteraceae	*Silybum marianum**	Variegated Thistle
Brassicaceae	*Sisymbrium irio**	London Rocket
Asteraceae	*Sonchus asper* subsp. *asper**	Prickly Sowthistle
Asteraceae	*Sonchus oleraceus**	Common Sow-thistle
Asteraceae	*Tragopogon porrifolius* subsp. *porrifolius**	Salsify
Faboideae	*Trifolium repens**	White Clover
Poaceae	*Triticum aestivum**	Wheat
Verbenaceae	*Verbena bonariensis**	Purpletop
Verbenaceae	*Verbena x brasiliensis**	Gin Case
Campanulaceae	*Wahlenbergia communis*	Tufted Bluebell
Waterplants		
Juncaceae	*Juncus effusus**	-

Family	Scientific name	Common name
Cyperaceae	*Bolboschoenus medianus*	a Clubrush
Typhaceae	*Typha orientalis*	Cumbungi
VINES		
Fabaceae	*Vicia sativa subsp. sativa**	Common Vetch
* denotes exotic species		

It should be noted that not all garden, cultivar or landscape species have been identified as part of this assessment.

Appendix 2
Threatened Flora and Fauna Species Habitat Assessment

Table A2.1 – Threatened flora species habitat assessment

Scientific name DATABASE SOURCE1	***BC Act***	***EPBC Act***	**Growth form and habitat requirements** ***Distribution limit***	**Recorded on site (✓)**	**If not recorded on site**				**Required assessment of significance test (✓) (at DA stage)**
					Suitable habitat present (✓)	**Nearby and / or high number of record(s) (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Record(s) from recent years (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Potential to occur**	
Cadellia pentastylis EPBC	V	V	Occurs along the western edge of the North West Slopes from north of Gunnedah to west of Tenterfield. Occurrs within vine thickets and dry rainforest. There appears to be a strong correlation between the presence of Ooline and low- to medium-nutrient soils of sandy clay or clayey consistencies, with a typical soil profile having a sandy loam surface layer, grading from a light clay to a medium clay with depth.	x	x	-	-	x	x
Callistemon pungens EPBC	-	V	Habitats range from riparian areas dominated by *Casuarina cunninghamiana* subsp. *cunninghamiana* to woodland and rocky shrubland. Often in rocky watercourses, usually with sandy granite (occasionally basalt) creek beds. Flowers over spring and summer, mostly in November.	x	Sub-optimal	x	x	x	x
Dichanthium setosum OEH EPBC	V	V	An erect perennial grass to <1m high. Flowers in summer. Grows in woodland and is associated with heavy basaltic black soils and stony red-brown hard-setting loam with clay subsoil. *Known chiefly on the northern tablelands in the Saumarez area, west of Armidale, and 18-30 km east of Guyra. It is more rarely found on the north-western slopes, central western slopes and north-western plains of NSW*	x	Sub-optimal	3 km E. (4 records within 10km)	2003	Very Low	✓

Scientific name DATABASE SOURCE1	***BC Act***	***EPBC Act***	**Growth form and habitat requirements** ***Distribution limit***	**Recorded on site (✓)**	**If not recorded on site**				**Required assessment of significance test (✓) (at DA stage)**
					Suitable habitat present (✓)	**Nearby and / or high number of record(s) (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Record(s) from recent years (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Potential to occur**	
Eucalyptus nicholii OEH	V	-	This species is widely planted as an urban street tree and in gardens but is quite rare in the wild. *It is confined to the New England Tablelands of NSW, where it occurs from Nundle to north of Tenterfield, largely on private property.*	x	x	-	-	x	x
Euphrasia arguta EPBC	CE	CE	An annual herb to 35cm tall, flowers October to January. Grows in grassy areas near rivers. *Recorded from Bathurst to Walcha area.* Considered extinct until recent rediscovery in 2008 near Nundle.	x	x	-	-	x	x
Picris evae EPBC	V	V	Its main habitat is open Eucalypt forest including a canopy of *Eucalyptus melliodora, E. crebra, E. populnea, E. albens, Angophora subvelutina, Allocasuarina torulosa*, and/or *Casuarina cunninghamiana* with a *Dichanthium* grassy understory. Soils are black, dark grey or red-brown (specified as shallow, stony soil over basalt for one collection) and reddish clay-loam or medium clay soils. The flowering and fruiting period is mainly October to January, with a few plants collected in flower or fruit until May. Known in NSW north from the Inverell area, in the north-western slopes and plains regions. It has been collected from Elsmore and Myall Creek (both near Inverell) as well as in Inverell, Oxley Park (Tamworth) and also from Dangar Falls	x	x	-	-	x	x

Scientific name DATABASE SOURCE1	***BC Act***	***EPBC Act***	**Growth form and habitat requirements** ***Distribution limit***	**Recorded on site (✓)**	**If not recorded on site**				**Required assessment of significance test (✓) (at DA stage)**
					Suitable habitat present (✓)	**Nearby and / or high number of record(s) (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Record(s) from recent years (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Potential to occur**	
Prasophyllum sp. Wybong EPBC	-	CE	Known from near Ilford, Premer, Muswellbrook, Wybong, Yeoval, Inverell, Tenterfield, Currabubula and the Pilliga areas. A perennial orchid, appearing as a single leaf over winter and spring. Flowers in spring and dies back to a dormant tuber over summer and autumn. Known to occur in open eucalypt woodland and grassland	x	x	-	-	x	x
Syzygium paniculatum OEH EPBC	V	V	Small tree. Subtropical and littoral rainforest on sandy soil. *Distribution limits N-Forster S-Jervis Bay.*	x	x	-	-	x	x
Thesium australe EPBC	V	V	Erect herb to 0.4m high. Root parasite. Themeda grassland or woodland often damp. *Distribution limits N-Tweed Heads S-south of Eden.*	x	x	-	-	x	x
Tylophora linearis EPBC	V	E	Grows in dry scrub and open forest. Recorded from low-altitude sedimentary flats in dry woodlands of *Eucalyptus fibrosa, Eucalyptus sideroxylon, Eucalyptus albens, Callitris endlicheri, Callitris glaucophylla* and *Allocasuarina luehmannii*. Also grows in association with *Acacia hakeoides, Acacia lineata, Melaleuca uncinata, Myoporum* species and *Casuarina* species. Flowers in spring, with flowers recorded in November or May with fruiting probably 2 to 3 months later.	x	x	-	-	x	x

OEH	- Denotes species listed within 10km of the subject site on the *Atlas of NSW Wildlife*
EPBC	- Denotes species listed within 10km of the subject site in the *EPBC Act* habitat search
V	- Denotes vulnerable listed species under the relevant Act

Scientific name DATABASE SOURCE1	***BC Act***	***EPBC Act***	**Growth form and habitat requirements** ***Distribution limit***	**Recorded on site (✓)**	**If not recorded on site**				**Required assessment of significance test (✓) (at DA stage)**
					Suitable habitat present (✓)	**Nearby and / or high number of record(s) (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Record(s) from recent years (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Potential to occur**	
E or E1	- Denotes endangered listed species under the relevant Act								
E4a or CE	- Denotes critically endangered listed species under the relevant Act								
NOTE:	1. This field is not considered if no suitable habitat is present within the subject site 2. 'records' refer to those provided by the *Atlas of NSW Wildlife* 3. 'nearby' or 'recent' records are species specific accounting for home range, dispersal ability and life cycle								

.

Table A2.2 – Threatened fauna species habitat assessment

Common name ***Scientific name*** Database source	***BC Act***	***EPBC Act***	**Preferred habitat** ***Distribution limit***	**Recorded on site (✓)**	**If not recorded on site**				**Required assessment of significance test (✓) (at DA stage)**
					Suitable habitat present (✓)	**Nearby and/or high number of record(s) (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Record(s) from recent years (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Potential to occur**	
Booroolong Frog *Litoria booroolongensis* EPBC	E	E	Found near rocky mountain streams on the slopes and tablelands of the Great Dividing Range. *Distribution limit: QLD border to VIC border restricted to highlands.*	x	x	-	-	x	x
Bell's Turtle *Wollumbinia belli* EPBC	E	V	Shallow to deep pools in upper reaches or small tributaries of major rivers in granite country. Occupied pools are most commonly less than 3 m deep with rocky or sandy bottoms and patches of vegetation. Most typically uses narrow stretches of rivers 30 - 40 m wide. Most surrounding habitat has been converted to grazing land. *Distribution limit: four disjunct populations in the upper reaches of the Namoi, Gwydir and Border Rivers systems, on the escarpment of the North West Slopes.*	x	x	-	-	x	x
Pink-tailed Legless Lizard *Aprasia parapulchella* EPBC	V	V	Inhabits open areas of native grasses and rocky outcrops and scattered rocks. *Distribution from Central tablelands, Southern tablelands, Central Western Slopes, and South West Slopes.*	x	x	-	-	x	x

Common name ***Scientific name*** Database source	***BC Act***	***EPBC Act***	**Preferred habitat** ***Distribution limit***	**Recorded on site (✓)**	**If not recorded on site**				**Required assessment of significance test (✓) (at DA stage)**
					Suitable habitat present (✓)	**Nearby and/or high number of record(s) (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Record(s) from recent years (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Potential to occur**	
Border Thick-tailed Gecko *Uvidicolus sphyrurus* OEH EPBC	V	V	Occurs on steep rocky or scree slopes, especially granite. Recent records from basalt and metasediment slopes and flats indicate its habitat selection may have extended into areas that were cleared for agriculture. Favours forest and woodland areas with boulders, rock slabs, fallen timber and deep leaf litter. Occupied sites often have a dense tree canopy that helps create a sparse understorey. Active at night and shelter by day under rock slabs, in or under logs, and under the bark of standing trees. *Distribution limit: West of the ranges from New England Tableland to Liverpool Range and west to Moree.*	x	x	-	-	x	x
Australasian Bittern *Botaurus poiciloptilus* EPBC	E	E	Found in or over water of shallow freshwater or brackish wetlands with tall reed beds, sedges, rushes, Cumbungi, lignum and also in rice fields, drains in tussocky paddocks, occasionally saltmarsh, brackish wetlands. *Distribution limit: N-North of Lismore. S- Eden.*	x	x	-	-	x	x
Little Eagle *Hieraaetus morphnoides* OEH	V	-	Utilises plains, foothills, open forests, woodlands and scrublands; river red gums on watercourses and lakes. *Distribution limit - N-Tweed Heads. S-South of Eden.*	x	✓	5.5km NE (1 record within 10km)	1999	Not Likely	x

Common name ***Scientific name*** Database source	***BC Act***	***EPBC Act***	**Preferred habitat** ***Distribution limit***	**Recorded on site (✓)**	**If not recorded on site**				**Required assessment of significance test (✓) (at DA stage)**
					Suitable habitat present (✓)	**Nearby and/or high number of record(s) (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Record(s) from recent years (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Potential to occur**	
Square-tailed Kite *Lophoictinia isura* OEH	V	-	Utilises mostly coastal and sub-coastal open forest, woodland or lightly timbered habitats and inland habitats along watercourses and mallee that are rich in passerine birds. *Distribution limit: N-Goondiwindi. S-South of Eden.*	x	Sub-optimal	4.5 km SE (1 record within 10km)	2013	Unlikely	✓
Red Goshawk *Erythrotriorchis radiatus* EPBC	E	V	Inhabits tall open forests and woodlands. Breeds in tall trees adjacent to watercourses of wetlands. *Distribution limit: N-Border Ranges National Park. S-Foster.*	x	x	-	-	x	x
Black Falcon *Falco subniger* OEH	V	-	Inhabits plains, grasslands, foothills, timbered watercourses, wetland environs, crops; occasionally over towns and cities. *N-Tweed Heads. S-South of Eden*	x	✓	4 km ESE (4 records within 10km)	3013	Unlikely	✓
Australian Painted Snipe *Rostratula australis* EPBC	E	E	Most numerous within the Murray-Darling basin and inland Australia within marshes and freshwater wetlands with swampy vegetation. *Distribution limit: N-Tweed Heads. S-South of Eden.*	x	x	-	-	x	x
Curlew Sandpiper *Callidris ferruginea* EPBC	E	CE	Mainly coastal, but many inland feeding along tidal mudflats, salt marsh, salt fields, fresh, brackish or saline wetlands and sewerage ponds. *Distribution limit: N-Tweed Heads. S-South of Eden.*	x	x	-	-	x	x

Common name ***Scientific name*** Database source	***BC Act***	***EPBC Act***	**Preferred habitat** ***Distribution limit***	**Recorded on site (✓)**	**If not recorded on site**				**Required assessment of significance test (✓) (at DA stage)**
					Suitable habitat present (✓)	**Nearby and/or high number of record(s) (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Record(s) from recent years (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Potential to occur**	
Little Lorikeet *Glossopsitta pusilla* OEH	V	-	Inhabits forests, woodlands; large trees in open country; timbered watercourses, shelterbeds, and street trees. *Distribution limit: N-Tweed Heads. S-South of Eden*.	x	✓	3 km N (1 record within 10km)	2004	Unlikely	✓
Swift Parrot *Lathamus discolor* OEH EPBC	E	E	Inhabits eucalypt forests and woodlands with winter flowering eucalypts. *Distribution limit: N-Border Ranges National Park. S-South of Eden*.	x	✓	6 km E (2 records within 10km)	2002	Low	✓
Turquoise Parrot *Neophema pulchella* OEH	V	-	Inhabits coastal scrubland, open forest and timbered grassland, especially ecotones between dry hardwood forests and grasslands. *Distribution limit: N-Near Tenterfield. S-South of Eden.*	x	Sub-optimal	4 km NE (6 records within 10km)	1999	Unlikely	✓
Brown Treecreeper *Climacteris picumnus victoriae* OEH	V	-	Occupies eucalypt woodlands, open woodland lacking a dense understorey with fallen dead timber. *Distribution limit: (Sub species victoriae) Central NSW west of Great Div. Cumberland Plains, Hunter Valley, Richmond, Clarence, and Snowy River Valleys.*	x	x	-	-	x	x
Speckled Warbler *Chthonicola sagittata* OEH	V	-	Found in temperate eucalypt woodland and open forest including forest edges, wooded farmland and urban areas with mature eucalypts. *Distribution limit: N-Urbanville. S-Eden.*	x	Sub-optimal	11 km NE (2 records within 10km)	2005	Unlikely	✓

Common name ***Scientific name*** Database source	***BC Act***	***EPBC Act***	**Preferred habitat** ***Distribution limit***	**Recorded on site (✓)**	**If not recorded on site**				**Required assessment of significance test (✓) (at DA stage)**
					Suitable habitat present (✓)	**Nearby and/or high number of record(s) (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Record(s) from recent years (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Potential to occur**	
Regent Honeyeater *Xanthomyza Phrygia* EPBC	E4A	CE	Found in temperate eucalypt woodland and open forest including forest edges, wooded farmland and urban areas with mature eucalypts. *Distribution limit: N-Urbanville. S-Eden.*	x	x	-	-	x	x
Painted Honeyeater *Grantiella picta* EPBC	V	V	A nomadic bird occurring in low densities within open forest, woodland and scrubland feeding on mistletoe fruits. Inhabits primarily Boree, Brigalow and Box-Gum Woodlands and Box-Ironbark Forests. *Distribution limit: N-Boggabilla. S-Albury with greatest occurrences on the inland slopes of the Great Dividing Range.*	x	x	-	-	x	x
Dusky Woodswallow *Artamus cyanopterus cyanopterus* OEH	V	-	Found in woodlands and dry open sclerophyll forests, usually dominated by eucalypts, including mallee associations. It has also been recorded in shrublands and heathlands and various modified habitats, including regenerating forests; very occasionally in moist forests or rainforests. Prefers habitat with an open understorey. Often observed in farmland tree patches or roadside remnants. *Widespread in eastern, southern and south-western Australia.*	x	✓	3 km N (1 record within 10km)	2004	Unlikely	✓
Diamond Firetail *Stagonopleura guttata* OEH	V	-	Found in eucalypt woodlands, forests and mallee where there is grassy understorey west of the Great Div. also drier coastal woodlands of the Cumberland Plain and Hunter Richmond and Clarence River Valleys. *Distribution limit: N-Rockhampton Q. S-Eyre Pen Kangaroo Is. SA.*	x	✓	3 km N (4 records within 10km)	2004	Unlikely	✓

Common name ***Scientific name*** Database source	***BC Act***	***EPBC Act***	**Preferred habitat** ***Distribution limit***	**Recorded on site (✓)**	**If not recorded on site**				**Required assessment of significance test (✓) (at DA stage)**
					Suitable habitat present (✓)	**Nearby and/or high number of record(s) (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Record(s) from recent years (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Potential to occur**	
Spotted-tailed Quoll *Dasyurus maculatus* OEH EPBC	V	E	Dry and moist open forests containing rock caves, hollow logs or trees. *Distribution limit: N-Mt Warning National Park. S-South of Eden.*	x	x	-	-	x	x
Koala *Phascolarctos cinereus* OEH EPBC	V	V	Inhabits both wet and dry eucalypt forest on high nutrient soils containing preferred feed trees. *Distribution limit: N-Tweed Heads. S-South of Eden.*	x	Sub-optimal	2km E (2 records within 10km)	2006	Unlikely	✓
Squirrel Glider *Petaurus norfolcensis* OEH	V	-	Mixed aged stands of eucalypt forest & woodlands including gum barked & high nectar producing species & hollow bearing trees. *Distribution limit: N-Tweed Heads. S-Albury.*	x	x	-	-	x	x
Greater Glider *Petauroides volans* EPBC	-	V	Favours forests with a diversity of eucalypt species, due to seasonal variation in its preferred tree species. Population density is optimal at elevation levels at 845 m above sea level. Prefer overstorey basal areas in old-growth tree stands. Highest abundance typically in taller, montane, moist eucalypt forests, with relatively old trees and abundant hollows *Distribution limit: N-Border Ranges National Park. S- South of Eden.*	x	x	-	-	x	x

Common name ***Scientific name*** Database source	***BC Act***	***EPBC Act***	**Preferred habitat** ***Distribution limit***	**Recorded on site (✓)**	**If not recorded on site**				**Required assessment of significance test (✓) (at DA stage)**
					Suitable habitat present (✓)	**Nearby and/or high number of record(s) (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Record(s) from recent years (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Potential to occur**	
Brush-tailed Rock-wallaby *Petrogale penicillata* EPBC	E	V	Found in rocky gorges with a vegetation of rainforest or open forests to isolated rocky outcrops in semi-arid woodland country. *Distribution limit: N-North of Tenterfield. S-Bombala.*	x	x	-	-	x	x
Grey-headed Flying-fox *Pteropus poliocephalus* OEH EPBC	V	V	Found in a variety of habitats including rainforest, mangroves, paperbark swamp, wet and dry open forest and cultivated areas. Forms camps commonly found in gullies and in vegetation with a dense canopy. *Distribution limit: N-Tweed Heads. S-Eden.*	x	Foraging only	6 km ESE (1 record within 10km)	2015	Low	✓
Yellow-bellied Sheathtail-bat *Saccolaimus flaviventris* OEH	V	-	Rainforests, sclerophyll forests and woodlands. *Distribution limit: N-North of Walgett. S-Sydney.*	x	x	-	-	x	x
East-coast Freetail Bat *Micronomus norfolkensis* OEH	V	-	Inhabits open forests and woodlands foraging above the canopy and along the edge of forests. Roosts in tree hollows, under bark and buildings. *Distribution limit: N-Woodenbong. S-Pambula.*	x	✓	5.5 km NE (1 record within 10km)	1999	Not likely	x

Common name ***Scientific name*** Database source	***BC Act***	***EPBC Act***	**Preferred habitat** ***Distribution limit***	**Recorded on site (✓)**	**If not recorded on site**				**Required assessment of significance test (✓) (at DA stage)**
					Suitable habitat present (✓)	**Nearby and/or high number of record(s) (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Record(s) from recent years (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Potential to occur**	
Large-eared Pied Bat *Chalinolobus dwyeri* OEH EPBC	V	V	Warm-temperate to subtropical dry sclerophyll forest and woodland. Roosts in caves, tunnels and tree hollows in colonies of up to 30 animals. *Distribution limit: N-Border Ranges National Park. S-Wollongong.*	x	✓	4.5 km NE (1 record within 10km)	1999	Not likely	x
Eastern Falsistrelle *Falsistrellus tasmaniensis* OEH	V	-	Recorded roosting in caves, old buildings and tree hollows. *Distribution limit: N-Border Ranges National Park. S-Pambula.*	x	✓	7 km WNW (1 record within 10km)	1995	Not likely	x
Eastern Bentwing-bat *Miniopterus orianae oceanensis* OEH	V	-	Prefers areas where there are caves, old mines, old buildings, stormwater drains and well-timbered areas. *Distribution limit: N-Border Ranges National Park. S-South of Eden.*	x	✓	3 km N (3 records within 10km)	2004	Low	✓

Common name ***Scientific name*** Database source	***BC Act***	***EPBC Act***	**Preferred habitat** ***Distribution limit***	**Recorded on site (✓)**	**If not recorded on site**				**Required assessment of significance test (✓) (at DA stage)**
					Suitable habitat present (✓)	**Nearby and/or high number of record(s) (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Record(s) from recent years (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Potential to occur**	
Corbens Long-eared Bat *Nyctophilus corbeni* EPBC	V	V	Inhabits a variety of vegetation types, including mallee, bulloke *Allocasuarina luehmannii* and box eucalypt dominated communities, but it is distinctly more common in box/ironbark/cypress-pine vegetation that occurs in a north-south belt along the western slopes and plains of NSW and southern Queensland. Roosts in tree hollows, crevices, and under loose bark. Slow flying agile bat, utilising the understorey to hunt non-flying prey - especially caterpillars and beetles - and will even hunt on the ground. *Distribution limit: Central NSW west of the ranges.*	x	x	-	-	x	x
Murray Cod *Maccullochella peelii* EPBC	-	V	Utilises a diverse range of habitats from clear rocky streams, such as those found in the upper western slopes of NSW (including the ACT), to slow-flowing, turbid lowland rivers and billabongs. Preferred microhabitat consists of complex structural features in streams such as large rocks, snags (pieces of large submerged woody debris), overhanging stream banks and vegetation, tree stumps, logs, branches and other woody structures. *Distribution limit:* throughout the Murray-Darling Basin	x	x	-	-	x	x

OEH	Denotes species listed within 10km of the subject site on the *Atlas of NSW Wildlife*
EPBC	Denotes species listed within 10km of the subject site in the *EPBC Act* habitat search
V	Denotes vulnerable listed species under the relevant Act
E or E1	Denotes endangered listed species under the relevant Act
E4a or CE	Denotes critically endangered listed species under the relevant Act

Common name ***Scientific name*** Database source	***BC Act***	***EPBC Act***	**Preferred habitat** ***Distribution limit***	**Recorded on site (✓)**	**If not recorded on site**				**Required assessment of significance test (✓) (at DA stage)**
					Suitable habitat present (✓)	**Nearby and/or high number of record(s) (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Record(s) from recent years (✓)** *Notes 1,2 & 3*	**Potential to occur**	
NOTE:	1. This field is not considered if no suitable habitat is present within the subject site 2. 'records' refer to those provided by the *Atlas of NSW Wildlife* 3. 'nearby' or 'recent' records are species specific accounting for home range, dispersal ability and life cycle								
Unlikely	Represents such a low margin but not enough to 100% rule it one. A significance of impact test is required.								
Not likely	Means 0% change of occurring, despite there being potential habitat. A significance of impact test is not applied to these species.								

Table A2.3 provides an assessment of potential habitat within the study area for nationally *protected* migratory fauna species recorded within 10km on the *EPBC Act* Protected Matters Tool. Nationally *threatened* migratory species are considered in Table A2.3.

Table A2.3 – Migratory fauna habitat assessment

Common name ***Scientific name***	**Preferred habitat** ***Migratory breeding***	**Suitable habitat present (✓)**	**Comments on potential impacts**
Osprey *Pandion cristatus*	Utilises waterbodies including coastal waters, inlets, lakes, estuaries and offshore islands with a dead tree for perching and feeding. *Distribution Limit: N-Tweed Heads. S-South of Eden.*	×	-
White-throated Needletail (*Hirundapus caudacutus*)	Airspace over forests, woodlands, farmlands, plains, lakes, coasts, towns; companies forage often along favoured hilltops and timbered ranges. *Breeds Siberia, Himalayas, east to Japan. Summer migrant to eastern Australia.*	✓	No likely significant impact
Yellow Wagtail (*Motacilla flava*)	The yellow wagtail typically forages in damp grassland and on relatively bare open ground at edges of rivers, lakes and wetlands, but also feeds in dry grassland and in fields of cereal crops.	✓	No likely significant impact
Satin Flycatcher (*Myiagra cyanoleuca*)	Heavily vegetated gullies in forests, taller woodlands, usually above shrub-layer; during migration, coastal forests, woodlands, mangroves, trees in open country, gardens. *Breeds mostly south east Australia and Tasmania over warmer months, winters in north east Qld.*	×	-
Rufous Fantail (*Rhipidura rufifrons*)	Undergrowth of rainforests / wetter eucalypt forests / gullies; monsoon forests, paperbarks, sub-inland and coastal scrubs; mangroves, watercourses; parks, gardens. On migration, farms, streets buildings. *Breeding migrant to south east Australia over warmer months. Altitudinal migrant in north east NSW in mountain forests during warmer months.*	✓	No likely significant impact
Fork-tailed Swift (*Apus pacificus*)	Aerial: over open country, from semi-arid deserts to coasts, islands; sometimes over forests, cities. *Breeds Siberia, Himalayas, east to Japan south east Asia. Summer migrant to east Australia. Mass movements associated with late summer low pressure systems into east Australia. Otherwise uncommon.*	✓	No likely significant impact

7.5 Civil and services plan



MGA

NOTE:

- THE EXISTING BOUNDARIES AS SHOWN HEREON ARE SUBJECT TO A FULL CADASTRAL BOUNDARY SURVEY.
- THE POSITIONS OF EXISTING SERVICES SHOWN HEREON ARE DIGITISED FROM COUNCIL RECORDS.

(A) EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 WIDE (R261250 & DP260129)
(B) EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 WIDE (R157473 & DP260129)
(C) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 5 WIDE (DP636336)
(D) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 5 WIDE (DP711449)
(F) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 2 WIDE (DP265817)
(I) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 6 WIDE (AM108222)
(K) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 3 WIDE (DP711449)

LEGEND

———	EXISTING BOUNDARIES
—— Ex. W ——	EXISTING U/G WATER MAIN - APPROX. ONLY
SV	EXISTING STOP VALVE - APPROX. ONLY
HYD	EXISTING HYDRANT - APPROX. ONLY
—— Ex. SW ——	EXISTING U/G STORMWATER PIPE - APPROX. ONLY
■	EXISTING STORMWATER PIT - APPROX. ONLY
—— Ex. S ——	EXISTING SEWER MAIN - APPROX. ONLY
●	EXISTING SEWER MANHOLE - APPROX. ONLY

SCALE 1:2000(A1)

0 20 40 80 120 160 200

SCALE 1:4000(A3)

0 20 40 80 120 160 200

DIAL BEFORE YOU DIG
www.1100.com.au

EXISTING SITE PLAN - SOLAR

REDUCTION RATIO 1:2000 @ A1
1:4000 @ A3

ISSUED FOR APPROVAL

barnson
DESIGN . PLAN . MANAGE

BARNSON PTY LTD
t 1300 BARNSON (1300 227 676)
e generalenquiry@barnson.com.au
w www.barnson.com.au
Bathurst | Dubbo | Mudgee | Sydney | Tamworth

THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH GENERAL BUILDING DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS & OTHER CONSULTANTS DRAWINGS APPLICABLE TO THIS PROJECT. ALL DIMENSIONS IN MILLIMETRES. DO NOT SCALE. DIMENSIONS TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BEFORE COMMENCEMENT OF WORK. REPORT DISCREPANCIES TO BARNSON PTY LTD. NO PART OF THIS DRAWING MAY BE REPRODUCED IN ANY WAY WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF BARNSON PTY LTD.

Client: ELTON CONSULTING
Project: PROPOSED SOLAR FARM,
Lots 1, 2 & 3 in DP 1234850
55 DAMPIER STREET, TAMINDA, NSW 2350
Drawing Title: EXISTING SITE PLAN

Rev	Date	Amendment
A	17.12.2018	ISSUED FOR REVIEW

Design LM
Drawn LM
Check RJN
Original Sheet Size = A1
Certification
Drawing Number 29433-CD01
Revision A



PROPOSED SITE PLAN - SOLAR

REDUCTION RATIO 1:2000 @ A1
1:4000 @ A3

MGA

N

NOTE:

- THE EXISTING BOUNDARIES AS SHOWN HEREON ARE SUBJECT TO A FULL CADASTRAL BOUNDARY SURVEY.
- THE POSITIONS OF EXISTING SERVICES SHOWN HEREON ARE DIGITISED FROM COUNCIL RECORDS.

(A) EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 WIDE (R261250 & DP260129)
(B) EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 WIDE (R157473 & DP260129)
(C) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 5 WIDE (DP636336)
(D) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 5 WIDE (DP711449)
(F) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 2 WIDE (DP265817)
(I) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 6 WIDE (AM108222)
(K) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 3 WIDE (DP711449)

LEGEND

———	EXISTING BOUNDARIES
Ex. W	EXISTING U/G WATER MAIN - APPROX. ONLY
SV	EXISTING STOP VALVE - APPROX. ONLY
HYD	EXISTING HYDRANT - APPROX. ONLY
Ex. SW	EXISTING U/G STORMWATER PIPE - APPROX. ONLY
■	EXISTING STORMWATER PIT - APPROX. ONLY
Ex. S	EXISTING SEWER MAIN - APPROX. ONLY
●	EXISTING SEWER MANHOLE - APPROX. ONLY

SCALE 1:2000(A1)

0 20 40 80 120 160 200

SCALE 1:4000(A3)

0 20 40 80 120 160 200

DIAL BEFORE YOU DIG
www.1100.com.au

SITEWORKS NOTES

1. ORIGIN OF LEVELS :- AHD.
2. CONTRACTOR MUST VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND EXISTING LEVELS ON SITE PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.
3. ALL WORK IS TO BE UNDERTAKEN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE DETAILS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS, THE SPECIFICATIONS AND THE DIRECTIONS OF THE SUPERINTENDENT.
4. EXISTING SERVICES HAVE BEEN OBTAINED FROM SURFACE INSPECTION ONLY. IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO ESTABLISH THE LOCATION AND LEVEL OF ALL EXISTING SERVICES PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY WORK. ANY DISCREPANCIES SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE SUPER-INTENDENT. CLEARANCES SHALL BE OBTAINED FROM THE RELEVANT SERVICE AUTHORITY.
5. WHERE NEW WORKS ABUT EXISTING THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ENSURE THAT A SMOOTH EVEN PROFILE, FREE FROM ABRUPT CHANGES IS OBTAINED.
6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ARRANGE ALL SURVEY SETOUT TO BE CARRIED OUT BY A QUALIFIED SURVEYOR.
7. CARE IS TO BE TAKEN WHEN EXCAVATING NEAR EXISTING SERVICES. NO MECHANICAL EXCAVATIONS ARE TO BE UNDERTAKEN OVER TELECOM OR ELECTRICAL SERVICES. HAND EXCAVATE IN THESE AREAS.
8. ON COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION, ALL DISTURBED AREAS MUST BE RESTORED TO ORIGINAL, INCLUDING KERBS, FOOTPATHS, CONCRETE AREAS, GRAVEL AND GRASSED AREAS AND ROAD PAVEMENTS.
9. MAKE SMOOTH TRANSITION TO EXISTING SURFACES.
10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL TEMPORARY DIVERSION DRAINS AND MOUNDS TO ENSURE THAT AT ALL TIMES EXPOSED SURFACES ARE FREE DRAINING AND WHERE NECESSARY EXCAVATE SUMPS AND PROVIDE PUMPING EQUIPMENT TO DRAIN EXPOSED AREAS. ALL WORK TO BE UNDERTAKEN WITH ADHERENCE TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SOIL AND WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN.
11. THESE PLANS SHALL BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH APPROVED ARCHITECTURAL, STRUCTURAL, HYDRAULIC AND MECHANICAL DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS.

SUBGRADE COMPACTION NOTES

1. STRIP TOPSOIL TO EXPOSE NATURALLY OCCURRING MATERIAL.
2. WHERE FILLING IS REQUIRED TO ACHIEVE DESIGN SUBGRADE PROOF ROLL EXPOSED NATURAL SURFACE WITH A MINIMUM OF TEN PASSES OF A VIBRATING ROLLER (MINIMUM STATIC WEIGHT OF 10 TONNES) IN THE PRESENCE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT.
3. ALL SOFT, WET OR UNSUITABLE MATERIAL TO BE REMOVED AS DIRECTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT AND REPLACED WITH APPROVED MATERIAL SATISFYING THE REQUIREMENTS LISTED BELOW.
4. ALL FILL MATERIAL SHALL BE FROM A SOURCE APPROVED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT AND SHALL COMPLY WITH THE FOLLOWING :
   a) FREE FROM ORGANIC AND PERISHABLE MATTER
   b) MAXIMUM PARTICLE SIZE 75mm
   c) PLASTICITY INDEX BETWEEN 2% AND 15%.
5. ALL FILL MATERIAL SHALL BE PLACED IN MAXIMUM 200mm THICK LAYERS AND COMPACTED AT OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT (+ OR - 2%) TO ACHIEVE A DRY DENSITY DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS 1289 E3.1 OF NOT LESS THAN THE FOLLOWING STANDARD MINIMUM DRY DENSITIES IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS 1289 E1.1:

LOCATION	STANDARD DRY DENSITY
ROADS	100% STD MDD

6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROGRAMME THE EARTHWORKS OPERATION SO THAT THE WORKING AREAS ARE ADEQUATELY DRAINED DURING THE PERIOD OF CONSTRUCTION. THE SURFACE SHALL BE GRADED AND SEALED OFF TO REMOVE DEPRESSIONS, ROLLER MARKS AND SIMILAR WHICH WOULD ALLOW WATER TO POND AND PENETRATE THE UNDERLYING MATERIAL. ANY DAMAGE RESULTING FROM THE CONTRACTOR NOT OBSERVING THESE REQUIREMENTS SHALL BE RECTIFIED BY THE CONTRACTOR AT THEIR COST.

ROADWORKS NOTES

1 ALL BASECOURSE AND SUB-BASECOURSE MATERIALS SHALL BE PROVIDED BY UCML.

2. ALL BASECOURSE AND SUB-BASE MATERIALS SHALL BE COMPACTED TO ACHIEVE A MINIMUM OF 100% STANDARD MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY AT OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT OF +OR- 2% IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS1289 E1.1

PAVEMENT DESIGN NOTES

1 THE PAVEMENTS DESIGNS AS SPECIFIED BELOW IS INDICTAIVE ONLY, SUBJECT TO CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE.



PAVEMENT SECTION - SOUTHERN ACCESS ROAD
SCALE 1:10 (A1), 1:20 (A3)



ACCESS ROADS - TYPICAL SECTION
SCALE 1:50 (A1), 1:100 (A3)

ISSUED FOR APPROVAL

barnson
DESIGN. PLAN. MANAGE

BARNSON PTY LTD
t 1300 BARNSON (1300 227 676)
e generalenquiry@barnson.com.au
w www.barnson.com.au
Bathurst | Dubbo | Mudgee | Sydney | Tamworth

THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH GENERAL BUILDING DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS & OTHER CONSULTANTS DRAWINGS APPLICABLE TO THIS PROJECT. ALL DIMENSIONS IN MILLIMETRES. DO NOT SCALE. DIMENSIONS TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BEFORE COMMENCEMENT OF WORK. REPORT DISCREPANCIES TO BARNSON PTY LTD. NO PART OF THIS DRAWING MAY BE REPRODUCED IN ANY WAY WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF BARNSON PTY LTD.

Client: ELTON CONSULTING
Project: PROPOSED SOLAR FARM,
Lots 1, 2 & 3 in DP 1234850
55 DAMPIER STREET, TAMINDA, NSW 2350
Drawing Title: PROPOSED SITE PLAN

Rev	Date	Amendment
A	17.12.2018	ISSUED FOR REVIEW

Design LM
Drawn LM
Check RJN
Original Sheet Size = A1
Certification
Drawing Number 29433-CD02
Revision A



EXISTING SITE PLAN

REDUCTION RATIO 1:1250 @ A1
1:2500 @ A3

MGA

N

NOTE:

- THE EXISTING BOUNDARIES AS SHOWN HEREON ARE SUBJECT TO A FULL CADASTRAL BOUNDARY SURVEY.
- THE POSITIONS OF EXISTING SERVICES SHOWN HEREON ARE DIGITISED FROM COUNCIL RECORDS.

(A) EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 WIDE (R261250 & DP260129)
(B) EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 WIDE (R157473 & DP260129)
(C) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 5 WIDE (DP636336)
(D) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 5 WIDE (DP711449)
(F) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 2 WIDE (DP265817)
(I) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 6 WIDE (AM108222)
(J) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 1.5 WIDE (DP711449)
(K) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 3 WIDE (DP711449)
(L) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 1.5 WIDE (DP260129)

SCALE 1:1250(A1)

0 25 50 75 100 125

0 25 50 75 100 125

SCALE 1:2500(A3)

LEGEND

———	EXISTING BOUNDARIES
Ex. W	EXISTING U/G WATER MAIN - APPROX. ONLY
SV	EXISTING STOP VALVE - APPROX. ONLY
HYD	EXISTING HYDRANT - APPROX. ONLY
Ex. SW	EXISTING U/G STORMWATER PIPE - APPROX. ONLY
■	EXISTING STORMWATER PIT - APPROX. ONLY
Ex. S	EXISTING SEWER MAIN - APPROX. ONLY
●	EXISTING SEWER MANHOLE - APPROX. ONLY

DIAL BEFORE YOU DIG
www.1100.com.au

ISSUED FOR APPROVAL

barnson
DESIGN . PLAN . MANAGE

BARNSON PTY LTD
t 1300 BARNSON (1300 227 676)
e generalenquiry@barnson.com.au
w www.barnson.com.au
Bathurst | Dubbo | Mudgee | Sydney | Tamworth

THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH GENERAL BUILDING DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS & OTHER CONSULTANTS DRAWINGS APPLICABLE TO THIS PROJECT. ALL DIMENSIONS IN MILLIMETRES. DO NOT SCALE. DIMENSIONS TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BEFORE COMMENCEMENT OF WORK. REPORT DISCREPANCIES TO BARNSON PTY LTD. NO PART OF THIS DRAWING MAY BE REPRODUCED IN ANY WAY WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF BARNSON PTY LTD.

Client: ELTON CONSULTING
Project: PROPOSED REZONING APPLICATION,
Lots 1, 2 & 3 in DP 1234850
55 DAMPIER STREET, TAMINDA, NSW 2350
Drawing Title: EXISTING SITE PLAN

Rev	Date	Amendment
A	17.12.2018	ISSUED FOR REVIEW

Design LM
Drawn LM
Check RJN
Original Sheet Size = A1
Certification
Drawing Number 29433-CD03
Revision A



PROPOSED SEWER PLAN

REDUCTION RATIO 1:1250 @ A1
1:2500 @ A3

MGA

NOTE:

- THE EXISTING BOUNDARIES AS SHOWN HEREON ARE SUBJECT TO A FULL CADASTRAL BOUNDARY SURVEY.
- THE POSITIONS OF EXISTING SERVICES SHOWN HEREON ARE DIGITISED FROM COUNCIL RECORDS.

(A) EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 WIDE (R261250 & DP260129)
(B) EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 WIDE (R157473 & DP260129)
(C) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 5 WIDE (DP636336)
(D) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 5 WIDE (DP711449)
(F) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 2 WIDE (DP265817)
(I) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 6 WIDE (AM108222)
(J) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 1.5 WIDE (DP711449)
(K) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 3 WIDE (DP711449)
(L) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 1.5 WIDE (DP260129)

SCALE 1:1250(A1)

0 25 50 75 100 125

0 25 50 75 100 125

SCALE 1:2500(A3)

LEGEND

- EXISTING BOUNDARIES
- Ex. S — EXISTING SEWER MAIN - APPROX. ONLY
- EXISTING SEWER MANHOLE - APPROX. ONLY
- Ex. W — EXISTING U/G WATER MAIN - APPROX. ONLY
- SV — EXISTING STOP VALVE - APPROX. ONLY
- HYD — EXISTING HYDRANT - APPROX. ONLY
- Ex. SW — EXISTING U/G STORMWATER PIPE - APPROX. ONLY
- EXISTING STORMWATER PIT - APPROX. ONLY
- S — S — PROPOSED SEWER MAIN - APPROX. ONLY
- PROPOSED SEWER MANHOLE - APPROX. ONLY
- AREA OF LAND FOR REZONING

DIAL BEFORE YOU DIG www.1100.com.au

NOTES

1. ALL SEWER MAINS SHALL BE 150Φ/225Φ CLASS SN8 RRJ UPVC PIPE. ALL GRAVITY LINES TO USE SEWER GRADE FITTINGS WHERE REQUIRED.
2. CONSTRUCTION OF SEWER MAINS AND MANHOLES SHALL BE CARRIED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE WSA SEWERAGE CODE, WSA-02, 2002.
3. ANY OTHER SERVICES INCLUDING TELSTRA, GAS, POWER, WATER AND STORMWATER MUST BE LOCATED BEFORE WORK COMMENCES.
4. MANHOLES SHALL BE PRECAST CONCRETE FROM A SUPPLIER APPROVED BY COUNCIL AND HAVE A ROUND REMOVABLE LIGHT DUTY GATIC COVER (UNO) AND A MINIMUM INTERNAL DIAMTER OF 1020mm.
5. 150mmΦ BOUNDARY RISERS SHALL BE PROVIDED TO EACH LOT TO TAMWORTH REGIONAL COUNCIL'S GUIDELINES FOR ENGINEERING WORKS.
6. RISERS AND SIDELINES TO BE CONSTRUCTED TO WSA-02 2002.
7. FLOW LINE CHANNELS AND INTERSECTIONS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED THROUGH MANHOLES AS PER WSA-02 2002.
8. ALL SEWER MAINS TO BE PRESSURE TESTED AS PER WSA-02 2002 TAMWORTH REGIONAL COUNCIL'S GUIDELINES FOR ENGINEERING WORKS.

BEDDING NOTES

1. THE MINIMUM DEPTH TO TOP OF PIPE SHALL BE 1000mm, EXCEPT UNDER ROAD PAVEMENT WHERE MINIMUM COVER TO TOP OF PIPE SHALL BE 1200mm MINIMUM UNLESS SHOWN OTHERWISE. PIPES WITH LESS COVER THAN THESE LIMITS TO BE CONCRETE ENCASED, AND DICL UNDER ROADS.
2. GRADES OF GRAVITY SEWER NOT TO BE FLATTER THAN 1:179 (0.55%) FOR 150mm DIAMETER PIPES AS PER WSA-2014.
3. MANHOLES SHALL BE PLACED AT EACH CHANGE IN DIRECTION OR GRADE OF THE PIPE LINE AT INTERVALS ALONG THE LINE NOT EXCEEDING 80m.

FIELD NOTES

1. BEARINGS AND DISTANCES ARE BY TITLE AND/OR DEED ONLY. NO BOUNDARY INVESTIGATION HAS BEEN CARRIED OUT.
2. SERVICES SHOWN HEREON HAVE BEEN DETERMINED FROM VISUAL EVIDENCE ONLY. PRIOR TO ANY DEMOLITION, EXCAVATION OR CONSTRUCTION ON THE SITE THE RELEVANT AUTHORITY SHOULD BE CONTACTED TO ESTABLISH DETAILED LOCATION AND DEPTH.
3. ALL HEIGHTS TO AHD (AUSTRALIAN HEIGHT DATUM).



TYPICAL MANHOLE SECTION (150mmΦ)
N.T.S.



TYPICAL TRENCH SECTION
N.T.S.

* INSTALLATION OF UPVC PIPES SHALL TO CONFORM TO AS2032-1977 "INSTALLATION OF UPVC PIPE SYSTEMS", AS2566-1998 "BURIED FLEXIBLE PIPELINES", WSA-02 2002 AND MANUFACTURERS INSTRUCTIONS.

ISSUED FOR APPROVAL

barnson
DESIGN.PLAN.MANAGE

BARNSON PTY LTD
t 1300 BARNSON (1300 227 676)
e generalenquiry@barnson.com.au
w www.barnson.com.au
Bathurst | Dubbo | Mudgee | Sydney | Tamworth

THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH GENERAL BUILDING DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS & OTHER CONSULTANTS DRAWINGS APPLICABLE TO THIS PROJECT. ALL DIMENSIONS IN MILLIMETRES. DO NOT SCALE. DIMENSIONS TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BEFORE COMMENCEMENT OF WORK. REPORT DISCREPANCIES TO BARNSON PTY LTD. NO PART OF THIS DRAWING MAY BE REPRODUCED IN ANY WAY WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF BARNSON PTY LTD.

Client: ELTON CONSULTING
Project: PROPOSED REZONING APPLICATION, Lots 1, 2 & 3 in DP 1234850 55 DAMPIER STREET, TAMINDA, NSW 2350
Drawing Title: PROPOSED SEWER PLAN

Rev	Date	Amendment
A	17.12.2018	ISSUED FOR REVIEW
B	04.03.2019	LAND PARCEL AMENDED

Design LM
Drawn LM
Check RJN
Original Sheet Size = A1
Certification
Drawing Number 29433-CD04
Revision B



PROPOSED STORMWATER PLAN

REDUCTION RATIO 1:1250 @ A1
1:2500 @ A3

MGA

NOTE:

- THE EXISTING BOUNDARIES AS SHOWN HEREON ARE SUBJECT TO A FULL CADASTRAL BOUNDARY SURVEY.
- THE POSITIONS OF EXISTING SERVICES SHOWN HEREON ARE DIGITISED FROM COUNCIL RECORDS.

(A) EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 WIDE (R261250 & DP260129)
(B) EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 WIDE (R157473 & DP260129)
(C) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 5 WIDE (DP636336)
(D) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 5 WIDE (DP711449)
(F) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 2 WIDE (DP265817)
(I) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 6 WIDE (AM108222)
(J) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 1.5 WIDE (DP711449)
(K) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 3 WIDE (DP711449)
(L) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 1.5 WIDE (DP260129)

SCALE 1:1250(A1)

0 25 50 75 100 125

SCALE 1:2500(A3)

0 25 50 75 100 125

LEGEND

- EXISTING BOUNDARIES
- Ex. W — EXISTING U/G WATER MAIN – APPROX. ONLY
- SV — EXISTING STOP VALVE – APPROX. ONLY
- HYD — EXISTING HYDRANT – APPROX. ONLY
- Ex. SW — EXISTING U/G STORMWATER PIPE – APPROX. ONLY
- EXISTING STORMWATER PIT – APPROX. ONLY
- Ex. S — EXISTING SEWER MAIN – APPROX. ONLY
- EXISTING SEWER MANHOLE – APPROX. ONLY
- SW — SW — PROPOSED STORMWATER LINE – APPROX. ONLY
- PROPOSED STORMWATER PIT – APPROX. ONLY
- AREA OF LAND FOR REZONING

DIAL BEFORE YOU DIG www.1100.com.au

STORMWATER NOTES

1. CONTRACTOR IS TO ADEQUATELY INFORM HIMSELF AS TO THE DEPTH AND LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING SERVICES PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION.
2. PIPE IS TO BE LAID AT UNIFORM GRADE BETWEEN INVERT LEVELS SHOWN WITH MINIMUM COVER MAINTAINED UNLESS OTHERWISE APPROVED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT.
3. MINIMUM COVER OVER ALL PIPES IN NON-TRAFFICABLE AREAS TO BE 450mm UNO. MINIMUM COVER OVER ALL PIPES IN TRAFFICABLE AREAS TO BE 600mm UNO. WHEN THIS CRITERIA CANNOT BE ACHIEVED, PIPES TO BE ENCASED IN 150 CONCRETE.
5. ALL CONNECTIONS TO EXISTING DRAINAGE PITS SHALL BE MADE IN A TRADESMAN-LIKE MANNER AND THE INTERNAL WALL OF THE PIT AT THE POINT OF ENTRY SHALL BE CEMENT RENDERED TO ENSURE A SMOOTH FINISH.
6. PRECAST PITS MAY BE USED AS APPROVED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT.
7. ALL PIPES SHALL BE RUBBER RING JOINTED CLASS '2' UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

COMPACTION OF BACKFILL

1. BEDDING SAND

   BEDDING SAND SHALL BE GRANULAR MATERIAL HAVING A LOW PERMEABILITY AND HIGH STABILITY WHEN SATURATED, CONFORMING TO THE GRADING LIMITS FOR BEDDING SAND AS INDICATED IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. BEDDING SAND SHALL BE COMPACTED TO A DENSITY INDEX OF 70% AS DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS1289.

2. APPROVED IMPORTED GRANULAR FILL

   ONLY IMPORTED GRANULAR FILL MATERIAL APPROVED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT SHALL BE USED. THIS FILL MATERIAL SHALL BE COMPACTED IN LAYERS NOT EXCEEDING 150mm THICK TO A DRY DENSITY OF 95% OF THE STANDARD MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY OF THE MATERIAL AND WITH A MOISTURE CONTENT NO MORE THAN 1% ABOVE OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT AS DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS1289.

3. ORDINARY EXCAVATED FILL MATERIAL

   ORDINARY EXCAVATED FILL MATERIAL IS EXCAVATED TRENCH MATERIAL THAT IS FREE OF VEGETABLE MATTER, HUMUS, LARGE CLAY LUMPS AND ROCK BOULDERS. THIS FILL MATERIAL SHALL BE COMPACTED IN LAYERS NOT EXCEEDING 300mm THICK, TO A DENSITY OF 90% OF THE STANDRAD MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY OF THE MATERIAL WITH A MOISTURE CONTENT OF NOT MORE THAN 1% ABOVE THE OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT AS DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS1289.



TYPICAL SECTION – TRENCH IN ROADWAY
N.T.S.



TYPICAL SECTION – EARTH FOUNDATION TRENCH
N.T.S.

ISSUED FOR APPROVAL

barnson
DESIGN. PLAN. MANAGE

BARNSON PTY LTD
t 1300 BARNSON (1300 227 676)
e generalenquiry@barnson.com.au
w www.barnson.com.au
Bathurst | Dubbo | Mudgee | Sydney | Tamworth

THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH GENERAL BUILDING DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS & OTHER CONSULTANTS DRAWINGS APPLICABLE TO THIS PROJECT. ALL DIMENSIONS IN MILLIMETRES. DO NOT SCALE. DIMENSIONS TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BEFORE COMMENCEMENT OF WORK. REPORT DISCREPANCIES TO BARNSON PTY LTD. NO PART OF THIS DRAWING MAY BE REPRODUCED IN ANY WAY WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF BARNSON PTY LTD.

Client: ELTON CONSULTING
Project: PROPOSED REZONING APPLICATION, Lots 1, 2 & 3 in DP 1234850
55 DAMPIER STREET, TAMINDA, NSW 2350
Drawing Title: PROPOSED STORMWATER PLAN

Rev	Date	Amendment
A	17.12.2018	ISSUED FOR REVIEW
B	04.03.2019	LAND PARCEL AMENDED

Design LM
Drawn LM
Check RJN
Original Sheet Size = A1
Certification
Drawing Number 29433-CD05
Revision B

PROPOSED WATER PLAN

REDUCTION RATIO 1:1250 @ A1
1:2500 @ A3

MGA

NOTE:

- THE EXISTING BOUNDARIES AS SHOWN HEREON ARE SUBJECT TO A FULL CADASTRAL BOUNDARY SURVEY.
- THE POSITIONS OF EXISTING SERVICES SHOWN HEREON ARE DIGITISED FROM COUNCIL RECORDS.

(A) EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 WIDE (R261250 & DP260129)
(B) EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 WIDE (R157473 & DP260129)
(C) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 5 WIDE (DP636336)
(D) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 5 WIDE (DP711449)
(F) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 2 WIDE (DP265817)
(I) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 6 WIDE (AM108222)
(J) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 1.5 WIDE (DP711449)
(K) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 3 WIDE (DP711449)
(L) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 1.5 WIDE (DP260129)

SCALE 1:1250(A1)

0 25 50 75 100 125

0 25 50 75 100 125

SCALE 1:2500(A3)

LEGEND

- EXISTING BOUNDARIES
- Ex. W — EXISTING U/G WATER MAIN - APPROX. ONLY
- SV — EXISTING STOP VALVE - APPROX. ONLY
- HYD — EXISTING HYDRANT - APPROX. ONLY
- Ex. SW — EXISTING U/G STORMWATER PIPE - APPROX. ONLY
- EXISTING STORMWATER PIT - APPROX. ONLY
- Ex. S — EXISTING SEWER MAIN - APPROX. ONLY
- EXISTING SEWER MANHOLE - APPROX. ONLY
- W — PROPOSED U/G WATER MAIN - APPROX. ONLY
- SV — PROPOSED STOP VALVE - APPROX. ONLY
- HYD — PROPOSED HYDRANT - APPROX. ONLY
- AREA OF LAND FOR REZONING

DIAL BEFORE YOU DIG www.1100.com.au

NOTES:

1. STOP VALVE & SCOUR VALVE CHAMBERS MAY EITHER BE CONSTRUCTED USING PREFABRICATED POLYPROPYLENE UNITS, 375mm DIAM. PVC OR CONCRETE PIPE, INTERLOCKING CONCRETE BLOCKS OR BRICKS WITH SAND/CEMENT MORTAR JOINTS.

2. THE BOTTOM OF THE BRICK, INTERLOCKING CONCRETE BLOCK OR PIPE CHAMBERS SHALL NOT REST DIRECTLY ON THE PIPE BUT ON A COURSE OF BRICKS OR A 100mm THICK CONCRETE FOUNDATION.

3. MINIMUM COVER OVER PIPELINES (ALL TYPES) SHALL BE 750mm IN AREAS SUBJECT TO VEHICULAR LOADING SUCH AS ROADS & FOOTPATHS AND 600mm ELSEWHERE.

4. IN AREAS PAVED WITH BITUMEN SEALING, ASPHALT, CONCRETE OR PAVING BLOCKS THE SURFACE OF VALVE AND HYDRANT COVERS SHALL FINISH FLUSH WITH THE PAVED SURFACE.

5. FOR STOP VALVES INSTALLATION, SOCKETS SHALL BE BUTTED UP TO SPIGOTS AND TRENCH WIDTHS SHALL BE KEPT TO A MINIMUM.

6. FILL SAND SHALL BE COMPACTED IN LAYERS NOT EXCEEDING 150mm AND COMPACTED TO ACHIEVE A MINIMUM 70% DENSITY INDEX AND TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE SUPERINTENDENT.

7. INDICATOR POSTS SHALL BE WHITE IN COLOUR AND ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES :
- 100mm x 100mm REINFORCED CONCRETE WITH 20mm CHAMFERS.
- POWDER COATED METAL SUCH AS "EZIDRIVE" POST OR EQUIVALENT.
- RECYCLED PLASTIC POST WITH RECESSES FOR MARKER PLATES.
- OTHER POSTS APPROVED BY COUNCIL.

8. DIMENSIONS OF SURFACE BOX COVERS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE NOMINAL. IF SURFACE BOX COVERS OTHER THAN THOSE SHOWN ARE SUPPLIED, THE DIMENSIONS OF THE CONCRETE SURROUNDS SHALL BE ADJUSTED ACCORDINGLY.

9. CONCRETE SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF 20 MPa AND COMPLY WITH THE AUS-SPEC SPECIFICATION FOR MINOR CONCRETE WORKS.

10. THE DIMENSION BETWEEN THE UNDERSIDE OF THE STOP VALVE SURFACE BOX LID AND THE TOP OF THE VALVE SPINDLE SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 80mm. THE TOP OF VALVE SPINDLE SHALL BE NO MORE THAN 300mm BELOW TOP SURFACE OF SURFACE BOX LID. IF THIS CANNOT BE ATTAINED, A GALVANIZED OR EPOXY PAINTED VALVE KEY EXTENSION SECURED BY GRUB SCREWS SHALL BE FITTED. IF NECESSARY, TO ENSURE THAT THE KEY EXTENSION IS CENTERED CORRECTLY A SPIDER ASSEMBLY SHALL BE INCORPORATED IN THE EXTENSION.

PIPE NOTES:

1. THE FOLLOWING PIPE MATERIALS MAY BE USED FOR WATER RETICULATION MAINS:

1a. ALL WATER MAINS LESS THAN 300mm IN DIAMETER SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED FROM CLASS K9 DUCTILE IRON CEMENT LINED (DICL), SPIGOT AND SOCKET, RUBBER RING JOINTED PIPE MANUFACTURED IN ACCORDANCE WITH AUSTRALIAN STANDARD 2280.

1b. ALL WATER PIPES GREATER THAN 300MM IN DIAMETER SHALL BE CLASS K12 DICL. ALTERNATIVELY, CLASS 20 (MIN) "BLUE BRUTE" UPVC PIPE MAY BE USED, PROVIDED THAT OD COMPATIBILITY WITH DICL PIPING IS MAINTAINED.

1c. POLYETHYLENE (AS/NZS4130) MINIMUM PN12.5, BLUE STRIPED FOR POTABLE SYSTEMS. LILAC STRIPED FOR RE-USE OR RAW WATER SYSTEMS. ALL JOINTING TO BE ELECTRO-FUSION OR BUTT-WELDED.

2. PRODUCTS IN CONTACT WITH POTABLE WATER SHALL BE TESTED AND COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF AS/NZS4020 FOR PRODUCTS IN CONTACT WITH DRINKING WATER.

DICL NOTES:

1. ALL DUCTILE IRON CEMENT LINED PIPES TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS2280-2014.
2. ALL SLUICE VALVES TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS/NZS 2638.2-2011- GATE VALVES FOR WATERWORKS PURPOSES.
3. ALL POLYETHELENE SLEEVING TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS3680-2008.
4. ALL ELASTOMETRIC SEALS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH 1646-2007.



TYPICAL TRENCH SECTION

N.T.S.

* INSTALLATION OF DICL PIPES SHALL TO CONFORM TO

ISSUED FOR APPROVAL

barnson
DESIGN.PLAN.MANAGE

BARNSON PTY LTD
t 1300 BARNSON (1300 227 676)
e generalenquiry@barnson.com.au
w www.barnson.com.au
Bathurst | Dubbo | Mudgee | Sydney | Tamworth

THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH GENERAL BUILDING DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS & OTHER CONSULTANTS DRAWINGS APPLICABLE TO THIS PROJECT. ALL DIMENSIONS IN MILLIMETRES. DO NOT SCALE. DIMENSIONS TO BE CHECKED ON SITE BEFORE COMMENCEMENT OF WORK. REPORT DISCREPANCIES TO BARNSON PTY LTD. NO PART OF THIS DRAWING MAY BE REPRODUCED IN ANY WAY WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF BARNSON PTY LTD.

Client: ELTON CONSULTING

Project: PROPOSED REZONING APPLICATION,
Lots 1, 2 & 3 in DP 1234850
55 DAMPIER STREET, TAMINDA, NSW 2350

Drawing Title: PROPOSED WATER PLAN

Rev	Date	Amendment
A	17.12.2018	ISSUED FOR REVIEW

Design LM
Drawn LM
Check RJN
Original Sheet Size = A1

Certification

Drawing Number 29433-CD06

Revision A

7.6 Cultural Heritage

Proposed Industrial Rezoning, 21 Wallamore Road (Lot 2 DP1234850) & 55 Dampier Street (Lot 3 DP1234850), Taminda, Tamworth Regional Council LGA, NSW

Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Due Diligence Assessment

Prepared for Elton Consulting on behalf of Tamworth Industrial Pty Ltd

March 2019

Lance Syme, Natalie Stiles and Meggan Walker

KAYANDEL

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SERVICES

☎ 02 4627 8622
🖷 02 4605 0815
Info@kayandel.com.au

Document Status

Version No.	Purpose of Document	Orig	Review	Review Date	Approval for Issue	Date Issued
1.0	Internal Edits	MW	NS	22/10/2018	LS	11/12/2018
1.1	Client Review	NS	JF	12/12/2018	LS	20/12/2018
2.0	Final Report	NS	LS	20/12/2018	LS	20/12/2018
2.1	Amendments to Figure 1 to Figure 4, and Section 1.1	NS	LS	1/03/2019	LS	1/03/2019

© 2019 Kayandel Archaeological Services

This document is and shall remain the property of Kayandel Archaeological Services. The document may only be used for the purposes for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Terms of Engagement for the commission. Unauthorised use of this document in any form whatsoever is prohibited.

CONTENTS

1 Introduction 1
1.1 Location of the Subject Area 1
1.2 Proposed Works 1
1.3 Study Limitations 1
1.4 Personnel 2
2 Legislative Context 5
3 Landscape Context 6
3.1 Historical Land-Use Disturbance 7
4 Archaeological Context 8
4.1 Ethnohistory 8
4.2 AHIMS Database Search 8
4.3 Regional Archaeological Context 9
4.4 Previous Archaeological Investigations 10
4.5 Previous Predictive Models 10
4.6 Aboriginal Heritage Predictions for the Subject Area 11
5 Preliminary Assessment of Archaeological Potential 14
6 Due Diligence Assessment 15
7 Recommendations 16
8 Reference 18
Appendix I. State Heritage Register Search Results 19
Appendix II. State Heritage Inventory Search Results 20
Appendix III. Register of the National Estate Search Results 21
Appendix IV. Tamworth Local Environment Plan 2010 22
Appendix V. AHIMS Search Results 23
Appendix VI. Due Diligence Decision Process (DECCW, 2010b, p. 1 & 10) 32

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: KAS Personnel involved in the preparation of this report 2
Table 2: AHIMS Database Search Criteria 8
Table 3: Site types from AHIMS search (Client Service ID 373803) 9

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Project Location 3

Figure 2: Subject Area 4

Figure 4: AHIMS Sites from Search Data 12

Figure 5: AHIMS Sites in Proximity 13

This Page is intentionally left Blank

1 INTRODUCTION

Kayandel Archaeological Services (KAS) has been commissioned by Elton Consulting on behalf of Tamworth-Industrial Pty Ltd (the Proponent) to prepare an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Due Diligence Assessment in relation to Aboriginal Heritage within 21 Wallamore Road (Lot 2 DP1234850) and 55 Dampier Street (Lot 3 DP1234850), Taminda, NSW.

This report outlines the results of the Aboriginal heritage due diligence assessment which meets the requirements of the *Due Diligence Code of Practice* (DECCW, 2010b) and includes recommendations regarding Aboriginal heritage constraints for the proposed rezoning.

Please note that this report is an initial investigation of constraints and opportunities pertaining to identified Aboriginal heritage sites and places on and/or in the immediate vicinity of the proposed development site. It is not an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (ACHAR) prepared in accordance with the guidelines issued by the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH). As such, it would not be sufficient to support an application for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit, in accordance with Section 90 of the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974*. Similarly, the report does not consider historical heritage, and would not be sufficient to support an application for a permit under Section 60 or Section 140 of the *Heritage Act 1977*.

1.1 Location of the Subject Area

The Subject Area is located within the Tamworth Regional Council (TRC) Local Government Area (LGA) and the Tamworth Local Aboriginal Land Council (TLALC). The Subject Area is located within the Parish of Murroon, County of Parry (refer to Figure 1).

The Subject Area consists of 21 Wallamore Road (Lot 2 DP1234850) and 55 Dampier Street (Lot 3 DP1234850), Taminda, NSW (refer to Figure 2). The Subject Area covers approximately 11.35ha.

1.2 Proposed Works

Lot 3 DP1234850 is currently zoned as RU4 (Primary Production Small Lots) under the TRC Local Environment Plan 2010 (LEP).

The Proponent has proposed that the Subject Area is rezoned to IN1 (General Industrial).

1.3 Study Limitations

This report is based on a review of available Aboriginal archaeological assessments (sourced from the Heritage Branch library, grey literature and KAS' report library). It is possible that further Aboriginal archaeological assessments or the emergence of new analysis of the Historic archaeological landscape within the Tamworth area may support different interpretations of the evidence in this report.

This report is based exclusively upon desktop assessment.

A summary of the statutory requirements regarding heritage is provided in Section 2. This is made based on our experience of working with the NSW Aboriginal heritage and European heritage systems and does not purport to be legal advice. It should be noted that legislation, regulations, and guidelines change over time and users of this report should satisfy themselves that the statutory requirements have not changed since the report was written.

The results from the 'AHIMS Database Search' (Section 4.2) are valid for 12 months from the date of the search. If this report has not been finalised and/or if it is necessary to update this report, and the

previous AHIMS search is over 12 months old, it will be necessary to undertake another search of the AHIMS to ensure information is current.

1.4 Personnel

This study has been carried out by KAS (refer to Table 1). Background research was undertaken by Meggan Walker. Natalie Stiles completed the mapping. This report was drafted by Meggan Walker; and reviewed by Natalie Stiles and Lance Syme.

Person	Qualification	Experience	Tasks
Lance Syme	BArts (Arch/Paleo), Grad. Dip (Heritage Cons.), M. ICOMOS	20 years	Project Supervision, mapping, report review
Natalie Stiles	BArts (Arch/Paleo), Grad Cert. Arts (Arch), MGIS&RemoteSens	7 years	Mapping & GIS review
Meggan Walker	BArts (Arch/Ancient) (Hons)	<1 year	Background research, report drafting

Table 1: KAS Personnel involved in the preparation of this report



Figure 1: Project Location

Figure 2: Subject Area

2 LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT

The *National Parks & Wildlife Act 1974* provides statutory protection for all Aboriginal 'objects' (consisting of any material evidence of the Aboriginal occupation of NSW) and for 'Aboriginal Places' (areas of cultural significance to the Aboriginal community). Under Section 86 of the *National Parks and Wildlife Act, 1974*, Aboriginal objects are afforded automatic statutory protection in NSW whereby it is an offence to:

> Damage, defence or destroy Aboriginal sites without the prior consent of the Director-General of the National Parks and Wildlife Service (now referred to as the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH).

The Act defines an Aboriginal 'Object' as:

> Any deposit, object or material evidence (not being a handicraft for sale) relating to indigenous and non-European habitation of the area that comprises New South Wales, being habitation before or concurrent with the occupation of that area by persons of non-Aboriginal European extraction, and includes Aboriginal remains.

The *Due Diligence Code of Practice* was introduced in October 2010 by the OEH (formerly the Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water (DECCW)). The aim of the guidelines is to assist individuals and organisations to exercise due diligence when carrying out activities that may harm Aboriginal objects and to determine whether they should apply for consent in the form of an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP).

A due diligence assessment should take reasonable and practicable steps to ascertain whether there is a likelihood that Aboriginal sites will be disturbed or impacted during the proposed works. If it is assessed that sites exist or have a likelihood of existing within the development area and may be impacted by the proposed development, further archaeological investigations may be required. If it is found that Aboriginal sites were to exist within the Subject Area, an AHIP would be required if the proposed impacts cannot be avoided. If it is found to be unlikely that Aboriginal sites were to exist within the Subject Area and the due diligence assessment has been conducted in accordance with the Due Diligence Code of Practice, then the proposed works could proceed without an AHIP.

The *Native Title Act 1994* was introduced to work in conjunction with the *Commonwealth Native Title Act 1993.* Native Title claims, registers and Indigenous Land Use Agreements are administered under the Act. A search of the Native Title register identified a claim by the Gomeroi People over the Subject Area.

3 LANDSCAPE CONTEXT

Tamworth is located in the southern portion of the Nandewar Bioregion. The Nandewar bioregion is bounded by the North Coast, New England Tablelands and Brigalow Belt South Bioregions in the south, east and west respectively. The larger scale geology of the Nandewar Bioregion is characterised by Mesozoic sediments, Devonian sedimentary and volcanic rocks, and Triassic sandstones and shales (NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service, 2003).

The Subject Area is situated within the Liverpool Plains, which is typified by prime agricultural land, with multiple depressions which create lakes after long periods of rain and steep hills arising suddenly from the plains. The Liverpool Plains are bordered to the east by the Great Dividing Range, the south by the Liverpool Range and the west by the Warrumbungle Range. The Namoi River drains the area along with its tributaries, the Peel and Mooki rivers. The Subject Area is located on an alluvial floodplain of the Peel River and Wallamore Anabranch.

Data for rainfall at the Subject Area was taken from Tamworth Airport AWS. The highest mean rainfall is attributed to November, with the highest daily rainfall occurring over the summer months (BOM, 2018).

Soils within the Subject Area consist of the Duri (du) and the Goonoo Goonoo (gn) soil landscapes (Banks, 2001).

The Duri soil landscape is described as a complex geological setting with a mixture of the Devovian sedimentary rocks of the Tamworth belt and interdigitated carboniferous geological units, with soil distribution highly variable owing to the underlying geology. Soils range from Chromosols, Rudosols, Vertosols and Sodosols, all of which vary from red through to brown in colouration The Lithology of the Duri landscape includes arenite, polymictic conglomerate, greywacke and mudstone (Banks, 2001) – some of which are known to be resources utilised by Aboriginal people for the manufacture of stone artefacts.

The Goonoo Goonoo soil landscape is comprised of diverse but individually locally extensive soil types. Soils encountered in this landscape include very deep to giant, imperfectly drained Brown Sodosols (solodic soils); deep to giant, imperfectly drained, Black Vertosols (black earths); very deep to giant, poorly drained Grey Vertosols (grey clays); shallow, moderately well-drained Calcareous Rudosols (calcareous Alluvial Soils); and deep to giant, imperfectly drained Brown Vertosols (Brown clays). These soils have poor drainage and are identified as a flood hazard (Banks, 2001).

Soils are typically shallow duplex soils, comprised of thin clay loam topsoil (A1 horizon) over heavy clays (B horizon), and usually <50cm deep. The heavy clay unit is generally considered to pre-date the Aboriginal colonisation of Australia, and therefore only the upper A1 horizon has the potential to contain Aboriginal objects. The shallow nature of these deposits has implications for the potential and survivability of Aboriginal objects - even minor disturbance and/ or de-vegetation will often result in the complete removal of the upper parts of the soil profile in which objects may occur. Originally, this area would have been dominated by open and/or dry sclerophyll forest, but most of the area is now urbanised and cleared; only creek lines and associated riparian corridors contain dense bushland that may reflect pre-European vegetation (Murphy & Murphy, 2000).

The Subject Area is located 400m south of Wallamore Anabranch on the associated alluvial floodplain. Timbumburi Creek is approximately 426m west of the Subject Area; and is bisected by a number of 1st and 2nd order streams (as defined by the Strahler model). These streams drain north,

through the Subject Area, into the Wallamore Anabranch, and would have provided a temporary water source.

Wilson and McAdam (2000) noted that aerial photography and testimony from local residents attest to the instability of the Peel River, which migrated back and forth across the floodplain. (Wilson & McAdam) suggested that this was typical for all of the watercourses in the region.

It is difficult to reconstruct an understanding of vegetation present in the Tamworth region owing to European land clearing practices. Landform generally has an impact on the vegetation present, with River red Gum, Yellow Box and She-Oak common in the vicinity of major water courses. Blakelys Red Gum, White Box, Kurrajong and Rough-barked Apple are common on slopes and hill sides, with White Box dominant on hills and slopes south of the Peel River (Wilson & McAdam, 2000). The vegetation present within the Subject Area, while also potentially used as Aboriginal economic plants, would also have supported a range of faunal species that would have been utilised by Aboriginal people. The rivers and watercourses in the Tamworth region would also have provided aquatic flora and fauna.

3.1 Historical Land-Use Disturbance

European presence in the Tamworth Region is recorded as early as 1818, and by 1820 the area was already being employed for sheep and cattle grazing. The land was primarily employed by Europeans for grazing with limited more intensive rural practices until late in the nineteenth century, when the alluvial flats along the peel river began to produce successful crops and the demand was stimulated for further agricultural land releases (Jonathan Falk Planning Consultants Pty Ltd & Rodney Jensen and Associates Pty Ltd, 1988).

While specific information relating to disturbance at the Subject Area is difficult to determine, from aerial photographs and an understanding of the use of the region it can be understood that the Subject Area has been used primarily for agricultural purposes, mainly relating to crop growth and animal grazing. Current aerials of the Subject Area show disturbance relating to irrigation systems and fence installation, as well as the impacts caused by animal grazing.

4 ARCHAEOLOGICAL CONTEXT

4.1 Ethnohistory

The oldest site identified within the Tamworth Region is Bendemeer II, dating to 4,950BP (Wilson & McAdam, 2000); although this is arguably a reflection of the lack of archaeological investigations within the region and preserved datable material rather than a reflection of actual occupation dates.

The Tamworth region and it's surrounds are generally accepted as having been originally inhabited by the Gamilaroi (also referred to as Komilaroi) people, who are recognised by researchers as the primary linguistic group for the greater New England Region (Tindale, 1974; Wilson & McAdam, 2000). Europeans identified a number of groups in the Tamworth region including the Goonoo Goonoo, Gunnedah, Manellae (people who traditionally utilised the Manilla River) and Moonb (Tindale, 1974). Carey (2006) dentifies two sub-communities of the Gamilaroi, who occupied the area now known as Tamworth: the Mooni, and the Goonoo Goonoo people. The Gamilaroi people spoke a range of dialects throughout the New England Region, with Wilson and McAdam (2000) commenting that there were two distinct groups – the Corbon Gamilaroi, who occupied areas surrounding the Peel River including Liverpool Plains, and the Gammon Gamilaroi, who occupied the southern part of the language territory.

European settlement had a devastating effect on the traditional life ways of the Kamilaroi. Violent conflicts between settlers and Aboriginal groups, disease and dispossession of land resulted in population decrease. Conflict in the district was not uncommon. Descendent of Thomas Bowman, one of the early landowners within the project area, passed on stories of Bowman working through the night to build fences to secure them from Aboriginal people (Boileau, 2007). One of the more violent recorded conflicts was the reprisal campaigns of Major James Nunn. Boileau (2007) describes the action thus:

> *"They travelled in a circuit north across the Nandewar Ranges through Archibald Bell's Barraba station, on to Thomas Hall's new station at Bingara, then to Snodgrass Lagoon on Waterloo Creek, midway between the Namoi and the Gwydir, where they massacred an estimated forty or fifty Aboriginal people who were camped there. On their return journey through the foothills the posse engaged in a typical frontier style 'mopping up' operation, hunting down and killing any Aborigine they encountered."*

4.2 AHIMS Database Search

The locations and details of Aboriginal sites are considered culturally sensitive information. It is recommended that this information, including the AHIMS data and GIS imagery, is removed from this report if it is to enter the public domain.

KAS carried out a search of the AHIMS database on the 2nd October 2018 using the Client Service ID 373803 with the coordinates set out in Table 2 below.

	Easting	Northing
Minimum	294806	6554701
Maximum	303806	6563701

Table 2: AHIMS Database Search Criteria

(Zone 56 additional buffer 1kms)

The search area was a 9km by 9km square centred upon the Subject Area, with a 1km buffer (see Figure 3). The results of the AHIMS search are presented in Figure 3 and Table 3. A total of 113 Aboriginal sites have been registered within the search area. Figure 4 shows that Aboriginal sites recorded in proximity to the Subject Area.

It should be noted that the distribution of sites in the AHIMS database is a reflection of where site surveys have been conducted, where exposure and visibility conditions have enabled the detection of sites, and where sites have survived modern land disturbance. The distribution of sites from AHIMS may not be a true reflection of the existing Aboriginal sites in an area.

Site types	Total	%
Open Camp Site	51	*45%*
Isolated Find	38	*34%*
Scarred Tree	10	*9%*
Quarry	5	*4%*
Scarred Tree with Isolated Find	2	*2%*
Open Camp Site with Grinding Groove	1	*1%*
Not an Aboriginal Site	1	*1%*
Open Camp Site and Aboriginal Ceremony and Dreaming	1	*1%*
Open Camp Site with Quarry	1	*1%*
Restricted	1	*1%*
Rock Engraving	1	*1%*
Scarred Tree and Open Camp Site	1	*1%*
Total	***113***	***100%***

Table 3: Site types from AHIMS search (Client Service ID 373803)

The AHIMS search indicates that fifty-three (53) of the one hundred and thirteen (113) identified sites were Open Camp Sites, or Open Camp Sites with other associated features, which comprises of 46.9% of the site types. A further 34% of the site types were identified as Isolated Finds. The site types present from the AHIMS search reflect the landforms present within the region.

4.3 Regional Archaeological Context

Extensive archaeological studies are rare within the Tamworth region or Subject Area. These extensive studies provide material evidence of Aboriginal occupation in the Tamworth region, and provide an indication of where and what types of Aboriginal sites may be located in the landscape.

The most comprehensive analysis of Aboriginal Archaeology in the Tamworth Area is that undertaken by Wilson and McAdam (2000), which involved the survey of a number of areas within the region. The Tamworth Heritage Study (Jonathan Falk Planning Consultants Pty Ltd & Rodney Jensen and Associates Pty Ltd, 1988) is another regional study, which focused on European Heritage within the Region generally and specific areas within it, as opposed to exclusively focusing on a limited Study Area.

Other archaeological studies within the Tamworth region have involved specific focus on a study area, and primarily restricted to archaeological survey.

Within the greater region, there have been few Aboriginal archaeological studies, most focused on specific study areas and involving primarily archaeological survey. Below is a discussion of various relevant Aboriginal archaeological investigations within the Tamworth Region.

Everick Heritage Consultants (2015)

Everick Heritage Consultants (Everick) (2015) undertook an archaeological study across a range of properties in 2015, on behalf of the Tamworth Regional Council for the Glen Artney Industrial Development. Their Subject Area was approximately 745ha; and was located 6km northwest of the Subject Area.

Everick (2015) undertook a desktop study, and a targeted archaeological field inspection in the process of completing their Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report which was undertaken in accordance with the Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales (DECCW, 2010b). A total of six (6) Aboriginal sites during the inspection: four (4) isolated artefacts, one (1) low density artefact scatter and one (1) scarred tree.

Wilson and McAdam (2000)

The Tamworth Aboriginal/Archaeological Site Study was undertaken in 2000 by Wilson and McAdam (2000), and involved an extensive series of surveys across the Tamworth city region.

Wilson and McAdam (2000) identified a number of sites across their study area, including one (1) scarred tree (Wallamore Anabranch Scarred Tree (AHIMS #29-2-0285) in proximity to the Subject Area, to the west on the southern bank of the Wallamore Anabranch.

4.4 Previous Archaeological Investigations

There have been no previous archaeological investigations within the Subject Area.

4.5 Previous Predictive Models

Wilson and McAdam (2000)

Wilson and McAdam (2000) proposed a predictive model for the Tamworth region based on their extensive survey and analysis of background information:

- The climatic information suggests Tamworth would have allowed for year-round Aboriginal occupation, with the topographic data suggesting favourable conditions for Aboriginal habitation south of the Peel River (which corresponds to our Subject Area);
- Even though major watercourse are the most likely places for Aboriginal people to congregate, the wandering nature of the Peel River and its tributaries along the flood plain suggest a low likelihood of locating preserved Aboriginal camp sites;
- Aboriginal camp sites are most likely to be preserved in the deep, brown, structured clays or solodic soils of the lower slopes where they meet the alluvial flats but above the flood plain;
- Isolated finds will most likely be located in areas of low gradient within 200m of a watercourse, with artefacts likely to be large or made from highly observable stone;
- Artefact scatters will be most likely located in areas of low gradient in close proximity to a watercourse, and are most likely to contain flakes, retouched flakes, broken flakes, flaked pieces and cores. With axes, mullers and grindstone predicted to be rare. The size of scatters is anticipated to increase with the proximity to reliable watercourses;
- Scarred trees will likely be located within 100m of a watercourse, but are anticipated to be rare extrapolating from previous data owing to European land clearance, with tree types most likely to contain scars being white box and river red gums;
- Carved trees are considered unlikely owing to the tradition of their removal to museums;
- Stone quarry sites are likely to be located on ridges, hill tops and areas of steep slopes, not in proximity to watercourses;

- Grinding grooves may be located where weathered andestitic greywacke outcrops, especially if these outcrops are in proximity to an Aboriginal axe quarry; and,
- Stone arrangements related to ceremony are not anticipated in proximity to watercourses and are unlikely to occur in the region.

Everick Heritage Consultants (2015)

Everick Heritage Consultants (2015) observed that:

- Specific environment contexts including alluvial landforms, low kills, lower slopes and spurs are likely to contain the majority of evidence of Aboriginal occupation;
- Isolated artefacts will be the result of random discard and may occur in almost any environmental context;
- Open Campsites will be primarily secondary flakes in low- or high-density scatters, and associated with other features, potentially containing burials when located on sand strata
- Middens, while the Peel River does contain freshwater Shellfish, are unlikely given their absence in the region; and,
- Modified trees will potentially be present within 100m of the watercourses in old stands of trees.

4.6 Aboriginal Heritage Predictions for the Subject Area

The following predictions for Aboriginal sites to be present within the Subject Area are based on the landforms present:

- Open artefact scatters are more likely in areas less than 100m from a major watercourse, or from a confluence of watercourses;
- The size, density and significance of sites will vary, although it is anticipated that sites will be considerably less complex and less dense at distances greater than 100m from a major watercourse;
- The wandering nature of the Peel River, and the presence of the Subject Area on a floodplain suggests that the potential for surface artefacts is low;
- Where mature trees are present, there is moderate potential for modified trees to exist;
- As past land use disturbance increases in intensity, the ability for Aboriginal objects to provide spatial and chronological information about past Aboriginal land use will decrease;
- Burials are not anticipated to be present in the Subject Area. No instances of Aboriginal burials were identified from the AHIMS search within 9km of the Subject Area; and,
- Areas of Potential Archaeological Deposits (PADs) may be present in locations with minimal previous land disturbance and in close proximity to a watercourse.



Figure 3: AHIMS Sites from Search Data

Figure 4: AHIMS Sites in Proximity

5 PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL POTENTIAL

This due diligence assessment provides a preliminary assessment of archaeological potential, that is to determine likelihood of potential archaeological deposits (PAD) being present within the Subject Area. A more comprehensive and detailed investigation of the extent and nature of any archaeological potential would be completed during an archaeological survey report (ASR), where required, under the *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales* (DECCW 2010 (now referred to as the Office of Environment and Heritage).

This desktop assessment has identified no Aboriginal cultural material within or abutting the Subject Area. One (1) AHIMS site is located approximately 962m to the northeast of the Subject Area, identified as WAB ST 1 (Wallamore Anabranch Scarred Tree 1) (AHIMS #29-2-0285). Given the lack of mature vegetation within the Subject Area resulting from land clearance for agricultural and pastoral activities, it is unlikely that scarred trees will be present. Furthermore, Wilson & McAdam (2000) commented that any artefact-bearing deposits that may have been present across the alluvial plain of the Peel River and its tributaries are likely to have disappeared as a result of the unstable creek line.

Based on the information presented above, no further archaeological investigation is recommended for the Subject Area. If the proposed works as identified in Section 1.2, do uncover any Aboriginal cultural material, further archaeological work will be necessary.

6 DUE DILIGENCE ASSESSMENT

KAS was asked to conduct an Aboriginal archaeological assessment of the Investigation Area in accordance with the *Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales* (DECCW, 2010b). This *Due Diligence Code of Practice* sets out the matters which are to be addressed when assessing whether or not an activity may harm Aboriginal Objects.

The *Due Diligence Code of Practice*, with reference to the DECCW process (Appendix VI), outlines in regard to the proposed project (refer to Section 1.2) within the Subject Area, the following:

1. It is not an activity under Part 3 under s.75B of the EP&A Act;
2. The proposed activity is not exempt under the *National Parks and Wildlife Act, 1974* or *National Parks and Wildlife Regulation, 2009*;
3. The proposed activity will not involve harm that is trivial or negligible;
4. The activity is not within an Aboriginal place and no previous investigations meeting the requirements of this code have identified Aboriginal objects;
5. The proposed activity is not a low impact one for which there is a defense in the *National Parks and Wildlife Regulation, 2009*; and,
6. The proponent is not eligible to use an industry specific code of practice.

Consequently, the Generic Due Diligence Code of Practice (DECCW, 2010b) is to be followed. The decision process determining whether an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP) is required is as follows (Appendix VI):

1. The activity will disturb the ground surface, but will not disturb any culturally modified trees;
2. a. the Subject Area does not have previously confirmed site records or other associated landscape feature information on AHIMS;

   b. there are no sites identified within the Subject Area;

   c. there are landscape features that are likely to indicate the presence of Aboriginal objects;
3. The carrying out of the proposed activity can be avoided at the relevant landscape features identified over the Subject Area; and,
4. The desktop assessment completed indicate that the likelihood of Aboriginal objects being present is low.

As such, it is determined that an AHIP is not required for the project as currently proposed, however caution must be exercised. It is extremely important to note that the above conclusions are relevant only to the current Investigation Area and not the Subject Area as a whole, which does contain a registered Aboriginal site and therefore will require further investigation.

7 RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations regarding Aboriginal heritage are based on consideration of:

- The legal requirements of the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974* (as amended), whereby it is illegal to damage, deface or destroy an Aboriginal relic without first obtaining the written consent of the Director General of National Parks & Wildlife Service;
- The legal requirements of the *Heritage Act 1977*, whereby it is illegal to disturb or excavate any land knowing or having reasonable cause to suspect that the disturbance or excavation will or is likely to result in a relic being discovered, exposed, moved, damaged or destroyed unless the disturbance or excavation is carried out in accordance with an excavation permit;
- The results of the background research, and the desktop assessment; and,
- The likely impacts of the proposed works (refer to Section 1.2 and **Error! Reference source not found.**).

It was found that:

- The desktop assessment did not identify any Aboriginal sites within the Subject Area.

It is therefore recommended that:

1. Works as currently specified can proceed with caution, subject to the recommendations included below;
2. This due diligence assessment must be kept by the Proponent so that it can be presented, if needed, as a defence from prosecution under Section 86(2) of the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974*;
3. All relevant staff and contractors should be made aware of their statutory obligations for heritage under the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974*, which may be implemented as a heritage induction;
4. Should the design and/or extent of the proposed development be altered, further archaeological assessment may be required. This may include the need to complete an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA), the full Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements (ACHCR), sub surface investigations under the *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW* (DECCW, 2010a) and apply for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP) from the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH), NSW;
5. If unrecorded Aboriginal object or objects are identified in the Subject Area during works, then all works in the immediate area must cease and the area should be cordoned off. OEH must be notified by ringing the Enviroline 131 555 so that the site can be adequately assessed and managed;
6. In the unlikely event that skeletal remains are identified, work must cease immediately in the vicinity of the remains and the area must be cordoned off. The proponent must contact the local NSW Police who will make an initial assessment as to whether the remains are part of a crime scene, or possible Aboriginal remains. If the remains are thought to be Aboriginal, OEH must be contacted by ringing the Enviroline 131 555. An OEH officer will determine if the remains are Aboriginal or not; and a management plan must be developed in consultation with the relevant Aboriginal stakeholders before works recommence; and,
7. If, during the course of development works, suspected historic cultural heritage material is uncovered, work should cease in that area immediately. The Heritage Branch, Office of

Environment & Heritage (Enviroline 131 555) should be notified and works only recommence when an approved management strategy has been developed.

8 REFERENCE

Banks, R. G. (2001). *Soil Landscapes of the Tamworth 1:100,000 Sheet (Calala, Currabubula, Duri, Nemingha, Quirindi, Tamworth, Werris Creek)*. Sydney: Department of Land and Water Conservation, Sydney.

Boileau, J. (2007). *Thematic History of Nundle, Manilla and Barraba: Tamworth Regional Council Community Based Heritage Study*. Report for Tamworth Regional Council.

BOM. (2018). Rainfall Data from Tamworth Airport AWS. Retrieved from http://www.bom.gov.au/jsp/ncc/cdio/weatherData/av?p_nccObsCode=136&p_display_type=dailyDataFile&p_startYear=&p_c=&p_stn_num=055325

Carey, J. (2006). *Tamworth and Districts: Early History*. Report for Tamworth Regional Council: Tamworth.

DECCW. (2010a). *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales*. Sydney South: Department of Enviroment, Climate Change and Water NSW.

DECCW. (2010b). *Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales*. Sydney South: Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water NSW.

Everick Heritage Consultants. (2015). *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment, Glen Artney Industrial Development, West Tamworth NSW*. Prepared for GHD and Tamworth Regional Council.

Jonathan Falk Planning Consultants Pty Ltd, & Rodney Jensen and Associates Pty Ltd. (1988). *Tamworth Heritage Study: Final Report.* (Vol. 1: Heritage Study). Prepared for Tamworth City Council and Department of Environment and Planning

Murphy, B. W., & Murphy, C. L. (2000). The Soil Profile. In B. W. Murphy (Ed.), *Soils: their properties and management* (pp. 70-82). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service. (2003). The Nandewar Bioregion. In The Bioregions of New South Wales: their biodiversity, conservation and history (pp. 145-156). Sydney: NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service.

Tindale, N. B. (1974). *Aboriginal Tribes of Australia - Their Terrain, Environmental Controls, Distribution, Limits and Proper Names*. Canberra: Australian National University Press.

Wilson, J., & McAdam, L. (2000). *The Tamworth Aboriginal/Archaeological Site Study*. Prepared for Tamworth City Council.

APPENDIX I. State Heritage Register Search Results

Location information for some Aboriginal Places (e.g. burial grounds and sacred sites) have been generalised because of their cultural sensitivity. Location information for restricted Aboriginal Places is not shown at all. If an activity or development is proposed that may potentially impact on or harm (i.e., damage, deface or destroy) an Aboriginal Place, then proponents must undertake a search for the exact boundaries of Aboriginal Places through **AHIMS Web Services**.

Downloading of State Heritage Register spatial datasets and associated metadata into a Geographical Information System (GIS) software package is available through **Data NSW**.

Listing Type:	◉ All ○ Aboriginal Places ○ State Heritage Register
Local government area:	Please Choose...
Item name/Database ID:	
SHR number:	
Location:	tamworth
Local Aboriginal Land Council (LALC):	Please Choose...
State theme:	Please Choose...
Item type:	Please Choose...
Item Group:	Please Choose...
Item category:	Please Choose...

Search Reset



APPENDIX II. State Heritage Inventory Search Results

Home > Topics > Heritage places and items > Search for heritage

Search for NSW heritage

Your search did not return any matching results. Please refine your search and try again.

The State Heritage Inventory is a list of heritage items in New South Wales including Aboriginal Places, State Heritage Register, Interim Heritage Orders, State Agency Heritage Registers and Local Environmental Plans.

The Heritage Division is directly responsible for maintaining **Aboriginal Places** and the **State Heritage Register**. A new **combined map search** is now available. For further information about Aboriginal Places and Sites refer to **AHIMS Web Services**

Whilst the Heritage Division seeks to keep the Inventory up to date, it is reliant on State agencies and local councils to provide their data. Always check with the relevant State agency or local council for the most up-to-date information.

Visit **State Heritage Inventory help** if you are unfamiliar with this search facility.

Basic search criteria

Item name/database ID:	
Street name:	
Suburb/town:	taminda
Local Government Area:	Please Choose...
Local Aboriginal Land Council (LALC):	Please Choose...
Heritage listings:	(For Aboriginal Place and State Heritage Register only) Please Choose...
SHR number:	

Additional search criteria

NOTE: For items listed by local councils, there may not be information in the additional search criteria fields.

Owner organisation*:	
Designer/builder:	
Year of construction:	from to:
Item type:	Please Choose...
Item group:	Please Choose...
Item category:	Please Choose...
Australian historic theme:	Please Choose...
NSW historic theme:	Please Choose...
Significance, description, historical notes:	
Information complete:	

Search Reset

Appendix III. Register of the National Estate Search Results



Appendix IV. Tamworth Local Environment Plan 2010



Appendix V. AHIMS Search Results

Office of Environment & Heritage
NSW

AHIMS Web Services (AWS)
Search Result

Purchase Order/Reference : Taminda_2
Client Service ID : 373803

Kayandel Archaeological Services
PO Box 440 15 Henry Street
Picton New South Wales 2571
Attention: Lance Syme
Email: lance.syme@kayandel.com.au

Date: 02 October 2018

Dear Sir or Madam:

AHIMS Web Service search for the following area at Datum :GDA, Zone : 56, Eastings : 294806 - 303806, Northings : 6554701 - 6563701 with a Buffer of 1000 meters, conducted by Lance Syme on 02 October 2018.

The context area of your search is shown in the map below. Please note that the map does not accurately display the exact boundaries of the search as defined in the paragraph above. The map is to be used for general reference purposes only.



A search of the Office of the Environment and Heritage AHIMS Web Services (Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System) has shown that:

113	Aboriginal sites are recorded in or near the above location.
0	Aboriginal places have been declared in or near the above location. *

Office of Environment & Heritage

AHIMS Web Services (AWS)

Extensive search - Site list report

Your Ref/PO Number : Taminda_2
Client Service ID : 373803

SiteID	SiteName	Datum	Zone	Easting	Northing	Context	Site Status	SiteFeatures	SiteTypes	Reports
29-2-0078	Marsupial Park Endevour Drive Marsupial Park	AGD	56	304550	6559400	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	102239
	Contact	Recorders	Joanna Newby					Permits		
29-2-0059	Marengo 2;	AGD	56	303750	6561500	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -, Stone Quarry : -	Quarry	
	Contact	Recorders	J.M Wilson,Archaeological Surveys & Salvage					Permits		
29-2-0001	Tamworth;West Tamworth;	AGD	56	298600	6555800	Open site	Not a Site	Artefact : -	Not an Aboriginal Site	1474
	Contact	Recorders	Harry Creamer,Davies Heritage Consultants Pty Ltd					Permits		
29-2-0124	Hills Plain 5	AGD	56	303320	6562077	Open site	Valid	Modified Tree (Carved or Scarred) : -		
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson,Leila McAdam,Ivan Johnson					Permits		
29-2-0160	Timbumburi Creek Coledale	AGD	56	298575	6556000	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 34		
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0150	Garrieties Gully 3	AGD	56	304489	6558108	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		102239
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0151	MacPherson Hill 1	AGD	56	304324	6557729	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 8		102239
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0152	MacPherson Hill 2	AGD	56	304476	6557795	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		102239
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0153	MacPherson Hill 3	AGD	56	304426	6557602	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 33		102239
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0154	Moore Creek Road 1	AGD	56	300841	6561821	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 11		
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0155	Moore Creek Road 2	AGD	56	300796	6561935	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 4		
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0156	Moore Creek Road 3	AGD	56	300756	6562125	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 18		
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0157	Moore Creek Road 4	AGD	56	301194	6561158	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0158	Moore Creek Road 5	AGD	56	301343	6560990	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 2		
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0159	Moore Creek Road 6	AGD	56	301332	6561335	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0262	Wentworth Ridge	AGD	56	303110	6561836	Open site	Valid	Grinding Groove : 2, Artefact : 5		

Report generated by AHIMS Web Service on 02/10/2018 for Lance Syme for the following area at Datum :GDA, Zone : 56, Eastings : 294806 - 303806, Northings : 6554701 - 6563701 with a Buffer of 1000 meters. Additional Info : due diligence assessment. Number of Aboriginal sites and Aboriginal objects found is 113

This information is not guaranteed to be free from error omission. Office of Environment and Heritage (NSW) and its employees disclaim liability for any act done or omission made on the information and consequences of such acts or omission.

Page 1 of 8

Office of Environment & Heritage

AHIMS Web Services (AWS)

Extensive search - Site list report

Your Ref/PO Number : Taminda_2
Client Service ID : 373803

SiteID	SiteName	Datum	Zone	Easting	Northing	Context	Site Status	SiteFeatures	SiteTypes	Reports
	Contact T Russell	Recorders	Mr.Bruce Cohen					Permits		
29-2-0106	Hills Plain 1	AGD	56	303060	6562750	Open site	Valid	Stone Quarry : -, Artefact : -	Open Camp Site,Quarry	
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0303	Calala Creek IP/1	AGD	56	303753	6555292	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		102239
	Contact	Recorders	Ivan Johnson,Mr.Patrick Gaynor					Permits	3351,3360	
29-2-0304	Calala Creek IP/2	AGD	56	303454	6555394	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 2		102239
	Contact	Recorders	Mr.Patrick Gaynor					Permits	3351,3360	
29-2-0337	RPS COMMON EAST 1	GDA	56	302362	6561938	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact	Recorders	RPS Australia East Pty Ltd -Hamilton,Ms.Laraine Nelson					Permits		
29-2-0338	RPS COMMON EAST 2	GDA	56	302463	6561926	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact	Recorders	RPS Australia East Pty Ltd -Hamilton,Ms.Laraine Nelson					Permits		
29-2-0339	RPS COMMON EAST 3	GDA	56	302540	6561926	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact	Recorders	RPS Australia East Pty Ltd -Hamilton,Ms.Laraine Nelson					Permits		
29-2-0104	Hills Plain 4	AGD	56	302870	6562370	Open site	Valid	Stone Quarry : -, Artefact : -	Quarry	
	Contact	Recorders	L McAdam					Permits		
29-2-0105	Hills Plain 3	AGD	56	303230	6562470	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0107	Hills Plain 2	AGD	56	303900	6562720	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Isolated Find	
	Contact	Recorders	Ian Johnson					Permits		
29-2-0103	Hills Plain 6	AGD	56	303030	6561150	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson,Leila McAdam,Ivan Johnson					Permits	2313,2356	
29-2-0132	Boltons Creek 4	AGD	56	294039	6561643	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 141		97360
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0134	Spring Creek 1 (SC 1)	AGD	56	302227	6561696	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 355		
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0135	Spring Creek 2 (SC 2)	AGD	56	302819	6561506	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0136	Spring Creek 3 (SC 3)	AGD	56	303075	6561229	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 16		
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0137	Spring Creek 4 (SC 4)	AGD	56	302224	6561500	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 9		
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0126	Kamilaroi Walking Track 1	AGD	56	304418	6558883	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 7		102239
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson,Leila McAdam,Ivan Johnson					Permits		

Report generated by AHIMS Web Service on 02/10/2018 for Lance Syme for the following area at Datum :GDA, Zone : 56, Eastings : 294806 - 303806, Northings : 6554701 - 6563701 with a Buffer of 1000 meters. Additional Info : due diligence assessment. Number of Aboriginal sites and Aboriginal objects found is 113

This information is not guaranteed to be free from error omission. Office of Environment and Heritage (NSW) and its employees disclaim liability for any act done or omission made on the information and consequences of such acts or omission.

Office of Environment & Heritage

AHIMS Web Services (AWS)

Extensive search - Site list report

Your Ref/PO Number : Taminda_2
Client Service ID : 373803

SiteID	SiteName	Datum	Zone	Easting	Northing	Context	Site Status	SiteFeatures	SiteTypes	Reports
29-2-0138	Spring Creek 5 (SC 5)	AGD	56	302395	6561151	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0139	Spring Creek 6-Tamworth	AGD	56	302634	6560739	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 5		
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0296	Wallamore Road ST1	GDA	56	296632	6560564	Open site	Valid	Modified Tree (Carved or Scarred) : -		
	Contact	Recorders	Ivan Johnson,Mr.Patrick Gaynor					Permits	3146,3152	
29-2-0172	Hills Plain IP/10	AGD	56	299790	6563340	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 3		
	Contact Searle	Recorders	Archaeological Surveys & Salvage					Permits	3153,3154	
29-2-0173	Hills Plain IP/11	AGD	56	299982	6562580	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 2		
	Contact Searle	Recorders	Archaeological Surveys & Salvage					Permits	3153,3154	
29-2-0174	Hills Plain IP/12	AGD	56	300073	6562594	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 2		
	Contact Searle	Recorders	Archaeological Surveys & Salvage					Permits	3153,3154	
29-2-0175	Hills Plain IP/13	AGD	56	300158	6562586	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact Searle	Recorders	Archaeological Surveys & Salvage					Permits	3153,3154	
29-2-0176	Hills Plain IP/14	AGD	56	300717	6562584	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact Searle	Recorders	Archaeological Surveys & Salvage					Permits		
29-2-0177	Hills Plain IP/15	AGD	56	300658	6562595	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact Searle	Recorders	Archaeological Surveys & Salvage					Permits		
29-2-0178	Barnes Gully IP/1	AGD	56	301256	6558659	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		99292
	Contact T Russell	Recorders	Archaeological Surveys & Salvage					Permits		
29-2-0214	Oakburn 3	AGD	56	294100	6560650	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		103183
	Contact T Russell	Recorders	Archaeological Surveys & Salvage					Permits		
29-2-0275	DTG/OC 2-Murroon Creek 2	GDA	56	295350	6555200	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 2		
	Contact	Recorders	Stephanie Garling					Permits		
29-2-0277	DTG/ST 1-Timbumburi Ck	GDA	56	298140	6556700	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact	Recorders	Stephanie Garling					Permits		
29-2-0280	Garawul Gurar 1	GDA	56	304300	6559750	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0281	Hill Plain 4	GDA	56	302870	6562370	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson,Leila McAdam,Ivan Johnson					Permits		
29-2-0282	Spring Creek ST 2	GDA	56	302622	6560484	Open site	Valid	Modified Tree (Carved or Scarred) : 1		
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson,Leila McAdam					Permits		

Report generated by AHIMS Web Service on 02/10/2018 for Lance Syme for the following area at Datum :GDA, Zone : 56, Eastings : 294806 - 303806, Northings : 6554701 - 6563701 with a Buffer of 1000 meters. Additional Info : due diligence assessment. Number of Aboriginal sites and Aboriginal objects found is 113

This information is not guaranteed to be free from error omission. Office of Environment and Heritage (NSW) and its employees disclaim liability for any act done or omission made on the information and consequences of such acts or omission.

Page 3 of 8

Office of Environment & Heritage

AHIMS Web Services (AWS)

Extensive search - Site list report

Your Ref/PO Number : Taminda_2

Client Service ID : 373803

SiteID	SiteName	Datum	Zone	Easting	Northing	Context	Site Status	SiteFeatures	SiteTypes	Reports
29-2-0285	WAB ST 1 (Wallamore Anabranch Scarred Tree 1)	GDA	56	300143	6559413	Open site	Valid	Modified Tree (Carved or Scarred) : -		
	Contact	**Recorders**	Janice Wilson,Leila McAdam					**Permits**		
25-2-0008	Heather Brae Scarred Tree	AGD	56	296468	6559903	Open site	Valid	Modified Tree (Carved or Scarred) : 1		
	Contact	**Recorders**	Ms.Suzanne Hudson					**Permits**		
29-2-0288	Garrieties Gully Historic Site	GDA	56	304637	6558345	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		102239
	Contact	**Recorders**	Janice Wilson,Leila McAdam					**Permits**		
29-2-0289	DTG/OC 1 Murron Creek 1	GDA	56	295400	6555200	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact	**Recorders**	Stephanie Garling					**Permits**		
29-2-0306	Tamworth Botanical Gardens Cultural Artefact Area & Scarred Tree Sections 1 & 2	GDA	56	304130	6559908	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 200, Modified Tree (Carved or Scarred) : 6		
	Contact Mr.Brian Allan	**Recorders**	Barry Cain					**Permits**		
25-2-0009	Marsupial Park 2	GDA	56	304648	6559347	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact	**Recorders**	PJG Consulting,Mr.Patrick Gaynor					**Permits**	3798	
29-2-0334	Heatherbrae 1	GDA	56	296064	6559045	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact	**Recorders**	Mr.Adrian Piper					**Permits**		
29-2-0335	Heather brae 2	GDA	56	295698	6559035	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact	**Recorders**	Mr.Adrian Piper					**Permits**		
29-2-0336	Heather brea 3	GDA	56	297071	6559146	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact	**Recorders**	Mr.Adrian Piper					**Permits**		
29-2-0346	VICTORIA PARK SITE 1	GDA	56	303994	6559895	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact	**Recorders**	Tamworth LALC,Mr.Donnie (Chris) Fermor					**Permits**		
29-2-0347	Victoria Park 2	GDA	56	303676	6557653	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact	**Recorders**	Tamworth LALC,Mr.Donnie (Chris) Fermor					**Permits**		
29-2-0093	DTG/OC1 - Murroon Creek 1	AGD	56	295400	6555200	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	
	Contact	**Recorders**	Mr.Mark Rawson					**Permits**		
29-2-0094	DTG/ST1 - Timbumduri Creek	AGD	56	298140	6556700	Open site	Valid	Modified Tree (Carved or Scarred) : -	Scarred Tree	
	Contact	**Recorders**	Mr.Mark Rawson					**Permits**		
29-2-0092	DTG/OC2 - Murroon Creek 2	AGD	56	295350	6555200	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	
	Contact	**Recorders**	Stephanie Garling					**Permits**		

Report generated by AHIMS Web Service on 02/10/2018 for Lance Syme for the following area at Datum :GDA, Zone : 56, Eastings : 294806 - 303806, Northings : 6554701 - 6563701 with a Buffer of 1000 meters. Additional Info : due diligence assessment. Number of Aboriginal sites and Aboriginal objects found is 113

This information is not guaranteed to be free from error omission. Office of Environment and Heritage (NSW) and its employees disclaim liability for any act done or omission made on the information and consequences of such acts or omission.

Page 4 of 8

Office of Environment & Heritage

AHIMS Web Services (AWS)

Extensive search - Site list report

Your Ref/PO Number : Taminda_2
Client Service ID : 373803

SiteID	SiteName	Datum	Zone	Easting	Northing	Context	Site Status	SiteFeatures	SiteTypes	Reports
29-2-0012	Tamworth Oxley Lookout	AGD	56	304400	6559500	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	102239
	Contact	Recorders	Eugene Stockton					Permits		
29-2-0060	Marengo 3	AGD	56	303750	6561700	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Isolated Find	
	Contact	Recorders	J.M Wilson,Archaeological Surveys & Salvage					Permits		
29-2-0061	Marengo 4	AGD	56	304250	6561850	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -, Aboriginal Ceremony and Dreaming : -		
	Contact	Recorders	J.M Wilson,Archaeological Surveys & Salvage					Permits		
29-2-0062	Marengo 5	AGD	56	304320	6561800	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Isolated Find	
	Contact	Recorders	J.M Wilson,Archaeological Surveys & Salvage					Permits		
29-2-0068	Marengo 5.	AGD	56	304320	6561800	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Isolated Find	
	Contact	Recorders	J.M Wilson,Archaeological Surveys & Salvage					Permits		
29-2-0069	Marengo 4.	AGD	56	304250	6561850	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	
	Contact	Recorders	J.M Wilson,Archaeological Surveys & Salvage					Permits		
29-2-0070	Marengo 3.	AGD	56	303950	6561700	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Isolated Find	
	Contact	Recorders	J.M Wilson,Archaeological Surveys & Salvage					Permits		
29-2-0071	Marengo 2	AGD	56	303750	6561500	Open site	Valid	Stone Quarry : -, Artefact : -	Quarry	
	Contact	Recorders	J.M Wilson,Archaeological Surveys & Salvage					Permits		
29-2-0072	Marengo 1;	AGD	56	303820	6563250	Open site	Valid	Stone Quarry : -, Artefact : -	Quarry	
	Contact	Recorders	J.M Wilson,Archaeological Surveys & Salvage					Permits		
29-2-0073	Garawul Gurar	AGD	56	304300	6559750	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	3487,102239
	Contact	Recorders	Archaeological Surveys & Salvage					Permits	1129,1645	
29-2-0076	Oakburn 1	AGD	56	293800	6560750	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Isolated Find	103183
	Contact	Recorders	J.M Wilson,Archaeological Surveys & Salvage ,Mr.Richard Kelly					Permits	1139	
29-2-0077	Oakburn 2	AGD	56	294200	6560450	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Isolated Find	103183
	Contact	Recorders	J.M Wilson,Archaeological Surveys & Salvage ,Mr.Richard Kelly					Permits	1139	
29-5-0028	Marengo 1	AGD	56	303820	6563250	Open site	Valid	Stone Quarry : -, Artefact : -	Quarry	
	Contact	Recorders	Archaeological Surveys & Salvage ,Mr.Jason Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0298	Wallamore Road ST 3	GDA	56	296744	6560659	Open site	Valid	Modified Tree (Carved or Scarred) : -		
	Contact	Recorders	Mr.Patrick Gaynor					Permits	3146,3152	

Report generated by AHIMS Web Service on 02/10/2018 for Lance Syme for the following area at Datum :GDA, Zone : 56, Eastings : 294806 - 303806, Northings : 6554701 - 6563701 with a Buffer of 1000 meters. Additional Info : due diligence assessment. Number of Aboriginal sites and Aboriginal objects found is 113

This information is not guaranteed to be free from error omission. Office of Environment and Heritage (NSW) and its employees disclaim liability for any act done or omission made on the information and consequences of such acts or omission.

Office of Environment & Heritage

AHIMS Web Services (AWS)

Extensive search - Site list report

Your Ref/PO Number : Taminda_2
Client Service ID : 373803

SiteID	SiteName	Datum	Zone	Easting	Northing	Context	Site Status	SiteFeatures	SiteTypes	Reports
29-2-0299	Wallamore Road ST 4	GDA	56	296654	6560600	Open site	Valid	Modified Tree (Carved or Scarred) : -		
	Contact	Recorders	P.J Gaynor Consultant Archaeologist,Ivan Johnson					Permits	3146,3152	
29-2-0300	Wallamore Road ST 5	GDA	56	296645	6560564	Open site	Valid	Modified Tree (Carved or Scarred) : -		
	Contact	Recorders	P.J Gaynor Consultant Archaeologist					Permits	3146,3152	
29-2-0148	Garrieties Gully 1	AGD	56	304217	6558077	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0149	Garrieties Gully 2	AGD	56	304489	6558108	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 4		102239
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0140	Spring Creek 7 - Tamworth	AGD	56	302181	6560958	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 7		
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0141	Spring Creek ST2	AGD	56	302622	6560484	Open site	Valid	Modified Tree (Carved or Scarred) : 1		
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0143	Garawul Gurar 2	AGD	56	304650	6559354	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 600		102239
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0145	Garawul Gurar 4	AGD	56	304482	6559324	Open site	Valid	Art (Pigment or Engraved) : 16		102239
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0146	Garawul Gurar 5	AGD	56	304166	6559439	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 22		102239
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0147	Garawul Gurar 6	AGD	56	303934	6559492	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		102239
	Contact	Recorders	Janice Wilson					Permits		
29-2-0236	Tamworth Hills Plain 2 (THP 2)	AGD	56	301292	6563749	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 7		
	Contact T Russell	Recorders	Mrs.Angela Besant					Permits		
29-2-0237	THP 5	AGD	56	301133	6564104	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 6		
	Contact T Russell	Recorders	Mrs.Angela Besant					Permits		
29-2-0238	THP 4	AGD	56	301455	6564119	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact T Russell	Recorders	Mrs.Angela Besant					Permits		
29-2-0239	THP 3	AGD	56	301500	6563843	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact T Russell	Recorders	Mrs.Angela Besant					Permits		
29-2-0240	THP 7	AGD	56	301363	6563089	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact T Russell	Recorders	Mrs.Angela Besant					Permits		

Report generated by AHIMS Web Service on 02/10/2018 for Lance Syme for the following area at Datum :GDA, Zone : 56, Eastings : 294806 - 303806, Northings : 6554701 - 6563701 with a Buffer of 1000 meters. Additional Info : due diligence assessment. Number of Aboriginal sites and Aboriginal objects found is 113

This information is not guaranteed to be free from error omission. Office of Environment and Heritage (NSW) and its employees disclaim liability for any act done or omission made on the information and consequences of such acts or omission.

Page 6 of 8

Office of Environment & Heritage
NSW GOVERNMENT

AHIMS Web Services (AWS)

Extensive search - Site list report

Your Ref/PO Number : Taminda_2
Client Service ID : 373803

SiteID	SiteName	Datum	Zone	Easting	Northing	Context	Site Status	SiteFeatures	SiteTypes	Reports
29-2-0241	THP6	AGD	56	301498	6563160	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact: T Russell	Recorders: Mrs.Angela Besant						Permits:		
29-2-0212	Glenmore IP/5	AGD	56	300156	6564370	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact: Searle	Recorders: Archaeological Surveys & Salvage						Permits:	2663	
29-2-0258	Rockleigh 1P/3	AGD	56	299425	6563918	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact:	Recorders: Archaeological Surveys & Salvage ,Tamworth LALC,Ivan Johnson						Permits:	3125,3148	
29-2-0260	Rockleigh 1P/2	AGD	56	299222	6564284	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 24		
	Contact:	Recorders: Archaeological Surveys & Salvage ,Ivan Johnson						Permits:	3125,3148	
29-2-0261	Rockleigh 1P/1	AGD	56	299223	6564206	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 10		
	Contact:	Recorders: Archaeological Surveys & Salvage ,Ivan Johnson						Permits:	3125,3148	
29-2-0330	Spring Creek Bridge Site 1	AGD	56	302279	6561066	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact: Tamworth LALC	Recorders: Mr.Patrick Gaynor						Permits:		
29-2-0325	GG05	GDA	56	302372	6553905	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact:	Recorders: Mr.Tim Robins						Permits:		
29-2-0326	GG06	GDA	56	302437	6553821	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact:	Recorders: Mr.Tim Robins						Permits:		
29-2-0328	GG03	GDA	56	302390	6554565	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact:	Recorders: Mr.Tim Robins						Permits:		
29-2-0329	GG01	GDA	56	302063	6554587	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact:	Recorders: Mr.Tim Robins						Permits:		
29-2-0309	Restriction applied. Please contact ahims@environment.nsw.gov.au.					Open site	Valid			103085,103086
	Contact:	Recorders: Barry Cain,Mr.Russell Reid						Permits:		
29-2-0331	Boltons Creek 01	GDA	56	294105	6561724	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact:	Recorders: Mr.Adrian Piper						Permits:		
29-2-0314	DR05	GDA	56	299361	6553823	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact:	Recorders: Mr.Tim Robins						Permits:		
29-2-0315	DR06	GDA	56	299223	6554130	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact:	Recorders: Mr.Tim Robins						Permits:		
29-2-0316	DR07	GDA	56	299053	6554428	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact:	Recorders: Mr.Tim Robins						Permits:		
29-2-0317	DR08	GDA	56	299161	6554386	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact:	Recorders: Mr.Tim Robins						Permits:		
29-2-0318	DR09	GDA	56	299201	6554255	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact:	Recorders: Mr.Tim Robins						Permits:		

Report generated by AHIMS Web Service on 02/10/2018 for Lance Syme for the following area at Datum :GDA, Zone : 56, Eastings : 294806 - 303806, Northings : 6554701 - 6563701 with a Buffer of 1000 meters. Additional Info : due diligence assessment. Number of Aboriginal sites and Aboriginal objects found is 113

This information is not guaranteed to be free from error omission. Office of Environment and Heritage (NSW) and its employees disclaim liability for any act done or omission made on the information and consequences of such acts or omission.

Page 7 of 8

Office of Environment & Heritage

AHIMS Web Services (AWS)

Extensive search - Site list report

Your Ref/PO Number : Taminda_2

Client Service ID : 373803

SiteID	SiteName	Datum	Zone	Easting	Northing	Context	Site Status	SiteFeatures	SiteTypes	Reports
29-2-0319	DR10-1	GDA	56	299249	6554138	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact	Recorders	Mr.Tim Robins					Permits		
29-2-0320	DR11-1	GDA	56	299312	6554072	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact	Recorders	Mr.Tim Robins					Permits		
29-2-0321	DR12-1	GDA	56	298176	6553878	Open site	Valid	Modified Tree (Carved or Scarred) : 1, Artefact : 1		
	Contact	Recorders	Mr.Tim Robins					Permits		
29-2-0322	DR13-1	GDA	56	298252	6553818	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1, Modified Tree (Carved or Scarred) : 1		
	Contact	Recorders	Mr.Tim Robins					Permits		
29-2-0323	DR14-1	GDA	56	298142	6553755	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact	Recorders	Mr.Tim Robins					Permits		

Report generated by AHIMS Web Service on 02/10/2018 for Lance Syme for the following area at Datum :GDA, Zone : 56, Eastings : 294806 - 303806, Northings : 6554701 - 6563701 with a Buffer of 1000 meters. Additional Info : due diligence assessment. Number of Aboriginal sites and Aboriginal objects found is 113

This information is not guaranteed to be free from error omission. Office of Environment and Heritage (NSW) and its employees disclaim liability for any act done or omission made on the information and consequences of such acts or omission.

Page 8 of 8

Appendix VI. Due Diligence Decision Process (DECCW, 2010b, p. 1 & 10)





Banks, R. G. (2001). *Soil Landscapes of the Tamworth 1:100,000 Sheet (Calala, Currabubula, Duri, Nemingha, Quirindi, Tamworth, Werris Creek)*. Sydney: Department of Land and Water Conservation, Sydney.

Boileau, J. (2007). *Thematic History of Nundle, Manilla and Barraba: Tamworth Regional Council Community Based Heritage Study*. Report for Tamworth Regional Council.

BOM. (2018). Rainfall Data from Tamworth Airport AWS. Retrieved from http://www.bom.gov.au/jsp/ncc/cdio/weatherData/av?p_nccObsCode=136&p_display_type=dailyDataFile&p_startYear=&p_c=&p_stn_num=055325

Carey, J. (2006). *Tamworth and Districts: Early History*. Report for Tamworth Regional Council: Tamworth.

DECCW. (2010a). *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales*. Sydney South: Department of Enviroment, Climate Change and Water NSW.

DECCW. (2010b). *Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales*. Sydney South: Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water NSW.

Everick Heritage Consultants. (2015). *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment, Glen Artney Industrial Development, West Tamworth NSW*. Prepared for GHD and Tamworth Regional Council.

Jonathan Falk Planning Consultants Pty Ltd, & Rodney Jensen and Associates Pty Ltd. (1988). *Tamworth Heritage Study: Final Report.* (Vol. 1: Heritage Study). Prepared for Tamworth City Council and Department of Environment and Planning

Murphy, B. W., & Murphy, C. L. (2000). The Soil Profile. In B. W. Murphy (Ed.), *Soils: their properties and management* (pp. 70-82). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service. (2003). The Nandewar Bioregion. In The Bioregions of New South Wales: their biodiversity, conservation and history (pp. 145-156). Sydney: NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service.

Tindale, N. B. (1974). *Aboriginal Tribes of Australia - Their Terrain, Environmental Controls, Distribution, Limits and Proper Names*. Canberra: Australian National University Press.

Wilson, J., & McAdam, L. (2000). *The Tamworth Aboriginal/Archaeological Site Study*. Prepared for Tamworth City Council.

7.7 Economic Impacts and Demand

7.8 Non-Aboriginal Heritage

Proposed Industrial Rezoning, 21 Wallamore Road (Lot 2 DP1234850) & 55 Dampier Street (Lot 3 DP1234850), Taminda, Tamworth Regional Council LGA, NSW

Statement of Heritage Impact

Prepared for Elton Consulting on behalf of Tamworth Industrial Pty Ltd

March 2019

Lance Syme, Natalie Stiles and Meggan Walker

KAYANDEL
ARCHAEOLOGICAL SERVICES

☎ 02 4627 8622
🖷 02 4605 0815
Info@kayandel.com

Document Status

Version No.	Purpose of Document	Orig	Review	Review Date	Approval for Issue	Date Issued
1.0	Internal Edits	MW	NS	22/10/2018	LS	11/12/2018
1.1	Client Review	NS	JF	12/12/2018	LS	20/12/2018
2.0	Final Report	NS	LS	20/12/2018	LS	20/12/2018
2.1	Amendments to Figure 1 to Figure 5, and Section 1.1	NS	LS	1/03/2019	LS	1/03/2019

© 2019 Kayandel Archaeological Services

This document is and shall remain the property of Kayandel Archaeological Services. The document may only be used for the purposes for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Terms of Engagement for the commission. Unauthorised use of this document in any form whatsoever is prohibited.

CONTENTS

1 Introduction 1
1.1 Location of the Subject Area 1
1.2 Proposed Works 1
1.3 Study Limitations 1
1.4 Authorship 1
2 Applicable Policy and Legislation 5
2.1 Commonwealth Legislation 5
2.1.1 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage Protection Act 1984 5
2.1.2 Environment Protection & Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act) 5
2.1.3 Native Title Act 1993 (Amended) 6
2.2 New South Wales Legislation 6
2.2.1 Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 6
2.2.2 National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 7
2.2.3 The Heritage Act 1977 (NSW) (Amended 1999) and the NSW Heritage Division 7
2.2.3.1 State Heritage Register Search 8
2.2.3.2 State Heritage Inventory Search 8
2.3 Local Government Controls 8
2.3.1 Tamworth Regional Local Environment Plan (2010) 8
2.3.1.1 Tamworth Regional LEP 2010 Schedule 5 Search Results 9
2.4 Non-Statutory Listings 9
2.4.1 Register of the National Estate Search 9
3 Historical Overview 12
3.1 Local Indigenous Groups 12
3.2 The Beginnings of Settlement of Tamworth 12
3.3 Farming at Tamworth 13
3.4 Nineteenth & Twentieth Centuries in Tamworth (Taminda) 13
4 Significance Assessment 17
4.1 Significance Criteria 17
4.2 Assessment of Significance 18
4.3 Statement of Significance 19
5 Heritage Impact Statement 20
5.1 Heritage Impact Statement 21

6 Obligations and Recommendations 22

6.1 Obligations 22

6.2 Recommendations 22

7 References 24

Appendix I. State Heritage Register Search Results 25

Appendix II. State Heritage Inventory Search – Tamworth 26

Appendix III. Register of the National Estate Search Results 37

Appendix IV. Tamworth Regional Council Local Environment Plan 2010 38

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: KAS personnel involved with the preparation of this report 2

Table 2: State Heritage Inventory Items in the vicinity of the Subject Area 8

Table 3: Tamworth Regional LEP 2010 Schedule 5 Items in proximity to the Subject Area 9

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Project Location 3

Figure 2: Subject Area 4

Figure 3: Heritage Item in Proximity 11

Figure 4: Map of the County of Perry - 1902 15

Figure 5: Map of the County of Perry - 1964 16

This Page is intentionally left Blank

1 INTRODUCTION

Kayandel Archaeological Services (KAS) has been commissioned by Elton Consulting on behalf of Tamworth-Industrial Pty Ltd (the Proponent) to prepare a Statement of Heritage Impact (SoHI) for the proposed industrial rezoning of the properties 21 Wallamore Road (Lot 2 DP1234850) and 55 Dampier Street (Lot 3 DP1234850), Taminda, NSW.

This SoHI was undertaken as a desktop study, with consideration of the plans prepared by Eltons Consulting (dated 22/10/2018) and of the appropriate Local Government Legislation.

This report has been developed to satisfy the requirements of the Heritage Office Guidelines (HO & DUAP, 2002), the Heritage Office Manual (NSW Heritage Office, 1996) and the Burra Charter (ICOMOS, 1999) and demonstrates the suitability of the development on the site.

1.1 Location of the Subject Area

The Subject Area is located within the Tamworth Regional Council (TRC) Local Government Area (LGA) in the Parish of Murroon, and County of Parry (refer to Figure 1).

The Subject Area consists of the 21 Wallamore Road (Lot 2 DP1234850) & 55 Dampier Street (Lot 3 DP1234850), Taminda (refer to Figure 2). The Subject Area covers approximately 11.35ha.

1.2 Proposed Works

Lot 3 DP1234850 is currently zoned as RU4 (Primary Production Small Lots) under the TRC Local Environment Plan 2010 (LEP).

The Proponent has proposed that the Subject Area is rezoned to IN1 (General Industrial).

1.3 Study Limitations

This report is based on a review of available Historic archaeological assessments (sourced from the Heritage Branch library, grey literature and KAS' report library). It is possible that further Historic archaeological assessments or the emergence of new analysis of the Historic archaeological landscape within the Tamworth area may support different interpretations of the evidence in this report.

This report is based exclusively upon desktop assessment.

The 'Statement of Significance' (Section 4.3) made in this report is a combination of both facts and interpretation of those facts in accordance with a standard set of assessment criteria. It is possible that another professional may interpret the Historic archaeological landscape within the Tamworth area and physical evidence in a different way.

A summary of the statutory requirements regarding heritage is provided in Section 2. This is made based on our experience of working with the NSW Aboriginal heritage and European heritage systems and does not purport to be legal advice. It should be noted that legislation, regulations, and guidelines change over time and users of this report should satisfy themselves that the statutory requirements have not changed since the report was written.

1.4 Authorship

This study has been carried out by Kayandel Archaeological Services (KAS). Background research was undertaken by Meggan Walker. Natalie Stiles completed the mapping. This report was drafted by Meggan Walker; and reviewed by Natalie Stiles and Lance Syme.

Person	Qualification	Experience	Tasks
Lance Syme	BArts (Arch/Paleo), Grad. Dip (Heritage Cons.), M. ICOMOS	20 years	Project Supervision, mapping, report review
Natalie Stiles	BArts (Arch/Paleo), Grad Cert. Arts (Arch), MGIS&RemoteSens	7 years	Mapping & GIS, report review
Meggan Walker	BArts (Arch/Ancient) (Hons)	<1 year	Background research, report drafting

Table 1: KAS personnel involved with the preparation of this report



Figure 1: Project Location

Figure 2: Subject Area

2 APPLICABLE POLICY AND LEGISLATION

European and Aboriginal cultural heritage in Australia is protected and managed under a variety of legislation. The following section provides a brief summary of the Acts which are relevant to the management of cultural heritage in NSW. It is important to note that these Acts are presented as a guide and are not legal interpretations of legislation by the consultant.

2.1 Commonwealth Legislation

2.1.1 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage Protection Act 1984

The purpose of the *Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage Protection Act 1984* (Heritage Protection Act) is the preservation and protection from injury or desecration of areas and objects in Australia and in Australian waters that are of particular significance to Aboriginal people in accordance with Aboriginal tradition.

Under the Heritage Protection Act the responsible Minister can make temporary or long-term declarations to protect areas and objects of significance under threat of injury or desecration. The Act can, in certain circumstances, override state and territory provisions, or it can be implemented in circumstances where state or territory provisions are lacking or are not enforced. The Act must be invoked by or on behalf of an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander or organisation.

2.1.2 Environment Protection & Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act)

The *Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act) took effect on 16 July 2000. Under Part 9 of the EPBC Act, any action that has, or is likely to have, a significant impact on a matter of National Environmental Significance (known as a controlled action under the Act), may only progress with approval of the Commonwealth Minister for the Environment. An action is defined as a project, development, undertaking, activity (or series of activities), or alteration to any of these. Where an exception applies, an action will also require approval if:

It is undertaken on Commonwealth land and will have or is likely to have a significant impact;

1. It is undertaken outside Commonwealth land and will have or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment on Commonwealth land; and,
2. It is undertaken by the Commonwealth and will have or is likely to have a significant impact.

Under Section 28 subsection (1) "The Commonwealth or Commonwealth Agency must not take inside or outside Australian jurisdiction an action that has, will have, or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment inside or outside Australian jurisdiction." The EPBC Act defines 'environment' as both natural and cultural environments and therefore Aboriginal and historic cultural heritage items included on the Register of the National Estate are regarded as part of the cultural environment.

Recently, Australia has changed legislation that protects its national heritage places. Three new laws came into effect in January 2004 and are essentially a combination of previous heritage system with a number of changes that include the establishment of a National Heritage List (NHL) and a Commonwealth Heritage List (CHL).

The National Heritage List records places with outstanding natural and cultural heritage values that contribute to Australia's National identity. The Commonwealth Heritage List will comprise natural, Aboriginal and historic places owned or managed by the Commonwealth. The new laws provide changes that offer greater legal protection under the existing *Environment Conservation and*

Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act). Under the new system, National Heritage will join six other important 'matters of national environmental significance' (NES) already protected by the EPBC Act.

The three new Acts are:

- The *Environment and Heritage Legislation Amendment Act (No.1) 2003*;
- The *Australian Heritage Council Act 2003*; and
- The *Australian Heritage Council (Consequential and Transitional Provisions) Act 2003.*

Approval under the EPBC Act is required if you are proposing to take an action that will have, or is likely to have, a significant impact on the National Heritage values of a National Heritage place and/or any other NES matter. This action must be referred to the Australian Government Minister for the Environment and Heritage. The Minister will decide whether an action will, or is likely to, have a significant impact on a matter of national environmental significance.

The heritage provisions of the EPBC Act allow for a transition period whilst the National and Commonwealth Heritage Lists are finalised. During this transition period the Register of the National Estate acts in conjunction with the formative National and Commonwealth lists to provide full coverage for items already identified as having cultural heritage significance.

2.1.3 Native Title Act 1993 (Amended)

The *Native Title Act of 1993*, as amended, recognises and protects native title, and provides that native title cannot be extinguished contrary to the Act. The National Native Title Tribunal (NNTT) is a Commonwealth Government agency set up under this Act to mediate native title claims under the direction of the Federal Court of Australia.

The National Native Title Tribunal maintains the following registers:

- National Native Title Register;
- Register of Native Title Claims;
- Unregistered Claimant Applications; and,
- Register of Indigenous Land Use Agreements.

The objective of a search of the NNTT registers is to identify possible Aboriginal Stakeholders that would not perhaps receive representation as part of the Local Aboriginal Land council or Elders groups.

2.2 New South Wales Legislation

The following New South Wales legislation protects aspects of cultural heritage and is relevant to development activities in the Subject Area.

2.2.1 Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

The EP&A Act requires that consideration be given to environmental impacts as part of the land use planning process. This includes impacts on Aboriginal and non–Aboriginal cultural heritage items and places. The Act also requires that Local Government Areas (LGAs) prepare Local Environmental Plans (LEP) and Development Control Plans (DCP) in accordance with the Act to provide guidance on the level of environmental assessment required. LEPs often list locally significant heritage items. Three parts of the EP&A Act are most relevant to Heritage. Part 3 relates to planning instruments, including those at local and regional levels; Part 4 controls development assessment processes; and Part 5 refers to approvals by determining authorities.

2.2.2 National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974

The *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974* provides for protection of Aboriginal objects (sites, relics and cultural material) and Aboriginal places. Under the Act (Section 5), an Aboriginal object is defined as:

> *any deposit, object or material evidence (not being a handicraft for sale) relating to indigenous and non-European habitation of the area that comprises New South Wales, being habitation both prior to and concurrent with the occupation of that area by persons of European extraction, and includes Aboriginal remains.*

An Aboriginal place is defined under this Act as an area that has been declared by the Minister administering the *National Parks and Wildlife Act* as a place of special significance for Aboriginal culture. It may or may not contain physical Aboriginal objects.

Under Section 86 of the Act it is an offence to knowingly destroy, deface, damage or desecrate, or cause or permit the destruction, defacement, damage or desecration of, an Aboriginal object or Aboriginal place, without the prior written consent from the Director-General of the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH). In order to obtain such consent, a Section 90 Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP) application must be submitted and approved by the OEH Director-General. In considering whether to issue a permit under Section 90, OEH will take into account:

- The objectives and justifications for the proposed activity;
- The appropriateness of the methodology to achieve the objectives of the proposed activity;
- The significance of the Aboriginal object(s) or place(s) subject to the proposed impacts;
- The effect of the proposed impacts and the mitigation measures proposed;
- The alternatives to the proposed impacts;
- The conservation outcomes that will be achieved if impact is permitted;
- The outcomes of the Aboriginal community consultation regarding the proposed impact and conservation outcomes;
- The views of the Aboriginal community about the proposed activity; and,
- The knowledge, skills, and experience of the nominated person (s) to adequately undertake the proposed activity.

Under Section 89A of the Act it is a requirement to notify the OEH Director-General of the location of an Aboriginal object. Identified Aboriginal items and sites are registered with the NSW OEH on AHIMS.

2.2.3 The Heritage Act 1977 (NSW) (Amended 1999) and the NSW Heritage Division

The *Heritage Act 1977* is the primary piece of State legislation affording protection to all items of environmental heritage (natural and cultural) in New South Wales. "Items of environmental heritage" include places, buildings, works, relics, moveable objects and precincts identified as significant based on historical, scientific, cultural, social, archaeological, architectural, natural or aesthetic values. A 'Place' is defined as an area of land, with or without improvements and a 'Relic' is defined as any deposit, object or material evidence that relates to the settlement of the area that comprises New South Wales, not being Aboriginal settlement, and that is 50 years or more old The *Heritage Act 1977*, established the Heritage Council of NSW, provides advice and recommendations to the Minister for Planning relating to conservation and management of items of environmental heritage. The Heritage Council is also required to maintain a database of items of

State heritage significance: The State Heritage Register (SHR), a database of items of both State and local heritage significance and the State Heritage Inventory (SHI).

If the Heritage Council believes that a heritage item or place needs to be conserved, it can make a recommendation to the Minister, who decides whether to place protection on that item. There are two types of protection available: interim heritage orders and listing on the State Heritage Register. These forms of protection are 'binding directions', which means that the heritage item that is protected in one of these ways cannot be demolished, redeveloped or altered without permission from the Heritage Council.

The *Heritage Act 1977* does not apply to Aboriginal "relics" (any deposit, object or material evidence). These items are protected under the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974*; however, some aspects of Aboriginal cultural heritage management and protection are covered by provisions of the *Heritage Act 1977*.

The Heritage Council NSW can recommend that the Minister of Planning make Interim Protection Orders (IPOs) to preserve areas of land that have natural, scientific or cultural significance that can include land with Aboriginal places or relics on it.

Particular Aboriginal places and items that the community has formally recognised as being of high cultural value can also be listed on the State Heritage Register. This provides an extra level of protection in addition to that provided by the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974*.

2.2.3.1 State Heritage Register Search

A search of the State Heritage Register for Taminda was carried out as part of preparing this SoHI (refer to Appendix I). No items listed on the State Heritage Register were identified within and/or abutting the Subject Area.

2.2.3.2 State Heritage Inventory Search

A search of the State Heritage Inventory (SHI) for Tamworth was undertaken as part of preparing this SoHI (refer to Appendix II). Table 2 shows the SHI places that are in proximity to the Subject Area.

Item	Address	Database Number	Significance	Relationship to the Subject Area
Tamworth Grandstand	Britten Road	2470010	Local	In the vicinity of the Subject Area (approximately 270m)

Table 2: State Heritage Inventory Items in the vicinity of the Subject Area

2.3 Local Government Controls

2.3.1 Tamworth Regional Local Environment Plan (2010)

Heritage is dealt with under Section 5.10 and Schedule 5 of the Tamworth Regional Local Environment Plan (LEP) 2010. Section 5.10 (1) outlines the objectives of the clause, including:

(a) to conserve Aboriginal objects and Aboriginal places of heritage significance.
(b) to conserve the heritage significance of heritage items and heritage conservation areas, including associated fabric, settings and views,
(c) to conserve archaeological sites,
(d) to conserve Aboriginal objects and Aboriginal places of heritage significance.

The clause states that development consent is required for the following activities:

(a) Demolishing or moving a heritage item, Aboriginal Object, or building within a conservation area;
(b) Altering a heritage item that is a building by making structural changes to its interior or by making changes to anything inside the item that is specified in Schedule 5 in relation to the item;
(c) Disturbing or excavating an archaeological site;
(d) Disturbing or excavating an Aboriginal place of heritage significance;
(e) Erecting a building on land on which an Aboriginal object is located, or that is within an Aboriginal place of significance;
(f) Subdividing land on land on which an Aboriginal object is located, or that is within an Aboriginal place of significance.

Section 5.10 (8) Specifically applies to Aboriginal Places of Heritage Significance and outlines the level of assessment and notification which needs to be undertaken for development affecting Aboriginal places, prior to granting development consents.

Schedule 5 – Environmental Heritage lists places of heritage significance registered on the local listing. These items are primarily European and post-contact sites, however occasionally Aboriginal places are listed at the local level,

2.3.1.1 Tamworth Regional LEP 2010 Schedule 5 Search Results

A search of the Schedule 5 was undertaken for the Subject Area. The heritage map from the LEP pertinent to the Subject Area is included as Appendix IV. Table 3 (refer to Figure 3) lists the heritage items located in proximity to the Subject Area.

Item	Address	Database Number	Significance	Relationship to the Subject Area
Tamworth Grandstand	Britten Road	I307	Local	In the vicinity of the Subject Area (approximately 270m)

Table 3: Tamworth Regional LEP 2010 Schedule 5 Items in proximity to the Subject Area

2.4 Non-Statutory Listings

The National Trust of Australia (NSW) is a community-based organisation with independently constituted Trusts in each state and territory. The NSW National Trust compiles a heritage list primarily of historic places, but they also include some Aboriginal and natural places. Listing helps to provide recognition and promote public appreciation and concern for local heritage.

The National Trust Register has no legal foundation or statutory power but is recognised as an authoritative statement on the significance to the community of particular items and is held in high esteem by the public.

2.4.1 Register of the National Estate Search

A search of the Register of the National Estate was undertaken as part of preparing this SoHI (0).

The Register of the National Estate (RNE) was closed in 2007 and is no longer a statutory list.

The RNE is maintained on a non-statutory basis as a publicly available archive and educational resource.

Items entered in the RNE prior to its closure in 2007 as identified as “registered”. The existence of an entry for a place in the RNE does not in itself create a requirement to protect the place under

Commonwealth law. Nevertheless, information in the register may continue to be current and may be relevant to statutory decisions about protection.

No items listed on the RNE were identified within and/or abutting the Subject Area.



Figure 3: Heritage Items in Proximity

3 HISTORICAL OVERVIEW

3.1 Local Indigenous Groups

The oldest site identified within the Tamworth Region is Bendemeer II, dating to 4,950BP (Wilson & McAdam, 2000); although this is arguably a reflection of the lack of archaeological investigations within the region and preserved datable material rather than a reflection of actual occupation dates.

The Tamworth region and it's surrounds are generally accepted as having been originally inhabited by the Gamilaroi (also referred to as Komilaroi) people, who are recognised by researchers as the primary linguistic group for the greater New England Region (Tindale, 1974; Wilson & McAdam, 2000). Europeans identified a number of groups in the Tamworth region including the Goonoo Goonoo, Gunnedah, Manellae (people who traditionally utilised the Manilla River) and Moonb (Tindale, 1974). Carey (2006) dentifies two sub-communities of the Gamilaroi, who occupied the area now known as Tamworth: the Mooni, and the Goonoo Goonoo people. The Gamilaroi people spoke a range of dialects throughout the New England Region, with Wilson and McAdam (2000) commenting that there were two distinct groups – the Corbon Gamilaroi, who occupied areas surrounding the Peel River including Liverpool Plains, and the Gammon Gamilaroi, who occupied the southern part of the language territory.

European settlement had a devastating effect on the traditional life ways of the Kamilaroi. Violent conflicts between settlers and Aboriginal groups, disease and dispossession of land resulted in population decrease. Conflict in the district was not uncommon. Descendent of Thomas Bowman, one of the early landowners within the project area, passed on stories of Bowman working through the night to build fences to secure them from Aboriginal people (Boileau, 2007). One of the more violent recorded conflicts was the reprisal campaigns of Major James Nunn. Boileau (2007) describes the action thus:

> *"They travelled in a circuit north across the Nandewar Ranges through Archibald Bell's Barraba station, on to Thomas Hall's new station at Bingara, then to Snodgrass Lagoon on Waterloo Creek, midway between the Namoi and the Gwydir, where they massacred an estimated forty or fifty Aboriginal people who were camped there. On their return journey through the foothills the posse engaged in a typical frontier style 'mopping up' operation, hunting down and killing any Aborigine they encountered."*

3.2 The Beginnings of Settlement of Tamworth

The first Europeans to venture into the Tamworth region were led by John Oxley, in his expedition into the northern interior of New South Wales in 1818. Two further expeditions followed, Allan Cunningham's expedition skirted the western edge of Parry Shire in 1827, and Thomas Livingstone Mitchell's in 1831. The lands of the Peel River Valley were not the objective of these expeditions; however, Oxley named a number of the landscape features he saw along the journey, including the Peel River, named in honour of the Right Honourable Robert Peel. Thomas Livingstone Mitchell succeeded Oxley in the surveying of the area, preferring indigenous names for landscape features (EJE Town Planning, 2001). For example, Mount Barragundy was recorded as Warrigundi on his 1834 map and remained the official name for 130 years. The mountain was renamed Mount Terrible in 1967, and it is unsure if this name came from a convict corruption of the name Carrabobila to Terrible Billy, or as a result of the terrorisation of the Aboriginal people of New England. British

pastoralists followed these expeditions, as a result of Oxley's enthusiastic description of the Liverpool Plains and Peel Valley, stating (EJE Town Planning, 2001):

> *"it would be impossible to find a finer or more luxuriant country…the grass was most luxuriant; the timber good and not thick: in short no place in the world can afford more advantages to the industrious settler".*

3.3 Farming at Tamworth

The Australian Agricultural Company was the first major company to operate in the Tamworth region, with unbroken association from 1834 to 1985.

In 1833, 313,298 acres of the Liverpool Plains were granted to the Australian Agricultural Company, which was a British pastoral company (Jonathan Falk Planning Consultants Pty Ltd & Rodney Jensen and Associates Pty Ltd, 1988). Along with their sister company, the Peel River Land and Mineral Company, the Australian Agricultural Company owned most of the land west of Tamworth.

In the late 1820s, the government in Sydney introduced laws regarding Limits of Location – restricting settlement to a roughly 320km radius of Sydney. The Australian Agricultural Company's land grant exceeded this limit and encouraged individual pastoralists to also push these limits (EJE Town Planning, 2001). These settlers had no legal claim to lands, and simply set their cattle and sheep to pasture. Jonathan Falk Planning Consultants Pty Ltd and Rodney Jensen and Associates Pty Ltd (1988) commented that farming in the lands south of the Peel River primarily involved grazing animals on a temporary basis, with squatters using the land and shepherding their animals.

Sheep and cattle were grazed on the alluvial plains of the Peel River, and farming consisted primarily of crops such as wheat and, later, tobacco. The Tamworth region, specifically the Goonoo Goonoo estate owned by the Australian Agricultural Company, became known for wool (EJE Town Planning, 2001). The estate was fenced from the mid-1950s, which prevented the shepherding of sheep and was subsequently less cost effective (EJE Town Planning, 2001).

This ephemeral use of the land, and lack of legal claim, did not inspire these 'squatters' to make any improvements to the land, and thus no substantial buildings or structures were erected until after 1852 when minimal security was won by the squatters in the form of fourteen-year leases (EJE Town Planning, 2001).

The monopoly of the Australian Agricultural Company was brought to an end when the government resumed 99,519 acres under Closer Settlement legislation in 1909 (Jonathan Falk Planning Consultants Pty Ltd & Rodney Jensen and Associates Pty Ltd, 1988).

3.4 Nineteenth & Twentieth Centuries in Tamworth (Taminda)

The Tamworth region was one of the few large towns established outside of the metropolitan dominance of the regions of Sydney, Newcastle and Wollongong. Jonathan Falk Planning Consultants Pty Ltd and Rodney Jensen and Associates Pty Ltd (1988) attribute the success of Tamworth to the facilities provided to the region and the population.

The majority of the Tamworth region has historically been used for low intensity farming, involving sheep and cattle grazing on the plains. As mentioned in Section 3.3, the trial and success of more high intensity agricultural processes involving the planting of crops such as wheat, and later tobacco on the alluvial plains surrounding the Peel River in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries led to the expansion of farming in the area.

From the 1850s, Tamworth was a base for government services – initially land administration, police and the judiciary. With the extension of the railway line into West Tamworth in 1878 and East Tamworth in 1882, the city became a regional centre. Buildings such as the ANZ Bank, the Post Office and the Lands Department are considered by Jonathan Falk Planning Consultants Pty Ltd and Rodney Jensen and Associates Pty Ltd (1988) to be among the most outstanding built heritage items within the region.

In 1888, Tamworth became the first town in the colony to be lit by electricity (Jonathan Falk Planning Consultants Pty Ltd & Rodney Jensen and Associates Pty Ltd, 1988).

Between 1900-1902, the Tamworth region went into drought. The Australian Agricultural Company, by this point the Peel River Land and Mineral Company, suffered as a result. In 1908, the Wade government in New South Wales imposed a Compulsory Purchase Order on the company for 40,352 hectares in the vicinity of Tamworth (Including the current Subject Area). A further 32,805 acres were sold between 1925 and 1935, and a further 9,720 acres were compulsorily resumed for War Service Land Settlement in 1953. The remaining 4853ha was sold in auction in 1985, bringing the Company's monopoly in the region to a complete close (Jonathan Falk Planning Consultants Pty Ltd & Rodney Jensen and Associates Pty Ltd, 1988).

In 1908, domestic and industrial requirements for light and power led to the establishment of the local gas works, and a new power house was built in the town in 1922, providing power to the surrounding rural area – including Taminda (Jonathan Falk Planning Consultants Pty Ltd & Rodney Jensen and Associates Pty Ltd, 1988).

The Subject Area was part of the land grant to the Australian Agricultural Company (refer to Figure 4), that was included in a parcel of land that was subdivided and sold after the government resumed the land in 1909. The land remained zoned for farming.

Historic maps and land records show that in In June1909, Joseph Henry Starr purchased Lot 26 (Settlement Purchase No 1909/30), which corresponds to the eastern portion of the current Subject Area. In 1947, the Subject Area was outside of the bounds of the city of Tamworth; however, by 1964 the bounds of the city had changed and now encompassed the Subject Area (refer to Figure 5).



Figure 4: Map of the County of Parry - 1902



Figure 5: Map of the County of Parry - 1964

4 SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT

4.1 Significance Criteria

An assessment of heritage significance encompasses a range of heritage criteria and values. The heritage values of a site or place are broadly defined as the 'aesthetic, historic, scientific or social values for past, present or future generations' (NSW Heritage Office, 2001). This means a place can have different levels of heritage value and significance to different groups of people.

The archaeological significance of a site is commonly assessed in terms of historical and scientific values, particularly by what a site can tell us about past lifestyles and people. There is an accepted procedure for determining the level of significance of an archaeological site.

A detailed set of criteria for assessing the State's cultural heritage was published by the (then) NSW Heritage Office. These criteria are divided into two categories: nature of significance, and comparative significance. Heritage assessment criteria in NSW fall broadly within the four significance values outlined in the Burra Charter. The Burra Charter has been adopted by State and Commonwealth heritage agencies as the recognised document for guiding best practice for heritage practitioners in Australia. The four significance values are:

- Historic significance. Concerned with the aesthetical, scientific and social qualities of an item; identifying significance between an item, cultural significance and change. Item is significant due to its association with or position in the evolving pattern of our cultural history
- Aesthetic significance. Concerned with the scenic and architectural values of an item and/or the creative achievement that it evidences. To fulfil this criterion an item has visual or sensory appeal, landmark qualities or shows creative or technical excellence.
- Technical/research significance. Concerned with the evaluation of an item in technical and research terms, which takes into consideration the archaeological, educational, industrial or research potential of a site. Items have significance in terms of their ability to make a contribution to a better understanding of cultural history, or their ability to present information to a wider community.
- Social significance. Concerned with the contemporary community's appreciation of the cultural record. Classification relies upon the social, spiritual or cultural relationship of an item and the community.

The NSW Heritage Office issued a more detailed set of assessment criteria to provide consistency with heritage agencies in other States and to avoid ambiguity and misinterpretation. These criteria are based on The Burra Charter. The following SHR criteria were gazetted following amendments to the Heritage Act that came into effect in April 1999:

- Criterion (a) - an item is important in the course, or pattern, of NSW's cultural or natural history (or the cultural or natural history of the local area).
- Criterion (b) - an item has strong or special association with the life or works of a person, or group of persons, of importance in NSW's cultural or natural history (or the cultural or natural history of the local area).
- Criterion (c) - an item is important in demonstrating the aesthetic characteristics and/or a high degree of creative or technical achievement in NSW (or the local area).
- Criterion (d) - an item has strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group in NSW (or the local area) for social, cultural or spiritual reasons.

- Criterion (e) - an item has potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of NSW's cultural or natural history (or the cultural or natural history of the local area).
- Criterion (f) - an item possesses uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of NSW's cultural or natural history (or the cultural or natural history of the local area).
- Criterion (g) - an item is important in demonstrating the principal characteristics of a class of NSW's cultural or natural places; or cultural or natural environments; or a class of the local area's cultural or natural places; or cultural or natural environment.

4.2 Assessment of Significance

The following assessment of significance is made using the Heritage Office manual's criteria (NSW Heritage Office, 1996).

CRITERION A - An item is important in the course, pattern, of NSW's cultural or natural history (or the cultural or natural history of a local area).

There is no known association between the Subject Area with importance to NSW's cultural or natural history (or the cultural or natural history of a local area).

The Subject Area **does not** satisfy this criterion.

CRITERION B - An item has strong and special association associated with the life and work of a person or groups of persons of importance to NSW's cultural or natural history (or the cultural or natural history of a local area).

There is no known association between the Subject Area with the life and work of a person or groups of persons of importance to NSW's cultural or natural history (or the cultural or natural history of a local area). Historical Evidence suggests use of the land upon which the Subject Area is situated would have been ephemeral in the early European settlement of the region.

The Subject Area **does not** satisfy this criterion.

CRITERION C - An item is important in demonstrating aesthetic characteristics and or/ a high degree of creative or technical achievement in NSW (or a local area).

No items demonstrating aesthetic characteristics and or/a high degree of creative or technical achievement were identified within the Subject Area.

The Subject Area **does not** satisfy this criterion.

CRITERION D - An item has strong or special associations with a particular community or cultural group in NSW (or local area).

No items associated with any particular community or cultural group were identified within the Subject Area.

The Subject Area **does not** satisfy this criterion.

CRITERION E - An item has the potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of NSW's cultural or natural history (or the cultural or natural history of a local area).

No items with the potential to yield information contributing to an understanding of NSW's cultural or natural history were identified within the Subject Area.

The Subject Area **does not** satisfy this criterion.

CRITERION F - An item possesses uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of NSW's cultural or natural history (or the cultural or natural history of a local area).

No items possessing uncommon, rare of endangered aspects of NSWs cultural or natural history were identified within the Subject Area.

The Subject Area **does not** satisfy this criterion.

CRITERION G - An item is important in demonstrating the principal characteristics of a class of NSW's cultural or natural places or cultural or natural environments.

No items important in demonstrating the principal characteristics or a class of NSWs cultural or natural places or environments were identified within the Subject Area.

The Subject Area **does not** satisfy this criterion.

4.3 Statement of Significance

The Subject Area comprises a rural landscape that has been utilised for agricultural purposes, primarily grazing and crop farming, throughout its history. The history of the Subject Area does not indicate any built structures, given the suggestion that use of the land south of the Peel River was used by squatters in a temporary nature; as the Subject Area was outside the limits of settlement (Jonathan Falk Planning Consultants Pty Ltd & Rodney Jensen and Associates Pty Ltd, 1988).

This assessment has concluded that the Subject Area does not hold any significance at either a local or state level.

5 HERITAGE IMPACT STATEMENT

The discussion of impacts to heritage can be centred upon a series of questions which must be answered as part of a SoHI which frame the nature of impact to a heritage item. The Heritage Manual Guideline (NSW Heritage Office, 1996) Statements of Heritage Impact includes a series of questions to indicate the criterion which must be answered. These are:

- How is the proposed curtilage allowed around the heritage item appropriate?
- Could future development that results from this development compromise the significance of the heritage item? How has this been minimised?
    - Could future development that results from this development affect views to, and from, the heritage item?
- What has been done to minimise negative affects?

Based upon the discussion of Impacts to heritage items, impact to these items can be quantified under three main categories: direct impacts, indirect impacts and no impact. These kinds of impacts are dependent on the proposed impacts, nature of the heritage item and its associated curtilage.

Direct impacts

Direct impacts are where the completion of the proposed development will result in a physical loss or alteration to a heritage item which will impact the heritage value or significance of the place. Direct impacts can be divided into whole or partial impacts. Whole impacts essentially will result in the removal of a heritage item as a result of the development where as partial impacts normally constitute impacts to a curtilage or partial removal of heritage values. For the purposes of this assessment direct impacts to heritage items have been placed into the following categories:

- Physical impact - whole: where the development will have a whole impact on a heritage item resulting in the complete physical loss of significance attributed to the item.
- Physical impact - partial: where the project will have a partial impact on an item which could result in the loss or reduction in heritage significance. The degree of impact through partial impacts is dependent on the nature and setting of a heritage item. Typically, these impacts are minor impacts to a small proportion of a curtilage of an item or works occurring within the curtilage of a heritage item which may impact on its setting (i.e. gardens and plantings).

Indirect impacts

Indirect impacts to a heritage item relate to alterations to the environment or setting of a heritage item which will result in a loss of heritage value. This may include permanent or temporary visual, noise or vibration impacts caused during construction and after the completion of the development. Indirect impacts diminish the significance of an item through altering its relationship to its surroundings; this in turn impacts its ability to be appreciated for its historical, functional or aesthetic values. For the purposes of this assessment impacts to heritage items have been placed into the following categories:

- Visual impact;
- Noise impact; and,
- Vibration impact.

Cumulative impacts

Cumulative impacts relate to minimal or gradual impacts from a single or multiple development upon heritage values. A cumulative impact would constitute a minimal impact being caused by the proposed development which over time may result in the partial or total loss of heritage value to the Subject Area or associated heritage item. Cumulative impacts may need to be managed carefully over the prolonged period of time.

No impact

This is where the project does not constitute a measurable direct or indirect impact to the heritage item. The industrial rezoning and solar farm installation at the Subject Area will not result in any impacts, either direct or indirect, to any items of heritage significance or value.

5.1 Heritage Impact Statement

The proposed rezoning of 55 Dampier Street, Taminda will not result in any impacts, either direct or indirect, to any items of heritage significance or value.

6 OBLIGATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The following management principles and recommendations are based on:

- The legal requirements of the *Heritage Act 1977*, whereby it is illegal to disturb or excavate any land knowing or having reasonable cause to suspect that the disturbance or excavation will or is likely to result in a relic being discovered, exposed, moved, damaged or destroyed unless the disturbance or excavation is carried out in accordance with an excavation permit;
- The findings presented within this Statement of Heritage Impact; and,
- Recognition of the extended period of which development activities will occur within the Subject Area.

6.1 Obligations

1. Any development likely to impact the heritage significance of a State Significant Heritage Item will require a permit under Section 60 of the *Heritage Act 1977* prior to works being undertaken.
2. An Excavation Permit Exception under Section 134(4) of the *Heritage Act 1977* is necessary to authorise any disturbance or excavation of land known to or having reasonable cause to suspect that the disturbance or excavation will or is likely to result in a relic being discovered, exposed, moved, damaged or destroyed.
3. In accordance with Clause 5.10 of the Tamworth LEP 2010, Development Applications for heritage items, heritage conservation areas and in the vicinity of either will require a heritage management document to be prepared which assesses the extent to which the carrying out of the proposed development would affect the heritage significance of the heritage item or heritage conservation area concerned.

6.2 Recommendations

KAS recommends the following:

1. No further assessment of the historic heritage within the Subject Area is required prior to the commencement of the proposed works (refer to Section 1.2). Should the design and/or extent of the proposed development be altered, further archaeological assessment may be required;
2. Prior to the commencement of works, all relevant staff and contractors should be made aware of their statutory obligations for heritage under the *Heritage Act 1977*, which may be implemented as a heritage induction;
3. If unrecorded Aboriginal object or objects are identified in the Subject Area during works, then all works in the immediate area must cease and the area should be cordoned off. OEH must be notified by ringing the Enviroline 131 555 so that the site can be adequately assessed and managed;
4. In the unlikely event that skeletal remains are identified, work must cease immediately in the vicinity of the remains and the area must be cordoned off. The proponent must contact the local NSW Police who will make an initial assessment as to whether the remains are part of a crime scene or possible Aboriginal remains. If the remains are thought to be Aboriginal, OEH must be contacted by ringing the Enviroline 131 555. An OEH officer will determine if the remains are Aboriginal or not; and a management plan must be developed in consultation with the relevant Aboriginal stakeholders before works recommence; and,

5. If, during the course of development works, suspected historic cultural heritage material is uncovered, work should cease in that area immediately. The Heritage Branch, Office of Environment & Heritage (Enviroline 131 555) should be notified and works only recommence when an approved management strategy has been developed.

7 REFERENCES

Boileau, J. (2007). *Thematic History of Nundle, Manilla and Barraba: Tamworth Regional Council Community Based Heritage Study*. Report for Tamworth Regional Council.

Carey, J. (2006). *Tamworth and Districts: Early History*. Report for Tamworth Regional Council: Tamworth.

EJE Town Planning. (2001). *Parry Shire community based heritage study : draft report*. Newcastle.

HO & DUAP. (2002). *Statements of Heritage Impact Guidelines*. report for Heritage Office and Department of Urban Affairs and Planning.

ICOMOS. (1999). *Australia ICOMOS Charter for the Conservation of Places of Cultural Significance (the Burra Charter)*. Canberra: Australia ICOMOS.

Jonathan Falk Planning Consultants Pty Ltd, & Rodney Jensen and Associates Pty Ltd. (1988). *Tamworth Heritage Study: Final Report.* (Vol. 1: Heritage Study). Prepared for Tamworth City Council and Department of Environment and Planning

NSW Heritage Office. (1996). *NSW Heritage Manual*. Sydney: Department of Urban Affairs & Planning and NSW Heritage Office.

NSW Heritage Office. (2001). Assessing Heritage Significance. In *NSW Heritage Office*.

Tindale, N. B. (1974). *Aboriginal Tribes of Australia - Their Terrain, Environmental Controls, Distribution, Limits and Proper Names*. Canberra: Australian National University Press.

Wilson, J., & McAdam, L. (2000). *The Tamworth Aboriginal/Archaeological Site Study*. Prepared for Tamworth City Council.

APPENDIX I. State Heritage Register Search Results

Location information for some Aboriginal Places (e.g. burial grounds and sacred sites) have been generalised because of their cultural sensitivity. Location information for restricted Aboriginal Places is not shown at all. If an activity or development is proposed that may potentially impact on or harm (i.e., damage, deface or destroy) an Aboriginal Place, then proponents must undertake a search for the exact boundaries of Aboriginal Places through **AHIMS Web Services**.

Downloading of State Heritage Register spatial datasets and associated metadata into a Geographical Information System (GIS) software package is available through **Data NSW**

Listing Type:	◉ All ○ Aboriginal Places ○ State Heritage Register
Local government area:	Please Choose...
Item name/Database ID:	
SHR number:	
Location:	tamworth
Local Aboriginal Land Council (LALC):	Please Choose...
State theme:	Please Choose...
Item type:	Please Choose...
Item Group:	Please Choose...
Item category:	Please Choose...

Search Reset



Appendix II. State Heritage Inventory Search – Tamworth

government agencies.

Section 1. Aboriginal Places listed under the National Parks and Wildlife Act.

Your search returned 1 record.

Aboriginal place name	Local government area	Local Aboriginal Land Council	Latitude	Longitude	Gazettal date and page numbers	Comments
Inglebah	Walcha	Tamworth	-31.1702086667	151.451583542	12/21/2007 p. 10680	

Section 2. Items listed under the NSW Heritage Act.

Your search returned 6 records.

Item name	Address	Suburb	LGA	SHR
Dominican Roman Catholic Convent	Marius Street	Tamworth (East)	Tamworth Regional	00122
King George V Avenue of Memorial English Oaks	King George V Memorial Avenue	Tamworth (East)	Tamworth Regional	01922
Tamworth Peel Barracks	Peel Street (cnr)	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	00550
Tamworth Post Office	Fitzroy Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	01421
Tamworth rail bridge over Peel River	Main Northern railway 454.125 km	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	01058
Tamworth Railway Station, yard group and movable relics	Main Northern railway	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	01260

Section 3. Items listed by Local Government and State Agencies.

Your search returned 249 records.

Item name ▲	Address	Suburb	LGA	Information source
ANZ Bank Building	429 Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Anzac Park Gates	Brisbane Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Anzac Park Gazebo	Brisbane Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Australian Winter Cereal Collection	Calala Lane	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Baptist Church	86 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Calrossy School	140 Brisbane Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Central Hotel	330-334 Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Chaffey Dam	Peel River	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Commercial Building	30-32 Darling Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Commercial Building	226 Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Commonwealth Bank Building	404 Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Community Centre	Darling Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Cottage	46 Griffin Avenue	East Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Cottage	47 Griffin Avenue	East Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Cottage	48 Griffin Avenue	East Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Cottage	51 Griffin Avenue	East Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Cottage	37 Griffin Avenue	East Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Cottage	39 Griffin Avenue	East Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Cottage	41 Griffin Avenue	East Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Cottage	44 Griffin Avenue	East Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Cottage	54 Griffin Avenue	East Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Cottage	56 Griffin Avenue	East Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Courthouse Hotel	232 Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV

Durham	Calala Lane	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
East Tamworth Station Pedestrian Bridge	Bourke Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Eastern Railway Viaduct	Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Electrical Showroom	Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Goonoo Goonoo Station - Group of Buildings	Goonoo Goonoo New England Highway	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Grandstand (at race track)	Britten Road	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Group of shops	164 Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Group of Shops	235-237 Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Group of Shops	239 Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Herbarium	Calala Lane	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Hospital - Allambie	100 Marius Street	North Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Hotel - Joe Maguires Pub	146-148 Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Hotel & Shops	395-401 Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	29 Napier Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	31 Napier Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	29 Parry Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	48-50 Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	64 Raglan Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	10 Rawson Avenue	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	14 Rawson Avenue	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	19 Rawson Avenue	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	65 Roderick Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	79 Roderick Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	24 Upper Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	46 White Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	74-96 King George Avenue	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV

House	34 White Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	55 Upper Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	57 Upper Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	59 Upper Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	100 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	142 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	26 Darling Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	79 Church Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	95 Crown Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	97 Crown Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	150 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	153 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	170 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	61 Darling Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	63 Darling Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	89 Denison Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	91 Denison Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	99 Denison Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	100-102 Bourke Street	North Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	123 Brisbane Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	129 Brisbane Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	64 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	75 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	77 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	79 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV

House	94-96 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	95 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	59 Fitzroy Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	86 Marius Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	144 Marius Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	50 White Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	123 Marius Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	150 Marius Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	26 Gipps Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	68 Griffin Avenue	East Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	94 Griffin Avenue	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	65 Hill Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	14 Gipps Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	15 Gipps Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	17 Gipps Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	56 White Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	77 White Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	38 Upper Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	40 Upper Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	44 Upper Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	83 Upper Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	89 Upper Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	204-208 Marius Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	98 Griffin Avenue	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	33 Hill Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV

House	43 Hill Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	2-24 King George Avenue	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	16 Macquarie Street	North Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	18 Macquarie Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	76 Fitzroy Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	96 Fitzroy Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	98 Fitzroy Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	122 Fitzroy Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	46 White Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	19 Gipps Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	79 White Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	141A-141B Brisbane Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	102 Brisbane Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	34 Bourke Street	North Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	36 Bourke Street	North Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	149 Brisbane Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	43 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	45 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	47 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	81 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	89 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	134 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	136 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	140 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	8 Darling Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	10 Darling Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV

House	16 Darling Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	178 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	15 Church Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	65 Church Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	75 Church Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	77 Church Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	65 Darling Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	67 Darling Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	69 Darling Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	67 Denison Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	93 Denne Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	95 Denne Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	115 Denne Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	96-98 Evans Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	21 Gipps Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	22 Gipps Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	52 White Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	46A White Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	47 White Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	66A Napier Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	King George Avenue	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	116 North Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	130 North Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	132 North Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	28 Piper Street	North Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	42 Raglan Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV

House	21 Rawson Avenue	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	23 Rawson Avenue	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	62 Rawson Avenue	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	35 Roderick Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	117 Upper Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	119 Upper Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House	121 Upper Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House - Avondale	66 Napier Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House - Broma-grove	72 White Street	East Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House - Calala	138-144 Denison Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House - Carrick	120 Denison Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House - Girrawilla	62 Napier Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House - Glen Evilly	53-55 White Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House - Glen Moor	106 Brisbane Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House - Glenbrook	Nundle Road	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House - Marius Cottage	112 Marius Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House - Minna-murra	69 White Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House - Salona	90 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House - St Austel	130 Marius Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House - Strathmore	11 Gipps Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House - The Cottage	141 Marius Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House - The Pines	26 Hill Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House (Square Man Hotel)	185-189 Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House beside Retreat Theatre	63 Bridge Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
House - Shield Hill	32 Upper Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Houses	51 & 53 Upper Street	Tamworth	Tamworth	LGOV

Houses	51 & 53 Upper Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Imperial Hotel	181-195 Marius Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Industrial Building	134 Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Insect and Associates Collection	Calala Lane	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Lands Office	25 Fitzroy Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Local Lights	Endeavour Drive	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Main Block	Dean Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Main Group of Hospital Buildings	Johnston Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Marius Cottage	112 Marius Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Masonic Temple	462-464 Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Monument	Tribe Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Monuments at the Tamworth Cemetery	Showground Road	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
National Australia Bank-Building	400-401 Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Office Building	260-264 Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
OFT Movable Heritage - Weights & Measures	Bradfield Road	West Lindfield	Willoughby	SGOV
OFT Movable Heritage - Weights & Measures		Newcastle	Newcastle	SGOV
OFT Movable Heritage - Weights & Measures		Grafton	Clarence Valley	SGOV
OFT Movable Heritage - Weights & Measures		Coffs Harbour	Coffs Harbour	SGOV
OFT Movable Heritage - Weights & Measures		Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Old Convent Building	223-227 Marius Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Old Courthouse Building	2 Darling Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Old Flour Mill	175-179 Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Old Hotel Building	143-145 Marius Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Post Office	402A Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Power House Monument	Armidale Road	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Power House Motel	248 Marius Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV

Public State Building (Mechanics Institute)	87 Brisbane Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Railway Bridge	Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Regent Cinema	3-5 Brisbane Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Retreat Theatre	Bridge Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
School Residence	126 Brisbane Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Shop	265-267 Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Shop	277 Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Shopfront Glass	76-80 Brisbane Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Shops	465-469 Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Slab Hut near Calala	138-144 Denison Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
St Andrews Church	152-154 Marius Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
St. John's Church	102 Carthage Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
St. Nicholas Church	18 White Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
St. Paul's Church	63 Bridge Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Tamworth Centre for Crop Improvement	Calala Lane	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Tamworth Correctional Centre	Johnston Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Tamworth Correctional Centre	Dean Street, corner 154 Johnston Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Tamworth Correctional Centre - Administration	Dean Street, corner 154 Johnston Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Tamworth Correctional Centre - Cell Block	Dean Street, corner 154 Johnston Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Tamworth Correctional Centre - Dry Cell	Dean Street, corner 154 Johnston Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Tamworth Correctional Centre - Gatehouse & Visitors	Dean Street, corner 154 Johnston Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Tamworth Correctional Centre - Internal Administration Buildings	Dean Street, corner 154 Johnston Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Tamworth Correctional Centre - Walls & Towers	Dean Street, corner 154 Johnston Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Tamworth Court House	Marius and Fitzroy Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Tamworth East Zone Substation	Cockburn Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Tamworth Gatekeeper's residence	20 Darling Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV

Tamworth Hotel	147 Marius Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Tamworth Primary School	Upper Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Tamworth Railway Footbridge	455.040km Northern Railway Line, Bourke Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Tamworth Railway Station	Marius Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Tamworth Railway Station	Marius Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Tamworth, Former Station Master's Residence	34 Bourke Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Tamworth, Peel River Underbridge	454.125km Northern Railway Line	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Tamworth, Peel Street Underbridge	454.187km Northern Line, Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
Tobacco Kiln	119-139 King George Avenue	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Tobacco Kiln	Scott Road	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Tobacco Kiln	73-95 King George Avenue	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Town Hall	26-30 Fitzroy Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
War Memorial	Gipps Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Wells	Scott Road	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Wells adjacent to Peel River	Scott Road	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Wesleyan Church	144 Marius Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
West Tamworth Railway Station	In Street	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV
West Tamworth Railway Station	In and Outs Streets	West Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Western Railway Viaduct	Peel Street	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	LGOV
Yellow Box Stand	Calala Lane	Tamworth	Tamworth Regional	SGOV

There was a total of 256 records matching your search criteria.

APPENDIX III. Register of the National Estate Search Results

Appendix IV. Tamworth Regional Council Local Environment Plan 2010



7.9 Flood impact and riparian assessment report

TAMINDA TAMWORTH PLANNING PROPOSAL

PROPOSED REZONING TO INDUSTRIAL LAND

Lots 2 and 3 DP 1234850

FLOOD IMPACT AND RIPARIAN LAND ASSESSMENT

February 2019

Prepared by

Mark Tooker

Director

Tooker and Associates

TOOKER+ ASSOCIATES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section		page
1.	Introduction	1
2.	Site Description	1
3.	Flood Behaviour	2
4.	Proposed Development	2
5.	Flood Impact Assessment	3
6.	Riparian Land Assessment	4
7.	Conclusions	5

FIGURES

Figure 1	Locality Plan
Figure 2	Site Plan
Figure 3	Existing 100 yr ARI Flood Extent
Figure 4	Proposed Development
Figure 5	Proposed Fill Levels or Levee Crest Levels
Figure 6	Proposed Regrading Levels and Depths in New Flood Flow Path
Figure 7	Impact on 100 yr ARI flood Levels
Figure 8	Impact on 20 year ARI flood levels
Figure 9	100 year ARI flood velocities
Figure 10	20 year ARI flood velocities
Figure 11	1:25,000 Topographic Map

1. Introduction

It is proposed to rezone Lots 2 and 3 DP 1234850 in the Racecourse West Development site to employment land (refer Figure 1). The Planning Proposal for this rezoning is being prepared by Elton Consulting on behalf of Chan Abbey Holdings. This report has been prepared to support the Planning Proposal and deals with the potential impacts on the flooding behaviour and impacts on waterfront land as defined by the Water Management Act 2000.

This report was prepared by Mark Tooker from Tooker and Associates who has over 35 years experience in flood impact assessments and management of riparian land under the Water Management Act 2000.

2. Site Description

The proposed rezoning site is located in the western area of the Tamworth urban area (refer Figure 1) and consists of part of the Racecourse West Development site (30ha) on 2 and 3 DP 1234850 (refer Figure 2) over an area of approximately 11.337 ha. The lot immediately to the west is known as Somerset Farm (Lot 60 DP 1227482). Chan Abbey Holdings own both the Racecourse West Development site and Somerset Farm. While Somerset Farm will not be rezoned, it will be partially regraded as part of the flood mitigation works to ensure that the development on the rezoning lots do not adversely impact the flooding behaviour on adjacent properties.

The Racecourse West Development site has a common boundary on the eastern side with the Tamworth Racecourse and the existing Taminda industrial area on the southern side. The overall width of the two lots is approximately 465m (in an east west direction) and its length over the proposed rezoning area is approximately 245m. The land is very flat and falls to the Peel River in the north at a grade of approximately 0.3%.

The Somerset Farm site is approximately 250m wide and its southern boundary fronts onto Wallamore Road. The farmhouse and sheds are located on land approximately 300m from Wallamore Road on a higher parcel of land which appears to have been filled in the past to be at or just above the 100 yr ARI flood level. Otherwise the land would generally slope down towards the north at the same grade as for the Racecourse West Development site.

The lots containing the Somerset Farm and Racecourse West Development area extend further north across the Peel River and its anabranches. As such, the proposed development resulting from the rezoning may impact on the waterfront land as defined in the Water Management Act 2000. Waterfront land is defined as land within 40m of the top of bank of a river. Development within waterfront land requires a Controlled Activity Approval (CAA) from the Department of Primary Industry Water division.

Tamworth Council propose to construct, when funding is available, an extension of Jewry Street heading west across the proposed rezoning land on Lots 2 and 3 DP 123485. The proposed bypass road would then head south through the Somerset Farm site to connect at

m 0409 912 631 e mark.tooker@tookerandassociates.com.au a 30 Walworth Avenue, Newport abn 39 619 046 070

grade with Wallamore Road at the western and southern boundaries of the Somerset Farm site. This road is known as the bypass road. Council has created a lot for this road which could be incorporated into any future development on the Racecourse West Development and Somerset Farm sites.

There is a narrow lot (Lot 1 DP 44224 between the Racecourse West Development site and the Tamworth Racecourse which acts as a drainage corridor to accommodate flows down to the Peel River anabranch from the basin located between Jewry Street and the racecourse system. This overland flow path will need to be retained in any development on the Racecourse West Development site.

3. Flood Behaviour

The site is affected by local flood flows down the Timbumburi Creek and their interaction with the regional flooding in the Peel River (refer Figure 3). The flood flows in Timbumburi Creek from the south are dammed behind the railway embankment causing a wide flood area upstream of the railway line. At the eastern extent of this flood area is a drainage culvert under the rail line located at the western boundary of the Somerset Farm site and Wallamore Road. Floodwaters discharge from the culvert and over Wallamore Road in a 100 yr ARI flood event and are deflected to the east around the high landform at the Somerset farmhouse and shed area. It then flows north along the Racecourse West Development site before joining with the Peel River flood flows at the northern end of the racecourse.

The 100 yr ARI flood levels have a gradient of about 2m varying from approximately RL 374.2m AHD at Wallamore Road to RL 372.2m AHD at the northern end of the racecourse.

The peak flood velocities across the site are low in the 100 year ARI flood compared to the higher velocities in the Peel River anabranches and the railway culvert (larger arrows mean higher velocities).

There is an existing low flow channel extending from opposite the railway culvert in the south and heading around the higher ground of the homestead on Somerset Farm and heading north along the western boundary of the Racecourse West Development site towards the Peel River anabranch system.

4. Proposed Development

The proposed development is to form a 11.3 ha industrial area on Lots 2 and 3 DP 123485 which would also include land for the Council's proposed bypass road as shown on Figure 4. This area would either be filled to the Flood Planning Level (FPL) (100yr ARI flood level plus 0.5m freeboard) or it would be ringed by a levee on all four sides with a crest level 1m above the 100 year ARI flood level. The required levee crest levels and existing ground levels are depicted on Figure 5.

m 0409 912 631 e mark.tooker@tookerandassociates.com.au a 30 Walworth Avenue, Newport abn 39 619 046 070

Potential flood impacts are limited to those on the flood behaviour of the Timbumburi Creek which can be mitigated with the proposed regrading of Somerset Farm ground levels.

The depth of fill required over Lots 2 and 3 to achieve the FPL would range between 0.3m to 1.1m and the spoil required for the fill could be sourced from the regrading required on Somerset Farm.

If the levee option was chosen, then the levee height would vary between 0.8m and 1.6m above existing ground levels. The levee would have a floodgate located in the northern wall and within the development there would be sufficient detention storages/basins to accommodate the 100 year ARI rainfall falling on the site during a 100 year ARI regional flood. The site runoff would be temporarily stored on site until it could be released after the regional floodwaters receded. The employment development within the levee would have minimum floor levels of 300mm above the storage level within the site for the 100 year ARI rainfall on the 11.3 ha development area.

The existing Timbumburi Creek flood flows presently on the site are diverted to the east around the high landform containing the farm homestead and sheds on Somerset Farm in a less efficient flow path which causes higher flood levels locally. The proposal therefore is to provide a more efficient flood flow path by removing what was probably an artificial high point at the Somerset Farm building pad and allow flood flows to run directly northward on the Somerset Farm site from the railway culvert towards the Peel River along the full 250m width of the Somerset Farm site. This new flood flow path would be formed by removing the high point by regrading Somerset Farm as shown on Figure 6. The regrading depths would be up to 1.2m at the homestead highpoint reducing to depths of generally 0.2m further to the north

A low flow flood channel would be provided generally along its present path along the eastern boundary of Somerset Farm and would suit the regrading and with varying widths to provide appropriate flow velocities. There is up to 250m width available on the site to sculpt this low flow flood channel.

An earth swale (2m wide) and bund approximately 350mm high would be formed along the western boundary of the Somerset Farm site to ensure that runoff from the land to the west would be drained towards the Peel River to the north and any small increases in flood levels on Somerset Farm were not transferred onto the adjacent property to the west.

5. Flood Impact Assessment

Lyall and Associates have formulated a flood model for Tamworth Council for the Peel River incorporating the flood flows along the Timbumburi Creek. It is appreciated that Council agreed to allow use of their flood model in the interest of designing a development concept on the subject site that would not adversely impact on the flood behaviour in adjacent properties. The use of their model also ensures a baseline level of consistency in terms of impact assessment.

m 0409 912 631 e mark.tooker@tookerandassociates.com.au a 30 Walworth Avenue, Newport abn 39 619 046 070

Lyall and Associates revised the flood model to incorporate the maximum development on the Racecourse West Development site and the mitigation measure of regrading ground levels on Somerset Farm which could be accommodated without significant adverse impacts on the existing flood behaviour. The northern extent of this modelled development was approximately 415m north of the northern boundary of Lots 2 and 3. If this extent of development did not adversely impact the flood behaviour, then the proposed development of Lots 2 and 3 would have far less flood impact and be acceptable. Both the 20yr and 100 yr ARI floods were modelled for this maximum development and plots of flood level changes and post development flood velocities are presented on Figures 7 to 10.

The regrading of Somerset Farm to remove the high point, provides a more efficient flood flow path over a 250m width thereby offsetting any major increases in flood levels on adjacent properties. In fact, there are reductions in flood levels on properties to the west of Somerset Farm.

For the 20yr and 100 yr ARI floods, any increases in flood levels are restricted to Somerset Farm or the Racecourse West Development site (refer Figures 7 and 8).

The 100 yr ARI flood peak velocities along the Somerset Farm flow path generally range from 0.6 m/s to 1 m/s whereas in the 20 yr ARI flood, the peak velocities generally vary from 0.4 m/s to 0.8m/s (refer Figures 9 and 10). These velocities are appropriate for grassed pasture areas and are similar to existing flood velocities.

The low flow channel width and cross section will be considered in further detail to optimise flow and velocities in the regraded area of the Somerset Farm site and minimise any impacts on the existing sewer pipe across this area. The proposed low flow channel would join with the existing low flow channel at the northern end of the site.

For a levee option, a detention basin would be incorporated in the development to temporarily store local runoff from the future industrial development area to ensure peak flows are controlled to existing rates and that in regional floods, runoff can be temporarily stored while the flood gates are closed and allow release of the local runoff after the regional flood peak has receded.

6. Riparian Land Assessment

Development on waterfront land requires a Controlled Activity Approval (CAA) under the Water Management Act 2000. Waterfront land is defined as the land 40m from the top of bank of a river. The Department of Primary Industries Office of Water has issued a policy to assist in identification of waterfront land.

This policy uses the blue lines on the NSW 1:25000 topographic maps to show the extent of rivers and hence waterfront land is categorised as land located within 40m from the top of bank of these rivers. Typically, these blue lines extend beyond what might be visually and physically identifiable as rivers because there do not have any banks to define the river.

m 0409 912 631 e mark.tooker@tookerandassociates.com.au a 30 Walworth Avenue, Newport abn 39 619 046 070

Nonetheless, they are a readily identifiable starting point for assessment of the possible location of waterfront land.

The policy also adopts the Strahler System for classifying the status of rivers from minor (1st order) to major (4th or 5th order) streams.

The 1:25,000 topographic plan for the subject site is presented on Figure 11. It indicates two 1st order streams approximately 400m north of the location of the proposed levees or fill for the proposed development on Lots 2 and 3. On this basis, the proposed development would not impact on waterfront land and does not require an approval under the Water Management Act 2000.

7. Conclusions

The proposed development on Somerset Farm and on Lots 2 and 3 DP 123485 has been formulated to ensure there are no significant adverse impacts on the flooding behaviour on adjacent properties. This has been tested using Tamworth Council's flood model which has confirmed no significant adverse impacts. This supports the rezoning of the proposed 11.3ha development area for employment landuses subject to the implementation of the measures outlined in Section 4.

The future development on the rezoned site will not require approval under the Water Management Act 2000 because the development will not be located within 40m of the top of a river bank.

m 0409 912 631 e mark.tooker@tookerandassociates.com.au a 30 Walworth Avenue, Newport abn 39 619 046 070

FIGURES

m 0409 912 631 e mark.tooker@tookerandassociates.com.au a 30 Walworth Avenue, Newport abn 39 619 046 070

FIGURE 1



LOCALITY PLAN

FIGURE 2

SITE PLAN

FIGURE 3

Indicative Depth of Inundation (m)

- 0.10 to 0.20
- 0.20 to 0.30
- 0.30 to 0.40
- 0.40 to 0.50
- 0.50 to 0.60
- 0.60 to 0.70
- 0.70 to 0.80
- 0.80 to 0.90
- 0.90 to 1.00
- > 1.00
- Flow Direction Arrow

WALLAMORE
ANNABRANCH
PEEL
RIVER
BRITTEN ROAD
TAMWORTH RACECOURSE
PPL 373.1
PPL 372.5
PPL 372.6
RACECOURSE WEST DEVELOPMENT SITE
JEWRY STREET
AVRO STREET
PLAIN STREET
BELMORE STREET
DENISON STREET
BROWN STREET
BARNES GULLY
TOMKINS STREET
ANSON STREET
BEAUFORT STREET
CEMETERY
TAMINDA
HARGRAVE STREET
BARNES STREET
DAMPIER STREET
KINGSFORD SMITH STREET
WALLAMORE ROAD
COOK STREET
TIMBUMBURI CREEK
SHOWGROUND ROAD
TAMWORTH SHOWGROUNDS
WEST TAMWORTH
GIPPS STREET

N
80 0 80 160 240 m
Scale: 1:8,000

Lyall & Associates

LEGEND

- Potential Development Site
- Taminda Levee
- Flood Gate
- Modelled Stormwater Network
- 373.4 Water Surface Elevation Contour (m AHD)
- PPL 372.5 Peak Ponding Level (m AHD)

PRESENT DAY (FLOOD GATES FULLY CLOSED) CONDITIONS
100 YEAR ARI FLOOD ENVELOPE


FIGURE 4
370.605
370.665
371.018
370.745
371.117
370.632
370.71
370.966
371.142
370.714
High flow channel regrading, final layout to be confirmed
9MW Solar farm over 7.7ha
373.032
373.145
372.72
373.69
373.196
373.129
373.194
372.873
373.264
Bypass road proposed by Council
Rezoning area - Approx 12ha.
Wallamore Road
PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

FIGURE 5


Legend
Levee crest level 374.3m AHD
Existing ground level 372.7m AHD
Levee height 1.6m
370.605
370.665
371.018
370.745
371.117
370.632
370.71
370.966
371.142
370.714
High flow channel regrading, final layout to be confirmed
9MW Solar farm over 7.7ha
373.032
373.145
372.72
373.69
373.196
373.129
373.194
372.8
373.264
374.3
372.7
1.6
373.6
372.0
1.6
Wallamore Road
374.0
373.0
1.0
373.8
373.0
0.8
374.8
374.0
0.8
Bypass road proposed by Council
Low flow flood path could be realigned to suit alignment of future bypass road
Rezoning area to be protected from flooding by either filling to the flood planning level or by a perimeter levee.
PROPOSED FILL LEVELS OR LEVEE CREST LEVELS


FIGURE 6
Legend
Existing ground level 372.1m AHD
Proposed regraded level 371.9m AHD
Depth of grading 0.2m
Regraded levels at either end of regarding area
370.605
370.665
370.745
371.018
371.117
370.632
370.71
370.714
370.966
371.142
371.5
371.3
0.2
High flow channel regrading, final layout to be confirmed
372.1
371.9
0.2
9MW Solar farm over 7.7ha
373.1
372.6
0.5
373.032
373.145
372.72
374.0
372.8
1.2
373.69
373.196
373.2
373.2
0
373.129
373.194
372.873
373.264
Bypass road proposed by Council
Rezoning area - Approx 12ha.
Wallamore Road
PROPOSED REGRADING LEVELS AND DEPTHS IN NEW FLOOD FLOW PATH

FIGURE 7



IMPACT ON 100 YR ARI FLOOD LEVELS

FIGURE 8



IMPACT ON 20 YR ARI FLOOD LEVELS



POST DEVELOPMENT 100 YR ARI PEAK FLOOD VELOCITIES

FIGURE 10



POST DEVELOPMENT 20 YR ARI PEAK FLOOD VELOCITIES

FIGURE 11

1:25,000 TOPOGRAPHIC PLAN

7.10 Preliminary Site investigation (Environmental)

eiaustralia

Contamination | Remediation | Geotechnical

CHAN ABBEY HOLDINGS

Preliminary Site Investigation

55 Dampier Street and 21 & 72 Wallamore Street, Taminda, NSW

Report E24043.E01_Rev3
25 September 2019

REPORT DISTRIBUTION

Preliminary Site Investigation
55 Dampier Street and 21 & 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda, NSW

EI Report No.: E24043.E01_Rev3
Date: 25 September 2019

Copies		Recipient
1	Soft Copy (PDF – Secured, issued by email)	Chan Abbey Holdings PO Box 7129 NOMC, Seqvoia Drive, MOORE CREEK, NSW, 2348
	Original (Saved to Digital Archives)	EI Australia Suite 6.01, 55 Miller Street, PYRMONT NSW 2009

Author:	Technical Reviewer:
ALEJANDRA BELTRAN Civil/Environmental Engineer	**TONY GUIRGUIS** Senior Engineer

Revision	Details	Date	Amended By
0	Original	17 December 2018	-
1	Change in land area	19 February 2019	AB
2	Response to Council Comments	12 August 2019	AB/MD
3	Addition of information	25 September 2019	AB/TG

© 2019 EI Australia (EI)

This report is protected by copyright law and may only be reproduced, in electronic or hard copy format, if it is copied and distributed in full and with prior written permission by EI.

eiaustralia

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Background and Objectives

Chan Abbey Holdings ("the Client") at the request of Elton Consulting engaged EI Australia (EI) to conduct a Preliminary Site Investigation (PSI) for the property located at address 55 Dampier Street and 21 & 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda, NSW ('the site'). This PSI was completed as part of a Planning Proposal (PP) to Tamworth Regional Council for the development of Employment lands which has frontages to Dampier Street in the east and Wallamore Road in the South.

The main objective of this preliminary investigation was to characterise the environmental conditions of the site on the basis of historical land uses, supplemented by anecdotal and documentary evidence of possible pollutant sources.

Key Findings

- Historical records indicated that the southern half of the site was most likely to be primarily of agricultural land use since 1970's until the early 2000's. Following this, the land use changed to agricultural/commercial which has remained as the land use to date. In comparison, the northern half of the site to date has primarily being used for agricultural purposes.

- The site inspection revealed that isolated dumping has occurred at the southern third of the site. Some of the waste material observed included weathered steel beams, rusted metal drums, metal roofing sheets, and soil stockpiles;

- Onsite storage of pesticides (bipyridilium), herbicides (Group I, L, and M) and fuel oil was observed during site the site inspection that was completed on the 29 November 2018;

- Based on the information outlined in previous reports completed for the site by Sanko Excavation Environmental & Civil Services Pty Ltd (Sanko) and Regional EnviroScience Hazardous Materials Laboratory and Consultancy (EnviroScience); it is noted that action was taken into clearing any asbestos containing material that might have been brought to site as a result of inadequate soil management practices;

- The information provided in previous investigations completed by Sanko (2015, 2016a and 2016b) and EnviroScience (2015) was useful as an additional line of evidence to support the site observations where asbestos was not observed at surface;

- Council records dating back to 2004 held by Tamworth Regional Council indicated that the site has not been used for residential purposes and that the commercial/industrial use of the land has only being predominantly an isolated use to the south eastern side of the site;

- A search of SafeWork NSW Dangerous Goods Records revealed there are no records pertaining to the above premises;

- The site and surrounding properties (within 500m) were not reported as being of Aboriginal Heritage under the National Park and Wildlife Act;

- The site and surrounding properties were not reported as being subject to regulation in relation to environmental impacts, as documented in the NSW EPA databases; with the exception of one site within 500m of the site, which held a license for concrete batching. The license is no longer in force;

- A military use record search was completed on the site and lands in close proximity of the site. Overall, the available information indicated Tamworth was used for military purposes such as air training and Unexploded Ordnance. However, it is inferred that these usages were localised in

areas across or downgradient from the site and it is therefore considered that there is a low risk of the site being contaminated from these usages in the surrounding lands;

- The CSM identified the potential for contamination resulting from the use of pesticides, herbicides and some fertilisers across the Site; and possible oil spill and leaching of weathered building materials in the south eastern area of the Site which makes up approx. 30%of the Site area.
- The nearest sensitive receptors to the site were Wallamore Anabranch to the north of the site, and Timbumburi Creek and the agricultural/residential land uses to the west of the Site;
- The site and all examined (drilled / sampled) soils displayed no visual or olfactory evidence of contamination.
- The sub-surface layers were comprised of anthropogenic, silty clay / gravelly clay, fill mix or silty clay topsoil to a depth of 0.4m BGL, overlying natural clays.
- Laboratory analytical results for the representative soil samples were all found to apply with the adopted SILs applicable to the proposed sensitive (commercial/industrial) land use.
- Groundwater was not encountered during this investigation.

Conclusion

Based on the above findings and those outlined in the body of the report, as well as being subject to the Statement of Limitations (**Section 12**), EI concluded that widespread contamination was not present on the site. The site is regarded as suitable for the proposed rezoning of the site to a less sensitive land use (Employment Lands), in accordance with Clause 7 of *State Environmental Planning Policy No. 55 - Remediation of Land*, provided the recommendations detailed in **Section 11** are implemented.

Recommendations

EI hereby makes the following recommendations in relation to the proposed site development:

- All waste materials designated for off-site disposal (including any virgin excavated natural material (VENM)) must be classified in accordance the EPA (2014) *Waste Classification Guidelines*. They are to be transported to EPA-licensed waste facilities by licensed waste contractors.
- Any soil material to be imported to the site (i.e. for backfilling and/or landscaping purposes) must be confirmed by documentary evidence as suitable for the proposed land use. In the absence of such validation, the material will require assessment through sampling and laboratory analysis as being free of contamination and suitable for the intended land use, in accordance with NSW EPA guidelines.

EI note that these recommendations can be addressed through the DA approval process and incorporated into the conditions of development consent.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY **I**

1. INTRODUCTION **1**
1.1 BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE 1
1.2 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT 1
1.3 REGULATORY FRAMEWORK 1
1.4 PROJECT OBJECTIVES 2
1.5 SCOPE OF WORKS 2

2. SITE DESCRIPTION **4**
2.1 PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION, LOCATION AND PHYSICAL SETTING 4
2.3 LOCAL LAND USE 5
2.4 REGIONAL SETTING 5
2.5 GROUNDWATER BORE RECORDS AND LOCAL GROUNDWATER USE 6
2.6 SITE WALKOVER INSPECTION 7

3. PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS **10**
3.1 AVAILABLE DOCUMENTS 10
3.2 WORK SCOPE & FINDINGS 10
3.3 OVERVIEW OF PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS 11

4. SITE HISTORY AND SEARCHES **12**
4.1 HISTORICAL LAND TITLES & AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH REVIEW 12
4.2 MILITARY USE RECORD SEARCH 18
4.3 REVIEW OF SURROUNDING LAND USES 19
4.4 COUNCIL INFORMATION 20
4.5 SAFEWORK NSW RECORD SEARCH 21
4.6 ABORIGINAL HERITAGE – RECORD OF ABORIGINAL PLACES UNDER THE NATIONAL PARKS AND WILDLIFE ACT 21
4.7 CONTAMINATED LAND - RECORD OF NOTICES UNDER SECTION 58 OF CLM ACT (1997) 21

5. CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL **23**
5.1 OVERVIEW 23
5.2 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS 23
5.3 POTENTIAL CONTAMINATION SOURCES 23
5.4 CONTAMINANTS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN 24
5.5 PER OR POLY-FLUOROALKYL SUBSTANCES (PFAS) 24
5.6 EMERGING CHEMICALS 24
5.7 POTENTIAL SOURCES, RECEPTORS AND EXPOSURE PATHWAYS 25
5.8 DATA GAPS 27

6. ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY **28**
6.1 SAMPLING RATIONALE 28
6.2 ASSESSMENT CRITERIA 28
6.3 SOIL INVESTIGATION 29

7. DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT **30**

8. RESULTS **31**
8.1 SOIL INVESTIGATION RESULTS 31
8.2 LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS 31

9. SITE CHARACTERISATION **33**
9.1 REVIEW OF CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL & DATA GAPS 33
9.2 SOIL CONTAMINATION CHARACTERISATION 33

10. CONCLUSIONS **34**

11. RECOMMENDATIONS **36**

12. STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS **37**

REFERENCES

ABBREVIATIONS

TABLES (In Text)

TABLE 1-1	DEVELOPMENT BREAKDOWN	1
TABLE 2-1	SITE IDENTIFICATION, LOCATION AND ZONING	4
TABLE 2-2	LOCAL LAND USE	5
TABLE 2-3	REGIONAL SETTING DETAILS	5
TABLE 2-4	SUMMARY OF REGISTERED WATER BORES WITHIN 500 M OF THE SITE	6
TABLE 2-5	SITE OBSERVATIONS	7
TABLE 3-1	REPORT SCOPE AND FINDINGS	10
TABLE 4-1	SUMMARY OF OWNERS AND HISTORICAL AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY	12
TABLE 4-2	SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL AERIAL PHOTO REVIEW FOR SURROUNDING LAND USES	19
TABLE 4-3	SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL RECORDS ARCHIVED AT TAMWORTH REGIONAL COUNCIL	20
TABLE 5-1	PFAS DECISION TREE	24
TABLE 5-2	EMERGING OR CONTROLLED CHEMICALS	25
TABLE 5-3	PRELIMINARY CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL	26
TABLE 6-1	ADOPTED INVESTIGATION LEVELS FOR SOIL	28
TABLE 8-1	GENERALISED SUBSURFACE PROFILE	31
TABLE 8-2	SUMMARY OF SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS	31

FIGURES

FIGURE 1	LOCALITY PLAN
FIGURE 2	SITE LAYOUT PLAN
FIGURE 3	PROPOSED OUTLINE OF EMPLOYMENT LANDS DEVELOPMENT
FIGURE 4	SITE OBSERVATIONS LOCATION PLAN
FIGURE 5	SANKO (2019) SAMPLING LOCATION PLAN

TABLES

TABLE T1	SUMMARY OF SOIL ANALYTICAL DATA

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A WATER NSW - REGISTERED GROUNDWATER BORE SEARCH
APPENDIX B SITE PHOTOGRAPHS
APPENDIX C HISTORICAL PROPERTY TITLES SEARCH & POEO LICENSE
APPENDIX D COUNCIL RECORDS
APPENDIX E SAFEWORK NSW RECORDS
APPENDIX F SANKO (2019) INVESTIGATION REPORT

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

Chan Abbey Holdings (CAH) ("the Client") at the request of Elton Consulting engaged EI Australia (EI) to conduct a Preliminary Site Investigation (PSI) for the property located at 55 Dampier Street and 21 & 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda, NSW ('the Site'). Taminda is a suburb west of the main city of Tamworth.

The site is located within the Local Government Area of Tamworth Regional Council (see **Figure 1**). The land parcel is also identified as the southern section Lot 1 in DP 1234850, and Lot 2, 3 in DP 1234850, covering a total area of approximately 30 ha, as depicted in the site plan presented as **Figure 2**.

This environmental assessment was completed as part of the Planning Proposal to Tamworth Regional Council for the partial redevelopment of the site into Employment lands comprising of the rezoning and subdivision of 30 ha as shown in **Table 1-1** and illustrated in **Figure 3**.

Table 1-1 Development breakdown

Area Identification	Proposed Land Use	Approximate area
Whole site		30 hectares
A	Area to be retained	18.7 hectares
B	Rezoning for employment lands development	11.3 hectares

EI understand that this investigation was required to appraise the environmental condition of the site as part of a Planning Proposal for the proposed development outlined in **Section 1.2**.

The investigation also provides information on the potential environmental issues that will need to be addressed during later development phases.

1.2 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Based on the information provided by Chan Abbey Holdings c/- Elton Holdings, the southern section of the site (Area B) has been designated for Employment lands development.

It is understood that the redevelopment in Area B will include the construction of a bypass road which connects Jewry Street from the east to Wallamore Road located to the south of the site.

Area A is subject to a separate assessment also undertaken by EI.

1.3 REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

The following regulatory framework and guidelines were considered during the preparation of this report:

- *Contaminated Land Management Act 1997*;
- DEC (2007) *Guidelines for the Assessment and Management of Groundwater Contamination*, Dept. of Environment and Conservation, New South Wales, DEC 2007/144;

- EPA (2017) *Guidelines for the NSW Site Auditor Scheme* (3rd Edition), NSW EPA;
- NEPC (2013) *National Environmental Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999,* Amendment 2013, National Environmental Protection Council;
- NEPC (2013) Schedule B(1) Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater;
- NEPC (2013) Schedule B(2) Guideline on Site Characterisation;
- Tamworth Regional Council Local Environmental Plan 2010;
- Tamworth Regional Council Local Environmental Plan 2010;
- *State Environmental Planning Policy No 55—Remediation of Land* made under the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act (1979)*; and
- OEH (2011) *Guidelines for Consultants Reporting on Contaminated Sites*, NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH).

1.4 PROJECT OBJECTIVES

The primary objectives of this investigation were to:

- Evaluate the potential for site contamination on the basis of historical land uses and anecdotal and documentary evidence of possible pollutant sources;
- Develop an appreciation of the influence of site-specific, geologic and hydrogeological conditions on the potential fate and transport of any impacts that may be identified;
- Target potentially impacted areas identified during the preliminary stages of the investigation for intrusive assessment;
- Investigate the degree of any potential contamination by means of intrusive sampling and laboratory analysis for relevant contaminants of potential concern (Limited Sampling);
- Conduct a screening assessment of potential risks that identified impacts may pose to human health and the environment; and
- Where site contamination is identified, provide data to assist in the selection and design of appropriate remedial (management) options.

1.5 SCOPE OF WORKS

In accordance with EI fee proposal P16758.1 (dated 24 August 2018) and subsequent email agreements (dated 9 September 2019), the above objectives are to be achieved with the following scope of works:

1.5.1 Desktop Study

- A review of relevant mapping for the project area;
- Search of historical aerial photographs archived at NSW Land and Property Information in order to review previous site use and the historical sequence of land development in the neighbouring area;
- A land titles search, also conducted through NSW Land and Property Information for information relating to site ownership;

- Search of Tamworth Regional Council records for information relating to operational site history and/or relevant environmental incidents;
- Search of SafeWork (NSW) records for information relating to possible underground tank approvals and locations;
- A search through the NSW EPA / OEH Land Information records to confirm that there are no statutory notices current on the site under the *Contaminated Land Management Act 1997* or *Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997*;
- A review of existing underground services in the vicinity of the site;
- Review of available environmental reports, and;
- An inspection of the site and surrounding lands.

1.5.2 Fieldwork & Laboratory Analysis (completed by Sanko Engineering)

- Construction of test pits at 12 locations, distributed across accessible and targeted parts of the site;
- Multiple level soil sampling within fill and natural soil layers at each of the test pits; and
- Laboratory analysis of selected soil samples for relevant analytical parameters.

1.5.3 Data Analysis and Reporting

Following the desktop assessment and Site inspection, this PSI report was prepared generally in accordance with the OEH (2011) Guidelines for Consultants Reporting on Contaminated Sites. This report documents the contaminated land assessment, field observation, soil sampling methodologies implemented by Sanko Engineering and discusses the findings, with respect to potential risks to human health, the environment and the aesthetic enjoyment of the land. The report concludes with a clear statement regarding the environmental status of the site, with recommendations for further management of contaminated groundwater, if warranted.

2. SITE DESCRIPTION

2.1 PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION, LOCATION AND PHYSICAL SETTING

The site identification details and associated information are presented in **Table 2-1**, while the site locality is shown in **Figure 1**.

Table 2-1 Site Identification, Location and Zoning

Attribute	Description
Street Address	55 Dampier Street and 21 & 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda, NSW
Location Description	Taminda is located west of the City of Tamworth. The site is bound by: North – undeveloped land followed, Wallamore Anabranch and Peel River; East – Drainage line, Tamworth Jockey Club, Jewry Street followed by Tamworth lawn cemetery, and commercial and industrial properties; South – Commercial properties, Kingsford Smith Street, Wallamore Road, railway tracks; and West – Drainage line, land use for agriculture followed by Timbumburi Creek and commercial properties to the south east.
GPS Coordinates for north east corner of the site	GDA94-MGA56 Easting: 299665.576; Northing: 6559324.452. (Source: https://maps.six.nsw.gov.au/)
Site Area of Investigation	Approx. 30ha
Lot & Deposited Plan (DP)	Southern section of Lot 1 in DP 1234850, and Lot 2, 3 in DP 1234850
State Survey Marks	Four State Survey (SS) marks were situated in close proximity (<150 m) to the site: • PM30522 (east of the site) on corner of Showground road and Dampier Street; • PM49762D (south of the site) on Wallamore Road; • PM31463 (south of the site) on corner of Goonan Street and Cook Street; and, • PM188271 (south) on Middleton Avenue. (Source: https://maps.six.nsw.gov.au/)
Local Government Authority	Tamworth Regional Council
Parish	Murroon
County	Parry
Current Zoning	RU4– Primary Production Small Lots (Sheet LZN_004C; Tamworth Regional Local Environment Plan, 2010)

2.3 LOCAL LAND USE

The site is situated within an area of mixed uses on surrounding land, with local sensitive receptors within close proximity (<500m) to the site, as described in **Table 2-2**.

Table 2-2 Local Land Use

Direction	Land Use Description	Sensitive Receptors (& approx. Distance from Site)
North	Vacant land	Wallamore Anabranch (approx. 500 m)
East	Commercial use	Tributaries and drainage lines draining to the Anabranch (<20m)
South	Commercial	-
West	Agriculture and residential	Crops and residential properties (<10 m) Timbumburi Creek (<460m)

2.4 REGIONAL SETTING

The regional setting is summarised in terms of local topography, geology, soil landscape and hydrogeological information in **Table 2-3**.

Table 2-3 Regional setting details

Attribute	Description
Topography	The site is relatively flat with a RL between 370 – 380 mAHD with an overall gentle slope to the Anabranch to the north. The northern topography comprises of gently undulating floodplains with local relief <9m and slopes <1% - <2%; and occasional low levees and swamps. It is noted that the topography has been modified by the agricultural practices that occur at the site.
Site Drainage	Surface water flow is likely to be north, towards Wallamore Anabranch, located approximately 5000 m to the north of the site; which then connects to Peel River, located approximately <1km to the north of the site. EI note that on the east and west of the site there appears to be drainage lines which drain to Wallamore Anabranch. The drainage line observed to the west of the site intersects the site in the north western area.
Regional Geology	Information on regional sub-surface conditions, referenced from the New South Wales Department of Mines Geological Map Tamworth 1:250,000 Geological Series Sheet 56-13 (DMR 1971) indicates the site is underlain by Alluvium: clay, silt, sand and gravel.
Soil Landscapes	The Soil Conservation Service of NSW Soil Landscapes of the Tamworth 1:100,000 Sheet (Banks, Robert G, 2001) indicates that the site overlies three different soil landscapes, Peel (pe), Goonoo Goonoo (gn) and Duri (du). The soil landscapes are divided throughout the site as follow: - Peel soil landscape makes up the vast majority of the site. It is concentrated in northern part of the site. The soils that comprise this soil landscape are individually extensive but random in distribution. Soil types include moderately to well drained black dermosols; very deep, imperfectly drained calcareous black earths; black earths; and moderately deep, moderately well drained red-brown earths. - Goonoo Goonoo soil landscape makes up the south central section of the site.

Attribute	Description
	Goonoo Goonoo soil types are diverse but individually locally extensive. These include, very deep to giant, imperfectly drained solodic soils, and black earths; very deep and poorly drained grey clays; shallow, moderately well drained calcareous alluvial soils; and deep to giant, imperfectly drained brown clays. - Duri soil landscape occupies a small section on the southern part of the site, near the site boundary. These soils are generally dominate by duplex soils such as moderately deep, moderately well-drained red and brown earths and non-calcic brown soils; with minor occurrences of shallow, very well drained lithosols around rock outcrops. Deep, imperfectly drained red clays and deep to very deep, imperfectly drained non-calcic brown soils and possibly solodic soils occur along drainage lines and on sodic bedrock. In general, the Duri soil landscape is extremely complex due to rapid changes in underlying lithology.
Likelihood & Depth of Filling as indicated in Sanko (2019) report	Fill and/or Top soil was only encountered at all test pits (TP1 to TP12) extending to depths ranging between 0.1m and 0.4m.
Typical Soil Profile as indicated in Sanko (2019) report	Based on observations made during the field works completed by Sanko (2019), the typical soil profile comprised of: Fill –clayey SAND fine grained, pale yellow, low plasticity; and Sandy GRAVEL fine grained, orange, fine to medium sand, moist (0.3m maximum thickness); and/or Topsoil – Silty CLAY; low plasticity, dark grey, low plasticity fines, moist (0.4m maximum thickness); overlying Residual – CLAY; medium to high plasticity, dark grey/brown, trace of fine sand, moist (0.6m maximum thickness); overlying CLAY; medium to high plasticity, orange/brown, wet (1.1m maximum thickness)
Nearest Surface Water Feature	Wallamore Anabranch, located approximately 500 m to the north of the site.
Anticipated Groundwater Flow Direction	North/ north west, towards Wallamore Anabranch (inferred from local topography).

2.5 GROUNDWATER BORE RECORDS AND LOCAL GROUNDWATER USE

An online search of registered groundwater bores was conducted by EI on 22 November 2018 through the Water NSW database (Ref. https://realtimedata.waternsw.com.au/water.stm). There are seven registered bores within a 500 m radius of the site and these have been summarised in **Table 2-4**. The groundwater bore map downloaded from Water NSW is attached in **Appendix A**.

Table 2-4 Summary of Registered Water Bores within 500 m of the site

Bore No.	Date Drilled	Drilled Depth (m)	SWL*/Salinity/Yield	Bore Purpose	License Status
GW966758	-	-	-/ - / -	Stock, domestic	Converted
GW024241	-	12.20	-/ - / -	Not known	-
GW037910	01/09/1973	12.20	-/ - / -	Irrigation	-
GW966772	19/06/2000	16.70	-/ - / -	Stock, domestic, recreation	Converted
GW53585	01/11/1982	9.80	-/ - / -	Irrigation	-

GW052646	-	3.20	-/ - / -	Not known	-
GW961332	-	-	-/ - / -	-	-

Notes: No data was available for bore no. GW961332.
- Data not recorded;
* SWL – Standing water level measured in m BGL,
Salinity – units unspecified,
Yield – measured in L/s.

2.6 SITE WALKOVER INSPECTION

A site walkover inspection was conducted on 29 November 2018. The 30 hectares rural property is generally slightly-undulating and sloping towards the north. For ease of reference the site has been inspected as three separate lots – Lot 1, 2 and 3 of DP 1234850 as indicated in **Figure 2**. The broader area (Lot 1), was used for agricultural purposes; Lot 2 was predominantly used for stock grazing and a number of feed areas and water troughs were located across the Lot; and Lot 3 was used for commercial/industrial purposes on the south and agricultural on the north. A summary of the observations made for each lot is presented in **Table 2-5** below and Site photographs taken during the inspection are present in **Appendix B**.

Table 2-5 Site Observations

Lot Number (approx. area)	Activity	Potential Contaminant Sources	Photographs
1 (19 ha)	Agricultural	Possible use of pesticides, herbicides and fertilisers	Photographs 1 to 3
2 (5.6 ha)	Agricultural, cattle grazing, storage.	Possible use of pesticides, herbicides and fertilisers	Photographs 4, 5
	Cattle's grazing was observed to the north western and the south eastern side of the site. Soil disturbance due to cattle grazing activities was particularly noticeable in the south central section of the site.		Photographs 7 to 9
	North eastern section appears to be vacant and may potentially be also used for grazing.		Photograph 10

Lot Number (approx. area)	**Activity**	**Potential Contaminant Sources**	**Photographs**
	South western area of the site appears to be used for storage. Nine silos were observed, along with hay roll stacks, metal drums and other waste materials. Approximate locations are illustrated in **Figure 4**.	Leaching from waste materials stored on the site. These include steel frames, metal drums, old farm machinery, and tyres. Machinery spills and leaks.	Photographs 4, 10, 11
3 (5.4 ha)	Mixed use – commercial/industrial and vacant/agricultural	Leaks from onsite storage of pesticides (bipyridilium), herbicides (Group I - glyphosate, L - paraquat and M - glyphosate), and transmission oil (torque fluid 464). Leaching from waste materials such as metal drums (drum labels that were still legible indicated the drums were used to store natural products), steel beams, soil stockpiles, stockpiles of wood material, concrete piping, pvc piping, and bricks. Shipping containers, site offices, skip bins and workshop area was observed onsite. Filling observed near the south side of western boundary. Refer to **Figure 4** for approx. locations of some of the storage tanks, waste materials, shipping containers and stockpiles.	Photographs 12 to 17 Photographs 18 to 24 Photographs 25, 26

Other general Site observations included:

- Grasses and other vegetation was generally healthy and maintained for agricultural purposes except at the areas that showed some commercial activities (Photographs 2 and 4) and or were dry due to the drought conditions in New South Wales;
- One (1) large storage feed/grain containers were observed on Lot 2 (Ref. to **Figure 4** and Photograph 8);

- Septic tanks and sewerage tanks/vent were observed throughout the site as specified in **Figure 4** (Photographs 27 and 28);
- No suspicious odours were observed in any part of the site;
- No evidence of cattle or sheep tick dip sites were observed;
- No market garden orchards were observed;
- No evidence indicative of underground petroleum storage systems (UPSS) or aboveground storage tanks (AST) was observed on any parts of the site; and
- No evidence of groundwater monitoring wells was noted across the Site.

3. PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS

3.1 AVAILABLE DOCUMENTS

Further information was provided to EI on the 2 August 2019 including reports:

- Material Assessment (Suspected Asbestos) Letter Report Ref. E15-063-A DSConTWorth ASBES.Ltr, dated 12 October 2015 (Sanko, 2015);
- Partial Visual Asbestos Removal Clearance Report Ref. CLR5256R01, dated 18 November 2015 (EnviroScience, 2015);
- Asbestos Contaminated Brick Stockpile Removal – Validation Assessment Letter Report Ref. E15-063-B DSConTWorth ASBES VALID, dated 18 May 2016 (Sanko, 2016a), and;
- Onsite Fill Material Assessment Letter Report Ref. E16-043-B DSConTaminda.ENV, dated 15 September 2016 (Sanko, 2016b).

3.2 WORK SCOPE & FINDINGS

Information provided in the reports is summarised in **Table 3-1**.

Table 3-1 Report Scope and Findings

Report	Scope and Findings
Sanko, 2015	An investigation was completed in 2015 on an onsite brick stockpile with an approximate volume of 50m^3 located at Dampier Street, Tamworth. The stockpile had been imported to the site without the correct NSW EPA Recovered Aggregate Exemption (2010 or 2014) assessment. The stockpile material was tested for asbestos only, and the results indicated that stockpile was asbestos contaminated material. Sanko recommended that the material be removed from site as per relevant legislation and WorkCover (now SafeWork NSW) codes.
Enviroscience 2015	An asbestos clearance inspection completed by EnviroScience following the removal in November 2015 of asbestos contaminated building debris and soil from the property identified as 55 Dampier Street, Tamworth NSW. The area visually inspected by the Enviroscience clearance report was 15 m long by 6 m wide area (approx. 90m^2); and found that the surface was free of asbestos containing materials. Enviroscience concluded that the area could be safely accessed.
Sanko, 2016a	An asbestos clearance inspection completed by Sanko following the removal of the brick stockpile identified with suspected asbestos (Sanko, 2015). The clearance report concluded that based on the visual inspection and laboratory results from the surface samples taken within the stockpile footprint; there was no asbestos present within the stockpile footprint.

Report	Scope and Findings
Sanko, 2016b	A material assessment investigation completed by Sanko on sandy clay gravel material which had been stockpiled at 55 Dampier Street, Tamworth, NSW and was later spread out over approximately 3,000m^2 of the site in a 100 mm thick layer. During the investigation 9 soil samples and one soil duplicate sample were collected and they were tested for: - Heavy metals; - total recoverable hydrocarbons (TRH); - the monocyclic aromatic hydrocarbon compounds *benzene, toluene, ethyl-benzene* and *xylenes* (BTEX); and - polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH). Three of the collected samples were further tested for asbestos. The analytical data indicated that for the selected analytes the soil concentrations were below the laboratory practical qualitative limits and/or below the NEPM (2013) Health Investigation Levels for Commercial sites. No asbestos was detected in any of the tested samples. As a result of this, the material was deemed suitable by Sanko for the intended use as a gravel working layer.

3.3 OVERVIEW OF PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS

Based on the reports available indicate that various fill material had been stockpiled on the site. Further building debris potentially containing asbestos was remove from the land. One stockpile of brick material was removed after potentially containing asbestos and a clearance issued. Subsequently suitable fill was spread across approximately 3,000 m^2 of the property.

The information provided indicated that potential asbestos was detected at the site but was cleared by the respective reports providing an additional line of evidence to support the EI observations that no asbestos was observed on the surface of the site.

4. SITE HISTORY AND SEARCHES

4.1 HISTORICAL LAND TITLES & AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH REVIEW

A historical land titles search was conducted through InfoTrack. Copies of relevant documents resulting from this search are presented in **Appendix C**. A summary of all the previous and current registered proprietors along with information obtained from the available historical aerial photographs, in relation to past potential land uses are presented in **Table 4-1**.

The historical aerial photographs reviewed as part of this PSI included:

- 1976: 1976, B/W, NSW 2385_01_130 – Department of Finance, Services & Innovation.
- 1989: 1989, NSW 3667_03_133 – Department of Finance, Services & Innovation.
- 1998: 1998, NSW 4442_03_116 – Department of Finance, Services & Innovation.
- 2002: 2002, NSW 4743_03_028– Department of Lands.
- 2012: 13 November 2012, Google Maps Imagery.
- 2018: 28 August 2018, Google Maps Imagery.

EI note that historical aerial photographs prior to 1976 were not available via the Department of Finance, Services & Innovation.

Table 4-1 Summary of Owners and Historical Aerial Photography

Date of Acquisition and term held	Registered Proprietor(s) & Occupations (where documented)	Site description based on historical aerial photographs	Inferred site uses
As regards Lot 1 D.P. 1234850			
As regards the part numbered (1) on the attached cadastre			
09.10.1936 (1936 to 1964)	Joseph Henry Starr	Nil	Most likely used for agricultural purposes
16.06.1964 (1964 to 1965)	Enid Agnes Kennedy (Married woman) Ainslie Jean Denton (Widow) (Section 94 Application not investigated)	Nil	Most likely used for agricultural purposes
13.08.1965 (1965 to 1969)	Rupert Alfred Wentworth Vickers (Farmer & Grazier)	Nil	Most likely used for agricultural purposes
09.05.1969 (1969 to 1980)	Barry Maynes McKinnon (Agent)	**1976:** land parcels are clearly marked. Land appears vacant and most likely is in the process of being set up for agricultural purposes.	Agricultural

Date of Acquisition and term held	Registered Proprietor(s) & Occupations (where documented)	Site description based on historical aerial photographs	Inferred site uses
15.09.1980 (1980 to 1989)	John Baden Chaffey (Representative) Gladys Chaffey (Married Woman)	**1989:** Predominantly unchanged from previous aerial. Agricultural activity has been established. A house like structure is observed to the south east corner of the site. The crops on the Southern half of the site are laid out in horizontal rows; and the Northern half of the site appears to be cleared and ready for new crops. Drainage channels are observed in the northern half of the site. These lead/connect to a creek located to the north of the site.	Agricultural

Leases, excluding building premises: -

- 15.04.1952 to East-West Airlines Limited of part of the land in Volume 4795 Folio 165 – expired 15.06.1964

As regards the part numbered (2) on the attached cadastre			
16.04.1929 (1929 to 1945)	Henry Jonathan Cluff (Farmer)	Nil	Most likely used for agricultural purposes
06.02.1945 (1945 to 1976)	Clarence Hardwick Wythes (Farmer) Elsie Rose Wythes (Married Woman)	Nil	Most likely used for agricultural purposes
03.06.1976 (1976 to 1981)	Robert Norman Nicolle (Grazier) Ivy Jean Nicolle (Married Woman)	**1976:** land parcels are clearly marked. Land appears vacant and most likely is in the process of being set up for agricultural purposes.	Agricultural
13.02.1981 (1981 to 1981)	Ivy Jean Nicolle (Widow)	Nil	Agricultural
25.05.1981 (1981 to 1983)	Marau Pty Limited	Nil	Agricultural

Date of Acquisition and term held	**Registered Proprietor(s) & Occupations (where documented)**	**Site description based on historical aerial photographs**	**Inferred site uses**
10.05.1983 (1983 to 1989)	John Baden Chaffey (Representative) Gladys Chaffey (Married Woman)	**1989:** Predominantly unchanged from previous aerial. Agricultural activity has been established. The crops on the Southern half of the site are laid out in horizontal rows. Drainage channels are observed to run in the northern half of the site and along western site boundary. These channels lead/connect to a creek located to the north of the site.	Agricultural
<u>Continued as regards the whole of Lot 1 D.P. 1234850</u>			
16.02.1989 (1989 to 1997)	John Baden Chaffey (Representative)	**1989:** Agricultural activity has been established. A house like structure is observed to the south east corner of the site. The crops on the Southern half of the site are laid out in horizontal rows; and the Northern half of the site appears to be cleared and ready for new crops. Drainage channels are observed to run in the northern half of the site and along western site boundary. These channels lead/connect to a creek located to the north of the site. **1998:** Predominantly unchanged from 1989 aerial. Agricultural activity appears to remain in place. Drainage channels are less pronounced than previous aerial, but still remain. The house like structure noted in the 1989 aerial has been demolished and is no longer observed. The southern half of the site appears to be cleared and ready for new crops.	Agricultural
12.06.1997 (1997 to 2002)	Arnold Neil Bailey	**2002:** Predominantly unchanged from 1998 aerial. Agricultural activity appears to remain in place.	Agricultural

Date of Acquisition and term held	Registered Proprietor(s) & Occupations (where documented)	Site description based on historical aerial photographs	Inferred site uses
04.12.2002 (2002 to 2013)	Novine Pty Ltd	**2012:** Agricultural activity appears to remain in place. Circular pattern in crops (centre pivot irrigation) is observed in the southern half of the site. Crops in the northern half of the site are laid out in vertical rows.	Agricultural
16.09.2013 (2013 to 2017)	Tamworth Regional Council	Nil	Agricultural
27.12.2017 (2017 to date)	# Harry David Stearman	**2018:** Site remains unchanged from 2002 aerial.	Agricultural

Leases continued: - NIL

Easements: -

- 4.01.1980 (R 157473) Easement to Drain Sewage 6 metres wide
- 13.12.1984 (V 391742) Easement for Electricity Transmission Line 20 metres wide
- 26.09.1995 (D.P. 265817) Easement to Drain Water 2 metres wide
- 25.01.2001 (7262811) Easement to Drain Sewage 5 metres wide
- 29.03.2017 (AM 108223) Easement to Drain Water 6 metres wide

As regards Lot 2 D.P. 1234850			
16.04.1929 (1929 to 1945)	Henry Jonathan Cluff (Farmer)	-	Vacant – agricultural use (probable)
06.02.1945 (1945 to 1976)	Clarence Hardwick Wythes (Farmer) Elsie Rose Wythes (Married Woman)	-	Vacant – agricultural use (probable)
03.06.1976 (1976 to 1981)	Robert Norman Nicolle (Grazier) Ivy Jean Nicolle (Married Woman)	**1976:** land parcel is clearly marked. Land appears vacant and a house like structure is noted on the south west of the site.	Vacant – agricultural use (probable)
13.02.1981 (1981 to 1981)	Ivy Jean Nicolle (Widow)	-	Vacant – agricultural use (probable)
25.05.1981 (1981 to 1989)	Marau Pty Limited	**1989:** land parcel is clearly marked. Land appears vacant.	Vacant – agricultural use (probable)
19.01.1989 (1989 to 1994)	John Douglas Collett	-	Vacant – agricultural use (probable)

Date of Acquisition and term held	**Registered Proprietor(s) & Occupations (where documented)**	**Site description based on historical aerial photographs**	**Inferred site uses**
28.01.1994 (1994 to 2017)	Peel Technology High School Parents and Citizens Association	**1998:** Predominantly unchanged from 1989 aerial. Soils are observed to be healthy and green in colour. Bare patch of soil is observed on the western side of the site. **2002, 2012:** Predominantly unchanged from 1998 aerial. Soils appear dry as they are noted to be brown in colour. In 2012 aerial, land parcel is been subdivided into three distinct areas – southern area, east and west area.	Agricultural / commercial
27.12.2017 (2017 to date)	# Chan Abbey Holdings Pty Limited	**2018:** The southern area is noted to have a dirt road in the south western part of the site. In the northern half of the site soils are observed to be very brown, with no grass. Some hay stacks (?), amongst other equipment (drums?) is observed towards the western side of the site, and silos are noted along the south eastern boundary. The eastern area comprises of brown soils, and some bush plants in the south eastern section.	Agricultural / commercial
Leases: - NIL **Easements: -** • 4.01.1980 (R 157473) Easement to Drain Sewage 6 metres wide • 27.02.1985 (D.P. 711449) Easement to Drain Water 5 metres wide			
As regards Lot 3 D.P. 1234850			
09.10.1936 (1936 to 1964)	Joseph Henry Starr	-	Vacant
16.06.1964 (1964 to 1965)	Enid Agnes Kennedy (Married woman) Ainslie Jean Denton (Widow) (Section 94 Application not investigated)	-	Vacant

Date of Acquisition and term held	**Registered Proprietor(s) & Occupations (where documented)**	**Site description based on historical aerial photographs**	**Inferred site uses**
13.08.1965 (1965 to 1969)	Rupert Alfred Wentworth Vickers (Farmer & Grazier)	-	Vacant
09.05.1969 (1969 to 1981)	Barry Maynes McKinnon (Agent)	**1976:** land parcel is clearly marked. Land appears vacant.	Vacant
08.10.1981 (1981 to 2004)	Tim Kirkpatrick Anna Edith Kirkpatrick	**1989:** land parcel remains vacant. A house like structure is observed in the north eastern corner of the site. South boundary area appears to be used as a storage area for containers, equipment etc **1998:** land parcel appears to have been subdivided into two separate areas. House like structure has been demolished. The northern area of the site appears to have vertical rows of crops. Southern part of the land continues to be used as a storage area for what appears to be shipping containers, equipment and/or materials. The number of items stored has increased since previous 1989 aerial. **2002:** land has been cleared, no crops are observed. Southern area continues to be use a storage space.	Agricultural / commercial

Date of Acquisition and term held	**Registered Proprietor(s) & Occupations (where documented)**	**Site description based on historical aerial photographs**	**Inferred site uses**
10.06.2004 (2004 to date)	# Kidman Group Pty Limited	**2012:** land parcel is vacant. Ground surface appears to be healthier, as the green colour indicated some form of vegetation has grown. A dirt road to the south of the site is observed. Dirt road extends from east to west. The amount of stored items along the southern boundary has decreased, with only a couple of items now observed. **2018:** land parcel is predominantly vacant. Ground surface appears dry, with little to no vegetation. Dirt track is no longer observed. Rubbish appears to be spread in the south western section of the site. Multiple shipping containers are observed in the south eastern section of the site. A structure with white convex roofing has been established.	Agricultural/ commercial

Note: # Denotes Current Registered Proprietor

In summary, review of land titles records and available historic aerial photography showed that the southern half of the site was most likely to be primarily of agricultural land use since 1970's until the early 2000's. Following this, the land use changed to agricultural/commercial which has remained as the land use to date.

In comparison, the northern half of the site to date has primarily being used for agricultural purposes.

Given that there was no available historic aerial photography prior to 1976, the land use prior to this date is uncertain. Nevertheless, when looking at the land titles in conjunction with council records (Tamworth Regional Council, 2006), Tamworth was renowned for agricultural/market activities since the 1860's. By the early to mid-20th century Tamworth developed into mixed of agricultural and industrial town.

4.2 MILITARY USE RECORD SEARCH

4.2.1 Unexploded Ordnance

A search of the Australian Department of Defence Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) Mapping Application (http://www.defence.gov.au/UXO/Where/Default.asp) was completed. The search indicated that the site was not identified as being potentially affected by UXO. The closest **land** identified as potential impacted by UXO was 17 Faringdon Street North Tamworth NSW 2340 (Lot 53 in DP1212930) located approx. 4.5km north of the site.

4.2.2 Airforce Training

Independent research into NSW Office of Environment & Heritage Website and other sources of information such as newspaper articles and Tourist information websites; indicate that during World War II (WWII), the Royal Australian Air Force (RAAF) No.20 Inland Aircraft Fuel Depot (IAFD), was established (1942) in Tamworth and was later closed on 14 June 1944. The RAAF flying school was also set up at the aerodrome (now Tamworth Regional Airport). It is noted that from approximately 1946 to 1961, the aerodrome was used for civil services and was operated by East West Airlines. Whilst the location of the fuel depot is not specified, based on the historical land titles and aerial photographs search, the SafeWork NSW record search and the site observations from the 29 November 2018 walkover inspection; it is unlikely that the Site was the location of the IAFD.

4.2.3 Risk of Contamination from Military Related Use

Overall, the available information indicates areas of Tamworth were used for military purposes such as air training at approximately 10km east of the Site; and Unexploded Ordnance at approximately 4.5km north of the Site. However, it is inferred that these usages were localised in areas across or downgradient from the site and it is therefore considered that there is a negligible risk of the site being contaminated from these prior military usages.

4.3 REVIEW OF SURROUNDING LAND USES

The review of historical aerial photographs was also used to assess changes to surrounding land uses. A summary of the pertinent information identified in relation to surrounding land parcels from the reviewed photographs is presented in **Table 4-2**.

Table 4-2 Summary of historical aerial photo review for surrounding land uses

Aerial photograph	Surrounding land uses based on historical aerial photographs
1976 – Department of Finance, Service & Innovation	River channels are noted to the north of the site. A road way followed by racecourse is observed to the east of the site. Beyond the racecourse urban development is noted. Some urban development is also noted to the south and south west of the site. Agricultural/vacant land is observed to the south west and west of the site, along with railway and roadways to the south.
1989 – Department of Finance, Service & Innovation	Surrounding land use remains predominantly unchanged from 1976 aerial photograph. However, industrial properties have been established on the neighbouring southern of the site. Residential and commercial/industrial use of surrounding lands to the east, south and south west has increase, and a new road way to the west, east and south east of the site has been constructed. New dwellings in the neighbouring lots to the west of the site have been established.
1998 – Department of Finance, Service & Innovation	Surrounding land use remains predominantly unchanged from 1989 aerial photograph. However, an increase is residential development is noted to the south west and far south of the site.
2002 – Department of Finance, Service & Innovation	Surrounding land use remains predominantly unchanged from 1998 aerial photograph.

Aerial photograph	Surrounding land uses based on historical aerial photographs
2012 Google Earth	Increase in residential development is noted to the south west of the site. Commercial/industrial properties in the neighbouring southern lot to the site have been constructed. An increase in commercial/industrial properties to the far south/east of the site is also observed. The neighbouring lot to the west of the site has adopted centre pivot irrigation in the northern section of the lot.
2018 Google Earth	Surrounding land use remains predominantly unchanged from 2012 aerial photograph. However, commercial/industrial development continues to increase to the east and south of the site. Similarly, the residential development to the south west of the site is denser.

4.4 COUNCIL INFORMATION

A search of site history records held by Tamworth Regional Council in relation to the site, were collected during this investigation. The records found dated back to 2004 and are presented in **Appendix D**. A summary of relevant documents reviewed (i.e. developments applications, letters of reference/complaints) is presented in **Table 4-3**.

Table 4-3 Summary of Historical records Archived at Tamworth Regional Council

Period / Year	Series (Council Ref)	Description
2004	7751/2004	Development application for an increase in the overall dimensions and the relocation of the garage-hayshed on Lot 3 in DP1234850 (previously known as Lot 23, DP260129). Approval was granted on 29 October 2004.
2011	DA0397/2011	Development application for the change of use (storage depot for skip bins) of Lot 3 in DP1234850. Approval was granted, and it became effective on 12 April 2011.
2015	DA0525/2015	Development application for the partial use of Lot 3 in DP1234850 for the storage of shipping containers and demountable buildings. Approval was granted, and it became effective on 07 August 2015.
2016	-	Statement of Environmental Effects report completed by Boresch Project Services in December 2016 (BPS, 2016). The report was completed for 55 Dampier St, Lot 23 DP260129. The report was used to obtain retrospective consent for the earthworks as executed and also obtain development consent for the erection of a workshop, installation of a site office and ablution block for the purpose of light industrial. The report concluded that retrospective consent on the earthworks as executed, along with the proposed development be approved by Council. Whilst the development application for these works was not available, the observations made during site inspection indicate that a workshop was constructed on an area of shallow filling.

In summary, historical council records dating back to 2004 for the south eastern part of the site indicate that the site was predominantly use for various commercial/ industrial purposes including, storage space. No residential use of the site was observed.

These findings are consistent with the aerial photography review and historical land titles information discussed in **Section 4.1**.

4.5 SAFEWORK NSW RECORD SEARCH

A search of SafeWork NSW Authority records relating to the property at 55 Dampier Street and 21 & 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda, NSW was initiated on 29 October 2018 by EI, on behalf of the site owner. Correspondence dated 09 November 2018, from SafeWork NSW was received and there are no records held by SafeWork NSW pertaining to the above premises (Ref. **Appendix E**).

4.6 ABORIGINAL HERITAGE – RECORD OF ABORIGINAL PLACES UNDER THE NATIONAL PARKS AND WILDLIFE ACT

An on-line search of the NSW Environment & Heritage public record of Aboriginal Places declared by the Minister for the Environment under the National Park and Wildlife Act was conducted on 23 November 2018. The information obtained from this search is provided by the Heritage Division of the NSW Government Office of Environment & Heritage.

The search confirmed that the site known as 55 Dampier Street and 21 & 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda, NSW and lands in its close proximity (within 500 m), are not Aboriginal Heritage.

4.7 CONTAMINATED LAND - RECORD OF NOTICES UNDER SECTION 58 OF CLM ACT (1997)

An on-line search of the contaminated land public record of EPA Notices was conducted on 23 November 2018.

The contaminated land public record is a searchable database of:

- Orders made under Part 3 of the *Contaminated Land Management Act 1997* (CLM Act);
- Approved voluntary management proposals under the CLM Act that have not been fully carried out and where the approval of the Environment Protection Authority (EPA) has not been revoked;
- Site audit statements provided to the NSW EPA under section 53B of the CLM Act that relate to significantly contaminated land;
- Where practicable, copies of anything formerly required to be part of the public record; and
- Actions taken by NSW EPA under section 35 or 36 of the *Environmentally Hazardous Chemicals Act 1985* (EHC Act).

The search confirmed that the site known as 55 Dampier Street and 21 & 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda, NSW and lands in its close proximity (within 500 m), are not subject to any regulatory notices issued by the NSW EPA.

4.7.1 List of NSW contaminated sites notified to EPA

A search through the List of NSW Contaminated Sites notified to the EPA under Section 60 of the CLM Act 2008 was conducted on 23 November 2018. This list is maintained by NSW EPA and includes properties on which contamination has been identified. Not all notified land is deemed to be impacted significantly enough to warrant regulation by the NSW EPA.

The search confirmed that the site known as 55 Dampier Street and 21 & 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda, NSW and lands in its close proximity (within 500 m), are not subject to any regulatory notices issued by the NSW EPA.

EI note that four sites within a 1km radius were identified to be subject to regulatory notices issued by the NSW EPA. However, these sites are noted to be down hydraulic gradient or hydrologically cross gradient in relation to the site. A copy of the Public List of Notified Sites with the aforementioned sites highlighted in yellow has been provided in **Appendix C**.

4.7.2 POEO Public Register

A search of the Protection of the Environment Operations (POEO) Act public register was conducted on 23 November 2018. The public register contains records related to environmental protection licences, applications, notices, audits, pollution studies, and reduction programmes. The search identified that there is no records under the POEO public register for the site known as 55 Dampier Street and 21 & 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda, NSW. However, the following land in its close proximity (within 500 m), has been identified under the POEO public register:

- *Boral Resources (Country) Pty Limited* – for concrete batching in a >13000 – 25000m^3 produced scale. License is no longer in force. A copy of the license has been provided in **Appendix C**.

Given that the license appears to not have been in force since its last review date, 16 February 2012; the activities completed at this site are not a cause for environmental concern.

5. CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

5.1 OVERVIEW

In accordance with NEPM (2013) *Schedule B2 – Guideline on Site Characterisation* and to aid in the assessment of data collection for the Site, EI developed a preliminary conceptual Site model (CSM) assessing plausible pollutant linkages between potential contamination sources, migration pathways and receptors. The CSM provides a framework for identifying data gaps in the existing Site characterisation and future Site assessments. Potential contamination sources, exposure pathways and receptors that were considered relevant for this assessment are summarised along with a qualitative assessment of the potential risks posed by complete exposure pathways[1].

5.2 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The intrusive investigation carried out on 9 September 2019 by Sanko Engineering, revealed that the subsurface soils comprise of silty clay fill/top soil overlying natural clays. The natural soil layers correlated with the regional geology identified in **Section 2.3**.

5.3 POTENTIAL CONTAMINATION SOURCES

On the basis of the PSI findings potential contamination sources are as follows:

- Fill of unknown origin and quality placed at the Site;
- Weathering of exposed building fabrics, painted surfaces and metallic objects from the waste material found at the site and from the site structures;
- Hazardous materials derived from uncontrolled demolition or degradation of former Site structures and existing waste materials;
- Potential contamination associated with heavy machinery across large areas of the Site;
- Potential on-Site use of pesticides across the Site;
- Potential contamination from onsite chemical storages;
- Former agricultural use, and
- Contamination resulting from waste disposal and illegal dumping across the south eastern section of the Site, which makes up 30%of the Site; and unknown contamination sources (e.g. unknown burial pits or filled erosion gullies).

[1] A source, pathway, and receptor are all present and exposure to the contaminant is possible

5.4 CONTAMINANTS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN

Based on the findings of the PSI, the contaminants of potential concern (COPC) at the site are considered to be:

- Soil – heavy metals (HMs), total recoverable hydrocarbons (TRHs), the monocyclic aromatic hydrocarbon compounds *benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes* (BTEX), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), organochlorine and organophosphorus pesticides (OCPs / OPPs), herbicides, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), asbestos, Cation Exchange (CEC), pH, and soil salinity.
- Water - heavy metals (HMs), total recoverable hydrocarbons (TRHs), the monocyclic aromatic hydrocarbon compounds *benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes* (BTEX), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), organochlorine and organophosphorus pesticides (OCPs / OPPs), total phenols, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs).

5.5 PER OR POLY-FLUOROALKYL SUBSTANCES (PFAS)

EPA (2017) requires that PFAS is considered in assessing contamination. EI use the following decision tree (**Table 5-1**) based on EnRisk (2016) for prioritising the potential for PFAS to be present on site and whether PFAS sampling of soil and water is required.

Table 5-1 PFAS Decision tree

Preliminary Screening	Likelihood of occurrence
Did fire training occur on-site?	**Low**
Did fire training occur, or is an airport or fire station up gradient of or adjacent to the site? [1]	**Low**[3]
Have "fuel" fires ever occurred on-site? (e.g. ignition of fuel (solvent, petrol, diesel, kerosene) tanks?)	**Low**
Have PFAS been used in manufacturing or stored on-site ?[2]	**Low**

Notes:
[1] Runoff from fire training areas may impact surface water, sediment and groundwater.
[2] PFAS is used wide range of industrial processes and consumer products, including in the manufacture of non-stick cookware, specialised garments and textiles, Scotchguard™ and similar products (used to protect fabric, furniture, leather and carpets from oils and stains), metal plating and in some types of fire-fighting foam (https://www.nicnas.gov.au/chemical-information/factsheets/chemical-name/perfluorinated-chemicals-pfas)
[3] A search of the NSW EPA PFAS investigation program register was completed and Tamworth Regional Airport has been identified as being impacted by PFAS. PFAS was also found in some surrounding properties. However, given the location of the Airport in relation to the site; which is approx. 4.5km to the west of the site (i.e. across gradient) the likelihood of the Airport activities being a source of site contamination is low. Furthermore, an additional search of the Australian Defence Force PFAS Investigation and Management Program register was completed and neither Tamworth Airport nor any other lands within the vicinity of the site were found on the PFAS investigation and management sites list.

5.6 EMERGING CHEMICALS

The NSW EPA uses Chemical Control Orders (CCOs) as a primary legislative tool under the EHC Act (1985) to selectively and specifically control particular chemicals of concern, and limit their potential impact on the environment. CCOs provide the EPA a rapid and flexible mechanism for responding to emerging chemical issues. As with PFAS compounds, EI has considered chemicals controlled by CCOs and other potential emerging chemicals in this assessment as outlined in **Table 5-2**.

Table 5-2 Emerging or controlled chemicals

Chemicals of Concern (CCO or emerging)	Decision
Were aluminium smelter wastes used or stored on site (CCO, 1986)?	**No**
Do dioxin contaminated wastes (CCO, 1986) have the potential to impact the site? [1]	**No**
Were organotin products (CCO,1989) used or stored on site ?[2]	**No**
Were polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) used or PCB wastes (CCO, 1997) stored on-site?[3]	**Low Risk**
Were scheduled chemical or wastes (CCO, 2004) used or stored[4]	**Yes** *Given that historically the site has been used for agricultural purposes, the likelihood of scheduled wastes being used onsite in the form of pesticides is medium.*
Are other emerging chemicals suspected?[5]	**No**
If Yes to any questions, has site sampling suite been optimised to include specific sampling for other chemicals of concern in soil, air and water	**Yes** *Identified COPC in Section 4.1.3*

Notes:
[1] From burning of certain chemicals, smelting or chemical manufacturing or fire on or near the site.
[2] From anti-fouling paints used or removed at boat & ship yards and marinas.
[3] From older transformer oils & electrical capacitors
[4] Twenty-four mostly organochlorine pesticides (such as aldrin, DDT, endrin, 2,4,5,T etc.)
[5] Other chemicals considered as emerging e.g. 1,4 dioxane (associated with some cVOCs),

5.7 POTENTIAL SOURCES, RECEPTORS AND EXPOSURE PATHWAYS

Potential contamination sources, exposure pathways and human and environmental receptors that were considered relevant for this assessment are summarised in **Table 5-3**.

Table 5-3 Preliminary Conceptual Site Model

Contaminated Media	Chemicals of Potential Concern	Transport Mechanism	Exposure Pathway	Potential Receptor
Impacted soils	HM, TRH, PAH, BTEXN, OCP, OPP, PCB, VOC, asbestos	Disturbance of surficial and subsurface soils during construction, future maintenance, and use of the site post redevelopment	• Ingestion and dermal contact; • inhalation of asbestos fibres and dust particulates; • volatile organic compounds during site redevelopment	• Construction and maintenance workers • End users of the site post redevelopment • Agricultural lands surrounding the site
		Atmospheric dispersion from soil to outdoor air spaces, or mobilisation of soil particles/ fibres during site works causing soil disturbance	• Inhalation of asbestos and dust fibres from impacted soil; • Inhalation of particles of contaminated soil	
	F1 and F2 TRH, BTEXN and VOCs	Volatilisation & diffusion from soil to indoor air spaces, particularly in areas where chlorinated solvents have been leaked or spilled	• Inhalation of vapours intruding into ground level office/industrial building spaces from VOC impacted soil, soil vapour or groundwater	
	HM, TRH, PAH, BTEXN, OCP	Plant uptake of contamination present in root zone	• Plant uptake	• Current ecological receptor of Wallamore Anabranch and Timbumburi Creek
Groundwater	HMs, TRH, BTEXN, total phenolsand VOCs	Migration of dissolved phase impacts in groundwater	• Contaminants arriving at receiving surface water bodies could lead to ingestion and dermal contact	• Aquatic ecosystems (Wallamore Anabranch and Timbumburi Creek) • Recreational water users
Building fabrics containing hazardous materials	Lead, PCB and asbestos	Release of hazardous materials during uncontrolled demolition of building fabrics	• Ingestion; • Dermal contact; • Inhalation of airborne contaminants	• Construction workers during site redevelopment; • Maintenance worker post-development; • End users of the site

5.8 DATA GAPS

Based on the information from the site history review and walkover inspection, EI considered a limited programme of intrusive investigation was warranted, by way of targeted / systematic sampling at available locations across the Site.

6. ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

6.1 SAMPLING RATIONALE

With reference to the CSM described in **Section 5**, the field investigation works were planned in accordance with the following rationale:

- Site characterisation in relation to soil would be based on intrusive soil investigations involving sampling and laboratory analysis of fill and natural soils from twelve test pits (TP1 to TP12). The test pits were located systematically across targeted and accessible parts of the site; and
- Laboratory analysis of representative soil samples for the COPC identified in **Section 5.4**.

6.2 ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The assessment criteria proposed for this project are outlined in **Table 6-1**. The most conservative criteria has been chosen from available published guidelines that are endorsed by national or state regulatory authorities, with due consideration of the exposure scenario that is expected for the site, the likely exposure pathways and the identified potential receptors.

For the purposes of this investigation, the adopted soil assessment criteria are referred to as the Soil Investigation Levels (SILs). SILs are presented alongside the analytical results in the corresponding summary tables, which are discussed in **Section 9**.

Table 6-1 Adopted Investigation Levels for Soil

Environmental Media	Adopted Guidelines	Rationale
Soil	NEPC (2013) Soil HILs, EILs, HSLs, ESLs and Management Limits for TRHs	**Soil Health-based Investigation Levels (HILs)** Entirety of Area B: HIL- A residential with garden/access, including childcares. **Soil Health-based Screening Levels (HSLs) for Vapour Intrusion** Entirety of Area B: NEPM (2013) HSL-A & B for low to high density residential. NEPC (2013) HSL-A for recreational/open space sites. Asbestos HSLs: Presence or absence of asbestos (i.e. detection/non-detection) was adopted for preliminary screening purposes. **Ecological Investigation Levels (EILs) / Ecological Screening Levels (ESLs)** EILs / ESLs were considered relevant as a conservative measure. The derived EIL criteria presented by EI are based on the following: ▪ generic EILs for aged arsenic, fresh DDT and fresh Napthalene (NEPM Schedule B, Table 1B(5)); ▪ generic EIL for lead (NEPM Schedule B, Table 1B(4)); ▪ EIL derivation for Copper (total) is based on Copper (III); The adopted ESL criteria presented by EI are based on conservative coarse grained criteria. **Management Limits for Petroleum Hydrocarbons** Should the ESLs and HSLs be exceeded for petroleum

	hydrocarbons, soil samples will also assessed against the NEPM 2013 *Management Limits* for the TRH fractions F1 – F4 to assess propensity for phase-separated hydrocarbons (PSH), fire and explosive hazards & adverse effects on buried infrastructure.

6.3 SOIL INVESTIGATION

The soil investigation works conducted at the site are described in the Sanko Engineering report (Sanko, 2019). For ease of reference, this report has been provided under **Appendix F**. Test pit locations completed by Sanko are illustrated in **Figure 5**.

7. DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT

The assessment of data quality is defined as the scientific and statistical evaluation of environmental results to determine if the data meet the objectives of the project (Ref. US EPA, 2006). Data quality assessment involves an evaluation of the compliance of the field sampling and laboratory analytical procedures and an assessment of the accuracy and precision of these data from the laboratory quality control measurements obtained.

The data quality assessment process for this PSI included a review of analytical procedures to confirm compliance with established laboratory protocols and an assessment of the accuracy and precision of analytical data from a range of quality control measurements. The QC measures generated from the field sampling and analytical program were as follows:

- Suitable records of fieldwork observations, including borehole logs;
- Relevant and appropriate sampling plan (density, type, and location);
- Use of approved and appropriate sampling methods;
- Preservation and storage of samples upon collection and during transport to the laboratory;
- Complete field and analytical laboratory sample COC procedures and documentation;
- Sample holding times within acceptable limits;
- Use of appropriate analytical procedures and NATA-accredited laboratories;
- Required LOR (to allow for comparison with adopted IL);
- Frequency of conducting quality control measurements;
- Laboratory blanks;
- Field duplicates;
- Laboratory duplicates;
- Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicates (MS/MSDs);
- Surrogates (or System Monitoring Compounds);
- Analytical results for replicated samples, including field and laboratory duplicates and inter-laboratory duplicates, expressed as Relative Percentage Difference (RPD); and
- Checking for the occurrence of apparently unusual or anomalous results, e.g. laboratory results that appear to be inconsistent with field observations or measurements.

8. Results

8.1 Soil Investigation Results

8.1.1 Site Geology and Subsurface Conditions

The general site geology encountered during this investigation was a layer of fill or topsoil, overlying residual clays. The geological information obtained during the investigation is summarised in **Table 8-1** and test pit logs from these works are presented in **Appendix F**.

Table 8-1 Generalised Subsurface Profile

Layer	Description	Maximum Depth to Top & Bottom of Layer (mBGL)	
		Top	Bottom
Fill/Topsoil	Clayey SAND fine grained, pale yellow, low plasticity, moist/ Sandy GRAVEL fine grained, orange, fine to medium sand, moist.	0.0	0.3
	Silty CLAY; low plasticity, dark grey, low plasticity fines, moist.	0.0	0.4
Natural	CLAY; medium to high plasticity, dark grey/brown, trace of fine sand, moist. CLAY; medium to high plasticity, orange/brown, wet .	0.4	1.0

Note:
+ Termination depth of borehole

8.2 Laboratory Analytical Results

8.2.1 Soil Analytical Results (Contamination)

A summary of the laboratory results for the tested samples, with minimum / maximum analyte concentrations, is presented in **Table 8-2**. More detailed tabulation, showing individual sample concentrations alongside the adopted criteria, is presented in **Table T1** at the end of this report.

Table 8-2 Summary of Soil Analytical Results

No. of Primary Samples	Analyte	Min. Conc. (mg/kg)	Max. Conc. (mg/kg)	Sample Locations Exceeding Investigation Levels *
Priority Metals				
22	Arsenic	<4	<4	None
22	Cadmium	<0.4	<0.4	None
22	Chromium (Total)	13	37	None
22	Copper	20	40	None
22	Lead	6	11	None
22	Nickel	3.4	20	None
22	Zinc	45	46	None
22	Mercury	<0.1	<0.1	None
TRHs (including BTEX)				
22	TRH C_6-C_{10} minus BTEX (F1)	<25	<25	None

No. of Primary Samples	Analyte	Min. Conc. (mg/kg)	Max. Conc. (mg/kg)	Sample Locations Exceeding Investigation Levels *
22	TRH >C_{10}-C_{16} (F2) minus Naphthalene	<25	<25	None
22	TRH >C_{16}-C_{34} (F3)	<100	<100	None
22	TRH >C_{34}-C_{40} (F4)	<100	<100	None
22	Benzene	<0.1	<0.1	None
22	Toluene	<0.1	<0.1	None
22	Ethylbenzene	<0.1	<0.1	None
22	Total Xylenes	<0.3	<0.3	None
PAHs				
22	Benzo(α)pyrene	<0.05	<0.05	None
22	Carcinogenic PAHs	<0.5	<0.5	None
22	Naphthalene	<1	<1	None
22	Total PAHs	<0.05	<0.05	None
Asbestos				
7	Asbestos	No	No	None
OCPs				
22	OCPs	ND	ND	None
OPPs				
22	OPPs	ND	ND	None
PCBs				
22	PCBs	ND	ND	None

Note:
* Refer to **Section 7.3** for all investigation levels adopted.
ND – None Detect

Priority Metals

All priority metal concentrations were below the corresponding SILs (including EILs).

PAHs

All PAH concentrations were below the corresponding SILs.

TRHs and BTEX

All TRH and BTEX concentrations were below the laboratory practical quantitation limits (PQL) and subsequently below the corresponding SILs.

OCPs, OPPs and PCBs

The concentrations of all screened OCPs, OPPs and PCBs were below the corresponding SILs.

Asbestos

Asbestos was not detected in any of the tested fill soil samples.

9. Site Characterisation

9.1 Review of Conceptual Site Model & Data Gaps

The preliminary CSM discussed in **Section 5** was considered to appropriately identify contamination sources, migration mechanisms and exposure pathways, as well as potential onsite and offsite receptors.

Based on the available analytical data, widespread contamination was not identified on the site.

9.2 Soil Contamination Characterisation

All contaminant concentrations in the examined soils were found to comply with the adopted SILs (including EILs and ESLs) which is the most conservative criteria. Given that the proposed land use is for commercial/industrial purposes, which is a less sensitive land use; EI considered the potential for site contamination of significance as being low.

10. CONCLUSIONS

The property located at 55 Dampier Street and 21 & 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda, NSW was the subject of a Preliminary Site Investigation. The investigation was performed as part of a Planning Proposal to Tamworth Regional Council, for the development of Employment lands and construction of an associated bypass road which connects Jewry Street to Wallamore Road in the South. This Preliminary Site investigation (PSI) was undertaken by EI to evaluate the potential of any on-site contamination associated with current and former land uses.

The findings of this assessment were as follows:

- Historical records indicated that the southern half of the site was most likely to be primarily of agricultural land use since 1970's until the early 2000's. Following this, the land use changed to agricultural/commercial which has remained as the land use to date. In comparison, the northern half of the site to date has primarily being used for agricultural purposes.

- The site inspection revealed that isolated dumping has occurred at the southern third of the site. Some of the waste material observed included weathered steel beams, rusted metal drums, metal roofing sheets, and soil stockpiles;

- Onsite storage of pesticides (bipyridilium), herbicides (Group I, L, and M) and fuel oil was observed during site the site inspection that was completed on the 29 November 2018;

- Based on the information outlined in previous reports completed for the site by Sanko Excavation Environmental & Civil Services Pty Ltd (Sanko) and Regional EnviroScience Hazardous Materials Laboratory and Consultancy (EnviroScience); it is noted that action was taken into clearing any asbestos containing material that might have been brought to site as a result of inadequate soil management practices;

- The information provided in previous investigations completed by Sanko (2015, 2016a and 2016b) and EnviroScience (2015) was useful as an additional line of evidence to support the site observations where asbestos was not observed at surface;

- Council records dating back to 2004 held by Tamworth Regional Council indicated that the site has not been used for residential purposes and that the commercial/industrial use of the land has only being predominantly an isolated use to the south eastern side of the site;

- A search of SafeWork NSW Dangerous Goods Records revealed there are no records pertaining to the above premises;

- The site and surrounding properties (within 500m) were not reported as being of Aboriginal Heritage under the National Park and Wildlife Act;

- The site and surrounding properties were not reported as being subject to regulation in relation to environmental impacts, as documented in the NSW EPA databases; with the exception of one site within 500m of the site, which held a license for concrete batching. The license is no longer in force;

- A Military use record search was completed on the site and lands in close proximity of the site. Overall, the available information indicated Tamworth was used for military purposes such as air training and Unexploded Ordnance. However, it is inferred that these usages were localised in areas across or downgradient from the site and it is therefore considered that there is a low risk of the site being contaminated from these usages in the surrounding lands;

- The CSM identified the potential for contamination resulting from the use of pesticides, herbicides and some fertilisers across the Site; and possible oil spill and leaching of weathered building materials in the south eastern area of the Site which makes up approx. 30%of the Site area.
- The nearest sensitive receptors to the site were Wallamore Anabranch to the north of the site, and Timbumburi Creek and the agricultural/residential land uses to the west of the Site;
- The site and all examined (drilled / sampled) soils displayed no visual or olfactory evidence of contamination.
- The sub-surface layers were comprised of anthropogenic, silty clay / gravelly clay, fill mix or silty clay topsoil to a depth of 0.4m BGL, overlying natural clays.
- Laboratory analytical results for the representative soil samples were all found to apply with the adopted SILs applicable to the proposed sensitive (commercial/industrial) land use.
- Groundwater was not encountered during this investigation.

Based on the findings from this PSI, and with consideration of the Statement of Limitations (**Section 12**), EI concluded that wide spread contamination was not present on the site. The site is regarded as suitable for the proposed rezoning of the site to a less sensitive land use (Employment Lands), in accordance with Clause 7 of *State Environmental Planning Policy No. 55 - Remediation of Land*, provided the recommendations detailed in **Section 11** were implemented.

11. RECOMMENDATIONS

EI hereby makes the following recommendations in relation to the proposed site development:

- All waste materials designated for off-site disposal (including any virgin excavated natural material (VENM)) must be classified in accordance the EPA (2014) *Waste Classification Guidelines*. They are to be transported to EPA-licensed waste facilities by licensed waste contractors.

- Any soil material to be imported to the site (i.e. for backfilling and/or landscaping purposes) must be confirmed by documentary evidence as suitable for the proposed land use. In the absence of such validation, the material will require assessment through sampling and laboratory analysis as being free of contamination and suitable for the intended land use, in accordance with NSW EPA guidelines.

EI note that these recommendations can be addressed through the DA approval process and incorporated into the conditions of development consent.

12. STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Chan Abbey Holdings Pty Ltd c/- Elton Consulting, who is the only intended beneficiary of EI's work. The scope of the investigations carried out for the purpose of this report is limited to those agreed with Chan Abbey Holdings Pty Ltd on 5 October 2018 and subsequent emails dated 9 September 2019.

No other party should rely on the document without the prior written consent of EI, and EI undertakes no duty, or accepts any responsibility or liability, to any third party who purports to rely upon this document without EI's approval.

EI has used a degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised in similar investigations by reputable members of the environmental industry in Australia as at the date of this document. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made or intended. Each section of this report must be read in conjunction with the whole of this report, including its appendices and attachments.

The conclusions presented in this report are based on a limited assessment of historical site use and current use of the site. Due to the preliminary nature of this assessment, findings are not based on actual samples collected or testing conducted. EI has relied upon information provided by the Client and other third parties to prepare this document, some of which could not be verified by EI due to the anecdotal or historical nature of the information.

EI's professional opinions are reasonable and based on its professional judgment, experience and training.

EI's professional opinions contained in this document are subject to modification if additional information is obtained through the data searches that have been initiated with government authorities, but for which the requested information is still pending.

Technical opinions may also be amended in the light of further investigation, observations, or validation testing and analysis during remedial activities. In some cases, further testing and analysis may be required, which may result in a further report with different conclusions.

REFERENCES

Banks, Robert G, 2001 *Soil Landscapes of Tamworth1:100 000 sheet*, Department of Land and Water Conservation, Sydney.

BPS (2016) *Statement of Environmental Effects, 55 Dampier Street, Lot 23 DP260129, Taminda NSW 2340, Earthworks as Executed, Installation of Site Office, Ablution Block and Workshop for Light Industrial Use*, December 2016.

DCP (2010) *Tamworth Regional Council Development Control Plan (DCP),* Tamworth Regional Council.

DEC (2007) *Guidelines for the Assessment and Management of Groundwater Contamination*, Dept. of Environment and Conservation, New South Wales, DEC 2007/144, June 2007.

DMR (1971) Tamworth 1:250,000 *Geological Series Sheet* Geological Series Sheet 56-13, Geological Survey of New South Wales, Department of Mineral Resources.

Enrisk (2016) *Proposed Decision Tree for Prioritising Sites Potentially Contaminated with PFASs*, Enrisk, February 2016.

EnviroScience (2015) *Partial Visual Asbestos Removal Clearance Report* Ref. CLR5256R01, dated 18 November 2015.

EPA (1995) *Sampling Design Guidelines*, Environment Protection Authority of New South Wales, Contaminated Sites Unit, EPA 95/59, September 1995.

EPA (2017) *Guidelines for the NSW Site Auditor Scheme* (3rd Edition), NSW EPA, Doc. EPA 2017P0269, October 2017.

He, Z. L., Yang, X. E., and Stoffella, P. J. (2005). Trace elements in agroecosystems and impacts on the environment. *Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology* **19**, 125 – 140.

LEP (2010) *Tamworth Regional Council Local Environmental Plan (LEP),* Tamworth Regional Council.

NEPC (2013) *National Environmental Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999,* Amendment 2013, National Environmental Protection Council, 16 May 2013;

OEH (2011) *Guidelines for Consultants Reporting on Contaminated Sites*, NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH), OEH 2011/0650; and

Sanko (2015) *Material Assessment (Suspected Asbestos)* Letter Report Ref. E15-063-A DSConTWorth ASBES.Ltr, dated 12 October 2015.

Sanko (2016a) *Asbestos Contaminated Brick Stockpile Removal – Validation Assessment* Letter Report Ref. E15-063-B DSConTWorth ASBES VALID, dated 18 May 2016.

Sanko (2016b) *Onsite Fill Material Assessment Letter Report* Ref. E16-043-B DSConTaminda.ENV, dated 15 September 2016.

Sanko (2019) *Field Assessment And Data Collection For Preliminary Stage II Environmental Site Assessment* Ref. E19 026_A_DampierStTaminda_FW_PS2.Rpt, dated 19 September 2019.
SEPP 55 (1997) *State Environment Protection Policy 55*, Remediation of Land under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1997.

USEPA (2006) *Data Quality Assessment: A Reviewers Guide – EPA QA/G-9R*. USEPA Office of Environmental Information, EPA/240/B-06/002, February 2006.

WADOH (2009) *Guidelines for the Assessment, Remediation and Management of Asbestos-Contaminated Sites in Western Australia*. Published by the Western Australian Department of Health, May 2009.

ABBREVIATIONS

ACM	Asbestos Containing Material
AHD	Australian Height Datum
AST	Aboveground Storage Tank
BTEX	Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Total Xylenes
CSM	Conceptual Site Model
DA	Development Application
DEC	Department of Environment and Conservation, NSW
DECC	Department of Environment and Climate Change, NSW
DECCW	Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water, NSW
DP	Deposited Plan
DSI	Detailed Site Investigation
EI	EI Australia
EPA	Environment Protection Authority
ha	Hectares
IAFD	Inland Aircraft Fuel Depot
km	Kilometres
m	Metres
MAH	Monocyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
mAHD	Metres relative to Australian Height Datum
mBGL	Metres below ground level
NEPC	National Environmental Protection Council
NEPM	National Environmental Protection Measure
NSW	New South Wales
OCP	Organochlorine Pesticides
OEH	Office of Environment and Heritage, NSW (formerly DEC, DECC, DECCW)
OPP	Organophosphorus Pesticides
PAHs	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
PCB	Polychlorinated Biphenyls
PP	Planning Proposal
PSI	Preliminary Site Investigation
RAAF	Royal Australian Air Force
SCID	Storage Chemical Information Database
SEPP	State Environmental Planning Policy
SSM	State Survey Mark
TRHs	Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons
UXO	Unexploded Ordnance
UPSS	Underground Petroleum Storage System
UST	Underground Storage Tank
VOCs	Volatile Organic Compounds

FIGURES & TABLE



eiaustralia
Contamination | Remediation | Geotechnical
Suite 6.01, 55 Miller Street, PYRMONT 2009
Ph (02) 9516 0722 Fax (02) 9518 5088

Drawn:	D.R./M.G.
Approved:	A.B.
Date:	12-08-18
Scale:	Not To Scale

Chan Abbey Holdings

Preliminary Site Investigation

55 Dampier Street and 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda NSW

Site Locality Plan

Figure: 1

Project: E24043.E01_Rev3

LEGEND

– — – Approximate site boundary

eiaustralia
Contamination | Remediation | Geotechnical
Suite 6.01, 55 Miller Street, PYRMONT 2009
Ph (02) 9516 0722 Fax (02) 9518 5088

Drawn:	M.G.
Approved:	A.B.
Date:	12-08-18

Chan Abbey Holdings
Preliminary Site Investigation
55 Dampier Street & 21, 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda NSW
Site Outline

Figure: 2

Project: E24043.E01_Rev3

LEGEND

- Approximate site boundary
- Approximate area to be retained (AREA A)
- Approximate outline of proposed industrial development area (AREA B)
- Approximate proposed bypass road outline

eiaustralia
Contamination | Remediation | Geotechnical
Suite 6.01, 55 Miller Street, PYRMONT 2009
Ph (02) 9516 0722 Fax (02) 9518 5088

Drawn:	M.G.
Approved:	A.B.
Date:	12-08-19

Chan Abbey Holdings
Preliminary Site Investigation
55 Dampier Street & 21, 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda NSW
Proposed Outline of Industrial Development

Figure: 2

Project: E24043.E01_Rev3



LEGEND

- Approximate site boundary
- Approximate proposed bypass road outline

eiaustralia
Contamination | Remediation | Geotechnical
Suite 6.01, 55 Miller Street, PYRMONT 2009
Ph (02) 9516 0722 Fax (02) 9518 5088

Drawn:	AM.H.
Approved:	A.B.
Date:	12-08-18

Chan Abbey Holdings
Preliminary Site Investigation
55 Dampier Street & 21, 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda NSW
Site Observations Location Plan

Figure: 4

Project: E24043.E01_Rev3



LEGEND

- Approximate site boundary
- Approximate proposed bypass road outline
- Approximate extent of imported fill (Sanko, 2019)
- Approximate test pit location (Sanko, 2019)

eiaustralia
Contamination | Remediation | Geotechnical
Suite 6.01, 55 Miller Street, PYRMONT 2009
Ph (02) 9516 0722 Fax (02) 9518 5088

Drawn:	AM.H.
Approved:	A.B.
Date:	25-09-19

Chan Abbey Holdings
Preliminary Site Investigation
55 Dampier Street & 21, 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda NSW
Sanko (2019) Sampling Locations Plan

Figure: 5

Project: E24043.E01_Rev3

Table 1 - Summary of Soil Analytical Results (based on Sanko, 2019 data)

E24043.E01- Taminda

Batch Number	Sample Date	Sample ID	Sample Depth	Soil Description	Metals								PAHs				BTEX				TRH						Pesticides [2]		Total PCBs [6]	pH	CEC	EC	Asbestos
					As	Cd	Cr	Cu	Pb	Hg	Ni	Zn	Carcinogenic PAHs (as B(a)P TEQ)	Benzo(a)pyrene	Total PAH (NEPM/WHO16)	Naphthalene	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	F1	F2	F3	F4	C_6-C_{10}	C_{10}-C_{36}	OCPs [5]	OPPs						Present/Absent
		TP1	0	Fill/Top Soil	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg: Organic fibres detected
		TP1	0.2	Natural	<4	<0.4	14	27	8	<0.1	12	40	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	7.1	28	80	N.A.
		TP1	0.2	Natural	<4	<0.4	15	29	9	<0.1	12	45	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	7.1	29	79	N.A.
		TP1	1.2	Natural	<4	<0.4	24	37	8	<0.1	19	32	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	8.6	29	110	N.A.
		TP2	0	Fill/Top Soil	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg: Organic fibres
		TP2	0.2	Fill	<4	<0.4	37	22	11	<0.1	8	33	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	7.8	13	130	N.A.
		DUP1	-	Fill (TP2 0.2)	<4	<0.4	30	21	10	<0.1	8	29	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	7.9	13	170	N.A.
		TP2	0.9	Natural	<4	<0.4	27	39	9	<0.1	19	35	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	8.5	26	98	N.A.
		TP3	0.1	Fill/Top Soil	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg: Organic fibres detected
		TP3	1	Natural	<4	<0.4	28	34	9	<0.1	17	29	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	8.5	19	56	N.A.
		TP4	0.3	Fill/Top Soil	<4	<0.4	13	28	9	<0.1	12	40	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	7.7	26	66	N.A.
		TP4	0.9	Natural	<4	<0.4	15	29	8	<0.1	13	44	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	8	24	60	N.A.
		TP5	0	Fill/Top Soil	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg: Organic fibres detected
		TP5	0.3	Fill/Top Soil	<4	<0.4	14	27	8	<0.1	12	42	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	7.4	24	48	N.A.
		DUP2	-	Fill/Top Soil (TP5)	<4	<0.4	25	20	11	<0.1	10	28	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	8.1	16	58	N.A.
		DUP2	-	Fill/Top Soil (TP5)	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	17	N.A.	N.A.
		TP6	0.6	Natural	<4	<0.4	16	27	9	<0.1	12	46	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	7.5	25	39	N.A.
22618	10/9/2019	TP6	1.5	Natural	<4	<0.4	20	30	6	<0.1	16	25	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	8.4	22	58	N.A.
		TP7	0.1	Fill	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg: Organic fibres detected
		TP7	0.6	Natural	<4	<0.4	22	33	7	<0.1	17	28	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	8.7	26	110	N.A.
		TP7	0.6	Natural	<4	<0.4	24	37	7	<0.1	19	32	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
		TP8	0.2	Fill	<4	<0.4	14	27	8	<0.1	12	41	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	8.3	26	45	N.A.
		TP8	0.2	Fill	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	ND	ND	N.A.	8.2	25	46	N.A.
		TP8	0.6	Natural	<4	<0.4	14	27	8	<0.1	12	42	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	8	27	63	N.A.
		TP9	0.1	Fill/Top Soil	<4	<0.4	15	25	8	<0.1	12	46	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	7.6	24	78	
		TP9	1.6	Natural	<4	<0.4	21	31	7	<0.1	16	26	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	8.4	19	56	N.A.
		TP10	0.1	Fill/Top Soil	<4	<0.4	13	25	8	<0.1	12	39	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	7.7	30	61	N.A.
		TP11	0	Fill/Top Soil	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg: Organic fibres detected
		TP11	0.2	Natural	<4	<0.4	24	27	10	<0.1	13	35	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	7.7	30	92	N.A.
		TP11	0.7	Natural	<4	<0.4	18	25	8	<0.1	13	28	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	7.3	26	55	N.A.
		TP12	0	Fill/Top Soil	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg: Organic fibres detected
		TP12	0	Fill/Top Soil	<4	<0.4	17	27	8	<0.1	12	40	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	7.5	26	44	N.A.
		TP12	0	Fill/Top Soil	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	7.6	N.A.	45	N.A.
		TP12	1.2	Natural	<4	<0.4	27	40	8	<0.1	20	34	<0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<1	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<3	<25	<50	<100	<100	<25	<50	ND	ND	<0.1	8.8	27	120	N.A.
Maximum					0	0.0	37	40	11	0.00	20	46	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.8	30.0	170.0	N.C.
SILs																																	
HIL A - *Residential with accessible soils*					100	20	100 Cr(VI)	6,000	300	40	400	7,400	3		300												240		1				
HSL A & HSL B - Residential Soil texture classification –Sand 1					Source depths (0 m to <1 m. BGL)												3	0.5	160	55	40	45	110										
					Source depths (1 m to <2 m. BGL)												NL	0.5	220	NL	60	70	240										
					Source depths (2m to <4 m. BGL)												NL	0.5	310	NL	95	110	440										
					Source depths (4 m+)												NL	0.5	540	NL	170	200	NL										
EIL / ESL - Residential [1]					100		190	95	1,100		30	130		33*			170	50	85	70	105	180	120	300	2,800		180						
EIL / ESL - Residential [1] *(modified EIL/ESL values based on average pH and CEC values)*							410	230			320	920																					
Management Limits – *Residential, parkland and public open space* Coarse grained soil texture [1]																						700	1,000	2,500	10,000								
Asbestos contamination HSL – A Residential with soil access Bonded ACM (%w/w)																																	0.01
Asbestos contamination HSL for Non Bonded / Friable Asbestos (%w/w)																																	0.001

Notes: All results are recorded in mg/kg (unless otherwise stated)

1 Coarse Grained soil texture values were applied, being the most conservative of the material types.
2 Only detected pesticides will be reported.
HIL A NEPC 1999 Amendment 2013 'HIL A' Health Based Investigation Levels applicable for residential exposure settings with access to soil.
HSL A & B NEPC 1999 Amendment 2013 'HSL A&B' Health Based Screening Levels based on vapour intrusion values applicable for low-medium density residential sites.
EIL/ESL NEPC 1999 Amendment 2013 'EIL/ESL' Ecological Investigation Levels/Ecological Screening Levels.
NA No published criteria available
N.C. Not Calculated
NL 'Not Limiting' - The contaminant cannot exceed the maximum allowable vapour risk due to its specific chemical solubility limit.
F1 TRH C6-C10 less BTEX.
F2 TRH >C10-C16 less naphthalene.
F3 TRH >C16-C34.
F4 TRH >C34-C40.
* CRC CARE 2017, Risk-based management and remediation guidance for benzo(a)pyrene, CRC CARE Technical Report no. 39, CRC for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, Newcastle, Australia.

eiaustralia
Contamination | Remediation | Geotechnical

APPENDIX A
Water NSW - Registered Groundwater Bore Search

State Overview
- State Overview

Rivers and Streams
favourites · search · download sites · find a site
- Real Time Data - Rivers And Streams

Daily River Reports
- Daily River Reports

Dams
favourites · search · download sites · find a site
- Real Time Data - Major Dams

Groundwater (Telemetered data)
favourites · search · download sites · find a site
- Real Time Data - Bores

All Groundwater Site details
search · download sites · find a site
- All Groundwater Map
  - North Coast Region
  - Hunter Region
  - Greater Sydney Region
  - South Coast Region
  - **Northwest Region**
  - Central West Region
    - Lachlan River Basin
    - Castlereagh River Basin
    - Macquarie River Basin
  - Southwest Region
  - Far West Region
  - Great Artesian Basin
  - Coal Basins

Meteorology
favourites · search · download sites · find a site
- Real Time Data - Weather Stations

Hunter Integrated Telemetry System
- Hunter Integrated Telemetry System

bandwidth ○ high ◉ low

glossary and metadata

Northwest Region

bookmark this page

All data times are Eastern Standard Time

Map

current site: GW037910



Scale = 1 : 27K

WaterNSW
Work Summary

GW024241

Licence:
Licence Status:
Authorised Purpose(s):
Intended Purpose(s): NOT KNOWN

Work Type: Well
Work Status:
Construct.Method:
Owner Type: Private

Commenced Date:
Completion Date: 01/01/1946
Final Depth: 12.20 m
Drilled Depth:

Contractor Name: (None)
Driller:
Assistant Driller:

Property:
GWMA:
GW Zone:
Standing Water Level (m):
Salinity Description:
Yield (L/s):

Site Details

Site Chosen By:

	County	Parish	Cadastre
Form A:	PARRY	MURROON	28
Licensed:			

Region: 90 - Barwon
River Basin: 419 - NAMOI RIVER
Area/District:
CMA Map: 9035-1N
Grid Zone:
Scale:

Elevation: 0.00 m (A.H.D.)
Elevation Source: (Unknown)
Northing: 6558442.000
Easting: 298923.000
Latitude: 31°05'26.3"S
Longitude: 150°53'31.1"E

GS Map: -
MGA Zone: 56
Coordinate Source:

Construction

Negative depths indicate Above Ground Level; C-Cemented; SL-Slot Length; A-Aperture; GS-Grain Size; Q-Quantity; PL-Placement of Gravel Pack; PC-Pressure Cemented; S-Sump; CE-Centralisers

Hole	Pipe	Component	Type	From (m)	To (m)	Outside Diameter (mm)	Inside Diameter (mm)	Interval	Details
1	1	Casing	Concrete Cylnder	0.00	0.00	1372			

***** End of GW024241 *****

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the NSW Office of Water by drillers, licensees and other sources. The NOW does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

WaterNSW
Work Summary

GW037910

Licence:
Licence Status:
Authorised Purpose(s):
Intended Purpose(s): IRRIGATION
Work Type: Bore
Work Status:
Construct.Method: Cable Tool
Owner Type: Private
Commenced Date:
Completion Date: 01/09/1973
Final Depth: 12.10 m
Drilled Depth: 12.20 m
Contractor Name: (None)
Driller:
Assistant Driller:
Property:
GWMA:
GW Zone:
Standing Water Level (m):
Salinity Description:
Yield (L/s):

Site Details

Site Chosen By:

	County	Parish	Cadastre
Form A:	PARRY	CALALA	LOT 92 D P 259787
Licensed:			

Region: 90 - Barwon
River Basin: 419 - NAMOI RIVER
Area/District:
CMA Map: 9035-1N
Grid Zone:
Scale:
Elevation: 0.00 m (A.H.D.)
Elevation Source: (Unknown)
Northing: 6559012.000
Easting: 299701.000
Latitude: 31°05'08.3"S
Longitude: 150°54'00.8"E
GS Map: -
MGA Zone: 56
Coordinate Source: GPS - Global

Construction

Negative depths indicate Above Ground Level; C-Cemented; SL-Slot Length; A-Aperture; GS-Grain Size; Q-Quantity; PL-Placement of Gravel Pack; PC-Pressure Cemented; S-Sump; CE-Centralisers

Hole	Pipe	Component	Type	From (m)	To (m)	Outside Diameter (mm)	Inside Diameter (mm)	Interval	Details
1	1	Casing	Steel	-0.60	8.00	203			Suspended in Clamps
1	1	Opening	Screen	8.00	12.50	203		1	

Water Bearing Zones

From (m)	To (m)	Thickness (m)	WBZ Type	S.W.L. (m)	D.D.L. (m)	Yield (L/s)	Hole Depth (m)	Duration (hr)	Salinity (mg/L)
8.20	11.80	3.60	Unconsolidated	5.70		13.26			

Drillers Log

From (m)	To (m)	Thickness (m)	Drillers Description	Geological Material	Comments
0.00	3.04	3.04	Soil Black Alluvial	Soil	
3.04	4.57	1.53	Clay Red	Clay	
4.57	8.22	3.65	Conglomerate	Conglomerate	
8.22	11.88	3.66	Sand Gravel Water Supply	Sand	

11.88	12.19	0.31	Clay	Clay	
4.57	8.22	3.65	Clay Sandy	Clay	

Remarks

04/02/1976: GALVANISED LOW CARBON STEEL
10/03/1981: TAMWORTH RACECOURSE
01/04/2015: Martin O'Rourke updated co-ordinates Old E 299892 N 6559076 new from GPS E299701 N 6559020

***** End of GW037910 *****

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the NSW Office of Water by drillers, licensees and other sources. The NOW does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

WaterNSW
Work Summary

GW052646

Licence:
Licence Status:

Authorised Purpose(s):
Intended Purpose(s): NOT KNOWN

Work Type: Well
Work Status: Supply Obtained
Construct.Method:
Owner Type: Private

Commenced Date:
Completion Date:
Final Depth: 3.20 m
Drilled Depth:

Contractor Name: (None)
Driller:
Assistant Driller:

Property:
GWMA:
GW Zone:
Standing Water Level (m):
Salinity Description:
Yield (L/s):

Site Details

Site Chosen By:

	County	Parish	Cadastre
Form A:	PARRY	MURROON	29
Licensed:			

Region: 90 - Barwon
River Basin: 419 - NAMOI RIVER
Area/District:
CMA Map: 9035-1N
Grid Zone:
Scale:

Elevation: 0.00 m (A.H.D.)
Elevation Source: (Unknown)
Northing: 6558689.000
Easting: 298715.000
Latitude: 31°05'18.2"S
Longitude: 150°53'23.4"E

GS Map: -
MGA Zone: 56
Coordinate Source:

Construction

Negative depths indicate Above Ground Level; C-Cemented; SL-Slot Length; A-Aperture; GS-Grain Size; Q-Quantity; PL-Placement of Gravel Pack; PC-Pressure Cemented; S-Sump; CE-Centralisers

Hole	Pipe	Component	Type	From (m)	To (m)	Outside Diameter (mm)	Inside Diameter (mm)	Interval	Details
1	1	Casing	Brick	0.00	0.00	305			

***** End of GW052646 *****

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the NSW Office of Water by drillers, licensees and other sources. The NOW does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

WaterNSW
Work Summary

GW053585

Licence:
Licence Status:
Authorised Purpose(s):
Intended Purpose(s): IRRIGATION

Work Type: Well
Work Status:
Construct.Method: Hand Dug
Owner Type: Private

Commenced Date:
Completion Date: 01/11/1982
Final Depth: 9.80 m
Drilled Depth: 9.80 m

Contractor Name: (None)
Driller:
Assistant Driller:

Property:
GWMA:
GW Zone:
Standing Water Level (m):
Salinity Description:
Yield (L/s):

Site Details

Site Chosen By:

	County	Parish	Cadastre
Form A:	PARRY	MURROON	29
Licensed:			

Region: 90 - Barwon
River Basin: 419 - NAMOI RIVER
Area/District:
CMA Map: 9035-1N
Grid Zone:
Scale:

Elevation: 0.00 m (A.H.D.)
Elevation Source: (Unknown)
Northing: 6558810.000
Easting: 298863.000
Latitude: 31°05'14.3"S
Longitude: 150°53'29.1"E

GS Map: -
MGA Zone: 56
Coordinate Source: GD.,ACC.MAP

Construction

Negative depths indicate Above Ground Level; C-Cemented; SL-Slot Length; A-Aperture; GS-Grain Size; Q-Quantity; PL-Placement of Gravel Pack; PC-Pressure Cemented; S-Sump; CE-Centralisers

Hole	Pipe	Component	Type	From (m)	To (m)	Outside Diameter (mm)	Inside Diameter (mm)	Interval	Details
1	1	Casing	Concrete Cylnder	0.00	6.10	1200			
1	1	Casing	Timber	6.10	9.80				

Water Bearing Zones

From (m)	To (m)	Thickness (m)	WBZ Type	S.W.L. (m)	D.D.L. (m)	Yield (L/s)	Hole Depth (m)	Duration (hr)	Salinity (mg/L)
6.10	9.80	3.70	Unconsolidated	6.10		11.40			

Drillers Log

From (m)	To (m)	Thickness (m)	Drillers Description	Geological Material	Comments
0.00	0.30	0.30	Topsoil	Topsoil	
0.30	6.10	5.80	Clay	Clay	
6.10	9.75	3.65	Gravel Water Supply	Gravel	

***** End of GW053585 *****

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the NSW Office of Water by drillers, licensees and other sources. The NOW does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

NSW Office of Water
Work Summary

GW966758

Licence: 90BL252157 **Licence Status:** CONVERTED

Authorised Purpose(s): STOCK,DOMESTIC
Intended Purpose(s): STOCK, DOMESTIC

Work Type: Bore
Work Status:
Construct.Method: Hand Dug
Owner Type:

Commenced Date: **Final Depth:**
Completion Date: **Drilled Depth:**

Contractor Name:
Driller: Unknown Unknown
Assistant Driller:

Property: LOT 23 DP260129 LOT 23 DAMPIER STREET TAMWORTH 2340 **Standing Water Level:**
GWMA: - **Salinity:**
GW Zone: - **Yield:**

Site Details

Site Chosen By:

	County	Parish	Cadastre
Form A:	PARRY	PARRY.17	LT23 DP260129
Licensed:	PARRY	MURROON	Whole Lot 23//260129

Region: 90 - Barwon **CMA Map:**
River Basin: - Unknown **Grid Zone:** **Scale:**
Area/District:

Elevation: 0.00 m (A.H.D.) **Northing:** 6558635.0 **Latitude:** 31°05'20.4"S
Elevation Source: (Unknown) **Easting:** 299476.0 **Longitude:** 150°53'52.1"E

GS Map: - **MGA Zone:** 0 **Coordinate Source:** Unknown

Construction

Negative depths indicate Above Ground Level; C-Cemented; SL-Slot Length; A-Aperture; GS-Grain Size; Q-Quantity; PL-Placement of Gravel Pack; PC-Pressure Cemented; S-Sump; CE-Centralisers

Hole	Pipe	Component	Type	From (m)	To (m)	Outside Diameter (mm)	Inside Diameter (mm)	Interval	Details

Water Bearing Zones

From (m)	To (m)	Thickness (m)	WBZ Type	S.W.L. (m)	D.D.L. (m)	Yield (L/s)	Hole Depth (m)	Duration (hr)	Salinity (mg/L)

Geologists Log

Drillers Log

From (m)	To (m)	Thickness (m)	Drillers Description	Geological Material	Comments

Remarks

***** End of GW966758 *****

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the NSW Office of Water by drillers, licensees and other sources. The NOW does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

NSW Office of Water
Work Summary

GW966772

Licence: 90BL249837
Licence Status: CONVERTED

Authorised Purpose(s): STOCK,DOMESTIC,RECREATION (GROUNDWATER)
Intended Purpose(s): RECREATION (GROUNDWATER)

Work Type: Bore
Work Status:
Construct.Method: Rotary
Owner Type:

Commenced Date:
Completion Date: 19/06/2000
Final Depth: 16.70 m
Drilled Depth: 16.70 m

Contractor Name: MJ & LC MANNION
Driller: Leonard George Mannion
Assistant Driller:

Property: TAMWORTH SHOWGROUND WESTDALE RD TAMWORTH 2340
GWMA: 005 - PEEL VALLEY
GW Zone: 001 - PEEL ALLUVIUM
Standing Water Level: 9.000
Salinity:
Yield:

Site Details

Site Chosen By:

	County	Parish	Cadastre
Form A:	PARRY	PARRY.5	LOT 4 DP 535457
Licensed:	PARRY	CALALA	Whole Lot 4//535457

Region: 90 - Barwon
River Basin: - Unknown
Area/District:
CMA Map:
Grid Zone:
Scale:

Elevation: 0.00 m (A.H.D.)
Elevation Source: Unknown
Northing: 6558308.0
Easting: 299776.0
Latitude: 31°05'31.2"S
Longitude: 150°54'03.1"E

GS Map: -
MGA Zone: 0
Coordinate Source: GPS - Global Positioning System

Construction

Negative depths indicate Above Ground Level; C-Cemented; SL-Slot Length; A-Aperture; GS-Grain Size; Q-Quantity; PL-Placement of Gravel Pack; PC-Pressure Cemented; S-Sump; CE-Centralisers

Hole	Pipe	Component	Type	From (m)	To (m)	Outside Diameter (mm)	Inside Diameter (mm)	Interval	Details
1		Hole	Hole	0.00	16.70	203			Rotary
1	1	Casing	Steel	0.00	16.70	203			Welded

Water Bearing Zones

From (m)	To (m)	Thickness (m)	WBZ Type	S.W.L. (m)	D.D.L. (m)	Yield (L/s)	Hole Depth (m)	Duration (hr)	Salinity (mg/L)

Geologists Log
Drillers Log

From (m)	To (m)	Thickness (m)	Drillers Description	Geological Material	Comments
0.00	0.60	0.60	topsoil	Topsoil	
0.60	6.10	5.50	clay	Clay	
6.10	10.10	4.00	gravel and clay	Gravel	
10.10	10.13	0.03	water bearing gravel	Invalid Code	
10.13	12.23	2.10	clay	Clay	
12.23	15.83	3.60	gravel	Gravel	
15.83	16.70	0.87	shale	Shale	

Remarks

***** End of GW966772 *****

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the NSW Office of Water by drillers, licensees and other sources. The NOW does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

APPENDIX B
Site Photographs

Photograph 1. View of Lot 1 from the north western side to the south eastern side of the site.

Photograph 2. View towards the south/south eastern side of Lot 1.

Photograph 3. View towards the southern/south east side of Lot 2.

Photograph 4. View from the south to the north of Lot 2.

Photograph 5. View of the southern entrance of Lot 2.

Photograph 6. Cattle grazing observed in the north western /western area of Lot 2.

Photograph 7. Soil disturbance noted to the south central part of Lot 2.

Photograph 8. View of feeding area in the south eastern section of Lot 2.

Photograph 9. View of north eastern section of Lot 2.

Photograph 10. View of hay rolls stacks and other waste material found in Lot 2.

Photograph 11. Label of one of the drums found in Lot 2.

Photograph 12. Chemical storage tanks found near the entrance of Lot 3.

Photograph 13. Group I herbicide stored onsite in Lot 3.

Photograph 14. Example of Group M herbicides stored onsite in Lot 3.

Photograph 15. Example of Group L herbicide stored onsite in Lot 3.

Photograph 16. Example of pesticide stored onsite in Lot 3.

Photograph 17. Example of transmission oil stored onsite in Lot 3.

Photograph 18. View of waste material found in Lot 3.

Photograph 19. Stockpile of waste material found in Lot 3.

Photograph 20. Rusted drums on bare soil patches found in Lot 3.

Photograph 21. View of waste material found on the southern side of Lot 3.

Photograph 22. View of soil stockpile and waste material found in Lot 3.

Photograph 23. Cement and brick block found in Lot 3.

Photograph 24. Soil stockpile and cement pipes found in Lot 3.

Photograph 25. View of workshop, shipping containers and drums found in Lot 3.

Photograph 26. View of shipping containers and skip bins found in Lot 3.

Photograph 27. Sewerage vent and tank found on the western boundary of Lot 3.

Photograph 28. Septic tank found in Lot 3.

APPENDIX C
Historical Property Titles Search & POEO License

ABN: 36 092 724 251
Ph: 02 9099 7400
(Ph: 0412 199 304)

Level 14, 135 King Street, Sydney
Sydney 2000
GPO Box 4103 Sydney NSW 2001
DX 967 Sydney

Summary of Owners Report

LRS NSW **Sydney**

Re: - 55 Dampier Street and 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda

Description: - Lots 1, 2 & 3 D.P. 1234850

As regards Lot 1 D.P. 1234850

As regards the part numbered (1) on the attached cadastre

Date of Acquisition and term held	Registered Proprietor(s) & Occupations where available	Reference to Title at Acquisition and sale
09.10.1936 (1936 to 1964)	Joseph Henry Starr	Vol 4795 Fol 165
16.06.1964 (1964 to 1965)	Enid Agnes Kennedy (Married woman) Ainslie Jean Denton (Widow) (Section 94 Application not investigated)	Vol 4795 Fol 165
13.08.1965 (1965 to 1969)	Rupert Alfred Wentworth Vickers (Farmer & Grazier)	Vol 4795 Fol 165
09.05.1969 (1969 to 1980)	Barry Maynes McKinnon (Agent)	Vol 4795 Fol 165 Now Vol 14087 Fol 62
15.09.1980 (1980 to 1989)	John Baden Chaffey (Representative) Gladys Chaffey (Married Woman)	Vol 14087 Fol 62 Now 272/631977

Leases, excluding building premises: -

- 15.04.1952 to East-West Airlines Limited of part of the land in Volume 4795 Folio 165 – expired 15.06.1964

As regards the part numbered (2) on the attached cadastre

Date of Acquisition and term held	Registered Proprietor(s) & Occupations where available	Reference to Title at Acquisition and sale
16.04.1929 (1929 to 1945)	Henry Jonathan Cluff (Farmer)	Crown Tenure Settlement Purchase 1909/49 Tamworth (Book 1555 No. 723 Mortgage) Now Vol 5370 Fol 222
06.02.1945 (1945 to 1976)	Clarence Hardwick Wythes (Farmer) Elsie Rose Wythes (Married Woman)	Vol 5370 Fol 222 Now Vol 11332 Fol 157
03.06.1976 (1976 to 1981)	Robert Norman Nicolle (Grazier) Ivy Jean Nicolle (Married Woman)	Vol 11332 Fol 157
13.02.1981 (1981 to 1981)	Ivy Jean Nicolle (Widow)	Vol 11332 Fol 157
25.05.1981 (1981 to 1983)	Marau Pty Limited	Vol 11332 Fol 157
10.05.1983 (1983 to 1989)	John Baden Chaffey (Representative) Gladys Chaffey (Married Woman)	Vol 11332 Fol 157 Now 272/631977

ABN: 36 092 724 251
Ph: 02 9099 7400
(Ph: 0412 199 304)

Level 14, 135 King Street, Sydney
Sydney 2000
GPO Box 4103 Sydney NSW 2001
DX 967 Sydney

Continued as regards the whole of Lot 1 D.P. 1234850

Date of Acquisition and term held	Registered Proprietor(s) & Occupations where available	Reference to Title at Acquisition and sale
16.02.1989 (1989 to 1997)	John Baden Chaffey (Representative)	272/631977
12.06.1997 (1997 to 2002)	Arnold Neil Bailey	272/631977
04.12.2002 (2002 to 2013)	Novine Pty Ltd	272/631977
16.09.2013 (2013 to 2017)	Tamworth Regional Council	272/631977 Now 1/1234850
27.12.2017 (2017 to date)	# Harry David Stearman	1/1234850

# Denotes current registered proprietor

Leases continued: - NIL

Easements: -

- 4.01.1980 (R 157473) Easement to Drain Sewage 6 metres wide
- 13.12.1984 (V 391742) Easement for Electricity Transmission Line 20 metres wide
- 26.09.1995 (D.P. 265817) Easement to Drain Water 2 metres wide
- 25.01.2001 (7262811) Easement to Drain Sewage 5 metres wide
- 29.03.2017 (AM 108223) Easement to Drain Water 6 metres wide

---

As regards Lot 2 D.P. 1234850

Date of Acquisition and term held	Registered Proprietor(s) & Occupations where available	Reference to Title at Acquisition and sale
16.04.1929 (1929 to 1945)	Henry Jonathan Cluff (Farmer)	Crown Tenure Settlement Purchase 1909/49 Tamworth (Book 1555 No. 723 Mortgage) Now Vol 5370 Fol 222
06.02.1945 (1945 to 1976)	Clarence Hardwick Wythes (Farmer) Elsie Rose Wythes (Married Woman)	Vol 5370 Fol 222 Now Vol 11332 Fol 157
03.06.1976 (1976 to 1981)	Robert Norman Nicolle (Grazier) Ivy Jean Nicolle (Married Woman)	Vol 11332 Fol 157
13.02.1981 (1981 to 1981)	Ivy Jean Nicolle (Widow)	Vol 11332 Fol 157
25.05.1981 (1981 to 1989)	Marau Pty Limited	Vol 11332 Fol 157 Now 1/711449
19.01.1989 (1989 to 1994)	John Douglas Collett	1/711449
28.01.1994 (1994 to 2017)	Peel Technology High School Parents and Citizens Association	1/711449 Now 2/1234850

ABN: 36 092 724 251
Ph: 02 9099 7400
(Ph: 0412 199 304)

Level 14, 135 King Street, Sydney
Sydney 2000
GPO Box 4103 Sydney NSW 2001
DX 967 Sydney

Continued as regards Lot 2 D.P. 1234850

Date of Acquisition and term held	Registered Proprietor(s) & Occupations where available	Reference to Title at Acquisition and sale
27.12.2017 (2017 to date)	# Chan Abbey Holdings Pty Limited	2/1234850

# Denotes current registered proprietor

Leases: - NIL

Easements: -

- 4.01.1980 (R 157473) Easement to Drain Sewage 6 metres wide
- 27.02.1985 (D.P. 711449) Easement to Drain Water 5 metres wide

---

As regards Lot 3 D.P. 1234850

Date of Acquisition and term held	Registered Proprietor(s) & Occupations where available	Reference to Title at Acquisition and sale
09.10.1936 (1936 to 1964)	Joseph Henry Starr	Vol 4795 Fol 165
16.06.1964 (1964 to 1965)	Enid Agnes Kennedy (Married woman) Ainslie Jean Denton (Widow) (Section 94 Application not investigated)	Vol 4795 Fol 165
13.08.1965 (1965 to 1969)	Rupert Alfred Wentworth Vickers (Farmer & Grazier)	Vol 4795 Fol 165
09.05.1969 (1969 to 1981)	Barry Maynes McKinnon (Agent)	Vol 4795 Fol 165 Now Vol 14087 Fol 61
08.10.1981 (1981 to 2004)	Tim Kirkpatrick Anna Edith Kirkpatrick	Vol 14087 Fol 61 Now 23/260129
10.06.2004 (2004 to date)	# Kidman Group Pty Limited	23/260129 Now 3/1234850

# Denotes current registered proprietor

Leases: - NIL

Easements: -

- 11.02.1980 (R 261250) Easement to Drain Sewage 6 metres wide
- 09.08.1984 (D.P. 636336) Easement to Drain Water

Yours Sincerely
Mark Groll
21 November 2018

LAND REGISTRY SERVICES

Cadastral Records Enquiry Report : Lot 1 DP 1234850

Ref : CMapIT

Locality : TAMINDA
LGA : TAMWORTH REGIONAL

Parish : MURROON
County : PARRY



Report Generated 10:12:09 AM, 21 November, 2018
Copyright © Crown in right of New South Wales, 2017

This information is provided as a searching aid only.Whilst every endeavour is made to ensure that current map, plan and titling information is accurately reflected, the Registrar General cannot guarantee the information provided. For ALL ACTIVITY PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 2002 you must refer to the RGs Charting and Reference Maps

InfoTrack
Your Searching Partner

InfoTrack

PLAN FORM 2 DP1227482 WARNING: CREASING OR FOLDING WILL LEAD TO REJECTION LXML ePlan Sheet 1 of 1 Sheets

A EASEMENT FOR ABOVE GROUND WORKS 20 WIDE VIDE 9945037
B EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 WIDE VIDE R249085 AND SHOWN ON DP601968
C EASEMENT FOR ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION LINE 20 WIDE VIDE 391742 SHOWN ON DP635782
D EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 5 WIDE VIDE 7262811 SHOWN ON DP1008026

SURVEYING AND SPATIAL INFORMATION REGULATION 2012

	MGA					
MARK	EAST	NORTH	ZONE	CLASS	ORDER	ORIGIN
PM31465	299 409.809	6 557 524.943	56	C	U	SCIMS
SS56939	299 497.995	6 557 991.168	56	A	1	SCIMS
PM30522	299 557.549	6 558 369.766	56	A	1	SCIMS
PM31463	299 132.025	6 558 232.426	56	C	U	SCIMS
PM49761	299 528.437	6 558 177.041	56	C	U	SCIMS
PM120932	298 825.282	6 558 424.132	56	U	U	RTK GPS
PM188270	298 861.810	6 558 617.560	56	U	U	RTK GPS
PM188271	299 113.176	6 558 648.624	56	U	U	RTK GPS

MGA CO-ORDINATES ADOPTED FROM SEARCH OBTAINED FROM LAND & PROPERTY INFORMATION AS AT 10-11-2016

COMBINED SCALE FACTOR : 1.000 032

SEE DIAG 1

AZIMUTH "A" – "Z"

190° 42' 39" 474.477--G DENOTES MGA SCALED TO GROUND
190° 42' 55" 474.442 DENOTES MEASURED GROUND

DIAGRAM 2
RR 1:10,000

DIAGRAM 1
RR 1:3000

Surveyor : Peter John Baxter Date of Survey : 30-11-2016 Surveyor's Ref : 0857 QA Ref: 0857-161130	PLAN OF SUBDIVISION OF LOT 28 IN DEPOSITED PLAN 755333	LGA: Tamworth Regional Locality : Taminda Subdivision No: SUB2017/0025 Lengths are in metres. Reduction Ratio 1:10,000	Registered 30.1.2017	DP1227482

0 10 20 30 40 50 Table of mm 90 100 110 120 130 140

Req:R616112 /Doc:DP 1227482 P /Rev:31-Jan-2017 /Sts:SC.OK /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 12:18 /Seq:1 of 3
Ref:taminda /Src:M

DP1227482

PLAN FORM 6 (2013) **WARNING: Creasing or folding will lead to rejection** ePlan

DEPOSITED PLAN ADMINISTRATION SHEET

Office Use Only

Registered: 30.1.2017

Title System: TORRENS

Purpose: SUBDIVISION

Office Use Only

DP1227482

PLAN OF SUBDIVISION OF LOT 28 DP755333

LGA: TAMWORTH REGIONAL

Locality: Taminda

Parish: Murroon

County: Parry

~~Crown Lands NSW/Western Lands Office Approval~~

~~I, ... (Authorised Officer) in approving this plan certify that all necessary approvals in regard to the allocation of the land shown herein have been given.~~

~~Signature: .....~~

~~Date: .....~~

~~File Number: .....~~

~~Office: .....~~

Subdivision Certificate

I, LUCY WALKER

Authorised Person/~~General Manager/*Accredited Certifier~~, certify that the provisions of s.109J of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* have been satisfied in relation to the proposed subdivision, new road or reserve set out herein.

Signature: Lwalker

Accreditation number: .....

Consent Authority: Tamworth Regional Council

Date of endorsement: 09/01/2017

Subdivision Certificate number: Sub 2017/0025

File number: .....

*Strike through if inapplicable.

Survey Certificate

I, Peter John Baxter

of P.O. Box 1402 Tamworth NSW 2340

a surveyor registered under the *Surveying and Spatial Information Act 2002*, certify that:

*~~(a) The land shown in the plan was surveyed in accordance with the *Surveying and Spatial Information Regulation 2012*, is accurate and the survey was completed on~~

*(b) The part of the land shown in the plan (being lot 59) was surveyed in accordance with the *Surveying and Spatial Information Regulation 2012*, is accurate and the survey was completed on, 30 Nov 2016, the part not surveyed was compiled in accordance with that Regulation.

*~~(c) The land shown in this plan was compiled in accordance with the *Surveying and Spatial Information Regulation 2012*.~~

Signature: Dated: 30 Nov 2016

Surveyor ID: 443

Datum Line: "A" (PM31463) - "Z" (PM30522)

Type: *~~Urban~~/*Rural

The terrain is *Level-Undulating / *Steep-Mountainous.

*Strike through if inapplicable.

^Specify the land actually surveyed or specify any land shown in the plan that is not the subject of the survey.

Statements of intention to dedicate public roads create public reserves and drainage reserves, acquire/resume land.

IT IS INTENDED TO DEDICATE LOT 59 TO THE PUBLIC AS ROAD

Plans used in the preparation of survey/compilation.

2350-1761	DP601968	DP711449
DP44224	DP603348	DP739272
DP259787	DP631977	DP816023
DP260129	DP633198	DP1006026
DP265817	DP635782	DP1039053
DP539436	DP636336	DP1152065

If space is insufficient continue on PLAN FORM 6A

Signatures, Seals and Section 88B Statements should appear on PLAN FORM 6A

Surveyor's Reference: 0857

QA REF:0857-161130

PLAN FORM 6A (2012) WARNING: Creasing or folding will lead to rejection ePlan

DP1227482

DEPOSITED PLAN ADMINISTRATION SHEET

Office Use Only

Registered: 30.1.2017

Office Use Only

DP1227482

PLAN OF SUBDIVISION OF LOT 28 DP755333

This sheet is for the provision of the following information as required:

- A schedule of lots and addresses - See 60(c) *SSI Regulation 2012*
- Statements of intention to create and release affecting interests in accordance with section 88B *Conveyancing Act 1919*
- Signatures and seals- see 195D *Conveyancing Act 1919*
- Any information which cannot fit in the appropriate panel of sheet 1 of the administration sheets.

Subdivision Certificate number: Sub2017/0025

Date of Endorsement: 09/01/2017

Statements of intention to dedicate public roads create public reserves and drainage reserves, acquire/resume land.

Pursuant to Sec 88B of the Conveyancing Act 1919-64 it is intended to create

1. Positive Covenant

Lot	Street Number	Street Name	St Type	Locality
59	NA	Wallamore	Road	Taminda
60	72	Wallamore	Road	Taminda

Seal of Tamworth Regional Council
The common seal of Tamworth Regional Council was hereunto affixed in the presence of authorised officers of the Council who certify this to be correct for the purposes of the real Property Act 1900

TAMWORTH REGIONAL COUNCIL
The COMMON SEAL of the
ESTABLISHED 2004

Tamworth Regional Council authorised officer
General ~~Manger~~ COUNSEL
Name: ~~Paul Anthony Bennett~~ PETER THOMPSON
Signature:

Tamworth Regional Council authorised officer
Mayor
Name: Colin John Murray
Signature:

If space is insufficient use additional annexure sheet

Surveyor's Reference: 0857 QA Ref: 0857-161130

InfoTrack

PLAN FORM 2 (A2)

WARNING: CREASING OR FOLDING WILL LEAD TO REJECTION

ePlan

Req:R616115 /Doc:DP 1234850 P /Rev:13-Dec-2017 /Sts:SC.OK /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 12:18 /Seq:1 of 5
Ref:taminda /Src:M



- (A) EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 WIDE (R261250 & DP 260129)
- (B) EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 WIDE (R157473 & DP 260129)
- (C) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 5 WIDE (DP 636336)
- (D) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 5 WIDE (DP 711449)
- (E) EASEMENT FOR ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION LINE 20 WIDE (V391742 & DP 635782)
- (F) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 2 WIDE (DP 265817)
- (G) EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 5 WIDE (7262811 & DP 1006026)
- (H) EASEMENT FOR ABOVE GROUND WORKS 20 WIDE (9945009)
- (I) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 6 WIDE (AM108222)
- (J) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 1.5 WIDE (DP 711449)
- (K) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 3 WIDE (DP 711449)
- (L) EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 1.5 WIDE (DP 260129)

SURVEYING & SPATIAL INFORMATION REGULATION 2012
CLAUSE 35(1)b & 61(2)

Mark	MGA Co-ordinates Easting	Northing	Class	Order	Origin
PM 30522	299,557.549	6,558,369.766	A	1	SCIMS
PM 31463	299,132.025	6,558,232.426	C	U	SCIMS
PM 49761	299,528.437	6,558,177.041	C	U	SCIMS
SSM 56939	299,497.995	6,557,991.168	A	1	SCIMS
PM 188271	299,113	6,558,649	U	U	TRAVERSE

Source: M.G.A. CO-ORDINATES ADOPTED FROM LAND & PROPERTY INFORMATION AS AT 25 OCTOBER 2017
COMBINED SCALE FACTOR 1.000031 ZONE 56
Datum: PM 49761 - PM 31463

CLAUSE 12 PM & SSM COMPARISONS & SURVEY CONTROL CONNECTIONS

From	To	Bearing	Distance	Remarks
PM 49761	PM 31463	277°57'13"	400.250	By Survey
		277°57'13"	400.250	By SCIMS (GND)
PM 49761	SSM 56939	189°17'50"	188.364	By Survey
		189°18'04"	188.343	By SCIMS (GND)
PM 49761	PM 30522	8°35'09"	194.902	By Survey
		8°35'23"	194.905	By SCIMS (GND)
PM 30522	PM 188271	302°06'17"	524.581	By Survey
PM 188271	PM 31463	177°24'39"	416.140	By Survey

SCHEDULE OF REFERENCE MARKS

Cor.	Bearing	Distance	From	Remarks
A	277°49'	0.5	R.M.G.I.Pipe Fd	DP. 260129
	321°25'20""	31.37	R.M. PM 30522	
B	187°49'	0.5	R.M.Conc. Block Fd	DP. 260129
C	278°31'	0.5	R.M.G.I.Pipe Fd	DP. 260129
D	97°49'	0.5	R.M.G.I.Pipe Fd	DP. 711449
E	94°28'	1.005	R.M.G.I.Pipe Fd	DP. 603487
F	33°09'	0.515	R.M.G.I.Pipe Fd	DP. 711449
G	261°56'	3.2	R.M.DH&W Fd	DP. 1227482
H	48°35'	2.405	R.M.PM 188270	DP. 1227482
I	277°01'	1.0	R.M.G.I.Pipe	
J	277°01'	1.0	R.M.G.I.Pipe	
K	353°39'	1.0	R.M.G.I.Pipe	
L	4°39'	1.01	R.M.G.I.Pipe	
M	8°57'	0.97	R.M.G.I.Pipe	
N	4°39'	1.01	R.M.G.I.Pipe	

SCHEDULE OF SHORT & CURVED LINES

No.	Bearing	Distance	Arc	Radius
1	82°33'28"	161.660	162.675	420
2	81°02'40"	165.910	167.257	380
3	7°48'05	12.465		
4	109°01'05"	25.592		

30 10 20 30 40 50 Table of mm 90 100 110 120 130 140

J:\2017\17020 Chan Abbey Holdings Pty Limited Plan of Subdivision Dampier Street\ACAD\DP\Issue 06\17020_DP_Issue 06.dwg

PM 31463

Surveyor : Mitchel Bernard Hanlon
Date of Survey : 31 July 2017
Surveyor's Ref : 17020

PLAN OF SUBDIVISION PLAN
LOT 23 DP 260129, LOT 1 DP 711449 AND LOT 272 DP 631977

LGA: TAMWORTH REGIONAL
Locality : TAMINDA
Subdivision No: SUB 2018-0013
Lengths are in metres. Reduction Ratio 1:7000

Registered
13.12.2017

DP1234850

PLAN FORM 6 (2012) WARNING: Creasing or folding will lead to rejection **ePlan**

DEPOSITED PLAN ADMINISTRATION SHEET

Sheet 1 of 4 sheet(s)

*OFFICE USE ONLY

Registered: 13.12.2017

Title System: TORRENS

Purpose: SUBDIVISION

*OFFICE USE ONLY

DP1234850

PLAN OF SUBDIVISION PLAN
LOT 23 IN DP 260129, LOT 1 IN DP 711449
AND LOT 272 IN DP 631977

LGA: TAMWORTH REGIONAL
Locality: TAMINDA
Parish: MURROON
County: PARRY

Crown Lands NSW/Western Lands Office Approval

I,.....(Authorised Officer) in approving this plan certify that all necessary approvals in regard to the allocation of the land shown herein have been given.

Signature: .....

Date: .....

File Number: .....

Office: .....

Subdivision Certificate

I, LUCY WALKER

*Authorised Person/~~*General Manager/*Accredited Certifier~~, certify that the provisions of s.109J of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* have been satisfied in relation to the proposed subdivision, new road or reserve set out herein.

Signature: Wallo

Accreditation No: .....

Consent Authority: TAMWORTH REGIONAL COUNCIL

Date of Endorsement: 15/11/2017

Subdivision Certificate No: SUB2018-0013

File No: LF1015 / LF7866 / LF12151

* Strike through if applicable

Survey Certificate

I, MITCHEL BERNARD HANLON

of PO BOX 1568, TAMWORTH, 2340

a surveyor registered under the Surveying & Spatial Information Act 2002, certify

*(a) ~~The land shown in the plan was surveyed in accordance with the Surveying and Spatial Information Regulation, 2012, is accurate and the survey was was completed on:.....~~

(b) The part of the land shown on the plan (*being/~~*excluding ^~~ ..... LOT 1 in DP 71449, 23 in DP 260129 and 272 in DP 631977 .....) was surveyed in accordance with the *Surveying and Spatial Information Regulation 2012*, is accurate and the survey was completed on , 31 July 2017 ..... the part not surveyed was compiled in accordance with that Regulation.

*(c) ~~The land shown in this plan was compiled in accordance with the Surveying and Spatial Information Regulation 2012.~~

Signature: ..... Dated: 31 July 2017

Surveyor ID: BOSSI No.1205

Datum Line: PM 49761 - PM31463

Type: *Urban/*Rural

The terrain is *Level-Undulating / ~~*Steep Mountainous.~~

* Strike through if applicable

^ Specify the land actually surveyed or specify any land shown in the plan that is not the subject of the survey.

STATEMENTS of intention to dedicate public roads, public reserves and drainage reserves

SUBJECT TO AN EXISTING EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 WIDE (R157473), AN EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 5 WIDE (DP636336), AN EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 5 WIDE (DP711449) AND AN EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 6 WIDE (AM108222) IT IS INTENDED TO DEDICATE THE ROAD 40 WIDE & VARIABLE WIDTH TO THE PUBLIC AS ROAD.

Plans used in preparation of Survey/Compilation

DP 1227482
DP 44224
DP 711449
DP 265817
DP 603487
DP 631977
DP 636336
DP 635782
DP 260129
DP 601968
DP 1006026
DP 32550
DP 265817
CP 2349-1764
CP 9539-1603
CP 1150-3100

If space is insufficient continue on PLAN FORM 6A

Signatures, Seals and Section 88B Statements should appear on PLAN FORM 6A

SURVEYOR'S REFERENCE: 17020

PLAN FORM 6A (2012) **WARNING: Creasing or folding will lead to rejection** ePlan

DEPOSITED PLAN ADMINISTRATION SHEET

Office Use Only

Registered: 13.12.2017

Office Use Only

DP1234850

PLAN OF SUBDIVISION PLAN
LOT 23 IN DP 260129, LOT 1 IN DP 711449
AND LOT 272 IN DP 631977

This sheet is for the provision of the following information as required:

- A schedule of lots and addresses - See 60(c) *SSI Regulation 2012*
- Statements of intention to create and release affecting interests in accordance with section 88B *Conveyancing Act 1919*
- Signatures and seals - see 195D *Conveyancing Act 1919*
- Any information which cannot fit in the appropriate panel on sheet 1 of the administration sheets.

Subdivision Certificate No.: Sub2018-0013

Date of Endorsement: 15/11/2017

SUBJECT TO AN EXISTING EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 3 WIDE AND AN EXISTING EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 WIDE*

IT IS INTENDED TO DEDICATE THE ROAD 40 WIDE & VARIABLE WIDTH TO THE PUBLIC AS ROAD.

SCHEDULE OF ADDRESSES

Lot 1	72 Wallamore Road, Taminda, NSW, 2340
Lot 2	21 Wallamore Road, Taminda, NSW, 2340
Lot 3	55 Dampier Street, Taminda, NSW, 2340

The Common Seal of Tamworth Regional Council was hereunto affixed on 15/11/2017
Pursuant to a resolution of Council in the presence of

Paul Anthony Bennett
General Manager

Colin John Murray
Mayor

TAMWORTH REGIONAL COUNCIL
The COMMON SEAL of the
ESTABLISHED 2004

If space is insufficient use additional annexure sheet

Surveyor's Reference: 17020

J:\2017\17020 Chan Abbey Holdings Pty Limited Plan of Subdivision Dampier Street\ACAD\DP\17020_DP_Issue 02.dwg

PLAN FORM 6A (2012) **WARNING: Creasing or folding will lead to rejection** ePlan

DEPOSITED PLAN ADMINISTRATION SHEET Sheet 3 of 4 sheet(s)

Office Use Only

Registered: 13.12.2017

Office Use Only

DP1234850

PLAN OF SUBDIVISION PLAN
LOT 23 IN DP 260129, LOT 1 IN DP 711449
AND LOT 272 IN DP 631977

This sheet is for the provision of the following information as required:

- A schedule of lots and addresses - See 60(c) *SSI Regulation 2012*
- Statements of intention to create and release affecting interests in accordance with section 88B *Conveyancing Act 1919*
- Signatures and seals - see 195D *Conveyancing Act 1919*
- Any information which cannot fit in the appropriate panel on sheet 1 of the administration sheets.

Subdivision Certificate No.: Sub 2018-0013

Date of Endorsement: 15/11/2017

Executed by
Kidman Group Pty Limited
ACN 107 347 740
in accordance with Section 127 of the
Corporations Act 2001

.....
Signature of Sole Director / Secretary:

HARRY DAVID STEARMAN
.....
Sole Director / Secretary:
(Block Letters)

HARRY DAVID STEARMAN.

If space is insufficient use additional annexure sheet

Surveyor's Reference: 17020

PLAN FORM 6A (2012) **WARNING: Creasing or folding will lead to rejection** ePlan

DEPOSITED PLAN ADMINISTRATION SHEET Sheet 4 of 4 sheet(s)

Office Use Only

Registered: 13.12.2017

Office Use Only

DP1234850

PLAN OF SUBDIVISION PLAN
LOT 23 IN DP 260129, LOT 1 IN DP 711449
AND LOT 272 IN DP 631977

Subdivision Certificate No.:.....

Date of Endorsement:.....

This sheet is for the provision of the following information as required:

- A schedule of lots and addresses - See 60(c) *SSI Regulation 2012*
- Statements of intention to create and release affecting interests in accordance with section 88B *Conveyancing Act 1919*
- Signatures and seals - see 195D *Conveyancing Act 1919*
- Any information which cannot fit in the appropriate panel on sheet 1 of the administration sheets.

Executed by
Peel Technology High School Parents & Citizens Association Inc.

.....
Signature of authorised person:

PRESIDENT
.....
Position Held

ASHLEY JOHN CASTLES
.....
Name of authorised person
(Block Letters)

.....
Witness:

VICE PRESIDENT
.....
Full name of witness

CATHERINE ELIZABETH WEEKS.
.....
Address of witness
88 GUNNEDAH RD
TAMWORTH NSW 2340

If space is insufficient use additional annexure sheet

Surveyor's Reference: 17020

J:\2017\17020 Chan Abbey Holdings Pty Limited Plan of Subdivision Dampier Street\ACAD\DP\17020_DP_Issue 03.dwg

Req:R614264 /Doc:CT 12042-181 CT /Rev:17-Feb-2011 /Sts:OK.SC /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 10:17
Ref:taminda /Src:M

[redacted]ICATE OF TITLE

12042181

NEW SOUTH WALES

PROPERTY ACT, 1900

Prior Title (Crown Grant)
Vol.4795 Fol.165

Vol. 12042 Fol. 181

Edition issued 5-2-1973

N60156 CANCELLED R

(Page 1) Vol. 12042 Fol. 181

I certify that the person described in the First Schedule is the registered proprietor of the undermentioned estate in the land within described subject nevertheless to such exceptions encumbrances and interests as are shown in the Second Schedule.

Registrar General.

PLAN SHOWING LOCATION OF LAND

LENGTHS ARE IN METRES



(X) EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE - R261250

N60156

REDUCTION RATIO 1:20 000

ESTATE AND LAND REFERRED TO

Estate in Fee Simple in Portion 26 in the City of Tamworth Parish of Murroon and County of Parry. EXCEPTING THEREOUT the minerals reserved by the Crown Grant.

FIRST SCHEDULE

BARRY MAYNES McKINNON of Tamworth, Agent.

SECOND SCHEDULE

1. Reservations and conditions, if any, contained in the Crown Grant above referred to.
~~2. Attention is directed to Section 31 of the Closer Settlement Act, 1904, relating to Transfer. (S.P. No.1909/30 Tamworth).~~ Cancelled R322427
~~3. Mortgage No.L460770 to Bank of New South Wales. Entered 17-6-1969.~~ Discharged N33555.

Registrar General

PERSONS ARE CAUTIONED AGAINST ALTERING OR ADDING TO THIS CERTIFICATE OR ANY NOTIFICATION HEREON

WARNING: THIS DOCUMENT MUST NOT BE REMOVED FROM THE LAND TITLES OFFICE.

NOTE: ENTRIES RULED THROUGH AND AUTHENTICATED BY THE SEAL OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL ARE CANCELLED.

Vol. 12042 Fol. 181

FIRST SCHEDULE (continued)

REGISTERED PROPRIETOR	INSTRUMENT NATURE	INSTRUMENT NUMBER	INSTRUMENT DATE	ENTERED	Signature of Registrar General
This deed is cancelled as to whole ex road New Certificates of Title have issued on 20-3-1980 for lots in Deposited Plan No. 603487 as follows:- Lots 261 to 262 Vol. 14087 Fol. 61 to 62 respectively. REGISTRAR GENERAL NEW CERTIFICATE(S) OF TITLE ISSUING ON DP603487 NO DEALING TO BE REGISTERED WITHOUT REFERENCE TO SURVEY DRAFTING BRANCH.					
The residue of land in this folio comprises road widening shown in D.P. 603487 REGISTRAR GENERAL					

R261250
DP603487
R322427 (a)

SECOND SCHEDULE (continued)

INSTRUMENT NATURE	INSTRUMENT NUMBER	INSTRUMENT DATE	PARTICULARS Attention is directed to section 8 of the Land Aggregation Tax Management Act, 1971.	ENTERED	Signature of Registrar General	CANCELLATION
Request	R322427			16-11-1979		
Transfer	R261250	—	Easement to drain sewage affecting the land shown in Transfer in the Main Lease	11-2-1980		
	D.P. 603487		Interests of the Council of the City of TAMWORTH in the addition to existing road shown on D.P. 603487.	27.2.1980.		

(Page 2 of 2 pages)

NOTE: ENTRIES RULED THROUGH AND AUTHENTICATED BY THE SEAL OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL ARE CANCELLED

Req:R614264 /Doc:CT 12042-181 CT /Rev:17-Feb-2011 /Sts:OK.SC /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 10:17
Ref:taminda /Src:M

Req:R614203 /Doc:CT 14087-062 CT /Rev:22-Dec-2010 /Sts:OK.SC /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 10:12
Ref:taminda /Src:M

[redacted]

CERTIFICATE OF TITLE

14087062

NEW SOUTH WALES

165

REAL PROPERTY ACT, 1900

Crown Grant Vol. 4795 Fol. 165

Prior Title Vol. 12042 Fol. 181

Vol. 14087 Fol. 62

CANCELLED

EDITION ISSUED 21 3 1980

(Page 1) Vol. 14087 Fol. 62

I certify that the person described in the First Schedule is the registered proprietor of the undermentioned estate in the land within described subject nevertheless to such exceptions encumbrances and interests as are shown in the Second Schedule.

Registrar General.

PLAN SHOWING LOCATION OF LAND

LENGTHS ARE IN METRES



ESTATE AND LAND REFERRED TO

Estate in Fee Simple in Lot 262 in Deposited Plan 603487 at Taminda in the City of Tamworth Parish of Murroon and County of Parry. EXCEPTING THEREOUT the minerals reserved by the Crown Grant.

FIRST SCHEDULE

~~BARRY MAYNES McKINNON of Tamworth, Agent.~~

SECOND SCHEDULE

1. Reservations and conditions, if any, contained in the Crown Grant above referred to.
2. R322429 Attention is directed to section 8 Land Aggregation Tax Management Act, 1971.

PERSONS ARE CAUTIONED AGAINST ALTERING OR ADDING TO THIS CERTIFICATE OR ANY NOTIFICATION HEREON

WARNING: THIS DOCUMENT MUST NOT BE REMOVED FROM THE REGISTRAR GENERAL'S OFFICE.

RG 2/62

NOTE: ENTRIES RULED THROUGH AND AUTHENTICATED BY THE SEAL OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL ARE CANCELLED

Vol. 14087 Fol. 62

FIRST SCHEDULE (continued)

REGISTERED PROPRIETOR	INSTRUMENT NATURE	INSTRUMENT NUMBER	REGISTERED	Signature of Registrar General
John Baden Chaffey of Tamworth, Representative and Gladys Chaffey, his wife as joint tenants	Transfer	S42219	15-9-1980	

S 42219 T. - 20 M. CT 25-3-83 DP 631977 Rpd 10-5-83

SECOND SCHEDULE (continued)

INSTRUMENT NATURE	INSTRUMENT NUMBER	PARTICULARS	REGISTERED	Signature of Registrar General	CANCELLATION	
Mortgage	S42220	to Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Limited	15-9-1980			

This deed is cancelled as to the whole
New certificates of title have issued on 19-5-1983
for lots in Deposited Plan No. 631977 as follows:
Lots 271 to 272 Vol. 15055 Fol. 116/117 respectively.

REGISTRAR GENERAL

(Page 2 of 2 pages)

NOTE: ENTRIES RULED THROUGH AND AUTHENTICATED BY THE SEAL OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL ARE CANCELLED

Req:R614203 /Doc:CT 14087-062 CT /Rev:22-Dec-2010 /Sts:OK.SC /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 10:12
Ref:taminda /Src:M

Req:R614177 /Doc:CT 15055-117 CT /Rev:18-Jan-2011 /Sts:OK.SC /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 10:10
Ref:taminda /Src:M

[redacted]

ICATE OF TITLE

[redacted] PROPERTY ACT, 1900

15055117

NEW SOUTH WALES

Vol. 15055 Fol. 117

First Titles : Vol. 4795 Fol.165
Vol. 5370 Fol.222

Prior Titles : Vol.11332 Fol.157
Vol.14087 Fol. 62

EDITION 1 ISSUED 23 5 1983

I certify that the person named in the First Schedule is the registered proprietor of an estate in fee simple (or such other estate or interest as is set out below) in the land described subject to the recordings appearing in the Second Schedule and to the provisions of the Real Property Act, 1900.

CANCELLED

Registrar General.

SEE AUTO FOLIO

15055 117 Fol.

(Page 1) Vol.

PERSONS ARE CAUTIONED AGAINST ALTERING OR ADDING TO THIS CERTIFICATE OR ANY NOTIFICATION HEREON

NOTE: ENTRIES RULED THROUGH AND AUTHENTICATED BY THE SEAL OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL ARE CANCELLED

PLAN SHOWING LOCATION OF LAND

LENGTHS ARE IN METRES



LAND REFERRED TO

Lot 272 in Deposited Plan 631977 at Taminda in the City of Tamworth Parish of Murroon and County of Parry.

FIRST SCHEDULE

JOHN BADEN CHAFFEY and GLADYS CHAFFEY as Joint Tenants.

SECOND SCHEDULE

1. Land excludes minerals and is subject to reservations and conditions in favour of the Crown - see Crown Grants.
2. P662426 P Attention is directed to Section 8 Land Aggregation Tax Management Act,1971 as regards the part so indicated in Deposited Plan 631977.
3. R157473 P Easement to drain sewage affecting the part of the land above described shown so burdened in Deposited Plan 631977.
4. R322429 P Attention is directed to Section 8 Land Aggregation Tax Management Act,1971 as regards the part so indicated in Deposited Plan 631977.
5. S42220 P Mortgage to Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Limited affecting the part formerly comprised in Certificate of Title Volume 14087 Folio 62.

GRM BA(SB) ES(SB) BA(SB) MY

RG 2/64

Vol. 15055 Fol. 117

L.O. 1066 D. West, Government Printer

FIRST SCHEDULE (continued)

REGISTERED PROPRIETOR	Registrar General
CANCELLED SEE AUCKLAND	

SECOND SCHEDULE (continued)

PARTICULARS	Registrar General	CANCELLATION
T715101 Mortgage to Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Limited. Registered 8-9-1983.		
V391742 Transfer. Easement for electricity transmission line affecting the part of the land within described shown as proposed easement for electricity transmission line 20 wide in DP635782. Registered 13-12-1984.		

NOTATIONS AND UNREGISTERED DEALINGS

Discharge of C.T. 15101 Mtge. 1-715101 MK. Pt 635782 R CT 03 OCT 1984 V391742 Transfer

NOTE: ENTRIES RULED THROUGH AND AUTHENTICATED BY THE SEAL OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL ARE W:Src:/ Ref:tamlinda

Req:R614177 /Doc:CT 15055-117 CT /Rev:18-Jan-2011 /Sts:OK.SC /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 10:10

Req:R614196 /Doc:CT 11332-157 CT /Rev:19-Jan-2011 /Sts:OK.SC /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 10:12
Ref:taminda /Src:M

NEW SOUTH WALES

CERTIFICATE OF TITLE

REAL PROPERTY ACT, 1900, as amended.

TORRENS TITLE
Register Book

Crown Grant Vol. 5370 Fol. 222

Prior Titles Vol.5492 Fols.56 and 57

Vol. 11332 Fol. 157

Edition issued 5-6-1970

CANCELLED

See new edition

I certify that the person described in the First Schedule is the registered proprietor of the undermentioned estate in the land within described subject nevertheless to such exceptions encumbrances and interests as are shown in the Second Schedule.

Witness G Barnes

Registrar General.

(Page 1) Vol. 11332 Fol. 157

PLAN SHOWING LOCATION OF LAND



ESTATE AND LAND REFERRED TO

Estate in Fee Simple in Lot 2 in Deposited Plan 539436 at Westdale in the City of Tamworth Parish of Murroon and County of Parry. EXCEPTING THEREOUT the minerals reserved by the Crown Grant.

FIRST SCHEDULE

~~CLARENCE HARDWICK WYTHES, of West Tamworth, Farmer and ELSIE ROSE WYTHES, his wife, as Tenants in Common. In equal shares.~~

SECOND SCHEDULE

1. Reservations and conditions, if any, contained in the Crown Grant above referred to.
2. ~~Attention is directed to Section 87 of the Closer Settlement Act, 1904.~~ Cancelled P662426.
3. ~~Mortgage No.D361927 to Rural Bank of New South Wales. Entered 10-5-1945.~~ Discharged P745412

Registrar General.

RG 2/62 NOTE: ENTRIES RULED THROUGH AND AUTHENTICATED BY THE SEAL OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL ARE CANCELLED.

PERSONS ARE CAUTIONED AGAINST ALTERING OR ADDING TO THIS CERTIFICATE OR ANY NOTIFICATION HEREON

WARNING: THIS DOCUMENT MUST NOT BE REMOVED FROM THE LAND TITLES OFFICE.

Req:R614196 /Doc:CT 11332-157 CT /Rev:19-Jan-2011 /Sts:OK.SC /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 10:12
Ref:taminda /Src:M

Vol. 11332 Fol 157

V. C. N. BLIGHT, GOVERNMENT PRINTER

FIRST SCHEDULE (continued)

REGISTERED PROPRIETOR	INSTRUMENT NATURE	INSTRUMENT NUMBER	INSTRUMENT DATE	ENTERED	Signature of Registrar-General
Robert Norman Nicolle, of Bingara, Grazier and Ivy Jean Nicolle, his wife, as joint tenants.	Transfer	P745413	------	3-6-1976	

CANCELLED

See new edition issued 7-3-1980
Vide R157473

REGISTRAR GENERAL

SECOND SCHEDULE (continued)

INSTRUMENT NATURE	INSTRUMENT NUMBER	INSTRUMENT DATE	PARTICULARS	ENTERED	Signature of Registrar-General	CANCELLATION
Request	P662426	——	Attention is directed to Section 8, Land Aggregation Tax Management Act, 1971	1-4-1976		
Transfer	R157473	——	Easement to drain sewage affecting the land shown as "proposed easement for sewerage purposes 6 metres wide" on D.P. 601968.	9-1-1980.		

(Page 2 of 2 pages)

NOTE: ENTRIES RULED THROUGH AND AUTHENTICATED BY THE SEAL OF THE REGISTRAR-GENERAL ARE CANCELLED

CT 5/12
R157473
DP 601968
Easement purposes

Req:R614196 /Doc:CT 11332-157 CT /Rev:19-Jan-2011 /Sts:OK.SC /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 10:12
Ref:taminda /Src:M

NEW SOUTH WALES

CERTIFICATE OF TITLE

PROPERTY ACT, 1900

11332157

Vol. 11332 Fol. 157

(Page 1) Vol. 11332 Fol. 157

Crown Grant Vol. 5370 Fol. 222

Prior Titles Vol. 5492 Fols. 56 and 57

EDITION ISSUED

10 3 1980

I certify that the person described in the First Schedule is the registered proprietor of the undermentioned estate in the land within described subject nevertheless to such exceptions encumbrances and interests as are shown in the Second Schedule.

Registrar General.

CANCELLED

PLAN SHOWING LOCATION OF LAND

LENGTHS ARE IN METRES



R157473 M.X.

REDUCTION RATIO 1:20000

ESTATE AND LAND REFERRED TO

Estate in Fee Simple in Lot 2 in Deposited Plan 539436 at Westdale in the City of Tamworth Parish of Murroon County of Parry. EXCEPTING THEREOUT the minerals reserved by the Crown grant.

FIRST SCHEDULE

~~ROBERT NORMAN NICOLLE of Bingara, Grazier and IVY JEAN NICOLLE his wife, as Joint Tenants.~~

SECOND SCHEDULE

1. Reservations and conditions, if any, contained in the Crown grant above referred to.
2. P662426 Attention is directed to section 8 Land Aggregation Tax Management Act, 1971.
3. R157473 Easement to drain sewage affecting the land shown so burdened in the plan hereon.

PERSONS ARE CAUTIONED AGAINST ALTERING OR ADDING TO THIS CERTIFICATE OR ANY NOTIFICATION HEREON

WARNING: THIS DOCUMENT MUST NOT BE REMOVED FROM THE REGISTRAR GENERAL'S OFFICE.

RG 2/64

NOTE: ENTRIES RULED THROUGH AND AUTHENTICATED BY THE SEAL OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL ARE CANCELLED

Vol. 11332 Fol. 157

FIRST SCHEDULE (continued)

REGISTERED PROPRIETOR	INSTRUMENT NATURE	INSTRUMENT NUMBER	REGISTERED	Signature of Registrar General
~~Ivy Jean Nicolle of Bingara, Widow.~~	Notice of Death	S300863	~~13-2-1981~~	
~~Marau Pty. Limited by Transfer S465576. Registered 25-5-1981~~				
John Baden Chaffey and Gladys Chaffey as joint tenants as to part of Lot 272 in DP631977 [comprised] within and Marau Pty Limited as to the residue by Transfer T515224. Registered 10-5-1983.				

C.T. 11-12-8
S300863 NB.
S465576 Te
—7 m/k
T 410880
T515224 Lot 272 D.P. 631977 Regd 10.5.83

SECOND SCHEDULE (continued)

INSTRUMENT NATURE	INSTRUMENT NUMBER	PARTICULARS	REGISTERED	Signature of Registrar General	CANCELLATION	
S465577		Mortgage to Rural Bank of New South Wales. Registered 25-5-1981			Discharged	T410880

~~This deed is cancelled as to~~ whole
~~New certificates of Title have issued on~~ 19-5-1983
~~for lots in~~ Deposited Plan 63 1977 ~~as follows:~~
~~Lots~~ 271 to 272, 1505, 116/117 ~~respectively.~~

REGISTRAR GENERAL

(Page 2 of 2 pages)

NOTE: ENTRIES RULED THROUGH AND AUTHENTICATED BY THE SEAL OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL ARE CANCELLED

Req:R614196 /Doc:CT 11332-157 CT /Rev:19-Jan-2011 /Sts:OK.SC /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 10:12
Ref:taminda /Src:M

LAND REGISTRY SERVICES — Historical Title

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - HISTORICAL SEARCH

SEARCH DATE
6/11/2018 10:25AM

FOLIO: 272/631977

First Title(s): SEE PRIOR TITLE(S)
Prior Title(s): VOL 15055 FOL 117

Recorded	Number	Type of Instrument	C.T. Issue
28/3/1988		TITLE AUTOMATION PROJECT	LOT RECORDED FOLIO NOT CREATED
22/9/1988		CONVERTED TO COMPUTER FOLIO	FOLIO CREATED CT NOT ISSUED
16/2/1989	Y180172	NOTICE OF DEATH	EDITION 1
26/9/1995	DP265817	DEPOSITED PLAN	EDITION 2
12/6/1997	3137905	DISCHARGE OF MORTGAGE	
12/6/1997	3137906	TRANSFER	EDITION 3
25/3/1999	5704999	MORTGAGE	EDITION 4
13/9/1999	DP1006026	DEPOSITED PLAN	
27/9/2000	7112170	CAVEAT	
25/1/2001	7262810	WITHDRAWAL OF CAVEAT	
25/1/2001	7262811	TRANSFER GRANTING EASEMENT	EDITION 5
8/5/2002	8576687	DISCHARGE OF MORTGAGE	
8/5/2002	8576689	MORTGAGE	EDITION 6
4/12/2002	9189029	DISCHARGE OF MORTGAGE	
4/12/2002	9189030	TRANSFER	EDITION 7
2/10/2003	9945009	TRANSFER GRANTING EASEMENT	EDITION 8
16/9/2013	AI21612	TRANSFER	EDITION 9
29/3/2017	AM108222	TRANSFER GRANTING EASEMENT	
29/3/2017	AM108223	POSITIVE COVENANT	EDITION 10
13/12/2017	DP1234850	DEPOSITED PLAN	FOLIO CANCELLED RESIDUE REMAINS

*** END OF SEARCH ***

taminda PRINTED ON 6/11/2018

InfoTrack an approved NSW Information Broker hereby certifies that the information contained in this document has been provided electronically by the Registrar General in accordance with Section 96B(2) of the Real Property Act 1900.

Copyright © Office of the Registrar-General 2018

Received: 06/11/2018 10:25:36

Req:R614844 /Doc:DL 3137906 /Rev:21-Jun-1997 /Sts:NO.OK /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 10:57 /Seq:1 of 1
Ref:taminda /Src:M

TRANSFER

Real Property Act, 1900

3137906 G

Offi

10/06/1997 $2.00 994002939 15
63052 272/631977 (2) TFR
CHAFFEY JB BAILEY AN
$560000.00 $0.00 076333 718

(A) **LAND TRANSFERRED**

Show no more than 20 References to Title.
If appropriate, specify the share transferred.

FOLIO IDENTIFIER 272/631977
FOLIO IDENTIFIER 28/755333

(B) **LODGED BY**

L.T.O. Box	Name, Address or DX and Telephone
28A	HOLMAN [illegible] BROADWAY & CO. DX 295 SYDNEY Phone: 235-1011 L.T.O. BOX 684T Fax: 232-6[illegible] L.T.O. Delivery 28A

REFERENCE (max. 15 characters): Holman-Bailey

(C) **TRANSFEROR** JOHN BADEN CHAFFEY

(D) acknowledges receipt of the consideration of FIVE HUNDRED AND SIXTY THOUSAND DOLLARS ($560,000.00)
and as regards the land specified above transfers to the Transferee an estate in fee simple

(E) subject to the following **ENCUMBRANCES** 1. ..... 2. ..... 3. .....

(F) **TRANSFEREE**

T / TS (s713 LGA) / TW (Sheriff)	
	ARNOLD NEIL BAILEY

(G) **TENANCY:** S

(H) We certify this dealing correct for the purposes of the Real Property Act, 1900. **DATED** 9th May 1997
Signed in my presence by the Transferor who is personally known to me.

Signature of Witness

B.J. THOMPSON
Name of Witness (BLOCK LETTERS)

SOLICITOR
Address of Witness
TAMWORTH.

Signature of Transferor

Signed in my presence by the Transferee who is personally known to me.

Signature of Witness

Name of Witness (BLOCK LETTERS)

Address of Witness

P B Duffy
Solicitor for the ~~Signature of~~ Transferee

INSTRUCTIONS FOR FILLING OUT THIS FORM ARE AVAILABLE FROM THE LAND TITLES OFFICE

CHECKED BY (office use only)

AUSDOC Office Pty. Ltd.

Req:R614845 /Doc:DL 9189030 /Rev:05-Dec-2002 /Sts:NO.OK /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 10:57 /Seq:1 of 1
Ref:taminda /Src:M

Form: 01T
Licence: 01-08-067
Licensee: Midware Systems
Lyons Barnett Kennedy

TRANSFER

New South Wales
Real Property Act 1900

9189030F

PRIVACY NOTE: this information is legally required and will beco

STAMP DUTY

Office of State Revenue use only

NEW SOUTH WALES DUTY
29-11-2002 0001200693-001
SECTION 18(2)
DUTY $ **********2.00

(A) TORRENS TITLE

If appropriate, specify the part transferred
Folio Identifier 272/631977 & 28/755333

(B) LODGED BY

Delivery Box	Name, Address or DX and Telephone	CODE
28A	[illegible] DX 849, SYDNEY L.T.O. Delivery 28A Reference (optional): LYTAM – NOVINE	T TW (Sheriff)

(C) TRANSFEROR

ARNOLD NEIL BAILEY

(D) CONSIDERATION The transferor acknowledges receipt of the consideration of $ 1,300,000.00 and as regards

(E) ESTATE the land specified above transfers to the transferee an estate in fee simple.

(F) SHARE TRANSFERRED

(G) Encumbrances (if applicable):

(H) TRANSFEREE

NOVINE PTY LTD ACN 002 518 407

(I) TENANCY:

(J) DATE 28 / 11 / 2002

I certify that the person(s) signing opposite, with whom I am personally acquainted or as to whose identity I am otherwise satisfied, signed this instrument in my presence.

Signature of witness:

Name of witness: J.L. Bailey

Address of witness: 'Somerset'
Wallamore Rd
Tamworth
2340.

Certified correct for the purposes of the Real Property Act 1900 by the transferor.

Signature of transferor:

Certified correct for the purposes of the Real Property Act 1900 by the person whose signature appears below.

Signature:

Signatory's name: BRIAN JAMES THOMPSON
Signatory's capacity: Solicitor for the transferee

BRIAN JAMES THOMPSON
Solicitor
Tamworth

All handwriting must be in block capitals.

Number additional pages sequentially

Req:R614846 /Doc:DL AI021612 /Rev:19-Sep-2013 /Sts:NO.OK /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 10:57 /Seq:1 of 2
Ref:taminda /Src:M

Form: 01T
Licence: 05-11-638
Licensee: Softdocs

TRANSFER

New South Wales
Real Property Act 1900

1/2

AI21612W

PRIVACY NOTE: Section 31B of the Real Property Act 1900 (RP Act) authorises the Reg by this form for the establishment and maintenance of the Real Property Act Register. Section 96B RP Act requires that the Register is made available to any person for search upon payment of a fee, if any.

STAMP DUTY Office of State Revenue use only

NEW SOUTH WALES DUTY
21-08-2013 0007238244-001
SECTION 277-TRANSFER
NO DUTY PAYABLE

(A) **TORRENS TITLE** 272/631977 and 28/755333

(B) **LODGED BY**

Document Collection Box	Name, Address or DX, Telephone, and Customer Account Number if any	CODES
28A	LLPN: 124247U SAI GLOBAL Property DX 885 SYDNEY 02 9210 0700 Reference (optional): 33466694 - Everingham	T TW

(C) **TRANSFEROR** NOVINE PTY LIMITED ACN 002 518 407

Registered NI Recorded NOTED

(D) **CONSIDERATION** The transferor acknowledges receipt of the consideration of $ 2,000,000.00 and as regards the land and WALS referred to in WAL-Transfer of even date

(E) **ESTATE** specified above transfers to the transferee an estate in fee simple.

(F) **SHARE TRANSFERRED**

(G) Encumbrances (if applicable):

(H) **TRANSFEREE** TAMWORTH REGIONAL COUNCIL ABN 52 631 074 450

(I) **TENANCY:**

DATE 10/09/2013

(J) Certified correct for the purposes of the Real Property Act 1900 and executed on behalf of the company named below by the authorised person(s) whose signature(s) appear(s) below pursuant to the authority specified.
Company: NOVINE PTY LIMITED ACN 002 518 407
Authority: Section 127(1) of the Corporations Act 2001

Signature of authorised person:
Name of authorised person: MICHAEL JOHN FAULKNER
Office held: DIRECTOR

Signature of authorised person: S M Faulkner
Name of authorised person: SHEILA MARGARET FAULKNER
Office held: DIRECTOR

The seal of TAMWORTH REGIONAL COUNCIL ABN 52 631 074 450 was hereunto affixed in the presence of authorised officers of the Council who certify this dealing to be correct for the purposes of the Real Property Act 1900.

Signature of authorised officer:

Name of authorised officer:

Position of authorised officer:

Council's seal: For execution by the Transferee see Annexure 'A'

Signature of authorised officer:

Name of authorised officer:

Position of authorised officer:

(K) The transferee's solicitor certifies that the eNOS data relevant to this dealing has been submitted and stored under eNOS ID No. 486928 Full Name: Jacqueline Louise Sorensen Signature:

** s117 RP Act requires that you must have known the signatory for more than 12 months or have sighted identifying documentation.*

Annexure A to TRANSFER

Parties: From NOVINE PTY LIMITED ACN 002 518 407 to TAMWORTH REGIONAL COUNCIL ABN 52 631 074 450

Dated: 10/09/2013

The seal of TAMWORTH REGIONAL COUNCIL ABN 52 631 074 450
was hereunto affixed in the presence of authorised officers of the Council who certify this dealing to be correct for the purposes of the Real Property Act 1900.

Council's seal:

TAMWORTH REGIONAL COUNCIL
The COMMON SEAL of the
ESTABLISHED 2004

Signature of authorised officer: C.J. Murray

Name of authorised officer: COLIN JOHN MURRAY

Position of authorised officer: MAYOR

Signature of authorised officer:

Name of authorised officer: PAUL ANTHONY BENNETT

Position of authorised officer: GENERAL MANAGER

* *s117 RP Act requires that you must have known the signatory for more than 12 months or have sighted identifying documentation.*

LAND REGISTRY SERVICES

Historical Title

InfoTrack

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - HISTORICAL SEARCH

SEARCH DATE
6/11/2018 10:25AM

FOLIO: 1/1234850

First Title(s): VOL 4795 FOL 165 VOL 5370 FOL 222
Prior Title(s): 272/631977

Recorded	Number	Type of Instrument	C.T. Issue
13/12/2017	DP1234850	DEPOSITED PLAN	FOLIO CREATED EDITION 1
27/12/2017	AN4331	TRANSFER	EDITION 2
22/1/2018	AN56645	CAVEAT	

*** END OF SEARCH ***

taminda PRINTED ON 6/11/2018

InfoTrack an approved NSW Information Broker hereby certifies that the information contained in this document has been provided electronically by the Registrar General in accordance with Section 96B(2) of the Real Property Act 1900.

Copyright © Office of the Registrar-General 2018

Received: 06/11/2018 10:25:36

LAND REGISTRY SERVICES **Title Search** InfoTrack

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - TITLE SEARCH

FOLIO: 1/1234850

SEARCH DATE	TIME	EDITION NO	DATE
21/11/2018	11:00 AM	2	27/12/2017

LAND

LOT 1 IN DEPOSITED PLAN 1234850
AT TAMINDA
LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA TAMWORTH REGIONAL
PARISH OF MURROON COUNTY OF PARRY
TITLE DIAGRAM DP1234850

FIRST SCHEDULE

HARRY DAVID STEARMAN (T AN4331)

SECOND SCHEDULE (9 NOTIFICATIONS)

1 LAND EXCLUDES MINERALS AND IS SUBJECT TO RESERVATIONS AND CONDITIONS IN FAVOUR OF THE CROWN - SEE CROWN GRANT(S)
2 R157473 EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 METRE(S) WIDE AFFECTING THE PART(S) SHOWN SO BURDENED IN THE TITLE DIAGRAM
3 V391742 EASEMENT FOR ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION LINE 20 METRE(S) WIDE AFFECTING THE PART(S) SHOWN SO BURDENED IN THE TITLE DIAGRAM
4 DP265817 EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 2 METRE(S) WIDE AFFECTING THE PART(S) SHOWN SO BURDENED IN THE TITLE DIAGRAM
5 7262811 EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 5 METRE(S) WIDE AFFECTING THE PART(S) SHOWN SO BURDENED IN THE TITLE DIAGRAM
6 9945009 EASEMENT FOR ABOVE GROUND WORKS 20 METRE(S) WIDE APPURTENANT TO THE LAND ABOVE DESCRIBED AFFECTING THE SITE SHOWN AS"PROPOSED EASEMENT FOR ABOVE GROUND WORKS OVER CENTRELINE OF POLES" IN THE PLAN WITH 9945009
7 AM108222 EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 6 METRE(S) WIDE AFFECTING THE PART(S) SHOWN SO BURDENED IN THE TITLE DIAGRAM
8 AM108223 POSITIVE COVENANT
* 9 AN56645 CAVEAT BY A & GS CLIFT PTY LTD

NOTATIONS

UNREGISTERED DEALINGS: NIL

*** END OF SEARCH ***

taminda PRINTED ON 21/11/2018

* Any entries preceded by an asterisk do not appear on the current edition of the Certificate of Title. Warning: the information appearing under notations has not been formally recorded in the Register. InfoTrack an approved NSW Information Broker hereby certifies that the information contained in this document has been provided electronically by the Registrar General in accordance with Section 96B(2) of the Real Property Act 1900.

Copyright © Office of the Registrar-General 2018

Received: 21/11/2018 11:00:56

Req:R614178 /Doc:CT 15055-116 CT /Rev:23-Dec-2010 /Sts:OK.SC /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 10:10
Ref:taminda /Src:M

15055 116

[redacted]FICATE OF TITLE

NEW SOUTH WALES — [redacted]L PROPERTY ACT, 1900

Vol. 15055 Fol. 116

First Title : Vol. 5370 Fol.222

Prior Title : Vol.11332 Fol.157

EDITION 5 ISSUED 23 1983

I certify that the person named in the First Schedule is the registered proprietor of an estate in fee simple (or such other estate or interest as is set out below) in the land described subject to the recordings appearing in the Second Schedule and to the provisions of the Real Property Act, 1900.

Registrar General.

15055 Fol. 116 (Page 1) Vol.

PERSONS ARE CAUTIONED AGAINST ALTERING OR ADDING TO THIS CERTIFICATE OR ANY NOTIFICATION HEREON

NOTE: ENTRIES RULED THROUGH AND AUTHENTICATED BY THE SEAL OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL ARE CANCELLED

PLAN SHOWING LOCATION OF LAND

CANCELLED

LENGTHS ARE IN METRES



LAND REFERRED TO

Lot 271 in Deposited Plan 631977 at Taminda in the City of Tamworth Parish of Murroon and County of Parry.

FIRST SCHEDULE

MARAU PTY. LIMITED.

SECOND SCHEDULE

1. Land excludes minerals and is subject to reservations and conditions in favour of the Crown - see Crown Grant.
2. P662426 Attention is directed to Section 8 Land Aggregation Tax Management Act,1971.
3. R157473 Easement to drain sewage affecting the part of the land above described shown so burdened in Deposited Plan 631977.

RG 2/64

Vol. 15055 Fol. 116

L.O. 1066 D. West, Government Printer

FIRST SCHEDULE (continued)

REGISTERED PROPRIETOR | Registrar General

DP/SP 711449 Registered 25-2-1985
The folio is cancelled as to whole/~~part~~ upon creation of computer folios for lots 1 to 9 inclusive abovementioned plan.

SECOND SCHEDULE (continued)

PARTICULARS | Registrar General | CANCELLATION

T643092 Mortgage to State Bank of New South Wales. Registered 20-7-1983
DP636336 Easement to drain water appurtenant to the land within described.

The interest of the Council of the Local Government Area in the public road dedicated in DP 711449

~~Registered~~ 25/2/1985

~~Interest~~ created pursuant to Section 88B Conveyancing Act, 1919, by the registration of DP 711449

Registered 25/2/1985

NOTATIONS AND UNREGISTERED DEALINGS

T643092 mtg
CT 8-5-84
DP636336
Easement 9/8/84
30 JAN 1985

NOTE: ENTRIES RULED THROUGH AND AUTHENTICATED BY THE SEAL OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL ARE

Req:R614178 /Doc:CT 15055-116 CT /Rev:23-Dec-2010 /Sts:OK.SC /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 10:10
Ref:tamtnda /Src:M

LAND REGISTRY SERVICES

Historical Title

InfoTrack

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - HISTORICAL SEARCH

SEARCH DATE
6/11/2018 10:26AM

FOLIO: 1/711449

First Title(s): VOL 5370 FOL 222
Prior Title(s): VOL 15055 FOL 116

Recorded	Number	Type of Instrument	C.T. Issue
27/2/1985	DP711449	DEPOSITED PLAN	FOLIO CREATED EDITION 1
9/6/1987	W923501	TRANSFER OF MORTGAGE	EDITION 2
19/1/1989	Y109174	DISCHARGE OF MORTGAGE	
19/1/1989	Y109175	TRANSFER	EDITION 3
28/1/1994	I980756	TRANSFER	EDITION 4
2/4/2007	AD23587	DEPARTMENTAL DEALING	
13/12/2017	DP1234850	DEPOSITED PLAN	FOLIO CANCELLED RESIDUE REMAINS

*** END OF SEARCH ***

taminda PRINTED ON 6/11/2018

InfoTrack an approved NSW Information Broker hereby certifies that the information contained in this document has been provided electronically by the Registrar General in accordance with Section 96B(2) of the Real Property Act 1900.

Copyright © Office of the Registrar-General 2018

Received: 06/11/2018 10:26:35

Req:R615566 /Doc:DL Y109175 /Rev:31-Aug-2010 /Sts:OK.SC /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 11:37 /S
Ref:taminda /Src:M

RP 13
1905

STAMP DUTY

[redacted]

$1-00

Y109175

TRANSFER

REAL PROPERTY ACT, 1900

T

3 2 of 2 X

$ 1

R2/2

DESCRIPTION OF LAND Note (a)	Torrens Title Reference	If Part Only, Delete Whole and Give Details	Location
	Folio Identifier 1/711449	WHOLE	TAMWORTH

TRANSFEROR
Note (b)

MARAU PTY LIMITED

ESTATE
Note (c)

(the abovenamed TRANSFEROR) hereby acknowledges receipt of the consideration of $ 16,000.00
and transfers an estate in fee simple
in the land above described to the TRANSFEREE

TRANSFEREE
Note (d)

JOHN DOUGLAS COLLETT

of 40 Ridge Street, Tamworth

OFFICE USE ONLY

S

TENANCY
Note (e)

as joint tenants/tenants in common

PRIOR ENCUMBRANCES
Note (f)

subject to the following PRIOR ENCUMBRANCES 1. .....

2. ..... 3. .....

DATE 23rd December 1988

We hereby certify this dealing to be correct for the purposes of the Real Property Act, 1900.

EXECUTION
Note (g)

Signed in my presence by the transferor who is personally known to me

THE COMMON SEAL of MARAU PTY. LIMITED
Signature of Witness

was hereunto affixed by authority
Name of Witness (BLOCK LETTERS)

of the Directors in the presence of:
Address and occupation of Witness

MARAU PTY. LIMITED Common Seal

Director

Signature of Transferor

Note (g)

Signed in my presence by the transferee who is personally known to me

Signature of Witness

Name of Witness (BLOCK LETTERS)

Address and occupation of Witness

Signature of Transferee

Kenneth John SORRENSON.

TO BE COMPLETED BY LODGING PARTY
Notes (h) and (i)
Lodged Stamp Office by
GALLOWAY & CO.
LAW STATIONERS
OFFICE USE ONLY
PH. 233 1011
DX 340
SYDNEY

LODGED BY

GALLOWAY & CO.

Phone: 233-1011 Fax: 233-3851
DX 340 LTO Delivery DUA
Delivery Box Number

Checked	Passed
EAH	
Signed	Extra Fee

REGISTERED - -19

19 JAN 1989

LOCATION OF DOCUMENTS

CT	OTHER	
1		Herewith.
		In L.T.O. with
		Produced by

Secondary Directions			
Delivery Directions	CT	28A	

Issued

Req:R615567 /Doc:DL I980756 /Rev:07-Apr-2010 /Sts:OK.SC /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 11:37 /Seq:1 of 1
Ref:taminda /Src:M

RP13

TRANSFER

Real Property Act, 1900

I 980756 T

Office of State Revenue use only

OFFICE OF STATE REVENUE P9
STAMP DUTY 1992/93
DUTY $ 10-00 1ST REC Nº 1598762

(A) **LAND TRANSFERRED**

Show no more than 20 References to Title.
If appropriate, specify the share transferred.

FOLIO IDENTIFIER 1/711449

(B) **LODGED BY**

L.T.O. Box	Name, Address or DX and Telephone
28A	GALLOWAY & CO. Phone: 233-1011 Fax: 232-6493 DX 340, L.T.O. Delivery 28A REFERENCE (max. 15 characters): eversol-collett

(C) **TRANSFEROR** JOHN DOUGLAS COLLETT

(D) acknowledges receipt of the consideration of $1.00

and as regards the land specified above transfers to the Transferee an estate in fee simple

(E) subject to the following **ENCUMBRANCES** 1. ..... 2. ..... 3. .....

(F) **TRANSFEREE** T PEEL TECHNOLOGY HIGH SCHOOL PARENTS & CITIZENS ASSOCIATION INC

(G) **TENANCY:**

OFFICE OF STATE REVENUE N.S.W. TREASURY 1992/93 ALTERATION NOTED 325

(H) We certify this dealing correct for the purposes of the Real Property Act, 1900. **DATED** 30th November 1993

Signed in my presence by the Transferor who is personally known to me.

K A Mark
Signature of Witness

KELLIE-ANNE MARTIN
Name of Witness (BLOCK LETTERS)

42A PEEL STREET, TAMWORTH.
Address of Witness

J. D. Collett
Signature of Transferor

Signed in my presence by the Transferee who is personally known to

THE COMMON SEAL of PEEL TECHNOLOGY HIGH SCHOOL PARENTS & CITIZENS ASSOCIATION INC) was hereunto ~~Signature of Witness~~ affixed in the presence of:)

~~Name of Witness (BLOCK LETTERS)~~

Pam McKinnon
~~Address of Witness~~
PUBLIC OFFICER

PEEL TECHNOLOGY HIGH SCHOOL PARENT & CITIZEN'S ASSN. INC. Common Seal

~~Signature of Transferee~~
SIGNATURE OF A MEMBER OF THE COMMITTEE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR FILLING OUT THIS FORM ARE AVAILABLE FROM THE LAND TITLES OFFICE

CHECKED BY (office use only) 378

Ausdoc Commercial and Law Stationers 1991

LAND REGISTRY SERVICES

Historical Title

InfoTrack

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - HISTORICAL SEARCH

SEARCH DATE
6/11/2018 10:26AM

FOLIO: 2/1234850

First Title(s): VOL 5370 FOL 222
Prior Title(s): 1/711449

Recorded	Number	Type of Instrument	C.T. Issue
13/12/2017	DP1234850	DEPOSITED PLAN	FOLIO CREATED CT NOT ISSUED EDITION 1
13/12/2017	AM921387	TRANSFER	
22/1/2018	AN56644	CAVEAT	

*** END OF SEARCH ***

taminda PRINTED ON 6/11/2018

InfoTrack an approved NSW Information Broker hereby certifies that the information contained in this document has been provided electronically by the Registrar General in accordance with Section 96B(2) of the Real Property Act 1900.

Copyright © Office of the Registrar-General 2018

Received: 06/11/2018 10:26:35

LAND REGISTRY SERVICES **Title Search**

InfoTrack

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - TITLE SEARCH

FOLIO: 2/1234850

SEARCH DATE	TIME	EDITION NO	DATE
21/11/2018	11:40 AM	1	13/12/2017

LAND

LOT 2 IN DEPOSITED PLAN 1234850
AT TAMINDA
LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA TAMWORTH REGIONAL
PARISH OF MURROON COUNTY OF PARRY
TITLE DIAGRAM DP1234850

FIRST SCHEDULE

CHAN ABBEY HOLDINGS PTY LIMITED (T AM921387)

SECOND SCHEDULE (5 NOTIFICATIONS)

1 LAND EXCLUDES MINERALS AND IS SUBJECT TO RESERVATIONS AND CONDITIONS IN FAVOUR OF THE CROWN - SEE CROWN GRANT(S)

2 R157473 EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 METRE(S) WIDE AFFECTING THE PART(S) SHOWN SO BURDENED IN THE TITLE DIAGRAM

3 DP636336 EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 5 METRE(S) WIDE APPURTENANT TO THE LAND ABOVE DESCRIBED

4 DP711449 EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 5 METRE(S) WIDE AFFECTING THE PART(S) SHOWN SO BURDENED IN THE TITLE DIAGRAM

* 5 AN56644 CAVEAT BY A & GS CLIFT PTY LTD

NOTATIONS

UNREGISTERED DEALINGS: NIL

*** END OF SEARCH ***

* Any entries preceded by an asterisk do not appear on the current edition of the Certificate of Title. Warning: the information appearing under notations has not been formally recorded in the Register. InfoTrack an approved NSW Information Broker hereby certifies that the information contained in this document has been provided electronically by the Registrar General in accordance with Section 96B(2) of the Real Property Act 1900.

Copyright © Office of the Registrar-General 2018

Received: 21/11/2018 11:40:39

Req:R615655 /Doc:CT 14087-061 CT /Rev:22-Dec-2010 /Sts:OK.SC /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 11:44
Ref:taminda /Src:M

[redacted]

ICATE OF TITLE

NEW SOUTH WALES

L PROPERTY ACT, 1900

14087061

165

Crown Grant Vol. 4795 Fol. 165

Prior Title Vol. 12042 Fol. 181

Vol. 14087 Fol. 61

CANCELLED EDITION ISSUED

21 3 1980

I certify that the person described in the First Schedule is the registered proprietor of the undermentioned estate in the land within described subject nevertheless to such exceptions encumbrances and interests as are shown in the Second Schedule.

Registrar General.

(Page 1) Vol. 14087 Fol. 61

PLAN SHOWING LOCATION OF LAND

LENGTHS ARE IN METRES



ESTATE AND LAND REFERRED TO

Estate in Fee Simple in Lot 261 in Deposited Plan 603487 at Tamunda in the City of Tamworth Parish of Murroon and County of Parry. EXCEPTING THEREOUT the minerals reserved by the Crown Grant.

FIRST SCHEDULE

BARRY MAYNES McKINNON of Tamworth, Agent.

SECOND SCHEDULE

1. Reservations and conditions, if any, contained in the Crown Grant above referred to.
2. R322429 Attention is directed to section 8 Land Aggregation Tax Management Act, 1971.
3. R261250 Easement to Drain Sewerage affecting the land shown so burdened in Deposited Plan 603487.

PERSONS ARE CAUTIONED AGAINST ALTERING OR ADDING TO THIS CERTIFICATE OR ANY NOTIFICATION HEREON

WARNING: THIS DOCUMENT MUST NOT BE REMOVED FROM THE REGISTRAR GENERAL'S OFFICE.

NOTE: ENTRIES RULED THROUGH AND AUTHENTICATED BY THE SEAL OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL ARE CANCELLED

Req:R615655 /Doc:CT 14087-061 CT /Rev:22-Dec-2010 /Sts:OK.SC /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 11:44
Ref:taminda /Src:M

DP260129
9/12/80

Vol. 14087 Fol. 61

FIRST SCHEDULE (continued)

REGISTERED PROPRIETOR	INSTRUMENT NATURE	INSTRUMENT NUMBER	REGISTERED	Signature of Registrar General

NEW CERTIFICATE(S) OF TITLE ISSUING ON DP260129
NO DEALING TO BE REGISTERED WITHOUT REFERENCE TO SURVEY

SECOND SCHEDULE (continued)

INSTRUMENT NATURE	INSTRUMENT NUMBER	PARTICULARS	REGISTERED	Signature of Registrar General	CANCELLATION	
	DP260129	The interest of the Council of the City of Tamworth in the new road and addition to existing road shown on D.P. 260129	12.6.1980			
	DP260129	Interests created pursuant to Section 88B Conveyancing Act 1919, by the registration of Deposited Plan 260129	12.6.1980			
		This deed is cancelled as to Whole Ex Road New certificates of title have issued on 25-7-1980 for lots in Deposited Plan No 260129 as follows: Lots 1 to 23 Vol 10171 Fols 199 to 216 respectively				
	PBS DP260129	Production of Certificate of Title Vol 10087 Fol 61 has been dispensed with : Vide DP260129.	25-7-1980			

The residue of land in this folio comprises road shown in D P260129

REGISTRAR GENERAL

REGISTRAR GENERAL

(Page 2 of 2 pages)

NOTE: ENTRIES RULED THROUGH AND AUTHENTICATED BY THE SEAL OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL ARE CANCELLED

Req:R614179 /Doc:CT 14171-216 CT /Rev:07-Mar-2011 /Sts:OK.SC /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 10:10
Ref:taminda /Src:M

14171216

[redacted]TIFICATE OF TITLE

NEW SOUTH WALES

REAL PROPERTY ACT, 1900

Register

Vol. 14171 Fol. 216

Crown Grant Vol. 4795 Fol.165

Prior Title Vol.14087 Fol. 61

EDITION ISSUED

28 7 [illegible]

14171 Fol. 216

(Page 1) Vol.

I certify that the person described in the First Schedule is the registered proprietor of the undermentioned estate in the land within described subject nevertheless to such exceptions encumbrances and interests as are shown in the Second Schedule.

Registrar General.

PLAN SHOWING LOCATION OF LAND

LENGTHS ARE IN METRES



SCHEDULE OF REFERENCE MARKS

a	0°	00'	0.469	G.I. PIPE, FOUND
b	0°	00'	0.9	G.I. PIPE
c	0°	00'	0.9	G.I. PIPE
d	0°	00'	0.9	G.I. PIPE
e	270°	00'	0.9	G.I. PIPE
f	270°	00'	0.9	G.I. PIPE
g	180°	00'	0.9	P.M. CONC. BLOCK
h	180°	00'	0.9	G.I. PIPE
i	180°	00'	0.9	P.M. CONC. BLOCK.
j	0°	00'	0.9	G.I. PIPE
k	19°	41'	1.094	G.I. PIPE FOUND.

- EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 1.9 WIDE
- ROAD WIDENING 9 WIDE AND VARIABLE
- ROAD WIDENING 3.9 WIDE
- EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 WIDE (R. 261250).

ESTATE AND LAND REFERRED TO

Estate in Fee Simple in Lot 23 in Deposited Plan 260129 at Taminda in the City of Tamworth Parish of Murroon and County of Parry. EXCEPTING THEREOUT the minerals reserved by the Crown Grant.

FIRST SCHEDULE

~~BARRY MAYNES McKINNON of Tamworth, Agent.~~

SECOND SCHEDULE

GRM BA EA/SB

1. Reservations and conditions, if any, contained in the Crown Grant above referred to.
2. R322429 Attention is directed to section 8 Land Aggregation Tax Management Act,1971.
3. R261250 Easement to drain sewerage affecting the part of the land above described shown so burdened in Deposited Plan 260129.

PERSONS ARE CAUTIONED AGAINST ALTERING OR ADDING TO THIS CERTIFICATE OR ANY NOTIFICATION HEREON

WARNING: THIS DOCUMENT MUST NOT BE REMOVED FROM THE REGISTRAR GENERAL'S OFFICE.

RG 2/64

NOTE: ENTRIES RULED THROUGH AND AUTHENTICATED BY THE SEAL OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL ARE CANCELLED

S721678T
DP636336
V862097M

Vol. 14171 Fol. 216

FIRST SCHEDULE (continued)

REGISTERED PROPRIETOR	INSTRUMENT NATURE	INSTRUMENT NUMBER	REGISTERED	Signature of Registrar General
Tim Kirkpatrick and Anne Edith Kirkpatrick as joint tenants by Transfer S721678. Registered 8-10-1981.				

SECOND SCHEDULE (continued)

INSTRUMENT NATURE	INSTRUMENT NUMBER	PARTICULARS	REGISTERED	Signature of Registrar General	CANCELLATION	
EW	DP636336	EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER AFFECTING THE PART OF THE LAND WITHIN				
		DESCRIBED SHOWN SO BURDENED IN DP636336.	9-8-1984			
M V862097 Mortgage to Westpac Banking Corporation. Registered 13.8.1985.						

(Page 2 of 2 pages)

NOTE: ENTRIES RULED THROUGH AND AUTHENTICATED BY THE SEAL OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL ARE CANCELLED

Req:R614179 /Doc:CT 14171-216 CT /Rev:07-Mar-2011 /Sts:OK.SC /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 10:10
Ref:taminda /Src:M

LAND REGISTRY SERVICES

Historical Title

InfoTrack

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - HISTORICAL SEARCH

SEARCH DATE
6/11/2018 10:27AM

FOLIO: 23/260129

First Title(s): SEE PRIOR TITLE(S)
Prior Title(s): VOL 14171 FOL 216

Recorded	Number	Type of Instrument	C.T. Issue
5/6/1987		TITLE AUTOMATION PROJECT	LOT RECORDED FOLIO NOT CREATED
15/9/1987		CONVERTED TO COMPUTER FOLIO	FOLIO CREATED CT NOT ISSUED
10/6/2004	AA712747	DISCHARGE OF MORTGAGE	
10/6/2004	AA712748	TRANSFER	EDITION 1
8/12/2017	AM952616	DEPARTMENTAL DEALING	
13/12/2017	DP1234850	DEPOSITED PLAN	FOLIO CANCELLED RESIDUE REMAINS

*** END OF SEARCH ***

taminda PRINTED ON 6/11/2018

InfoTrack an approved NSW Information Broker hereby certifies that the information contained in this document has been provided electronically by the Registrar General in accordance with Section 96B(2) of the Real Property Act 1900.

Copyright © Office of the Registrar-General 2018

Received: 06/11/2018 10:27:19

LAND REGISTRY SERVICES

Historical Title

InfoTrack

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - HISTORICAL SEARCH

SEARCH DATE
6/11/2018 10:27AM

FOLIO: 3/1234850

First Title(s): VOL 4795 FOL 165
Prior Title(s): 23/260129

Recorded	Number	Type of Instrument	C.T. Issue
13/12/2017	DP1234850	DEPOSITED PLAN	FOLIO CREATED EDITION 1

*** END OF SEARCH ***

taminda PRINTED ON 6/11/2018

InfoTrack an approved NSW Information Broker hereby certifies that the information contained in this document has been provided electronically by the Registrar General in accordance with Section 96B(2) of the Real Property Act 1900.

Copyright © Office of the Registrar-General 2018

Received: 06/11/2018 10:27:19

LAND REGISTRY SERVICES Title Search

InfoTrack

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - TITLE SEARCH

FOLIO: 3/1234850

SEARCH DATE	TIME	EDITION NO	DATE
21/11/2018	11:48 AM	1	13/12/2017

LAND

LOT 3 IN DEPOSITED PLAN 1234850
AT TAMINDA
LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA TAMWORTH REGIONAL
PARISH OF MURROON COUNTY OF PARRY
TITLE DIAGRAM DP1234850

FIRST SCHEDULE

KIDMAN GROUP PTY LIMITED

SECOND SCHEDULE (3 NOTIFICATIONS)

1 LAND EXCLUDES MINERALS AND IS SUBJECT TO RESERVATIONS AND CONDITIONS IN FAVOUR OF THE CROWN - SEE CROWN GRANT(S)
2 R261250 EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 METRE(S) WIDE AFFECTING THE PART(S) SHOWN SO BURDENED IN THE TITLE DIAGRAM
3 DP636336 EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 5 METRE(S) WIDE AFFECTING THE PART(S) SHOWN SO BURDENED IN THE TITLE DIAGRAM

NOTATIONS

UNREGISTERED DEALINGS: NIL

*** END OF SEARCH ***

* Any entries preceded by an asterisk do not appear on the current edition of the Certificate of Title. Warning: the information appearing under notations has not been formally recorded in the Register. InfoTrack an approved NSW Information Broker hereby certifies that the information contained in this document has been provided electronically by the Registrar General in accordance with Section 96B(2) of the Real Property Act 1900.

Copyright © Office of the Registrar-General 2018

Received: 21/11/2018 11:48:25

Req:R614183 /Doc:CT 14046-059 CT /Rev:14-Jan-2011 /Sts:OK.SC /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 10:10
Ref:taminda /Src:M

NEW SOUTH WALES

ICATE OF TITLE

PROPERTY ACT, 1900

14046059

Vol. 14046 Fol. 59

Prior Title (Crown Grant)

Vol. 6731 Fol. 47

CANCELLED

EDITION ISSUED

11 2 1980

I certify that the person described in the First Schedule is the registered proprietor of the undermentioned estate in the land within described subject nevertheless to such exceptions encumbrances and interests as are shown in the Second Schedule.

SEE AUTO FOLIO

Registrar General.

(Page 1) Vol. 14046 Fol. 59

PLAN SHOWING LOCATION OF LAND

LENGTHS ARE IN METRES



(X) - EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE - 6 WIDE R249085.

R249085

REDUCTION RATIO 1:20000

ESTATE AND LAND REFERRED TO

Estate in Fee Simple in Portion 28 in the City of Tamworth Parish of Murroon County of Parry. EXCEPTING THEREOUT the minerals reserved by the Crown grant.

FIRST SCHEDULE

JOHN BADEN CHAFFEY of Tamworth, Farmer and GLADYS CHAFFEY his wife, as Tenants in Common in equal shares.

SECOND SCHEDULE

1. Reservations and conditions, if any, contained in the Crown grant above referred to.
2. Restrictions on dealings see section 31 Closer Settlement Act, 1904 (SP1909/119 Tamworth).
3. ~~K577254 Caveat by Rural Bank of New South Wales.~~ Withdrawn T410879
4. M484809 Mortgage to Australia and New Zealand Savings Bank Limited.
5. R249085 Easement to drain sewage affecting the land shown so burdened in the plan hereon.

PERSONS ARE CAUTIONED AGAINST ALTERING OR ADDING TO THIS CERTIFICATE OR ANY NOTIFICATION HEREON

WARNING: THIS DOCUMENT MUST NOT BE REMOVED FROM THE REGISTRAR GENERAL'S OFFICE.

RG 2/64

NOTE: ENTRIES RULED THROUGH AND AUTHENTICATED BY THE SEAL OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL ARE CANCELLED

Vol. 14046 Fol. 59

FIRST SCHEDULE (continued)

REGISTERED PROPRIETOR	INSTRUMENT NATURE	INSTRUMENT NUMBER	REGISTERED	Signature of Registrar General

DP635782 R
CT 03 OCT 19
V391742TGf

SECOND SCHEDULE (continued)

INSTRUMENT NATURE	INSTRUMENT NUMBER	PARTICULARS	REGISTERED	Signature of Registrar General	CANCELLATION	
CA	V391742	Transfer. Easement for electricity transmission line affecting the part of the land within described shown as proposed easement for electricity transmission line 20 wide in DP635782. Registered 13-12-1984.				

CANCELLED

SEE AUTO FOLIO

(Page 2 of 2 pages)

NOTE: ENTRIES RULED THROUGH AND AUTHENTICATED BY THE SEAL OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL ARE CANCELLED

Req:R614183 /Doc:CT 14046-059 CT /Rev:14-Jan-2011 /Sts:OK.SC /Pgs:ALL /Prt:21-Nov-2018 10:10
Ref:taminda /Src:M

Historical Title

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - HISTORICAL SEARCH

SEARCH DATE
6/11/2018 10:27AM

FOLIO: 28/755333

First Title(s): SEE PRIOR TITLE(S)
Prior Title(s): VOL 14046 FOL 59

Recorded	Number	Type of Instrument	C.T. Issue
12/12/1988		TITLE AUTOMATION PROJECT	LOT RECORDED FOLIO NOT CREATED
19/4/1989		CONVERTED TO COMPUTER FOLIO	FOLIO CREATED CT NOT ISSUED
15/4/1991		AMENDMENT: TITLE DIAGRAM	
29/11/1996	2652743	TRANSMISSION APPLICATION	EDITION 1
12/6/1997	3137903	APPLICATION FOR REMOVAL OF RESTRICTIONS	
12/6/1997	3137904	DISCHARGE OF MORTGAGE	
12/6/1997	3137906	TRANSFER	EDITION 2
25/3/1999	5704999	MORTGAGE	EDITION 3
13/9/1999	DP1006026	DEPOSITED PLAN	
27/9/2000	7112170	CAVEAT	
25/1/2001	7262810	WITHDRAWAL OF CAVEAT	
25/1/2001	7262811	TRANSFER GRANTING EASEMENT	EDITION 4
8/5/2002	8576687	DISCHARGE OF MORTGAGE	
8/5/2002	8576689	MORTGAGE	EDITION 5
4/12/2002	9189029	DISCHARGE OF MORTGAGE	
4/12/2002	9189030	TRANSFER	EDITION 6
2/10/2003	9945037	TRANSFER GRANTING EASEMENT	EDITION 7
16/9/2013	AI21612	TRANSFER	EDITION 8
30/1/2017	DP1227482	DEPOSITED PLAN	FOLIO CANCELLED

*** END OF SEARCH ***

taminda PRINTED ON 6/11/2018

InfoTrack an approved NSW Information Broker hereby certifies that the information contained in this document has been provided electronically by the Registrar General in accordance with Section 96B(2) of the Real Property Act 1900.

Copyright © Office of the Registrar-General 2018

Received: 06/11/2018 10:27:55

LAND REGISTRY SERVICES

Historical Title

InfoTrack

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - HISTORICAL SEARCH

SEARCH DATE
6/11/2018 10:27AM

FOLIO: 60/1227482

First Title(s): VOL 6731 FOL 47
Prior Title(s): 28/755333

Recorded	Number	Type of Instrument	C.T. Issue
30/1/2017	DP1227482	DEPOSITED PLAN	FOLIO CREATED EDITION 1
27/12/2017	AN4331	TRANSFER	EDITION 2
22/1/2018	AN56645	CAVEAT	

*** END OF SEARCH ***

taminda PRINTED ON 6/11/2018

InfoTrack an approved NSW Information Broker hereby certifies that the information contained in this document has been provided electronically by the Registrar General in accordance with Section 96B(2) of the Real Property Act 1900.

Copyright © Office of the Registrar-General 2018

Received: 06/11/2018 10:27:55

LAND REGISTRY SERVICES Title Search

InfoTrack

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - TITLE SEARCH

FOLIO: 60/1227482

SEARCH DATE	TIME	EDITION NO	DATE
21/11/2018	12:06 PM	2	27/12/2017

LAND

LOT 60 IN DEPOSITED PLAN 1227482
AT TAMINDA
LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA TAMWORTH REGIONAL
PARISH OF MURROON COUNTY OF PARRY
TITLE DIAGRAM DP1227482

FIRST SCHEDULE

HARRY DAVID STEARMAN (T AN4331)

SECOND SCHEDULE (7 NOTIFICATIONS)

1 LAND EXCLUDES MINERALS AND IS SUBJECT TO RESERVATIONS AND CONDITIONS IN FAVOUR OF THE CROWN - SEE CROWN GRANT(S)
2 R249085 EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 METRE(S) WIDE AFFECTING THE PART(S) SHOWN SO BURDENED IN THE TITLE DIAGRAM
3 V391742 EASEMENT FOR ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION LINE 20 METRE(S) WIDE AFFECTING THE PART(S) SHOWN SO BURDENED IN THE TITLE DIAGRAM
4 7262811 EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 5 METRE(S) WIDE AFFECTING THE PART(S) SHOWN SO BURDENED IN THE TITLE DIAGRAM
5 9945037 EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER EASEMENT FOR ABOVE GROUND WORKS 20 METRE(S) WIDE APPURTENANT TO THE LAND ABOVE DESCRIBED AFFECTING THE SITE SHOWN AS "PROPOSED EASEMENT FOR ABOVE GROUND WORKS OVER CENTRELINE OF POLES" IN THE PLAN WITH 9945037
6 DP1227482 POSITIVE COVENANT
* 7 AN56645 CAVEAT BY A & GS CLIFT PTY LTD

NOTATIONS

UNREGISTERED DEALINGS: NIL

*** END OF SEARCH ***

* Any entries preceded by an asterisk do not appear on the current edition of the Certificate of Title. Warning: the information appearing under notations has not been formally recorded in the Register. InfoTrack an approved NSW Information Broker hereby certifies that the information contained in this document has been provided electronically by the Registrar General in accordance with Section 96B(2) of the Real Property Act 1900.

Copyright © Office of the Registrar-General 2018

Received: 21/11/2018 12:06:41

List of NSW Contaminated Sites Notified to EPA as of 9 November 2018

Background

A strategy to systematically assess, prioritise and respond to notifications under Section 60 of the *Contaminated Land Management Act 1997* (CLM Act) has been developed by the EPA. This strategy acknowledges the EPA's obligations to make information available to the public under *Government Information (Public Access) Act 2009*.

When a site is notified to the EPA, it may be accompanied by detailed site reports where the owner has been proactive in addressing the contamination and its source. However, often there is minimal information on the nature or extent of the contamination.

For some notifications, the information indicates the contamination is securely immobilised within the site, such as under a building or carpark, and is not currently causing any offsite consequences to the community or environment. Such sites would still need to be cleaned up, but this could be done in conjunction with any subsequent building or redevelopment of the land. These sites may not require intervention under the CLM Act, but could be dealt with through the planning and development consent process.

Where indications are that contamination is significant enough to warrant regulation having regard to the matters in section 12 of the CLM Act, the EPA may apply the regulatory provisions of the CLM Act to have the appropriate person (for example, the responsible polluter and/or landowner) investigate and remediate the site.

Where the EPA reasonably suspects that a pollution incident is occurring (or has occurred) at a premise, the EPA, as the appropriate regulatory authority, may choose to regulate the incident and any resulting contamination under the POEO Act by ordering the occupier or the owner to carry out certain actions.

As such, the sites notified to the EPA and presented in the following table are at various stages of the assessment and/or remediation process. Understanding the nature of the underlying contamination, its implications and implementing a remediation program where required, can take a considerable period of time. The tables provide an indication, in relation to each nominated site, as to the management status of that particular site. Further detailed information may be available from the EPA or the responsible landowner.

The following questions and answers may assist those interested in this issue:

Frequently asked questions

What is the difference between the "List of NSW Contaminated Sites Notified to the EPA" and the "Contaminated Land: Record of Notices"?

A site will be on the Contaminated Land: Record of Notices only if the EPA has issued a regulatory notice in relation to the site under the *Contaminated Land Management Act 1997*.

The sites appearing on this "List of NSW contaminated sites notified to the EPA" indicate that the notifiers consider that the sites are contaminated and warrant reporting to the EPA. However, the contamination may or may not be significant enough to warrant regulation by the EPA. The EPA needs to review and, if necessary, obtain more information before it can make a determination as to whether the site warrants regulation.

Why my site appears on the list?

Your site appears on the list because of one or more of the following reasons:

- The site owner and/or the person partly or fully responsible for causing the contamination notified to the EPA about the contamination under Section 60 of the *Contaminated Land Management Act 1997*. In other words, the site owner or the "polluter" believes the site is contaminated.
- The EPA has been notified via other means and is satisfied that the site is or was contaminated.

Does the list contain all contaminated sites in NSW?

No. The list only contains contaminated sites that the EPA is aware of, with regard to its regulatory role under the CLM Act. An absence of a site from the list does not necessarily imply the site is not contaminated.

The EPA relies upon responsible parties to notify contaminated sites.

How are these notified contaminated sites managed by the EPA?

There are different ways that the EPA manages these notified contaminated sites. First, an initial assessment is carried out by the EPA. At the completion of the initial assessment, the EPA may take one or more than one of the following management approaches:

- The contamination warrants the EPA's direct regulatory intervention either under the *Contaminated Land Management Act 1997* or the *Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997* (POEO Act), or both. Information about current or past regulatory action on this site can be found on EPA website.
- The contamination with respect to the current use or approved use of the site, as defined under the *Contaminated Land Management Act 1997,* is not significant enough that it warrants EPA regulation.
- The contamination does not require EPA regulation and can be managed by a planning approval process.
- The contamination is related to an operational Underground Petroleum Storage System, such as a service station or fuel depot. The contamination may be managed under the POEO Act and the Protection of the Environment Operation (Underground Petroleum Storage Systems) Regulation 2014.
- The contamination is being managed under a specifically tailored program operated by another agency (for example t
- he Department of Industry and Investment's *Derelict Mines Program*).

I am the owner of a site that appears on the list. What should I do?

First of all, you should ensure the current use of the site is compatible with the site contamination. Secondly, if the site is the subject of EPA regulation, make sure you comply with the regulatory requirements, and you have considered your obligations to notify other parties who may be affected.

If you have any concerns, contact us and we may be able to offer you general advice, or direct you to accredited professionals who can assist with specific issues.

I am a prospective buyer of a site that appears on the list. What should I do?

You should seek advice from the vendor to put the contamination issue into perspective. You may need to seek independent expert advice.

The information provided in the list is meant to be indicative only, and a starting point for your own assessment. Site contamination as a legacy of past site uses is not uncommon, particularly in an urbanised environment. If the contamination on a site is properly remediated or managed, it may not materially impact upon the intended future use of the site. However, each site needs to be considered in context.

List of NSW Contaminated Sites Notified to the EPA

Disclaimer

The EPA has taken all reasonable care to ensure that the information in the list of contaminated sites notified to the EPA (the list) is complete and correct. The EPA does not, however, warrant or represent that the list is free from errors or omissions or that it is exhaustive.

The EPA may, without notice, change any or all of the information in the list at any time.

You should obtain independent advice before you make any decision based on the information in the list.

The list is made available on the understanding that the EPA, its servants and agents, to the extent permitted by law, accept no responsibility for any damage, cost, loss or expense incurred by you as a result of:

1. any information in the list; or
2. any error, omission or misrepresentation in the list; or
3. any malfunction or failure to function of the list;
4. without limiting (2) or (3) above, any delay, failure or error in recording, displaying or updating information.

Site Status	Explanation
Under assessment	The contamination is being assessed by the EPA to determine whether regulation is required. The EPA may require further information to complete the assessment. For example, the completion of management actions regulated under the planning process or *Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997*. Alternatively, the EPA may require information via a notice issued under s77 of the *Contaminated Land Management Act 1997* or issue a Preliminary Investigation Order.
Regulation under CLM Act not required	The EPA has completed an assessment of the contamination and decided that regulation under the *Contaminated Land Management Act 1997* is not required.
Regulation being	The EPA has completed an assessment of the contamination and decided

finalised	that the contamination is significant enough to warrant regulation under the *Contaminated Land Management Act 1997*. A regulatory approach is being finalised.
Contamination currently regulated under CLM Act	The EPA has completed an assessment of the contamination and decided that the contamination is significant enough to warrant regulation under the *Contaminated Land Management Act 1997* (CLM Act). Management of the contamination is regulated by the EPA under the CLM Act. Regulatory notices are available on the EPA's Contaminated Land Public Record.
Contamination currently regulated under POEO Act	Contamination is currently regulated under the *Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997* (POEO Act). The EPA as *the appropriate regulatory authority* reasonably suspects that a pollution incident is occurring/ has occurred and that it requires regulation under the POEO Act. The EPA may use environment protection notices, such as clean up notices, to require clean up action to be taken. Such regulatory notices are available on the POEO public register.
Contamination being managed via the planning process (EP&A Act)	The EPA has completed an assessment of the contamination and decided that the contamination is significant enough to warrant regulation. The contamination of this site is managed by the consent authority under the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (EP&A Act) planning approval process, with EPA involvement as necessary to ensure significant contamination is adequately addressed. The consent authority is typically a local council or the Department of Planning and Environment.
Contamination formerly regulated under the CLM Act	The EPA has determined that the contamination is no longer significant enough to warrant regulation under the *Contaminated Land Management Act 1997* (CLM Act). The contamination was addressed under the CLM Act.
Contamination formerly regulated under the POEO Act	The EPA has determined that the contamination is no longer significant enough to warrant regulation. The contamination was addressed under the *Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997* (POEO Act).
Contamination was addressed via the planning process	The EPA has determined that the contamination is no longer significant enough to warrant regulation. The contamination was addressed by the appropriate consent authority via the planning process under the

(EP&A Act)	*Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (EP&A Act).
Ongoing maintenance required to manage residual contamination (CLM Act)	The EPA has determined that ongoing maintenance, under the *Contaminated Land Management Act 1997* (CLM Act), is required to manage the residual contamination. Regulatory notices under the CLM Act are available on the EPA's Contaminated Land Public Record.

Suburb	Site Name	Address	Contamination Activity Type	Management Class	Latitude	Longitude
SYDNEY OLYMPIC PARK	Aquatic Centre Carpark Landfill	Shane Gould AVENUE	Landfill	Ongoing maintenance required to manage residual contamination	-33.85093439	151.0656713
SYDNEY OLYMPIC PARK	Blaxland Common Landfill	Jamieson STREET	Landfill	Ongoing maintenance required to manage residual contamination	-33.82638382	151.05972
SYLVANIA	Caltex Service Station - Sylvania Heights	414-416 Princes HIGHWAY	Service Station	Contamination currently regulated under CLM Act	-34.02361051	151.0895394
SYLVANIA	Caltex Service Station	61 Port Hacking ROAD	Service Station	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-34.0140089	151.104212
TALBINGO	Old Town Landfill	Bridle STREET	Landfill	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-35.59018237	148.3041771
TALBINGO	T3 Spoil dump and adjoining river sediments	Off Snowy Mountains HIGHWAY	Landfill	Contamination formerly regulated under the CLM Act	-35.6177268	148.2926158
TALBINGO	Former grit blasting site	Old Damsite ROAD	Other Industry	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-35.60894551	148.3030165
TAMINDA	Mobil Depot	9 Hinkler ROAD	Other Petroleum	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-31.09584286	150.9040493
TAMWORTH	Caltex Tamworth Service Station	109 Gunnedah ROAD	Service Station	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-31.09723226	150.8955299
TAMWORTH	Curlew Crescent	19-29 Curlew CRESCENT	Metal Industry	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-31.06963607	150.9069306
TAMWORTH	Coles Express Tamworth	251 - 253 Goonoo Goonoo ROAD	Service Station	Contamination currently regulated under CLM Act	-31.11058167	150.9236721
TAMWORTH	Former Service Station, Fitzpatrick Super Fund, Tamworth	210 Goonoo Goonoo ROAD	Service Station	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-31.10613594	150.9234143
TAMWORTH	Gunnedah Road Site	49 GUNNEDAH ROAD	Other Industry	Contamination formerly regulated under the CLM Act	-31.09574904	150.9021583
TAMWORTH	Elovera Former Sheep Dip	730 Ascot Calala ROAD	Cattle Dip	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-31.1801846	150.962897
TAMWORTH	Housing NSW	29 -33 White STREET	Other Petroleum	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-31.0915651	150.9357811
TAMWORTH	BP Tamworth Service Station and Depot	27-29 Gunnedah ROAD	Service Station	Under assessment	-31.09642128	150.9058193
TAMWORTH	Former Mobil Service Station	373-375 Armidale ROAD	Service Station	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-31.10122679	150.9441341
TAMWORTH	Kensell's Mitsubishi	11-14 Kable AVENUE	Other Petroleum	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-31.08921565	150.9273063
TAMWORTH	Caltex Star Tamworth	21 White STREET	Service Station	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-31.09255137	150.9341709
TAMWORTH	Former Service Station Tamworth	(Cnr Scott Rd) 254-256 Goonoo Goonoo ROAD	Service Station	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-31.1118945	150.9228523
TARCUTTA	Mobil Service Station	(Hume Highway) 32 Sydney STREET	Service Station	Contamination formerly regulated under the CLM Act	-35.2772942	147.73574
TAREE	Caltex Taree	12 Pitt STREET	Service Station	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-31.90551738	152.4783334
TAREE	Former Caltex Depot	44 Stevenson STREET	Other Petroleum	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-31.90563595	152.4640848
TAREE	Former BP Service Station (Reliance Petroleum)	150 Manning River DRIVE	Service Station	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-31.93842026	152.4682056
TAREE	Former Shell Depot	53-55 Stevenson STREET	Other Petroleum	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-31.90514622	152.4649706

Section 55 Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997

Environment Protection Licence

Licence - 12655

Department of **Environment & Climate Change** NSW

Licence Details	
Number:	12655
Anniversary Date:	16-February
Review Due Date:	16-Feb-2012

Licensee

BORAL RESOURCES (COUNTRY) PTY LIMITED
PO BOX 42
WENTWORTHVILLE NSW 2145

Licence Type

Premises

Premises

Boral Concrete Plant Taminda Industrial Estate
15-17 Cook Street
TAMWORTH NSW 2340

Scheduled Activity

Cement Works - A/C/G

Fee Based Activity	**Scale**
Concrete Batching (30)	> 13000 - 25000 m3 produced

Region

North West - Armidale
Level 1, NSW Govt Offices, 85 Faulkner Street
ARMIDALE NSW 2350
Phone: 02 6773 7000
Fax: 02 6772 2336

PO Box 494 ARMIDALE
NSW 2350

Environment Protection Licence

Licence - 12655

Department of **Environment & Climate Change** NSW

INFORMATION ABOUT THIS LICENCE **4**
Dictionary 4
Responsibilities of licensee 4
Variation of licence conditions 4
Duration of licence 4
Licence review 4
Fees and annual return to be sent to the EPA 4
Transfer of licence 5
Public register and access to monitoring data 5
1 ADMINISTRATIVE CONDITIONS **5**
A1 What the licence authorises and regulates 5
A2 Premises to which this licence applies 6
A3 Other activities 6
A4 Information supplied to the EPA 7
2 DISCHARGES TO AIR AND WATER AND APPLICATIONS TO LAND **7**
P1 Location of monitoring/discharge points and areas 7
Note: A discharge and monitoring point for the premises will be included in the licence in 7
3 LIMIT CONDITIONS **8**
L1 Pollution of waters 8
L2 Load limits 8
L3 Concentration limits 8
L4 Volume and mass limits 9
L5 Waste 9
L6 Noise Limits 9
4 OPERATING CONDITIONS **10**
O1 Activities must be carried out in a competent manner 10
O2 Maintenance of plant and equipment 10
O3 Dust Control 10
5 MONITORING AND RECORDING CONDITIONS **10**
M1 Monitoring records 10
M2 Requirement to monitor concentration of pollutants discharged 11
M3 Testing methods - concentration limits 11
M4 Recording of pollution complaints 11
M5 Telephone complaints line 12
M6 Requirement to monitor volume or mass 12
Note: Discharge monitoring requirements will be included in the licence in accordance with the 12
6 REPORTING CONDITIONS **12**
R1 Annual return documents 12
R2 Notification of environmental harm 14

R3 Written report 14
GENERAL CONDITIONS 14
G1 Copy of licence kept at the premises 15
POLLUTION STUDIES AND REDUCTION PROGRAMS 15
SPECIAL CONDITIONS 15
DICTIONARY 15
General Dictionary 15

Information about this licence

Dictionary

A definition of terms used in the licence can be found in the dictionary at the end of this licence.

Responsibilities of licensee

Separate to the requirements of this licence, general obligations of licensees are set out in the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 ("the Act") and the Regulations made under the Act. These include obligations to:

- ensure persons associated with you comply with this licence, as set out in section 64 of the Act;
- control the pollution of waters and the pollution of air (see for example sections 120 - 132 of the Act); and
- report incidents causing or threatening material environmental harm to the environment, as set out in Part 5.7 of the Act.

Variation of licence conditions

The licence holder can apply to vary the conditions of this licence. An application form for this purpose is available from the EPA.

The EPA may also vary the conditions of the licence at any time by written notice without an application being made.

Where a licence has been granted in relation to development which was assessed under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 in accordance with the procedures applying to integrated development, the EPA may not impose conditions which are inconsistent with the development consent conditions until the licence is first reviewed under Part 3.6 of the Act.

Duration of licence

This licence will remain in force until the licence is surrendered by the licence holder or until it is suspended or revoked by the EPA or the Minister. A licence may only be surrendered with the written approval of the EPA.

Licence review

The Act requires that the EPA review your licence at least every 5 years after the issue of the licence, as set out in Part 3.6 and Schedule 5 of the Act. You will receive advance notice of the licence review.

Fees and annual return to be sent to the EPA

For each licence fee period you must pay:

- an administrative fee; and
- a load-based fee (if applicable).

The EPA publication "A Guide to Licensing" contains information about how to calculate your licence fees.

The licence requires that an Annual Return, comprising a Statement of Compliance and a summary of any monitoring required by the licence (including the recording of complaints), be submitted to the EPA. The Annual Return must be submitted within 60 days after the end of each reporting period. See condition R1 regarding the Annual Return reporting requirements.

Usually the licence fee period is the same as the reporting period.

Transfer of licence

The licence holder can apply to transfer the licence to another person. An application form for this purpose is available from the EPA.

Public register and access to monitoring data

Part 9.5 of the Act requires the EPA to keep a public register of details and decisions of the EPA in relation to, for example:

- licence applications;
- licence conditions and variations;
- statements of compliance;
- load based licensing information; and
- load reduction agreements.

Under s320 of the Act application can be made to the EPA for access to monitoring data which has been submitted to the EPA by licensees.

This licence is issued to:

BORAL RESOURCES (COUNTRY) PTY LIMITED
PO BOX 42
WENTWORTHVILLE NSW 2145

subject to the conditions which follow.

1 Administrative conditions

A1 What the licence authorises and regulates

A1.1 This licence authorises the carrying out of the scheduled development work listed below at the premises listed in A2.
Civil construction work will be conducted in the construction of the concrete batching plant. The scope will encompass excavation, drainage and services installation.

A1.2 This licence authorises the carrying out of the scheduled activities listed below at the premises

specified in A2. The activities are listed according to their scheduled activity classification, fee-based activity classification and the scale of the operation.

Unless otherwise further restricted by a condition of this licence, the scale at which the activity is carried out must not exceed the maximum scale specified in this condition.

Scheduled Activity
Cement Works - A/C/G

Fee Based Activity	Scale
Concrete Batching (30)	> 13000 - 25000 m3 produced

A1.3 The licensee must not carry on any scheduled activities until the scheduled development works are completed, except as elsewhere provided in this licence.

A2 Premises to which this licence applies

A2.1 The licence applies to the following premises:

Premises Details
Boral Concrete Plant Taminda Industrial Estate
15-17 Cook Street
TAMWORTH
NSW
2340
LOT 1 DP 394486 AND LOT 15 DP 1078071

A3 Other activities

A3.1 Not applicable.

A4 Information supplied to the EPA

A4.1 Works and activities must be carried out in accordance with the proposal contained in the licence application, except as expressly provided by a condition of this licence.

In this condition the reference to "the licence application" includes a reference to:
(a) the applications for any licences (including former pollution control approvals) which this licence replaces under the Protection of the Environment Operations (Savings and Transitional) Regulation 1998; and
(b) the licence information form provided by the licensee to the EPA to assist the EPA in connection with the issuing of this licence.

2 Discharges to air and water and applications to land

P1 Location of monitoring/discharge points and areas

P1.1 Not applicable.

P1.2 The following points referred to in the table are identified in this licence for the purposes of the monitoring and/or the setting of limits for discharges of pollutants to water from the point.

P1.3 The following utilisation areas referred to in the table below are identified in this licence for the purposes of the monitoring and/or the setting of limits for any application of solids or liquids to the utilisation area.

Water and land

EPA identification no.	Type of monitoring point	Type of discharge point	Description of location
1	Wet Weather Discharge, Discharge Quality Monitoring	Wet Weather Discharge, Discharge Quality Monitoring	Overflow pipe inlet within location labelled as "Clean Water Holding Pit" on drawing titled "Tamworth Concrete Plant, 13-17 Cook St, Taminda - General Arrangement (Drawing No. TAM-G02)" dated 8-1-07 and on file 251466A1

Note: A discharge and monitoring point for the premises will be included in the licence in accordance with the EPAs general terms of approval prior to commencement of operations at the premises.

3 Limit conditions

L1 Pollution of waters

L1.1 Except as may be expressly provided in any other condition of this licence, the licensee must comply with section 120 of the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997.

L2 Load limits

L2.1 Not applicable.

L2.2 Not applicable.

L3 Concentration limits

L3.1 For each monitoring/discharge point or utilisation area specified in the table\s below (by a point number), the concentration of a pollutant discharged at that point, or applied to that area, must not exceed the concentration limits specified for that pollutant in the table.

L3.2 Where a pH quality limit is specified in the table, the specified percentage of samples must be within the specified ranges.

L3.3 To avoid any doubt, this condition does not authorise the pollution of waters by any pollutant other than those specified in the table\s.

Water and Land

POINT 1

Pollutant	Units of Measure	50 percentile concentration limit	90 percentile concentration limit	3DGM concentration limit	100 percentile Concentration Limit
Oil and Grease	milligrams per litre				10
pH	pH				6.5 - 8.5
Total suspended solids	milligrams per litre				50

Note: Limit conditions for the discharge point for the premises will be included in the licence in accordance with the EPAs general terms of approval prior to commencement of operations at the premises.

L4 Volume and mass limits

L4.1 Not applicable.

L5 Waste

L5.1 The licensee must not cause, permit or allow any waste generated outside the premises to be received at the premises for storage, treatment, processing, reprocessing or disposal or any waste generated at the premises to be disposed of at the premises, except as expressly permitted by the licence.

L5.2 This condition only applies to the storage, treatment, processing, reprocessing or disposal of waste at the premises if those activities require an environment protection licence.

L6 Noise Limits

L6.1 Noise from the premises must not exceed:
(a) 50dB(A) $L_{Aeq(15\ minute)}$ during the day (7am to 6pm) Monday to Saturday and 8am to 6pm Sunday;; and
(b) 44dB(A) $L_{Aeq(15\ minute)}$ during the evening (6pm to 10pm) Monday to Sunday; and
(c) 42dB(A) $L_{Aeq(15\ minute)}$ during the early morning shoulder period of 6am to 7am Monday to Saturday; and
(d) at all other times 40dB(A) $L_{Aeq\ (15\ minute)}$, except as expressly provided by this licence.

Where L_{Aeq} means the equivalent continuous noise level – the level of noise equivalent to the energy-average of noise levels occurring over a measurement period.

L6.2 Noise from the premises is to be measured at the nearest affected residential receiver that is not situated in an area zoned as industrial to determine compliance with condition L6.1.

Note: Noise measurement

For the purpose of noise measures required for this condition, the L_{Aeq} noise level must be measured or computed at the point defined in condition L6.2 over a period of 15 minutes using "FAST" response on the sound level meter.

For the purpose of the noise criteria for this condition, 5dBA must be added to the measured level if the noise is substantially tonal or impulsive in character. The location or point of impact can be different for each development, for example, at the closest residential receiver or at the closest boundary of the development. Measurement locations can be:
a) 1 metre from the facade of the residence for night time assessment;
b) at the residential boundary;
c) 30 metres from the residence (rural situations) where boundary is more than 30 metres from residence.

L6.3 The noise emission limits identified in this licence apply under all meteorological conditions except:

(a) during rain and wind speeds (at 10m height) greater than 3m/s; and
(b) under "non-significant weather conditions".

Note: Field meteorological indicators for non-significant weather conditions are described in the NSW Industrial Noise Policy, Chapter 5 and Appendix E in relation to wind and temperature inversions.

4 Operating conditions

O1 Activities must be carried out in a competent manner

O1.1 Licensed activities must be carried out in a competent manner.

This includes:
(a) the processing, handling, movement and storage of materials and substances used to carry out the activity; and
(b) the treatment, storage, processing, reprocessing, transport and disposal of waste generated by the activity.

O2 Maintenance of plant and equipment

O2.1 All plant and equipment installed at the premises or used in connection with the licensed activity:
(a) must be maintained in a proper and efficient condition; and
(b) must be operated in a proper and efficient manner.

O3 Dust Control

O3.1 The plant must be maintained in a condition which minimises or prevents the emission of dust from the plant.

O3.2 The premises must be maintained in a condition which minimises or prevents the emission of dust from the premises.

5 Monitoring and recording conditions

M1 Monitoring records

M1.1 The results of any monitoring required to be conducted by this licence or a load calculation protocol must be recorded and retained as set out in this condition.

M1.2 All records required to be kept by this licence must be:
(a) in a legible form, or in a form that can readily be reduced to a legible form;
(b) kept for at least 4 years after the monitoring or event to which they relate took place; and
(c) produced in a legible form to any authorised officer of the EPA who asks to see them.

M1.3 The following records must be kept in respect of any samples required to be collected for the purposes of this licence:
(a) the date(s) on which the sample was taken;
(b) the time(s) at which the sample was collected;
(c) the point at which the sample was taken; and
(d) the name of the person who collected the sample.

M2 Requirement to monitor concentration of pollutants discharged

M2.1 For each monitoring/discharge point or utilisation area specified below (by a point number), the licensee must monitor (by sampling and obtaining results by analysis) the concentration of each pollutant specified in Column 1. The licensee must use the sampling method, units of measure, and sample at the frequency, specified opposite in the other columns:

Water and Land

POINT 1

Pollutant	Units of measure	Frequency	Sampling Method
Oil and Grease	milligrams per litre	Each overflow event	Representative sample
Total suspended solids	milligrams per litre	Each overflow event	Representative sample
pH	pH	Each overflow event	Representative sample

M3 Testing methods - concentration limits

M3.1 Not applicable.

M3.2 Subject to any express provision to the contrary in this licence, monitoring for the concentration of a pollutant discharged to waters or applied to a utilisation area must be done in accordance with the Approved Methods Publication unless another method has been approved by the EPA in writing before any tests are conducted.

M4 Recording of pollution complaints

M4.1 The licensee must keep a legible record of all complaints made to the licensee or any employee or agent of the licensee in relation to pollution arising from any activity to which this licence applies.

M4.2 The record must include details of the following:
(a) the date and time of the complaint;
(b) the method by which the complaint was made;
(c) any personal details of the complainant which were provided by the complainant or, if no such details were provided, a note to that effect;
(d) the nature of the complaint;

(e) the action taken by the licensee in relation to the complaint, including any follow-up contact with the complainant; and
(f) if no action was taken by the licensee, the reasons why no action was taken.

M4.3 The record of a complaint must be kept for at least 4 years after the complaint was made.

M4.4 The record must be produced to any authorised officer of the EPA who asks to see them.

M5 Telephone complaints line

M5.1 The licensee must operate during its operating hours a telephone complaints line for the purpose of receiving any complaints from members of the public in relation to activities conducted at the premises or by the vehicle or mobile plant, unless otherwise specified in the licence.

M5.2 The licensee must notify the public of the complaints line telephone number and the fact that it is a complaints line so that the impacted community knows how to make a complaint.

M5.3 Conditions M5.1 and M5.2 do not apply until 3 months after:
(a) the date of the issue of this licence or
(b) if this licence is a replacement licence within the meaning of the Protection of the Environment Operations (Savings and Transitional) Regulation 1998, the date on which a copy of the licence was served on the licensee under clause 10 of that regulation.

M6 Requirement to monitor volume or mass

M6.1 Not applicable.

Note: Discharge monitoring requirements will be included in the licence in accordance with the EPA's general terms of approval prior to commencement of operations at the premises.

6 Reporting conditions

R1 Annual return documents

What documents must an Annual Return contain?

R1.1 The licensee must complete and supply to the EPA an Annual Return in the approved form comprising:
(a) a Statement of Compliance; and
(b) a Monitoring and Complaints Summary.
A copy of the form in which the Annual Return must be supplied to the EPA accompanies this licence. Before the end of each reporting period, the EPA will provide to the licensee a copy of the form that must be completed and returned to the EPA.

Period covered by Annual Return

R1.2 An Annual Return must be prepared in respect of each reporting period, except as provided below.

Note: The term "reporting period" is defined in the dictionary at the end of this licence. Do not complete the Annual Return until after the end of the reporting period.

R1.3 Where this licence is transferred from the licensee to a new licensee:
(a) the transferring licensee must prepare an Annual Return for the period commencing on the first day of the reporting period and ending on the date the application for the transfer of the licence to the new licensee is granted; and
(b) the new licensee must prepare an Annual Return for the period commencing on the date the application for the transfer of the licence is granted and ending on the last day of the reporting period.

Note: An application to transfer a licence must be made in the approved form for this purpose.

R1.4 Where this licence is surrendered by the licensee or revoked by the EPA or Minister, the licensee must prepare an Annual Return in respect of the period commencing on the first day of the reporting period and ending on:
(a) in relation to the surrender of a licence - the date when notice in writing of approval of the surrender is given; or
(b) in relation to the revocation of the licence - the date from which notice revoking the licence operates.

Deadline for Annual Return

R1.5 The Annual Return for the reporting period must be supplied to the EPA by registered post not later than 60 days after the end of each reporting period or in the case of a transferring licence not later than 60 days after the date the transfer was granted (the 'due date').

Notification where actual load can not be calculated

R1.6 Not applicable.

Licensee must retain copy of Annual Return

R1.7 The licensee must retain a copy of the Annual Return supplied to the EPA for a period of at least 4 years after the Annual Return was due to be supplied to the EPA.

Certifying of Statement of Compliance and signing of Monitoring and Complaints Summary

R1.8 Within the Annual Return, the Statement of Compliance must be certified and the Monitoring and Complaints Summary must be signed by:
(a) the licence holder; or
(b) by a person approved in writing by the EPA to sign on behalf of the licence holder.

R1.9 A person who has been given written approval to certify a certificate of compliance under a licence issued under the Pollution Control Act 1970 is taken to be approved for the purpose of this condition until the date of first review of this licence.

R2 Notification of environmental harm

Note: The licensee or its employees must notify the EPA of incidents causing or threatening material harm to the environment as soon as practicable after the person becomes aware of the incident in accordance with the requirements of Part 5.7 of the Act.

R2.1 Notifications must be made by telephoning the EPA's Pollution Line service on 131 555.

R2.2 The licensee must provide written details of the notification to the EPA within 7 days of the date on which the incident occurred.

R3 Written report

R3.1 Where an authorised officer of the EPA suspects on reasonable grounds that:
(a) where this licence applies to premises, an event has occurred at the premises; or
(b) where this licence applies to vehicles or mobile plant, an event has occurred in connection with the carrying out of the activities authorised by this licence,
and the event has caused, is causing or is likely to cause material harm to the environment (whether the harm occurs on or off premises to which the licence applies), the authorised officer may request a written report of the event.

R3.2 The licensee must make all reasonable inquiries in relation to the event and supply the report to the EPA within such time as may be specified in the request.

R3.3 The request may require a report which includes any or all of the following information:
(a) the cause, time and duration of the event;
(b) the type, volume and concentration of every pollutant discharged as a result of the event;
(c) the name, address and business hours telephone number of employees or agents of the licensee, or a specified class of them, who witnessed the event;
(d) the name, address and business hours telephone number of every other person (of whom the licensee is aware) who witnessed the event, unless the licensee has been unable to obtain that information after making reasonable effort;
(e) action taken by the licensee in relation to the event, including any follow-up contact with any complainants;
(f) details of any measure taken or proposed to be taken to prevent or mitigate against a recurrence of such an event; and
(g) any other relevant matters.

R3.4 The EPA may make a written request for further details in relation to any of the above matters if it is not satisfied with the report provided by the licensee. The licensee must provide such further details to the EPA within the time specified in the request.

General conditions

G1 Copy of licence kept at the premises

G1.1 A copy of this licence must be kept at the premises to which the licence applies.

G1.2 The licence must be produced to any authorised officer of the EPA who asks to see it.

G1.3 The licence must be available for inspection by any employee or agent of the licensee working at the premises.

Pollution studies and reduction programs

Special conditions

Dictionary

General Dictionary

In this licence, unless the contrary is indicated, the terms below have the following meanings:

3DGM [in relation to a concentration limit]	Means the three day geometric mean, which is calculated by multiplying the results of the analysis of three samples collected on consecutive days and then taking the cubed root of that amount. Where one or more of the samples is zero or below the detection limit for the analysis, then 1 or the detection limit respectively should be used in place of those samples
Act	Means the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997
activity	Means a scheduled or non-scheduled activity within the meaning of the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997
actual load	Has the same meaning as in the Protection of the Environment Operations (General) Regulation 1998
AM	Together with a number, means an ambient air monitoring method of that number prescribed by the *Approved Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Air Pollutants in New South Wales*.
AMG	Australian Map Grid
anniversary date	The anniversary date is the anniversary each year of the date of issue of the licence. In the case of a licence continued in force by the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997, the date of issue of the licence is the first anniversary of the date of issue or last renewal of the licence following the commencement of the Act.
annual return	Is defined in R1.1
Approved Methods Publication	Has the same meaning as in the Protection of the Environment Operations (General) Regulation 1998
assessable	Has the same meaning as in the Protection of the Environment Operations (General) Regulation 1998

pollutants	
BOD	Means biochemical oxygen demand
CEM	Together with a number, means a continuous emission monitoring method of that number prescribed by the *Approved Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Air Pollutants in New South Wales*.
COD	Means chemical oxygen demand
composite sample	Unless otherwise specifically approved in writing by the EPA, a sample consisting of 24 individual samples collected at hourly intervals and each having an equivalent volume.
cond.	Means conductivity
environment	Has the same meaning as in the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997
environment protection legislation	Has the same meaning as in the Protection of the Environment Administration Act 1991
EPA	Means Environment Protection Authority of New South Wales.
fee-based activity classification	Means the numbered short descriptions in Schedule 1 of the Protection of the Environment Operations (General) Regulation 1998.
flow weighted composite sample	Means a sample whose composites are sized in proportion to the flow at each composites time of collection.
grab sample	Means a single sample taken at a point at a single time
hazardous waste	Has the same meaning as in Part 3 of Schedule 1 of the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997
industrial waste	Has the same meaning as in Part 3 of Schedule 1 of the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997
inert waste	Has the same meaning as in Part 3 of Schedule 1 of the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997
licensee	Means the licence holder described at the front of this licence
load calculation protocol	Has the same meaning as in the Protection of the Environment Operations (General) Regulation 1998
local authority	Has the same meaning as in the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997
material harm	Has the same meaning as in section 147 Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997
MBAS	Means methylene blue active substances
Minister	Means the Minister administering the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997
mobile plant	Has the same meaning as in Part 3 of Schedule 1 of the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997
motor vehicle	Has the same meaning as in the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997
O&G	Means oil and grease
percentile [in relation to a concentration limit of a sample]	Means that percentage [eg.50%] of the number of samples taken that must meet the concentration limit specified in the licence for that pollutant over a specified period of time. In this licence, the specified period of time is the Reporting Period unless otherwise stated in this licence.

plant	Includes all plant within the meaning of the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 as well as motor vehicles.
pollution of waters [or water pollution]	Has the same meaning as in the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997
premises	Means the premises described in condition A2.1
public authority	Has the same meaning as in the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997
regional office	Means the relevant EPA office referred to in the Contacting the EPA document accompanying this licence
reporting period	For the purposes of this licence, the reporting period means the period of 12 months after the issue of the licence, and each subsequent period of 12 months. In the case of a licence continued in force by the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997, the date of issue of the licence is the first anniversary of the date of issue or last renewal of the licence following the commencement of the Act.
reprocessing of waste	Has the same meaning as in Part 3 of Schedule 1 of the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997
scheduled activity	Means an activity listed in Schedule 1 of the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997
solid waste	Has the same meaning as in Part 3 of Schedule 1 of the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997
TM	Together with a number, means a test method of that number prescribed by the *Approved Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Air Pollutants in New South Wales*.
treatment of waste	Has the same meaning as in Part 3 of Schedule 1 of the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997
TSP	Means total suspended particles
TSS	Means total suspended solids
Type 1 substance	Means the elements antimony, arsenic, cadmium, lead or mercury or any compound containing one or more of those elements
Type 2 substance	Means the elements beryllium, chromium, cobalt, manganese, nickel, selenium, tin or vanadium or any compound containing one or more of those elements
utilisation area	Means any area shown as a utilisation area on a map submitted with the application for this licence
waste	Has the same meaning as in the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997
waste code	Means the waste codes listed in Appendix 5 of the EPA document A Guide to Licensing Part B.
waste type	Means Group A, Group B, Group C, inert, solid, industrial or hazardous waste

Mr Robert O'Hern

Environment Protection Authority

Environment Protection Licence

Licence - 12655

(By Delegation)

Date of this edition - 30-Oct-2007

End Notes

1	Licence varied by notice 1079571, issued on 30-Oct-2007, which came into effect on 30-Oct-2007.

APPENDIX D
Council Records

REGIONAL COUNCIL
Tamworth

ABN: 52 631 074 450

More than just a city. More than just one place

51567/2011

Tamworth Skip-Eze
PO Box 42
KOOTINGAL NSW 2352

Dear Sir/Madam

Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979
NOTICE TO APPLICANT OF DETERMINATION OF A DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION

Pursuant to Section 81(1)(a) of the Act, notice is hereby given of the determination by Council of the following development application.

Development Application No.	DA0397/2011
Subject Land:	Lot 23, DP 260129, Dampier Street, TAMINDA
Description:	Change of Use (Storage depot for skip bins)

In the determination of this application Council considered all matters listed under Section 79C of the Act. The development application has been determined by the **granting of consent subject to the conditions** listed below.

General

1) All proposed building, site works or property improvement indicated on the submitted plans or otherwise required under the terms of this consent shall be completed prior to occupation of the premises to ensure compliance with the provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979.

2) To confirm and clarify the terms of Council's approval, and as provided for by Section 95 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, the Storage Depot may be operated for the period 12 April 2011 to 12 April 2013.

Continued Operations

3) Skip Bins are to be emptied prior to return to the site. In the rare event that the return time is outside Landfill operating hours, the Bin shall be covered to prevent spillage or disturbance and shall be emptied at the earliest opportunity.

4) In the event of inundation of the site by floodwaters, skip bins shall be removed from the site, where time is available to do so.

The conditions have been imposed in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act and Council's adopted policies.

This approval became effective on 12 April 2011 (Section 83 of the Act) and will lapse unless the proposed development is commenced within five (5) years of the approval date (Section 95 of the Act).

Under the provisions of Section 97AA of the Act you may (within six (6) months from the date of this development consent) appeal to the Land and Environment Court against conditions imposed should you feel they are unreasonable.

All conditions imposed by Council shall be observed as non-compliance is an offence under the Act.

Yours faithfully

Catherine Pyne
Team Leader Development Assessment

Contact: (02) 6767 5488

Reference: CP/DA0397/2011

12 April 2011

Determination issued in accordance with "Delegation of Functions" issued on: 1.12.2008

Tamworth Regional Council

SCALE 1 : 2,640

20 0 20 40 60

METERS

N

All maps on this site have been produced by the Geographic Information Section of Tamworth RegionalCouncil using information captured by Tamworth Regional Council. Tamworth Regional Council accepts no responsibility both in contract or tort (and particularly in negligence) for any errors omissions or inaccuracies whatsoever contained within or arising from these maps. All maps cannot be reproduced without the prior written consent of Tamworth Regional Council.

REGIONAL COUNCIL Tamworth

Tuesday, 29 March 2011 12:32 PM

Tamworth Regional Council

SCALE 1 : 2,629

20 0 20 40 60

METERS

N

All maps on this site have been produced by the Geographic Information Section of Tamworth RegionalCouncil using information captured by Tamworth Regional Council. Tamworth Regional Council accepts no responsibility both in contract or tort (and particularly in negligence) for any errors omissions or inaccuracies whatsoever contained within or arising from these maps. All maps cannot be reproduced without the prior written consent of Tamworth Regional Council.

REGIONAL COUNCIL Tamworth

Tuesday, 29 March 2011 12:29 PM

Tamworth Regional Council

437 Peel Street, Tamworth NSW 2340
Telephone:- (02) 6755 4555
Facsimile:- (02) 6755 4499
Email:- trc@tamworth.nsw.gov.au
ABN 52 631 074 450

29 October 2004

7754/2004

FILE COPY

Kidman Trust P/L
41 North Street
EAST TAMWORTH NSW 2340

Dear Sir/Madam

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION NO. MOD0024/2005 FOR GARAGE- HAYSHED ON LOT 23 DP 260129.

I refer to the subject development application and advise that Council has completed its assessment of the application to modify the development in the following manner:-

An increase in the overall dimensions and the site location of the shed

Pursuant to Section 96 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Council has approved the amended consent. In this regard, conditions of the development consent remain unchanged from the original determination (copy attached).

Please note that this approval remains effective from the original date of consent, .

Section 96 confers on an applicant who is dissatisfied with this determination a right of appeal to the Land and Environment Court. This appeal provision is pursuant to Pt. 15 Rule 3 of the Land and Environment Court Rules and is exercisable within sixty (60) days of the receipt of this notice.

Yours faithfully

Stuart Stevens
For and on behalf of
David Lewis
Development and Approvals Manager

For further information please contact:-

Stuart Stevens
Telephone:- (02) 6755 4539
Email:- s.stevens@tamworth.nsw.gov.au

Please address all correspondence to:-

The Acting General Manager
Tamworth Regional Council
PO Box 555, Tamworth NSW 2340
OR DX 6125

Please Quote:- MOD0024/2005

Correspondence issued in accordance with "Delegation of Functions" issued on: 11.08.04

NEW SOUTH WALES

CERTIFICATE OF TITLE

REAL PROPERTY ACT, 1900

TORRENS TITLE
Register

Vol. 14171 Fol. 21

EDITION 2

28 7

Crown Grant Vol. 4795 Fol.165

Prior Title Vol.14087 Fol. 61

I certify that the person described in the First Schedule is the registered proprietor of the undermentioned estate in the land within descr
nevertheless to such exceptions encumbrances and interests as are shown in the Second Schedule.

Registrar General.

PLAN SHOWING LOCATION OF LAND

LENGTHS ARE IN METRES

CITY COUNCIL
This is the Plan referred to in section 12 Instrument Application No. 454/04
13/02/04

27mtrs From Boundary
12mtrs to edge of Building
27mtrs
New location
18 Mtrs.
30

WALLAMORE ROAD (20.115 WIDE)
KINGSFORD SMITH STREET (23.45 WIDE)
DAMPIER STREET (20.115 WIDE)
WESTDALE ROAD (23.45 WIDE)

SCHEDULE OF REFERENCE MARKS.				
a	0°	00'	0.046	G.I. PIPE FOUND
b	0°	00'	0.05	G.I. PIPE
c	0°	00'	0.05	G.I. PIPE
d	0°	00'	0.05	G.I. PIPE
e	270°	00'	0.05	G.I. PIPE
f	270°	00'	0.05	G.I. PIPE
g	180°	00'	0.05	P.M. CONC. BLOCK.
h	180°	00'	0.05	G.I. PIPE
i	180°	00'	0.05	P.M. CONC. BLOCK.
j	0°	00'	0.05	G.I. PIPE
k	179°	44'	1.098	G.I. PIPE FOUND.

* EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 1.9 WIDE
* ROAD WIDENING 6 WIDE AND VARIABLE
X ROAD WIDENING 3.6 WIDE
E EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 WIDE (R. 261250).

ESTATE AND LAND REFERRED TO



ROOF PLAN



TYP. END WALL ELEVATION



TYP. SIDE WALL ELEVA JN

PROPOSED BUILDING FOR

LOT 23 DAMPIER STREET.
TAMWORTH.
A/C KIPMAN TRUST



SH. 1 OF 3

NEW SOUTH WALES

CERTIFICATE OF TITLE

REAL PROPERTY ACT, 1900

TORRENS TITLE
Register

Vol. 14171 Fol. 21

EDITION 2

28 7

Crown Grant Vol. 4795 Fol.165

Prior Title Vol.14087 Fol. 61

I certify that the person described in the First Schedule is the registered proprietor of the undermentioned estate in the land within descr
nevertheless to such exceptions encumbrances and interests as are shown in the Second Schedule.

Registrar General.

PLAN SHOWING LOCATION OF LAND

LENGTHS ARE IN METRES

CITY COUNCIL
This is the Plan referred to in section 12 Instrument Application No. 454/04
13/02/04

27mtrs From Boundary
12mtrs to edge of Building
27mtrs
New location
18 Mtrs.
30

WALLAMORE ROAD (20.115 WIDE)
KINGSFORD SMITH STREET (23.45 WIDE)
DAMPIER STREET (20.115 WIDE)
WESTDALE ROAD (23.45 WIDE)

SCHEDULE OF REFERENCE MARKS.				
a	0°	00'	0.046	G.I. PIPE FOUND
b	0°	00'	0.05	G.I. PIPE
c	0°	00'	0.05	G.I. PIPE
d	0°	00'	0.05	G.I. PIPE
e	270°	00'	0.05	G.I. PIPE
f	270°	00'	0.05	G.I. PIPE
g	180°	00'	0.05	P.M. CONC. BLOCK.
h	180°	00'	0.05	G.I. PIPE
i	180°	00'	0.05	P.M. CONC. BLOCK.
j	0°	00'	0.05	G.I. PIPE
k	179°	44'	1.098	G.I. PIPE FOUND.

* EASEMENT TO DRAIN WATER 1.9 WIDE
* ROAD WIDENING 6 WIDE AND VARIABLE
X ROAD WIDENING 3.6 WIDE
E EASEMENT TO DRAIN SEWAGE 6 WIDE (R. 261250).

ESTATE AND LAND REFERRED TO

Construction Certificate

FORM 11

issued under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979
Section 109c(1)(c) & 109H

ABN 93 752 522 800

Construction Certificate No. CC0454/2004
Development Consent No. DA 0530/2004
Officer Responsible: Stuart Stevens

FILE COPY

Applicant's Details

Name: Kidman Trust P/L
41 North Street
EAST TAMWORTH NSW 2340

Reference: n/a

FREP
DOC 8/9/2004
FOLIO
GIS

Property Identification

Legal Description Lot 23 DP 260129

Property Address Dampier Street TAMINDA NSW 2340

Classification & Description of Development

Description: Garage- hayshed

Class: 10a,

Determination

This application has been determined by granting approval on: 13 February 2004
This construction certificate will expire at the same time as the development consent relied on.

Attachments

- Schedule of essential fire safety measures (if applicable)
- Conditions required to be attached by the Regulations

Approved Plans and Specifications Relied on

- Plans and specifications by Barnson P/L

Certificate

The Tamworth City Council certifies that the work:, if completed in accordance with these plans and specifications, will comply with the requirements of s81A(5) of the *Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979.*

..... 13/2/04.

Stuart Stevens **Date**
Graduate Development & Building Officer

Note: Prior to commencement of any work, you must appoint a Principal Certifying Authority (PCA). The PCA may be either an Accredited Certifier or Council. The PCA will issue Compliance Certificates in respect of inspections, processes and products required by the Development Consent and if required, an Occupation Certificate (or interim Occupation Certificate). You must advise Council of the appointment of a PCA by submitting a FORM 7 application a minimum of 2 days before work commences.

"Authority to sign" 26/8/03

Tamworth City Council

437 PEEL STREET
TAMWORTH NSW 2340

TELEPHONE: (02) 67554555

P O BOX 555 TAMWORTH 2340
DX 6125 FAX (02) 67554464
Email envserv@tamworth.nsw.gov.au

ABN 93 752 522 800

In reply please quote:
DA0530/2004

If telephoning ask for:
Development Services

Please address all correspondence to the General Manager

09 February 2004

Kidman Trust P/L
41 North Street
EAST TAMWORTH NSW 2340

FILE COPY
PREP
DOC 7804/2004
FOLIO
PROP
C/R

Dear Sir/Madam

Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979
NOTICE TO APPLICANT OF DETERMINATION OF A DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION

Pursuant to Section 81(1)(a) of the Act, notice is hereby given of the determination by Council of the following development application.

Development Application No. DA0530/2004

Subject Land: Lot 23 DP 260129, Dampier Street TAMINDA NSW 2340

Building Classification: 10a

Description: Hayshed

In the determination of this application Council considered all matters listed under Section 79C of the Act. The development application has been determined by the **granting of consent subject to the conditions** listed below.

Prior to Work Commencing

1) Appropriate measures are to be implemented in relation to erosion and sediment control prior to work commencing. Such measures are to be maintained during the construction of the development.

2) A sign is to be erected in a prominent position on any work site on which work involved in the erection or demolition of a building is being carried out stating that unauthorised entry to the work site is prohibited and showing the name of the person in charge of the work site and a telephone number at which that person may be contacted outside working hours. The sign is to be removed when the work has been completed. This condition does not apply to building work carried on inside an existing building, or building work carried out on premises that are to be occupied continuously (both during and outside working hours) while the work is carried out.

3) Toilet facilities are to be provided, prior to the commencement of work, at or in the vicinity of the work site on which work involved in the erection or demolition of a building is being carried out. Facilities are to be provided at the rate of one toilet for every 20 persons or part of 20 persons employed at the site. Each toilet provided must be a standard flushing toilet, and must be connected to a public sewer. If connection to a public sewer is not practicable, then the toilet is to be connected to an accredited sewage management facility approved by the council. If connection to a public sewer or an accredited sewage management facility is not practicable, then connection to some other sewage management facility approved by the council is required.

General

4) All building work must be carried out in accordance with the provisions of the Building Code of Australia.

5) All proposed building, site works or property improvement indicated on the submitted plans or otherwise required under the terms of this consent shall be completed prior to occupation of the premises to ensure compliance with the provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979.

6) Work on the project shall be limited to the following hours to prevent unreasonable disturbance to the amenity of the area:- ***Monday to Friday - 7.00am to 5.00pm; Saturday - 8.00am to 1.00pm if audible on other residential premises, otherwise 7.00am to 5.00pm; No work to be carried out on Sunday or Public Holidays if it is audible on other residential premises.*** The builder shall be responsible to instruct and control his sub-contractors regarding the hours of work. Council will exercise its powers under the Protection of the Environment Operations Act, 1997, in the event that the building operations cause noise to emanate from the property on Sundays or Public Holidays or otherwise than between the hours detailed above.

Inspections

7) ***Inspection*** - When building works have reached the following stages an inspection is to be arranged by contacting Council's Customer Service Centre by phoning 67554 555 (or by Facsimile 6755 4499) by 4:00pm the day before the inspection is required.
Please advise Council if the work will not be ready at the appointed time. Failure to do so may incur an additional inspection fee of $50.

(i) Formwork for concrete slabs with reinforcement in position, prior to concrete being poured;
(ii) Final inspection of the development (prior to occupation, where applicable);

During Construction

8) The footpath and/or road reserve are not to be used for construction purposes or placing of building materials (without Council's prior consent) to ensure safe and unobstructed access for

pedestrians. Where necessary, application may be made by contacting Council's Development & Approvals Division.

9) Roofwaters are to be disposed of by piping to the street gutter to control the flow of roofwaters so as to prevent damage to the building's footings and to restrict the likelihood of stormwater nuisance to adjoining landholders.

The conditions have been imposed in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act and Council's adopted policies.

This approval became effective on 09 February 2004 (Section 83 of the Act) and will lapse unless the proposed development is commenced within five (5) years of the approval date (Section 95 of the Act).

Under the provisions of Section 97 of the Act you may (within twelve [12] months of receipt of this notice) appeal to the Land and Environment Court against conditions imposed should you feel they are unreasonable.

All conditions imposed by Council shall be observed as non-compliance is an offence under the Act.

Please address any enquiries concerning this consent to Stuart Stevens of Council's Development & Approvals Division on (02) 6755 4537.

Yours faithfully

Stuart Stevens
Graduate Development & Building Officer

Determination issued in accordance with "Delegation of Functions" issued on: _____

REGIONAL COUNCIL
Tamworth

ABN: 52 631 074 450

More than just a city. More than just one place.

134581/2015

Boresch Project Services
PO Box 1561
TAMWORTH NSW 2340

FILE COPY

Dear Madam

Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979
NOTICE TO APPLICANT OF DETERMINATION OF A DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION

Pursuant to Section 81(1)(a) of the Act, notice is hereby given of the determination by Council of the following development application.

Development Application No.	DA0525/2015
Subject Land:	Lot 23 DP 260129, 55 Dampier Street, TAMINDA
Description:	Use of Part of the Land for the Storage of Shipping Containers and Demountable Buildings
Applicant's Reference:	2015-042

In the determination of this application Council considered all matters listed under Section 79C of the Act. The development application has been determined by the **granting of consent subject to the conditions** listed below.

General

1) The development must be carried out in accordance with the Development Application and accompanying plans, drawings and other documents as amended by conditions of this consent. Any amendment to the development or to these conditions will require the consent of the Council.

2) To confirm and clarify Council's terms of approval, this consent provides **only** for the storage of shipping containers and demountable buildings on the land. It does not allow for activities such as the:

   a) Retail sale of shipping containers, demountable buildings or other structures;
   b) Inspection of shipping containers or demountable buildings stored onsite by customers;
   c) Display of shipping containers or demountable buildings for sale;
   d) Manufacture of structures or products made from shipping containers or demountable buildings;
   e) Alterations to shipping containers or demountable buildings; and
   f) Washing of shipping containers or demountable buildings stored onsite.

3) The storage of shipping containers and a demountable building is restricted to the area of land defined as Lease Area 1 (2226m^2) and Lease Area 2 (900m^2) as illustrated on the stamped approved plans.

4) The access handle outlined with red pen on the stamped approved plans is not permitted to be used for the storage of shipping containers and/or demountable buildings.

5) No temporary or permanent structures are permitted to be constructed onsite including a site office, amenities, workshop or other associated building. In this regard, all enquiries shall be taken, and all sales made off- site.

6) To protect the integrity of the sewer main traversing the site, no shipping containers or demountable buildings shall be stored within:

   a) The 6 metre wide sewer easement; and

   b) A distance of less than 6 metres from the existing sewer manhole.

7) This consent provides for the installation of a one (1) business identification sign displayed on the fence. A business identification sign is defined by the Tamworth Regional Local Environmental Plan 2010 as follows:

   *"**building identification sign** means a sign that identifies or names a building and that may include the name of a building, the street name and number of a building, and a logo or other symbol but does not include general advertising of products, goods or services."*

8) Any external lighting must be designed to comply with Australian Standard AS4282 Control of Offensive Effects of Outdoor Lighting

Ongoing Requirements

9) Vehicles shall be loaded or unloaded standing wholly within the allotment to ensure that the proposed development does not give rise to street loading or unloading operations with consequent accident potential and reduction in road efficiency. Under no circumstances are vehicles to be loaded or unloaded at the kerb side, or across the public footpath.

10) All vehicular movement to and from the site shall be in a forward direction to ensure that the proposed development does not give rise to vehicle reversing movements on or off the Public Road with consequent traffic accident potential and reduction in road efficiency.

11) Dust control measures shall be in place to prevent dust from vehicles using the unsealed access affecting the amenity of the immediate area. The landowner is responsible for monitoring the dust and implementing controls as needed.

The conditions have been imposed in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act and Council's adopted policies.

This approval became effective on Friday, 7 August 2015 (Section 83 of the Act) and will lapse unless the proposed development is commenced within five (5) years of the approval date (Section 95 of the Act).

Under the provisions of Section 97 of the Act you may (within six (6) months from the date of this development consent) appeal to the Land and Environment Court against conditions imposed should you feel they are unreasonable.

All conditions imposed by Council shall be observed as non-compliance is an offence under the Act.

Council is committed to a process of continual improvement. You are invited to arrange a post lodgement meeting with the Manager Development Approvals should you wish to discuss your experience with this development application. Alternately, you may send written correspondence to Council by post or email. Contact details are provided on the front page of this approval.

Yours faithfully

David Lewis
Manger, Development Approvals

Contact: Mitch Gillogly (02) 6767 5462 or m.gillogly@tamworth.nsw.gov.au

Reference: LW/mg/DA0525/2015

7 August 2015

PLAN FOR ANNEXURE TO LEASE

ANNEXURE "A"

SITE PLAN



FILE COPY

Restriction on the placement of shipping containers & demountable buildings.

LEASE AREAS
(Detail)



Revised Site Plan

55 Dampier Street

Lot 23 DP 260129



Legend

- Access
- GeoSearch
- Boundary
- Shiping Containers/Demountables
- Dimensions
- Lease Area 1
- Easements
- Lease Area 2

Google Hybrid

N

0 50 100 m

Prepared By: Rebecca Boresch
Date: 05 August 2015
File Ref: 2015-042

boresch PROJECT SERVICES

APPENDIX E
SafeWork NSW Records

NSW GOVERNMENT | SafeWork NSW

Locked Bag 2906, Lisarow NSW 2252
Customer Experience 13 10 50
ABN 81 913 830 179 | www.safework.nsw.gov.au

Our Ref: D18/220123

9 November 2018

EI Australia
David Rizkalla
Suite 6.01
55 Miller St
Pyrmont NSW 2009

Dear Mr Rizkalla

RE SITE: 55 Dampier and 72 Wallamore Rd, Taminda NSW 2340

I refer to your site search request received by SafeWork NSW on 1 November 2018 requesting information on Storage of Hazardous Chemicals for the above site.

A search of the records held by SafeWork NSW has not located any records pertaining to the above-mentioned premises.

For further information or if you have any questions, please call us on 13 10 50 or email licensing@safework.nsw.gov.au

Yours sincerely

Customer Service Officer
Customer Experience - Operations
SafeWork NSW

APPENDIX F
Sanko (2019) Investigation Report

Excavation Environmental & Civil Services P/L • Environmental and Geotechnical Engineering

Ph: 0407 434 604
A.B.N: 65 113 418 223 A.C.N. 113 418 223
Email: seecservices@hotmail.com

FIELD ASSESSMENT AND DATA COLLECTION FOR PRELIMINARY STAGE II ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

PROPOSED REZONING

55 DAMPIER ST AND 21 & 72 WALLAMORE RD TAMINDA, NSW

Lots 2 & 3 DP 1234850

19/09/2019

Excavation Environmental & Civil Services P/L • Environmental and Geotechnical Engineering

Ph: 0407 434 604
A.B.N: 65 113 418 223 A.C.N. 113 418 223
Email: seecservices@hotmail.com

19/09/2019

Document - E19 026_A_DampierStTaminda_FW_PS2.Rpt

FIELD ASSESSMENT FOR PRELIMINARY
STAGE II ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT
PROPOSED RE-ZONING

55 DAMPIER ST AND
21 & 72 WALLAMORE RD
TAMINDA, NSW
Lots 2 & 3 DP 1234850

1 Introduction

This document presents the Field Assessment Findings and Data Summary for a Preliminary Stage II Environmental Site Assessment (S2ESA) at the above-mentioned site.

Refer to the Preliminary (Stage I) Environmental Site Assessment (S1ESA) completed by EI Australia for detailed site information and data that dictates the sampling numbers. It was proposed for Sanko to collect the Field Data and EI Australia to compile the final report in line with the S1ESA.

1.1 Field Observations

The following field observations were made on the day of sample collection:

- The northern portion of the site comprised vacant farmland that was being used for cattle agistment at the time of assessment;

- The south eastern portion of the site was covered by clean fill (as previously assessed) and was being used for storage purposes;

- The southern portion of the site contained some minor areas of imported fill;

- The south western portion of the site was being used for agricultural purposes and some storage of equipment such as cattle feeders and grain silos;

- Visual or olfactory evidence of hydrocarbons, hydrogen sulphide odours, ash, slag, fibrous cement material or anthropogenic material was NOT observed at the time of assessment.

1.2 Soil Sampling Methodology

Test pit locations were selected prior to commencement of excavation based on information obtained from the referenced S1ESA and stipulated by EI Australia. All test pit locations are shown on the attached Figure 1.

All test pits were excavated using a 1.2t excavator equipped with a toothed bucket to termination depths ranging from 1.0m to 1.8m.

Soil samples were taken from various depths in TP1 to TP12. The depth at which the soil samples were taken and tested was dictated by changes in soil characteristics and profiles and other such factors as odour and colour.

Collected samples were submitted for testing as per Table 1 below and the attached Chain of Custody.

All sampling was undertaken in accordance with all relevant Australian Standards, including *AS 1726 – 1993 – Geotechnical Site Investigations*, *NSW EPA Sample Design Guidelines* with reference to the CLM Act, NEPM (2013) Schedules and associated guidelines as detailed in the reference list. All laboratories used were NATA Certified.

1.3 QA/QC

New neoprene gloves were worn during sampling and replaced prior to collection of each sample directly from the excavator's bucket. All collected samples were placed in laboratory supplied glass with Teflon coated lids. Decontamination of sampling equipment was carried out with Decon 90 and clean water. Samples were then placed on ice and transported to a fridge at our premises prior to dispatch to the laboratory.

Field screening involved visual observation to determine if the material was imported uncontrolled fill or natural soil material.

A Chain of Custody form was prepared and accompanied samples to the laboratory. Laboratory QA/QC procedures are detailed in the attached laboratory testing results.

All Laboratory QA/QC documentation is supplied by the laboratory in Envirolabs Laboratory Test Results. Field Assessment QA/QC comprised the collection of 2X Duplicate Samples and 1X Field Wash Blank.

1.4 Sample Register

The following table, Table 1 detail the collected soil samples and the samples submitted to the laboratory for analysis.

TABLE 1 –SAMPLE REGISTER

Location	Depth (m b.g.l)	Material	Testing Requested
TP1	0.0	Topsoil	Presence of Asbestos
TP2	0.0	Topsoil	Presence of Asbestos
TP3	0.1	Topsoil	Presence of Asbestos
TP5	0.0	Topsoil	Presence of Asbestos
TP7	0.1	Topsoil	Presence of Asbestos
TP11	0.0	Topsoil	Presence of Asbestos
TP12	0.0	Topsoil	Presence of Asbestos
TP1	0.2	Topsoil	9 Combo Suite
TP1	1.2	Alluvium	9 Combo Suite
TP2 + DUP1	0.2	Fill	9 Combo Suite
TP2	0.9	Alluvium	9 Combo Suite
TP3	0.3	Topsoil	-
TP3	1.0	Alluvium	9 Combo Suite
TP4	0.3	Topsoil	9 Combo Suite
TP4	0.9	Alluvium	9 Combo Suite
TP5 + DUP2	0.3	Topsoil	9 Combo Suite
TP5	0.8	Alluvium	-
TP6	0.6	Topsoil	9 Combo Suite
TP6	1.5	Alluvium	9 Combo Suite
TP7	0.6	Topsoil	9 Combo Suite
TP7	1.3	Alluvium	-
TP8	0.2	Topsoil	9 Combo Suite
TP8	0.6	Alluvium	9 Combo Suite
TP8	1.0	Alluvium	-
TP9	0.1	Topsoil	9 Combo Suite
TP9	0.6	Alluvium	-
TP9	1.6	Alluvium	9 Combo Suite
TP10	0.1	Topsoil	-
TP10	0.4	Alluvium	9 Combo Suite
TP11	0.2	Topsoil	9 Combo Suite
TP11	0.7	Alluvium	9 Combo Suite
TP12	0.0	Topsoil	9 Combo Suite
TP12	0.7	Alluvium	-
TP12	1.2	Alluvium	9 Combo Suite
WASH BLANK	-	-	9 Combo Suite

9 Combo Suite Comprises the following analytical suite:

TRH / BTEX / PAH / OC/OP / PCB / HM8 / pH / CEC / Salinity

2 Summary of Soil Investigation Methodology

Activity/Item	Details
Fieldwork	The field work was conducted on **09 September 2019**. All test pits **(TP1 to TP12)** were completed to natural soil.
Drilling Method and Investigation Depth	Test pits were completed using a **1.2t excavator equipped with toothed bucked.** Final test pits depths ranged between **[1.0 to 1.8] m BGL.**
Soil Logging	Soils were classified in the field with respect to lithological characteristics and evaluated on a qualitative basis for odour and visual signs of contamination. Soil classifications and descriptions were based on the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) and Australian Standard (AS) 4482.1-2005.
Field Observations (including visual and olfactory signs of potential contamination)	**The following olfactory evidence was NOT noted;** • **petroleum hydrocarbons** • **acid sulfate soils (hydrogen sulfide odour)** **The following visual evidence was NOT noted;** • **ash, slag or FCS; or** • **anthropogenic inclusions in soils**
Soil Sampling	Soil samples were collected by dry grab method (using unused, dedicated nitrile gloves) and placed into laboratory-supplied, acid-washed, solvent-rinsed glass jars; Blind / split field QC duplicates were separated from the primary samples and placed into glass jars; **7X Surface and Topsoil samples (up to 150g) was placed in a separate zip-lock bag for asbestos presence/absence analysis.** **1X Wash Blank Sample was collected**
Soil Vapour Screening	**PID Screening was NOT completed at the time of assessment due to the deemed low rick of hydrocarbon contamination**
Decontamination Procedures	Test Pit Equipment – The excavator bucket used was decontaminated between sampling locations until bucket was free of all residual material. Sampling Equipment – Nitrile gloves were dedicated to each sample (i.e. used gloves were discarded before collecting each new sample).
Sample Preservation	Samples were stored in a chilled chest (with frozen ice packs), whilst on-site and in transit to the laboratory. All samples were submitted and analysed within the required holding period.
Management of Soil Cuttings	**Soil excavation spoil used as backfill for completed test pits.**
Quality Control and Laboratory Analysis	The soil samples were submitted to **ENVIROLAB SYDNEY** for analysis of the previously-identified COPC. QA/QC testing comprised intra-laboratory ('blind field') duplicates tested by **ENVIROLAB** samples were transported under strict COC conditions

3 ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

It is the nature of contaminated site investigations that the degree of variability in site conditions cannot be known completely and no sampling and analysis program can eliminate all uncertainty concerning the condition of the site. Professional judgement must be exercised in the collection and interpretation of the data.

In preparing this assessment, current guidelines for assessment and management of contaminated land were followed. This work has been conducted in good faith in accordance with Sanko's understanding of the client's brief and general accepted practice for environmental consulting.

This assessment was prepared with the objective of providing guidance on the remediation and validation activities to be undertaken IF REQUIRED. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the information and professional advice included in this report. Anyone using this document does so at their own risk and should satisfy themselves concerning the applicability of its application and where necessary should seek expert advice in relation to the particular situation.

If you have any further questions about this report, please contact the undersigned.

For and on behalf of
Sanko Excavation Environmental and Civil Services P/L

Damien Sankowsky *BE(Env)*
Principal Environmental Engineer
Australian Geomechanics Society (AGS) Member – EA ID 5879317

Attachments:

- *Report Limitations*
- *Site Photographs 1 – 8*
- *Figure 1 – Existing Site and Test pit Location Plan*
- *Log Explanation Sheets*
- *Engineering Test Pit Logs TP1 to TP12*
- *Chain of Custody Form*

References:

- *NEPC National Environmental Protection Measures NEPM (2013)*
- *Guidelines for Consultants Reporting on Contaminated Sites (OEH 2011)*
- *NSW EPA Sampling Design Guidelines (1995)*
- *NSW EPA Waste Classification Guideline, Part 1: Classifying Waste*
- *NSW EPA Guidelines for the NSW Site Auditor Scheme (2nd edition)*
- *Protection of the Environment Operations (Waste) Regulation 2005 – General Exemption Under Part 6, Clause 51 and 51A - The excavated natural material exemption 2012*
- *Guidelines for the Assessment and Management of Groundwater Contamination (DECC 2007)*
- *Guidelines on the Duty to Report Contamination under the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997 (DECC 2009)*
- *Site Validation Reporting (DECCW 2010b)*
- *The Requirements of the Guidelines for the NSW Site Auditor Scheme (DEC 2006)*
- *AS4629;2004 "Method for the Qualitative Identification of Asbestos in Bulk Samples"*
- *EI Australia – Preliminary Site Assessment – E24043 E01-Rev2 dated 19 August 2019*
- *Envirolab Laboratory Testing Results – Report Number 226180*

Excavation Environmental & Civil Services P/L • Environmental and Geotechnical Engineering

REPORT LIMITATIONS

Sanko Excavation Environmental and Civil Service Pty Ltd have undertaken a site assessment in accordance with current industry and professional standards. The scope of works were limited to that as set out in the proposal as refered to in this investigation. This report is based upon limited site investigation and subsurface sampling and laboratory testing of samples as set out in the forementioned proposal. Report findings are based upon site conditions at the time of investigation and as such can not be relied upon for unqualified warranties or assume liablity for site conditions not observed and/or accessable during or at the time of investigation. The works are restricted to the site detailed in the report with no offsite investigations conducted. Despite all resaonable care and dilligance taken ground conditions encountered and contaminant concentrations may not represent conditions between sample locations. Site characteristics may also change subsequent to this investigation due to natural processes, chemical reactions, spilling or leaking of contaminants, change in water levels or dumping of fill. All observations and interpretation is made from a limited number of observation points assuming geological and chemical conditions are representative across the site. No other warranties are made or intended. Third parties should seek their own independent advice regarding report contents. This report has been prepared exclusively for the client as detailed on the report and remains the property of this company and the client and can not be reproduced without the written consent of the client as detailed on the report and can then only be reproduced in its entirety.

PHOTOGRAPH 1 – Excavator used for sample collection

PHOTOGRAPH 2 – Areas in southern portion of the site showing fill mounds

FIELD ASSESSMENT AND DATA COLLECTION

55 DAMPIER ST, TAMINDA **SEPT 2019**

PHOTOGRAPH 3 AND 4 – Material encountered in southern portion

FIELD ASSESSMENT AND DATA COLLECTION

55 DAMPIER ST, TAMINDA **SEPT 2019**

PHOTOGRAPH 5 AND 6 – Natural alluvial clays

FIELD ASSESSMENT AND DATA COLLECTION

55 DAMPIER ST, TAMINDA **SEPT 2019**

PHOTOGRAPH 7 – Typical natural soil profile

PHOTOGRAPH 8 – South eastern portion of the site with clean imported fill



FIGURE 1 – TEST PIT LOCATION PLAN

Approx. Extent of Imported Fill

FIELD ASSESSMENT AND DATA COLLECTION

55 DAMPIER ST, TAMINDA **SEPT 2019** **N**

DEFINITION:

In engineering terms soil includes every type of uncemented or partially cemented inorganic or organic material found in the ground. In practice, if the material can be remoulded or disintegrated by hand in its field condition or in water it is described as a soil. Other materials are described using rock description terms.

CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL & SOIL NAME

Soils are described in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification (UCS) as shown in the table on Sheet 2.

PARTICLE SIZE DESCRIPTIVE TERMS

NAME	SUBDIVISION	SIZE
Boulders		>200 mm
Cobbles		63 mm to 200 mm
Gravel	coarse	20 mm to 63 mm
	medium	6 mm to 20 mm
	fine	2.36 mm to 6 mm
Sand	coarse	600 µm to 2.36 mm
	medium	200 µm to 600 µm
	fine	75 µm to 200 µm

MOISTURE CONDITION

Dry Looks and feels dry. Cohesive and cemented soils are hard, friable or powdery. Uncemented granular soils run freely through hands.

Moist Soil feels cool and darkened in colour. Cohesive soils can be moulded. Granular soils tend to cohere.

Wet As for moist but with free water forming on hands when handled.

CONSISTENCY OF COHESIVE SOILS

TERM	UNDRAINED STRENGTH s_u (kPa)	FIELD GUIDE
Very Soft	<12	A finger can be pushed well into the soil with little effort.
Soft	12 - 25	A finger can be pushed into the soil to about 25mm depth.
Firm	25 - 50	The soil can be indented about 5mm with the thumb, but not penetrated.
Stiff	50 - 100	The surface of the soil can be indented with the thumb, but not penetrated.
Very Stiff	100 - 200	The surface of the soil can be marked, but not indented with thumb pressure.
Hard	>200	The surface of the soil can be marked only with the thumbnail.
Friable	–	Crumbles or powders when scraped by thumbnail.

DENSITY OF GRANULAR SOILS

TERM	DENSITY INDEX (%)
Very loose	Less than 15
Loose	15 - 35
Medium Dense	35 - 65
Dense	65 - 85
Very Dense	Greater than 85

MINOR COMPONENTS

TERM	ASSESSMENT GUIDE	PROPORTION OF MINOR COMPONENT IN:
Trace of	Presence just detectable by feel or eye, but soil properties little or no different to general properties of primary component.	Coarse grained soils: <5% Fine grained soils: <15%
With some	Presence easily detected by feel or eye, soil properties little different to general properties of primary component.	Coarse grained soils: 5 - 12% Fine grained soils: 15 - 30%

SOIL STRUCTURE

ZONING		CEMENTING	
Layers	Continuous across exposure or sample.	Weakly cemented	Easily broken up by hand in air or water.
Lenses	Discontinuous layers of lenticular shape.	Moderately cemented	Effort is required to break up the soil by hand in air or water.
Pockets	Irregular inclusions of different material.		

GEOLOGICAL ORIGIN

WEATHERED IN PLACE SOILS

Extremely weathered material	Structure and fabric of parent rock visible.
Residual soil	Structure and fabric of parent rock not visible.

TRANSPORTED SOILS

Aeolian soil	Deposited by wind.
Alluvial soil	Deposited by streams and rivers.
Colluvial soil	Deposited on slopes (transported downslope by gravity).
Fill	Man made deposit. Fill may be significantly more variable between tested locations than naturally occurring soils.
Lacustrine soil	Deposited by lakes.
Marine soil	Deposited in ocean basins, bays, beaches and estuaries.

SOIL DESCRIPTION EXPLANATION SHEET 1/2

SOIL CLASSIFICATION INCLUDING IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION

FIELD IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES (Excluding particles larger than 60 mm and basing fractions on estimated mass)						USC	PRIMARY NAME
COARSE GRAINED SOILS More than 50% of materials less than 63 mm is larger than 0.075 mm	(A 0.075 mm particle is about the smallest particle visible to the naked eye)	GRAVELS More than half of coarse fraction is larger than 2.0 mm	CLEAN GRAVELS (Little or no fines)	Wide range in grain size and substantial amounts of all intermediate particle sizes.		GW	GRAVEL
				Predominantly one size or a range of sizes with more intermediate sizes missing.		GP	GRAVEL
			GRAVELS WITH FINES (Appreciable amount of fines)	Non-plastic fines (for identification procedures see ML below)		GM	SILTY GRAVEL
				Plastic fines (for identification procedures see CL below)		GC	CLAYEY GRAVEL
		SANDS More than half of coarse fraction is smaller than 2.0 mm	CLEAN SANDS (Little or no fines)	Wide range in grain sizes and substantial amounts of all intermediate sizes missing		SW	SAND
				Predominantly one size or a range of sizes with some intermediate sizes missing.		SP	SAND
			SANDS WITH FINES (Appreciable amount of fines)	Non-plastic fines (for identification procedures see ML below).		SM	SILTY SAND
				Plastic fines (for identification procedures see CL below).		SC	CLAYEY SAND
FINE GRAINED SOILS More than 50% of material less than 63 mm is smaller than 0.075 mm		IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES ON FRACTIONS <0.2 mm.					
		SILTS & CLAYS Liquid limit less than 50	DRY STRENGTH	DILATANCY	TOUGHNESS		
			None to Low	Quick to slow	None	ML	SILT
			Medium to High	None	Medium	CL	CLAY
			Low to medium	Slow to very slow	Low	OL	ORGANIC SILT
		SILTS & CLAYS Liquid limit greater than 50	Low to medium	Slow to very slow	Low to medium	MH	SILT
			High	None	High	CH	CLAY
			Medium to High	None	Low to medium	OH	ORGANIC CLAY
HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS		Readily identified by colour, odour, spongy feel and frequently by fibrous texture.				Pt	PEAT

• Low plasticity – Liquid Limit W_L less than 35%. • Modium plasticity – W_L between 35% and 50%.

COMMON DEFECTS IN SOIL

TERM	DEFINITION	DIAGRAM
PARTING	A surface or crack across which the soil has little or no tensile strength. Parallel or sub parallel to layering (eg bedding). May be open or closed.	
JOINT	A surface or crack across which the soil has little or no tensile strength but which is not parallel or sub parallel to layering. May be open or closed. The term 'fissure' may be used for irregular joints <0.2 m in length.	
SHEARED ZONE	Zone in clayey soil with roughly parallel near planar, curved or undulating boundaries containing closely spaced, smooth or slickensided, curved intersecting joints which divide the mass into lenticular or wedge shaped blocks.	
SHEARED SURFACE	A near planar curved or undulating, smooth, polished or slickensided surface in clayey soil. The polished or slickensided surface indicates that movement (in many cases very little) has occurred along the defect.	

TERM	DEFINITION	DIAGRAM
SOFTENED ZONE	A zone in clayey soil, usually adjacent to a defect in which the soil has a higher moisture content than elsewhere.	
TUBE	Tubular cavity. May occur singly or as one of a large number of separate or inter-connected tubes. Walls often coated with clay or strengthened by denser packing of grains. May contain organic matter	
TUBE CAST	Roughly cylindrical elongated body of soil different from the soil mass in which it occurs. In some cases the soil which makes up the tube cast is cemented.	
INFILLED SEAM	Sheet or wall like body of soil substance or mass with roughly planar to irregular near parallel boundaries which cuts through a soil mass. Formed by infilling of open joints.	

72810 / 07-06

SOIL DESCRIPTION EXPLANATION SHEET 2/2

Location: 55 Dampier St and 21 & 72 Wallamore Rd TAMINDA Date: 9/09/2019 **TP1**

Method: Excavator Logger: DS

Samples	Depth	Graphic	Class	Material	Moisture	Cons/Dens	Obs
	0.1		CL	**Silty CLAY:** low plasticity dark grey, low plas fines	<Wp	VSt	TOPSOIL
	0.2		CH	**CLAY:** medium to high plasticity, dark grey / brown, trace of fine sand			ALLUVIUM
	0.3						
	0.4						
	0.5						
	0.6						
	0.7			**CLAY:** medium to high plasticity, orange / brown,	>Wp	St	
	0.8						
	0.9						
	1.0						
	1.1						
	1.2						
	1.3						
	1.4			TERMINATED @ 1.3m			
	1.5						
	1.6						
	1.7						
	1.8						
	1.9						
	2.0						
	2.1						
	2.2						

Location: 55 Dampier St and 21 & 72 Wallamore Rd TAMINDA Date: 9/09/2019 **TP2**

Method: Excavator Logger: DS

Samples	Depth	Graphic	Class	Material	Moisture	Cons/Dens	Obs
	0.1		SC	**Clayey SAND:** fine grained, pale yellow, low plasticity fines	D	VD	FILL
DUP 1	0.2						
	0.3						
	0.4		CH	**CLAY:** medium to high plasticity, dark grey / brown, trace of fine sand	<Wp	VSt	ALLUVIUM
	0.5						
	0.6						
	0.7						
	0.8						
	0.9			**CLAY:** medium to high plasticity, orange / brown,	>Wp	St	
	1.0						
	1.1						
	1.2						
	1.3						
	1.4			TERMINATED @ 1.3m			
	1.5						
	1.6						
	1.7						
	1.8						
	1.9						
	2.0						
	2.1						
	2.2						

Location: 55 Dampier St and 21 & 72 Wallamore Rd TAMINDA Date: 9/09/2019 **TP3**

Method: Excavator Logger: DS

Samples	Depth	Graphic	Class	Material	Moisture	Cons/Dens	Obs
	0.1		CL	**Silty CLAY:** low plasticity dark grey, low plas fines	<Wp	VSt	TOPSOIL
	0.8		CH	**CLAY:** medium to high plasticity, dark grey / brown, trace of fine sand			ALLUVIUM
	1.2			**CLAY:** medium to high plasticity, orange / brown,	>Wp	St	
	2.2			TERMINATED @ 1.2m			

Sanko

Excavation Environmental & Civil Services P/L • Environmental and Geotechnical Engineering

Location: 55 Dampier St and 21 & 72 Wallamore Rd TAMINDA Date: 9/09/2019 **TP4**

Method: Excavator Logger: DS

Samples	Depth	Graphic	Class	Material	Moisture	Cons/Dens	Obs
	0.1		CL	**Silty CLAY:** low plasticity dark grey, low plas fines	<Wp	VSt	TOPSOIL
	0.3						
	0.4		CH	**CLAY:** medium to high plasticity, dark grey / brown, trace of fine sand			ALLUVIUM
	0.8						
	0.9			**CLAY:** medium to high plasticity, orange / brown,	>Wp	St	
	1.2						
	1.3			TERMINATED @ 1.2m			
	2.2						

Sanko

Excavation Environmental & Civil Services P/L • Environmental and Geotechnical Engineering

Location: 55 Dampier St and 21 & 72 Wallamore Rd TAMINDA Date: 9/09/2019 **TP5**

Method: Excavator Logger: DS

Samples	Depth	Graphic	Class	Material	Moisture	Cons/Dens	Obs
			CL	**Silty CLAY:** low plasticity dark grey, low plas fines	<Wp	VSt	TOPSOIL
	0.1						
	0.2						
DUP 2	0.3						
	0.4						
			CH	**CLAY:** medium to high plasticity, dark grey / brown, trace of fine sand			ALLUVIUM
	0.5						
	0.6						
	0.7						
				CLAY: medium to high plasticity, orange / brown,	>Wp	St	
	0.8						
	0.9						
	1.0						
				TERMINATED @ 1.0m			
	1.1						
	1.2						
	1.3						
	1.4						
	1.5						
	1.6						
	1.7						
	1.8						
	1.9						
	2.0						
	2.1						
	2.2						

Location: 55 Dampier St and 21 & 72 Wallamore Rd TAMINDA Date: 9/09/2019 **TP6**

Method: Excavator Logger: DS

Samples	Depth	Graphic	Class	Material	Moisture	Cons/Dens	Obs
	0.1		CL	**Silty CLAY:** low plasticity dark grey, low plas fines	<Wp	VSt	TOPSOIL
	0.3						
	0.4		CH	**CLAY:** medium to high plasticity, dark grey / brown, trace of fine sand			ALLUVIUM
	0.6						
	0.7						
	0.8			**CLAY:** medium to high plasticity, orange / brown,	>Wp	St	
	1.0						
	1.1						
	1.2						
	1.3						
	1.4						
	1.5						
	1.6						
	1.7						
	1.8			TERMINATED @ 1.8m			
	1.9						
	2.0						
	2.1						
	2.2						

Sanko

Excavation Environmental & Civil Services P/L • Environmental and Geotechnical Engineering

Location: 55 Dampier St and 21 & 72 Wallamore Rd TAMINDA Date: 9/09/2019 **TP7**

Method: Excavator Logger: DS

Samples	Depth	Graphic	Class	Material	Moisture	Cons/Dens	Obs
	0.1			Sandy GRAVEL; fine grained, orange, fine to med sand	D	VD	FILL
	0.2		CL	**Silty CLAY:** low plasticity dark grey, low plas fines	<Wp	VSt	TOPSOIL
	0.3						
	0.4		CH	**CLAY:** medium to high plasticity, dark grey / brown, trace of fine sand			ALLUVIUM
	0.5						
	0.6						
	0.7						
	0.8						
	0.9						
	1.0			**CLAY:** medium to high plasticity, orange / brown,	>Wp	St	
	1.1						
	1.2						
	1.3						
	1.4						
	1.5						
	1.6						
	1.7						
	1.8			TERMINATED @ 1.8m			
	1.9						
	2.0						
	2.1						
	2.2						

Sanko

Excavation Environmental & Civil Services P/L • Environmental and Geotechnical Engineering

Location: 55 Dampier St and 21 & 72 Wallamore Rd TAMINDA Date: 9/09/2019 **TP8**

Method: Excavator Logger: DS

Samples	Depth	Graphic	Class	Material	Moisture	Cons/Dens	Obs
	0.1		CL	**Silty CLAY:** low plasticity dark grey, low plas fines	<Wp	VSt	TOPSOIL
	0.2						
	0.3						
	0.4						
	0.5		CH	**CLAY:** medium to high plasticity, dark grey / brown, trace of fine sand			ALLUVIUM
	0.6						
	0.7						
	0.8						
	0.9			**CLAY:** medium to high plasticity, orange / brown,	>Wp	St	
	1.0						
	1.1						
	1.2						
	1.3						
	1.4						
	1.5						
	1.6			TERMINATED @ 1.5m			
	1.7						
	1.8						
	1.9						
	2.0						
	2.1						
	2.2						

Location: 55 Dampier St and 21 & 72 Wallamore Rd TAMINDA Date: 9/09/2019 **TP9**

Method: Excavator Logger: DS

Samples	Depth	Graphic	Class	Material	Moisture	Cons/Dens	Obs
			CL	**Silty CLAY:** low plasticity dark grey, low plas fines	<Wp	VSt	TOPSOIL
	0.1						
	0.2						
	0.3						
			CH	**CLAY:** medium to high plasticity, dark grey / brown, trace of fine sand			ALLUVIUM
	0.4						
	0.5						
	0.6						
	0.7						
	0.8						
	0.9						
	1.0						
				CLAY: medium to high plasticity, orange / brown,	>Wp	St	
	1.1						
	1.2						
	1.3						
	1.4						
	1.5						
	1.6						
	1.7						
	1.8						
				TERMINATED @ 1.8m			
	1.9						
	2.0						
	2.1						
	2.2						

Sanko

Excavation Environmental & Civil Services P/L • Environmental and Geotechnical Engineering

Location: 55 Dampier St and 21 & 72 Wallamore Rd TAMINDA Date: 9/09/2019 **TP10**

Method: Excavator Logger: DS

Samples	Depth	Graphic	Class	Material	Moisture	Cons/Dens	Obs
			CL	**Silty CLAY:** low plasticity dark grey, low plas fines	<Wp	VSt	TOPSOIL
	0.1						
	0.2						
	0.3						
			CH	**CLAY:** medium to high plasticity, dark grey / brown, trace of fine sand			ALLUVIUM
	0.4						
	0.5						
	0.6						
	0.7						
	0.8						
	0.9						
				CLAY: medium to high plasticity, orange / brown,	>Wp	St	
	1.0						
	1.1						
	1.2						
	1.3						
	1.4						
	1.5						
	1.6						
	1.7						
	1.8						
				TERMINATED @ 1.8m			
	1.9						
	2.0						
	2.1						
	2.2						

Sanko

Excavation Environmental & Civil Services P/L • Environmental and Geotechnical Engineering

Location: 55 Dampier St and 21 & 72 Wallamore Rd TAMINDA Date: 9/09/2019 **TP11**

Method: Excavator Logger: DS

Samples	Depth	Graphic	Class	Material	Moisture	Cons/Dens	Obs
			CL	**Silty CLAY:** low plasticity	<Wp	VSt	TOPSOIL
	0.1			dark grey, low plas fines			
			CH	**CLAY:** medium to high			ALLUVIUM
	0.2			plasticity, dark grey / brown,			
				trace of fine sand			
	0.3						
	0.4						
	0.5						
	0.6						
	0.7						
	0.8						
	0.9						
	1.0						
				CLAY: medium to high	>Wp	St	
	1.1			plasticity, orange / brown,			
	1.2						
	1.3						
	1.4						
	1.5						
				TERMINATED @ 1.5m			
	1.6						
	1.7						
	1.8						
	1.9						
	2.0						
	2.1						
	2.2						

Location: 55 Dampier St and 21 & 72 Wallamore Rd TAMINDA Date: 9/09/2019 **TP12**

Method: Excavator Logger: DS

Samples	Depth	Graphic	Class	Material	Moisture	Cons/Dens	Obs
			CL	**Silty CLAY:** low plasticity dark grey, low plas fines	<Wp	VSt	TOPSOIL
	0.1						
	0.2						
			CH	**CLAY:** medium to high plasticity, dark grey / brown, trace of fine sand			ALLUVIUM
	0.3						
	0.4						
	0.5						
	0.6						
	0.7						
	0.8						
				CLAY: medium to high plasticity, orange / brown,	>Wp	St	
	0.9						
	1.0						
	1.1						
	1.2						
	1.3						
	1.4						
	1.5						
				TERMINATED @ 1.5m			
	1.6						
	1.7						
	1.8						
	1.9						
	2.0						
	2.1						
	2.2						

CHAIN OF CUSTODY - Client

ENVIROLAB GROUP - National phone number 1300 424 344

Sydney Lab - Envirolab Services
12 Ashley St, Chatswood, NSW 2067
Ph: 02 9910 6200 / sydney@envirolab.com.au

Perth Lab - MPL Laboratories
16-18 Hayden Crt Myaree, WA 6154
Ph: 08 9317 2505 / lab@mpl.com.au

Melbourne Lab - Envirolab Services
1A Dalmore Drive Scoresby VIC 3179
Ph: 03 9763 2500 / melbourne@envirolab.com.au

Adelaide Office - Envirolab Services
7a The Parade, Norwood, SA 5067
Ph: 08 7087 6800 / adelaide@envirolab.com.au

Brisbane Office - Envirolab Services
20a, 10-20 Depot St, Banyo, QLD 4014
Ph: 07 3266 9532 / brisbane@envirolab.com.au

Darwin Office - Envirolab Services
Unit 7, 17 Willes Rd, Berrimah, NT 0820
Ph: 08 8967 1201 / darwin@envirolab.com.au

Client: Sanko Excavaton Environmental & Civil Services P/L	Client Project Name / Number / Site etc (ie report title): E19 026 - OAMPIEN ST TAMWORTH
Contact Person: Damien Sankowsky	PO No.:
Project Mgr:	Envirolab Quote No. : 17SY108C3
Sampler:	Date results required:
Address: 76 Wollombi Rd, Millfield	Or choose: standard / same day / 1 day / 2 day / (3 day)
0407 434 604	*Note: Inform lab in advance if urgent turnaround is required - surcharges apply*
Phone: Mob:	Additional report format: esdat / equis /
Email: seecservices@hotmail.com	Lab Comments:

Sample Information

Envirolab Sample ID	Client Sample ID or information	Depth	Date sampled	Type of sample
1	TP1	0.2	10/9	SOIL JAR
2	TP1	1.2		
3	TP2	0.2		
4	TP2	0.9		
5	TP3	1.0		
6	TP4	0.3		
7	TP4	0.9		
8	TP5	0.3		
9	TP6	0.6		
10	TP6	1.5		
11	TP7	0.6		
12	TP8	0.2		
13	TP8	0.6		

Tests Required

COMBO 6	CEC, pH + SALINITY	Comments
/	/	Provide as much information about the sample as you can
/	/	
/	/	
/	/	
/	/	
/	/	
/	/	
/	/	
/	/	
/	/	
/	/	
/	/	
/	/	

Envirolab Services
12 Ashley St
Chatswood NSW 2067
Ph: (02) 9910 6200

Job No: 226180

Date Received: 16/09/19
Time Received: 11:40
Received by: SB
Temp: Cool/Ambient
Cooling: Ice/Icepack
Security: Intact/Broken/None

Relinquished by (Company): SANKO	Received by (Company): ECS Syd.
Print Name: DAMIEN SANKOWSKY	Print Name: S. Bolton
Date & Time: 10-9-19	Date & Time: 16/09/19 1140
Signature:	Signature:

Lab use only:
Samples Received: (Cool) or Ambient (circle one)
Temperature Received at: 21.0 (if applicable)
Transported by: Hand delivered / (courier)

CHAIN OF CUSTODY - Client

ENVIROLAB GROUP - National phone number 1300 424 344

Client: Sanko Excavaton Environmental & Civil Services P/L	Client Project Name / Number / Site etc (ie report title): E19 026 - DAMPIER ST, MINMINDA
Contact Person: Damien Sankowsky	PO No.:
Project Mgr:	Envirolab Quote No.: 17SY108C3
Sampler:	Date results required:
Address: 76 Wollombi Rd, Millfield	Or choose: standard / same day / 1 day / 2 day / (3 day)
0407 434 604	*Note: Inform lab in advance if urgent turnaround is required - surcharges apply*
Phone: Mob:	Additional report format: esdat / equis /
Email: seecservices@hotmail.com	Lab Comments:

Sydney Lab - Envirolab Services
12 Ashley St, Chatswood, NSW 2067
Ph: 02 9910 6200 / sydney@envirolab.com.au

Perth Lab - MPL Laboratories
16-18 Hayden Crt Myaree, WA 6154
Ph: 08 9317 2505 / lab@mpl.com.au

Melbourne Lab - Envirolab Services
1A Dalmore Drive Scoresby VIC 3179
Ph: 03 9763 2500 / melbourne@envirolab.com.au

Adelaide Office - Envirolab Services
7a The Parade, Norwood, SA 5067
Ph: 08 7087 6800 / adelaide@envirolab.com.au

Brisbane Office - Envirolab Services
20a, 10-20 Depot St, Banyo, QLD 4014
Ph: 07 3266 9532 / brisbane@envirolab.com.au

Darwin Office - Envirolab Services
Unit 7, 17 Willes Rd, Berrimah, NT 0820
Ph: 08 8967 1201 / darwin@envirolab.com.au

Sample Information

Envirolab Sample ID	Client Sample ID or Information	Depth	Date sampled	Type of sample	Tests Required: COMBO 6	EC, pH SALINITY	ASBESTOS ID	Comments: Provide as much information about the sample as you can
14	TP9	1.6	10.9	SOIL JAR	/	/		
15	TP10	0.1			/	/		
16	TP11	0.2			/	/		
17	TP11	0.7			/	/		
18	TP12	0.0			/	/		
19	TP12	1.2			/	/		
20	DUP1				/	/		
21	DUP2				/	/		
22	WASHBLANK			WATER JAR	/			
23	TP1	0.0		SOIL BAG			/	
24	TP2	0.0					/	
25	TP3	0.1					/	
26	TP5	0.0					/	

Relinquished by (Company): SANKO	Received by (Company): GLS Syd
Print Name: DAMIEN SANKOWSKY	Print Name: S. Bolton
Date & Time: 10-9-19	Date & Time: 16/09/19 1140
Signature:	Signature:

Lab use only:
Samples Received: (Cool) or Ambient (circle one)
Temperature Received at: 21 °C (if applicable)
Transported by: Hand delivered / courier

226180

ENVIROLAB mpl

CHAIN OF CUSTODY - Client

ENVIROLAB GROUP - National phone number 1300 424 344

Client: Sanko Excavaton Environmental & Civil Services P/L	Client Project Name / Number / Site etc (ie report title): E9026 - DAMPIER ST, TAMINDA
Contact Person: Damien Sankowsky	PO No.:
Project Mgr:	Envirolab Quote No. : 17SY108C3
Sampler:	Date results required:
Address: 76 Wollombi Rd, Millfield	Or choose: standard / same day / 1 day / 2 day / (3 day)
0407 434 604	*Note: Inform lab in advance if urgent turnaround is required - surcharges apply*
Phone: Mob:	Additional report format: esdat / equis /
Email: seecservices@hotmail.com	Lab Comments:

Sydney Lab - Envirolab Services
12 Ashley St, Chatswood, NSW 2067
Ph: 02 9910 6200 / sydney@envirolab.com.au

Perth Lab - MPL Laboratories
16-18 Hayden Crt Myaree, WA 6154
Ph: 08 9317 2505 / lab@mpl.com.au

Melbourne Lab - Envirolab Services
1A Dalmore Drive Scoresby VIC 3179
Ph: 03 9763 2500 / melbourne@envirolab.com.au

Adelaide Office - Envirolab Services
7a The Parade, Norwood, SA 5067
Ph: 08 7087 6800 / adelaide@envirolab.com.au

Brisbane Office - Envirolab Services
20e, 10-20 Depot St, Banyo, QLD 4014
Ph: 07 3266 9532 / brisbane@envirolab.com.au

Darwin Office - Envirolab Services
Unit 7, 17 Willes Rd, Berrimah, NT 0820
Ph: 08 8967 1201 / darwin@envirolab.com.au

Sample Information					Tests Required	Comments
Envirolab Sample ID	Client Sample ID or Information	Depth	Date sampled	Type of sample	ASBESTOS ID	Provide as much information about the sample as you can
27	TP7	0.1	10-9	SOIL BAG	/	
28	TP11	0.0	↓	↓	/	
30 29	TP12	0.0	↓	↓	/	
30	TP9	0.1		Soil Jar	extra sample rec'd.	

Relinquished by (Company): SANKO	Received by (Company): ES Syd.	*Lab use only:*
Print Name: DAMION SANKOWSKY	Print Name: S. Bolton	Samples Received: (Cool) or Ambient (circle one)
Date & Time: 10-9-19	Date & Time: 16/09/19 11:40	Temperature Received at: 21.0 (if applicable)
Signature:	Signature:	Transported by: Hand delivered / courier

Envirolab Services Pty Ltd
ABN 37 112 535 645
12 Ashley St Chatswood NSW 2067
ph 02 9910 6200 fax 02 9910 6201
customerservice@envirolab.com.au
www.envirolab.com.au

SAMPLE RECEIPT ADVICE

Client Details

Client	Sanko excavation Environmental & Civil Services
Attention	Damien Sankowsky

Sample Login Details

Your reference	E19 026 - Dampier St Taminda
Envirolab Reference	226180
Date Sample Received	16/09/2019
Date Instructions Received	19/09/2019
Date Results Expected to be Reported	23/09/2019

Sample Condition

Samples received in appropriate condition for analysis	YES
No. of Samples Provided	30 Soil
Turnaround Time Requested	3 days
Temperature on Receipt (°C)	21.0
Cooling Method	Ice Pack
Sampling Date Provided	YES

Comments

pH/Salinity - out of recommended holding time
water sample - Incorrect container

Please direct any queries to:

Aileen Hie	Jacinta Hurst
Phone: 02 9910 6200	**Phone: 02 9910 6200**
Fax: 02 9910 6201	**Fax: 02 9910 6201**
Email: ahie@envirolab.com.au	**Email: jhurst@envirolab.com.au**

Analysis Underway, details on the following page:

Envirolab Services Pty Ltd
ABN 37 112 535 645
12 Ashley St Chatswood NSW 2067
ph 02 9910 6200 fax 02 9910 6201
customerservice@envirolab.com.au
www.envirolab.com.au

Sample ID	vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil	svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil	PAHs in Soil	Organochlorine Pesticidesin soil	Organophosphorus Pesticides	PCBsin Soil	Acid Extractable metalsin soil	Misc Inorg - Soil	CEC	Texture and Salinity*	Asbestos ID - soils	vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Water	svTRH (C10-C40) in Water	PAHsin Water	OCP in water	OP Pesticides in water	PCBs in Water	Metals in Water - Dissolved
TP1-0.2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
TP1-1.2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
TP2-0.2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
TP2-0.9	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
TP3-1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
TP4-0.3	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
TP4-0.9	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
TP5-0.3	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
TP6-0.6	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
TP6-1.5	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
TP7-0.6	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
TP8-0.2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
TP8-0.6	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
TP9-1.6	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
TP10-0.1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
TP11-0.2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
TP11-0.7	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
TP12-0	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
TP12-1.2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
DUP1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
DUP2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
WASHBLANK												✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
TP1-0											✓							
TP2-0											✓							
TP3-0.1											✓							
TP5-0											✓							
TP7-0.1											✓							
TP11-0											✓							
TP12-0											✓							
TP9-0.1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								

The '✓' indicates the testing you have requested. **THIS IS NOT A REPORT OF THE RESULTS.**

Envirolab Services Pty Ltd
ABN 37 112 535 645
12 Ashley St Chatswood NSW 2067
ph 02 9910 6200 fax 02 9910 6201
customerservice@envirolab.com.au
www.envirolab.com.au

Additional Info

Sample storage - Waters are routinely disposed of approximately 1 month and soils approximately 2 months from receipt.
Requests for longer term sample storage must be received in writing.
Please contact the laboratory immediately if observed settled sediment present in water samples is to be included in the extraction and/or analysis (exceptions include certain Physical Tests (pH/EC/BOD/COD/Apparent Colour etc.), Solids testing, Total Recoverable metals and PFAS analysis where solids are included by default.
TAT for Micro is dependent on incubation. This varies from 3 to 6 days.

Envirolab Services Pty Ltd
ABN 37 112 535 645
12 Ashley St Chatswood NSW 2067
ph 02 9910 6200 fax 02 9910 6201
customerservice@envirolab.com.au
www.envirolab.com.au

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS 226180

Client Details

Client	Sanko excavation Environmental & Civil Services
Attention	Damien Sankowsky
Address	76 Wollombi Rd, Millfield, NSW, 2325

Sample Details

Your Reference	**E19 026 - Dampier St Taminda**
Number of Samples	30 Soil
Date samples received	16/09/2019
Date completed instructions received	19/09/2019

Analysis Details

Please refer to the following pages for results, methodology summary and quality control data.

Samples were analysed as received from the client. Results relate specifically to the samples as received.

Results are reported on a dry weight basis for solids and on an as received basis for other matrices.

Please refer to the last page of this report for any comments relating to the results.

Report Details

Date results requested by	23/09/2019
Date of Issue	23/09/2019

NATA Accreditation Number 2901. This document shall not be reproduced except in full.

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing. **Tests not covered by NATA are denoted with ***

Asbestos Approved By
Analysed by Asbestos Approved Identifier: Aida Marner
Authorised by Asbestos Approved Signatory: Lucy Zhu

Results Approved By
Jaimie Loa-Kum-Cheung, Metals Supervisor
Josh Williams, Chemist
Lucy Zhu, Senior Asbestos Analyst
Priya Samarawickrama, Senior Chemist
Steven Luong, Organics Supervisor

Authorised By

Nancy Zhang, Laboratory Manager

vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil						
Our Reference		226180-1	226180-2	226180-3	226180-4	226180-5
Your Reference	UNITS	TP1	TP1	TP2	TP2	TP3
Depth		0.2	1.2	0.2	0.9	1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
TRH C_6 - C_9	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
TRH C_6 - C_{10}	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
vTPH C_6 - C_{10} less BTEX (F1)	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
Benzene	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Toluene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
o-Xylene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
naphthalene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Total +ve Xylenes	mg/kg	<3	<3	<3	<3	<3
Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%	96	94	98	95	93

vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil						
Our Reference		226180-6	226180-7	226180-8	226180-9	226180-10
Your Reference	UNITS	TP4	TP4	TP5	TP6	TP6
Depth		0.3	0.9	0.3	0.6	1.5
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
TRH C_6 - C_9	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
TRH C_6 - C_{10}	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
vTPH C_6 - C_{10} less BTEX (F1)	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
Benzene	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Toluene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
o-Xylene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
naphthalene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Total +ve Xylenes	mg/kg	<3	<3	<3	<3	<3
Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%	96	97	96	95	98

vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil						
Our Reference		226180-11	226180-12	226180-13	226180-14	226180-15
Your Reference	UNITS	TP7	TP8	TP8	TP9	TP10
Depth		0.6	0.2	0.6	1.6	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
TRH C_6 - C_9	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
TRH C_6 - C_{10}	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
vTPH C_6 - C_{10} less BTEX (F1)	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
Benzene	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Toluene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
o-Xylene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
naphthalene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Total +ve Xylenes	mg/kg	<3	<3	<3	<3	<3
Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%	99	87	91	91	93

vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil						
Our Reference		226180-16	226180-17	226180-18	226180-19	226180-20
Your Reference	UNITS	TP11	TP11	TP12	TP12	DUP1
Depth		0.2	0.7	0	1.2	-
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
TRH C_6 - C_9	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
TRH C_6 - C_{10}	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
vTPH C_6 - C_{10} less BTEX (F1)	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
Benzene	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Toluene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
o-Xylene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
naphthalene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Total +ve Xylenes	mg/kg	<3	<3	<3	<3	<3
Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%	96	93	95	92	90

vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil			
Our Reference		226180-21	226180-30
Your Reference	UNITS	DUP2	TP9
Depth		-	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
TRH C_6 - C_9	mg/kg	<25	<25
TRH C_6 - C_{10}	mg/kg	<25	<25
vTPH C_6 - C_{10} less BTEX (F1)	mg/kg	<25	<25
Benzene	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2
Toluene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	<2	<2
o-Xylene	mg/kg	<1	<1
naphthalene	mg/kg	<1	<1
Total +ve Xylenes	mg/kg	<3	<3
Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%	95	95

svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil						
Our Reference		226180-1	226180-2	226180-3	226180-4	226180-5
Your Reference	UNITS	TP1	TP1	TP2	TP2	TP3
Depth		0.2	1.2	0.2	0.9	1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
TRH C_{10} - C_{14}	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH C_{15} - C_{28}	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
TRH C_{29} - C_{36}	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
TRH >C_{10} -C_{16}	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C_{10} - C_{16} less Naphthalene (F2)	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C_{16} -C_{34}	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
TRH >C_{34} -C_{40}	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
Total +ve TRH (>C10-C40)	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%	89	92	90	90	89

svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil						
Our Reference		226180-6	226180-7	226180-8	226180-9	226180-10
Your Reference	UNITS	TP4	TP4	TP5	TP6	TP6
Depth		0.3	0.9	0.3	0.6	1.5
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
TRH C_{10} - C_{14}	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH C_{15} - C_{28}	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
TRH C_{29} - C_{36}	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
TRH >C_{10} -C_{16}	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C_{10} - C_{16} less Naphthalene (F2)	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C_{16} -C_{34}	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
TRH >C_{34} -C_{40}	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
Total +ve TRH (>C10-C40)	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%	91	90	89	92	92

svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil						
Our Reference		226180-11	226180-12	226180-13	226180-14	226180-15
Your Reference	UNITS	TP7	TP8	TP8	TP9	TP10
Depth		0.6	0.2	0.6	1.6	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
TRH C_{10} - C_{14}	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH C_{15} - C_{28}	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
TRH C_{29} - C_{36}	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
TRH >C_{10} -C_{16}	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C_{10} - C_{16} less Naphthalene (F2)	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C_{16} -C_{34}	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
TRH >C_{34} -C_{40}	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
Total +ve TRH (>C10-C40)	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%	93	90	91	90	87

svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil						
Our Reference		226180-16	226180-17	226180-18	226180-19	226180-20
Your Reference	UNITS	TP11	TP11	TP12	TP12	DUP1
Depth		0.2	0.7	0	1.2	-
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
TRH C_{10} - C_{14}	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH C_{15} - C_{28}	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
TRH C_{29} - C_{36}	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
TRH >C_{10} -C_{16}	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C_{10} - C_{16} less Naphthalene (F2)	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C_{16} -C_{34}	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
TRH >C_{34} -C_{40}	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
Total +ve TRH (>C10-C40)	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%	88	89	87	90	89

svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil			
Our Reference		226180-21	226180-30
Your Reference	UNITS	DUP2	TP9
Depth		-	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
TRH C_{10} - C_{14}	mg/kg	<50	<50
TRH C_{15} - C_{28}	mg/kg	<100	<100
TRH C_{29} - C_{36}	mg/kg	<100	<100
TRH >C_{10} -C_{16}	mg/kg	<50	<50
TRH >C_{10} - C_{16} less Naphthalene (F2)	mg/kg	<50	<50
TRH >C_{16} -C_{34}	mg/kg	<100	<100
TRH >C_{34} -C_{40}	mg/kg	<100	<100
Total +ve TRH (>C10-C40)	mg/kg	<50	<50
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%	88	89

PAHs in Soil						
Our Reference		226180-1	226180-2	226180-3	226180-4	226180-5
Your Reference	UNITS	TP1	TP1	TP2	TP2	TP3
Depth		0.2	1.2	0.2	0.9	1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Naphthalene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fluorene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Pyrene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Chrysene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve PAH's	mg/kg	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc (zero)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(half)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(PQL)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Surrogate p-Terphenyl-d14	%	97	97	99	102	98

PAHs in Soil						
Our Reference		226180-6	226180-7	226180-8	226180-9	226180-10
Your Reference	UNITS	TP4	TP4	TP5	TP6	TP6
Depth		0.3	0.9	0.3	0.6	1.5
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Naphthalene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fluorene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Pyrene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Chrysene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve PAH's	mg/kg	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc (zero)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(half)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(PQL)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Surrogate p-Terphenyl-d14	%	97	99	101	98	96

PAHs in Soil						
Our Reference		226180-11	226180-12	226180-13	226180-14	226180-15
Your Reference	UNITS	TP7	TP8	TP8	TP9	TP10
Depth		0.6	0.2	0.6	1.6	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Naphthalene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fluorene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Pyrene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Chrysene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve PAH's	mg/kg	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc (zero)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(half)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(PQL)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Surrogate p-Terphenyl-d14	%	100	97	100	98	96

PAHs in Soil						
Our Reference		226180-16	226180-17	226180-18	226180-19	226180-20
Your Reference	UNITS	TP11	TP11	TP12	TP12	DUP1
Depth		0.2	0.7	0	1.2	-
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Naphthalene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fluorene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Pyrene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Chrysene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve PAH's	mg/kg	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc (zero)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(half)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(PQL)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Surrogate p-Terphenyl-d14	%	98	98	96	98	99

PAHs in Soil			
Our Reference		226180-21	226180-30
Your Reference	UNITS	DUP2	TP9
Depth		-	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Naphthalene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Fluorene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Pyrene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Chrysene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	<0.05	<0.05
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve PAH's	mg/kg	<0.05	<0.05
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc (zero)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(half)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(PQL)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5
Surrogate p-Terphenyl-d14	%	99	98

Organochlorine Pesticides in soil						
Our Reference		226180-1	226180-2	226180-3	226180-4	226180-5
Your Reference	UNITS	TP1	TP1	TP2	TP2	TP3
Depth		0.2	1.2	0.2	0.9	1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
HCB	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
gamma-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
beta-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
delta-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aldrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor Epoxide	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
gamma-Chlordane	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
alpha-chlordane	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDE	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dieldrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDD	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan II	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDT	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan Sulphate	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve DDT+DDD+DDE	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	104	106	103	104	103

Organochlorine Pesticides in soil						
Our Reference		226180-6	226180-7	226180-8	226180-9	226180-10
Your Reference	UNITS	TP4	TP4	TP5	TP6	TP6
Depth		0.3	0.9	0.3	0.6	1.5
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
HCB	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
gamma-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
beta-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
delta-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aldrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor Epoxide	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
gamma-Chlordane	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
alpha-chlordane	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDE	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dieldrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDD	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan II	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDT	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan Sulphate	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve DDT+DDD+DDE	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	103	105	104	103	105

Organochlorine Pesticides in soil						
Our Reference		226180-11	226180-12	226180-13	226180-14	226180-15
Your Reference	UNITS	TP7	TP8	TP8	TP9	TP10
Depth		0.6	0.2	0.6	1.6	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
HCB	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
gamma-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
beta-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
delta-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aldrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor Epoxide	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
gamma-Chlordane	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
alpha-chlordane	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDE	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dieldrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDD	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan II	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDT	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan Sulphate	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve DDT+DDD+DDE	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	105	104	104	104	103

Organochlorine Pesticides in soil						
Our Reference		226180-16	226180-17	226180-18	226180-19	226180-20
Your Reference	UNITS	TP11	TP11	TP12	TP12	DUP1
Depth		0.2	0.7	0	1.2	-
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
HCB	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
gamma-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
beta-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
delta-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aldrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor Epoxide	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
gamma-Chlordane	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
alpha-chlordane	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDE	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dieldrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDD	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan II	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDT	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan Sulphate	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve DDT+DDD+DDE	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	102	104	103	102	104

Organochlorine Pesticides in soil			
Our Reference		226180-21	226180-30
Your Reference	UNITS	DUP2	TP9
Depth		-	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
HCB	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
gamma-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
beta-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
delta-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Aldrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor Epoxide	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
gamma-Chlordane	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
alpha-chlordane	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDE	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Dieldrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Endrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDD	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan II	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDT	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan Sulphate	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve DDT+DDD+DDE	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	101	103

Organophosphorus Pesticides						
Our Reference		226180-1	226180-2	226180-3	226180-4	226180-5
Your Reference	UNITS	TP1	TP1	TP2	TP2	TP3
Depth		0.2	1.2	0.2	0.9	1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
Azinphos-methyl (Guthion)	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Bromophos-ethyl	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Chlorpyriphos	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Chlorpyriphos-methyl	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Diazinon	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dichlorvos	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dimethoate	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Ethion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fenitrothion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Malathion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Parathion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Ronnel	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	104	106	103	104	103

Organophosphorus Pesticides						
Our Reference		226180-6	226180-7	226180-8	226180-9	226180-10
Your Reference	UNITS	TP4	TP4	TP5	TP6	TP6
Depth		0.3	0.9	0.3	0.6	1.5
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
Azinphos-methyl (Guthion)	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Bromophos-ethyl	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Chlorpyriphos	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Chlorpyriphos-methyl	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Diazinon	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dichlorvos	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dimethoate	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Ethion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fenitrothion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Malathion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Parathion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Ronnel	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	103	105	104	103	105

Organophosphorus Pesticides						
Our Reference		226180-11	226180-12	226180-13	226180-14	226180-15
Your Reference	UNITS	TP7	TP8	TP8	TP9	TP10
Depth		0.6	0.2	0.6	1.6	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
Azinphos-methyl (Guthion)	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Bromophos-ethyl	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Chlorpyriphos	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Chlorpyriphos-methyl	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Diazinon	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dichlorvos	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dimethoate	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Ethion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fenitrothion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Malathion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Parathion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Ronnel	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	105	104	104	104	103

Organophosphorus Pesticides						
Our Reference		226180-16	226180-17	226180-18	226180-19	226180-20
Your Reference	UNITS	TP11	TP11	TP12	TP12	DUP1
Depth		0.2	0.7	0	1.2	-
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
Azinphos-methyl (Guthion)	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Bromophos-ethyl	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Chlorpyriphos	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Chlorpyriphos-methyl	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Diazinon	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dichlorvos	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dimethoate	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Ethion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fenitrothion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Malathion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Parathion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Ronnel	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	102	104	103	102	104

Organophosphorus Pesticides			
Our Reference		226180-21	226180-30
Your Reference	UNITS	DUP2	TP9
Depth		-	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
Azinphos-methyl (Guthion)	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Bromophos-ethyl	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Chlorpyriphos	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Chlorpyriphos-methyl	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Diazinon	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Dichlorvos	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Dimethoate	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Ethion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Fenitrothion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Malathion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Parathion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Ronnel	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	101	103

PCBs in Soil						
Our Reference		226180-1	226180-2	226180-3	226180-4	226180-5
Your Reference	UNITS	TP1	TP1	TP2	TP2	TP3
Depth		0.2	1.2	0.2	0.9	1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
Aroclor 1016	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1221	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1232	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1242	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1248	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1254	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1260	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve PCBs (1016-1260)	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	104	106	103	104	103

PCBs in Soil						
Our Reference		226180-6	226180-7	226180-8	226180-9	226180-10
Your Reference	UNITS	TP4	TP4	TP5	TP6	TP6
Depth		0.3	0.9	0.3	0.6	1.5
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
Aroclor 1016	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1221	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1232	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1242	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1248	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1254	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1260	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve PCBs (1016-1260)	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	103	105	104	103	105

PCBs in Soil						
Our Reference		226180-11	226180-12	226180-13	226180-14	226180-15
Your Reference	UNITS	TP7	TP8	TP8	TP9	TP10
Depth		0.6	0.2	0.6	1.6	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
Aroclor 1016	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1221	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1232	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1242	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1248	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1254	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1260	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve PCBs (1016-1260)	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	105	104	104	104	103

PCBs in Soil						
Our Reference		226180-16	226180-17	226180-18	226180-19	226180-20
Your Reference	UNITS	TP11	TP11	TP12	TP12	DUP1
Depth		0.2	0.7	0	1.2	-
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
Aroclor 1016	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1221	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1232	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1242	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1248	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1254	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1260	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve PCBs (1016-1260)	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	102	104	103	102	104

PCBs in Soil			
Our Reference		226180-21	226180-30
Your Reference	UNITS	DUP2	TP9
Depth		-	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	21/09/2019	21/09/2019
Aroclor 1016	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1221	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1232	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1242	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1248	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1254	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1260	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve PCBs (1016-1260)	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	101	103

Acid Extractable metals in soil						
Our Reference		226180-1	226180-2	226180-3	226180-4	226180-5
Your Reference	UNITS	TP1	TP1	TP2	TP2	TP3
Depth		0.2	1.2	0.2	0.9	1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Arsenic	mg/kg	<4	<4	<4	<4	<4
Cadmium	mg/kg	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4
Chromium	mg/kg	14	24	37	27	28
Copper	mg/kg	27	37	22	39	34
Lead	mg/kg	8	8	11	9	9
Mercury	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Nickel	mg/kg	12	19	8	19	17
Zinc	mg/kg	40	32	33	35	29

Acid Extractable metals in soil						
Our Reference		226180-6	226180-7	226180-8	226180-9	226180-10
Your Reference	UNITS	TP4	TP4	TP5	TP6	TP6
Depth		0.3	0.9	0.3	0.6	1.5
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Arsenic	mg/kg	<4	<4	<4	<4	<4
Cadmium	mg/kg	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4
Chromium	mg/kg	13	15	14	16	20
Copper	mg/kg	28	29	27	27	30
Lead	mg/kg	9	8	8	9	6
Mercury	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Nickel	mg/kg	12	13	12	12	16
Zinc	mg/kg	40	44	42	46	25

Acid Extractable metals in soil						
Our Reference		226180-11	226180-12	226180-13	226180-14	226180-15
Your Reference	UNITS	TP7	TP8	TP8	TP9	TP10
Depth		0.6	0.2	0.6	1.6	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Arsenic	mg/kg	<4	<4	<4	<4	<4
Cadmium	mg/kg	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4
Chromium	mg/kg	22	14	14	21	13
Copper	mg/kg	33	27	27	31	25
Lead	mg/kg	7	8	8	7	8
Mercury	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Nickel	mg/kg	17	12	12	16	12
Zinc	mg/kg	28	41	42	26	39

Acid Extractable metals in soil						
Our Reference		226180-16	226180-17	226180-18	226180-19	226180-20
Your Reference	UNITS	TP11	TP11	TP12	TP12	DUP1
Depth		0.2	0.7	0	1.2	-
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Arsenic	mg/kg	<4	<4	<4	<4	<4
Cadmium	mg/kg	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4
Chromium	mg/kg	24	18	17	27	30
Copper	mg/kg	27	25	27	40	21
Lead	mg/kg	10	8	8	8	10
Mercury	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Nickel	mg/kg	13	13	12	20	8
Zinc	mg/kg	35	28	40	34	29

Acid Extractable metals in soil			
Our Reference		226180-21	226180-30
Your Reference	UNITS	DUP2	TP9
Depth		-	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Arsenic	mg/kg	<4	<4
Cadmium	mg/kg	<0.4	<0.4
Chromium	mg/kg	25	15
Copper	mg/kg	20	25
Lead	mg/kg	11	8
Mercury	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Nickel	mg/kg	10	12
Zinc	mg/kg	28	46

Moisture						
Our Reference		226180-1	226180-2	226180-3	226180-4	226180-5
Your Reference	UNITS	TP1	TP1	TP2	TP2	TP3
Depth		0.2	1.2	0.2	0.9	1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	23/09/2019	23/09/2019	23/09/2019	23/09/2019	23/09/2019
Moisture	%	8.4	17	3.9	16	14

Moisture						
Our Reference		226180-6	226180-7	226180-8	226180-9	226180-10
Your Reference	UNITS	TP4	TP4	TP5	TP6	TP6
Depth		0.3	0.9	0.3	0.6	1.5
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	23/09/2019	23/09/2019	23/09/2019	23/09/2019	23/09/2019
Moisture	%	9.2	9.8	8.1	13	14

Moisture						
Our Reference		226180-11	226180-12	226180-13	226180-14	226180-15
Your Reference	UNITS	TP7	TP8	TP8	TP9	TP10
Depth		0.6	0.2	0.6	1.6	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	23/09/2019	23/09/2019	23/09/2019	23/09/2019	23/09/2019
Moisture	%	18	9.0	10	15	9.8

Moisture						
Our Reference		226180-16	226180-17	226180-18	226180-19	226180-20
Your Reference	UNITS	TP11	TP11	TP12	TP12	DUP1
Depth		0.2	0.7	0	1.2	-
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	23/09/2019	23/09/2019	23/09/2019	23/09/2019	23/09/2019
Moisture	%	12	9.9	16	17	4.8

Moisture			
Our Reference		226180-21	226180-30
Your Reference	UNITS	DUP2	TP9
Depth		-	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	23/09/2019	23/09/2019
Moisture	%	12	11

Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		226180-1	226180-2	226180-3	226180-4	226180-5
Your Reference	UNITS	TP1	TP1	TP2	TP2	TP3
Depth		0.2	1.2	0.2	0.9	1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units	7.1	8.6	7.8	8.5	8.5

Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		226180-6	226180-7	226180-8	226180-9	226180-10
Your Reference	UNITS	TP4	TP4	TP5	TP6	TP6
Depth		0.3	0.9	0.3	0.6	1.5
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units	7.7	8.0	7.4	7.5	8.4

Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		226180-11	226180-12	226180-13	226180-14	226180-15
Your Reference	UNITS	TP7	TP8	TP8	TP9	TP10
Depth		0.6	0.2	0.6	1.6	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units	8.7	8.3	8.0	8.4	7.7

Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		226180-16	226180-17	226180-18	226180-19	226180-20
Your Reference	UNITS	TP11	TP11	TP12	TP12	DUP1
Depth		0.2	0.7	0	1.2	-
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units	7.7	7.3	7.5	8.8	7.9

Misc Inorg - Soil			
Our Reference		226180-21	226180-30
Your Reference	UNITS	DUP2	TP9
Depth		-	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units	8.1	7.6

CEC						
Our Reference		226180-1	226180-2	226180-3	226180-4	226180-5
Your Reference	UNITS	TP1	TP1	TP2	TP2	TP3
Depth		0.2	1.2	0.2	0.9	1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Exchangeable Ca	meq/100g	19	12	9.5	11	9.4
Exchangeable K	meq/100g	0.6	0.5	0.8	0.4	0.2
Exchangeable Mg	meq/100g	7.7	14	2.6	12	8.2
Exchangeable Na	meq/100g	0.37	3.0	0.13	2.2	1.4
Cation Exchange Capacity	meq/100g	28	29	13	26	19

CEC						
Our Reference		226180-6	226180-7	226180-8	226180-9	226180-10
Your Reference	UNITS	TP4	TP4	TP5	TP6	TP6
Depth		0.3	0.9	0.3	0.6	1.5
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Exchangeable Ca	meq/100g	17	16	16	17	11
Exchangeable K	meq/100g	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.3
Exchangeable Mg	meq/100g	7.4	6.8	7.0	7.4	9.5
Exchangeable Na	meq/100g	1.1	0.92	0.33	0.25	1.7
Cation Exchange Capacity	meq/100g	26	24	24	25	22

CEC						
Our Reference		226180-11	226180-12	226180-13	226180-14	226180-15
Your Reference	UNITS	TP7	TP8	TP8	TP9	TP10
Depth		0.6	0.2	0.6	1.6	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Exchangeable Ca	meq/100g	11	17	17	9.5	20
Exchangeable K	meq/100g	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.4
Exchangeable Mg	meq/100g	12	7.5	8.0	8.2	8.4
Exchangeable Na	meq/100g	2.8	1.3	1.2	1.4	0.58
Cation Exchange Capacity	meq/100g	26	26	27	19	30

CEC						
Our Reference		226180-16	226180-17	226180-18	226180-19	226180-20
Your Reference	UNITS	TP11	TP11	TP12	TP12	DUP1
Depth		0.2	0.7	0	1.2	-
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Exchangeable Ca	meq/100g	20	18	18	11	9.3
Exchangeable K	meq/100g	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.8
Exchangeable Mg	meq/100g	8.5	7.4	7.8	13	2.7
Exchangeable Na	meq/100g	1.3	0.39	0.23	2.9	0.15
Cation Exchange Capacity	meq/100g	30	26	26	27	13

CEC			
Our Reference		226180-21	226180-30
Your Reference	UNITS	DUP2	TP9
Depth		-	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Exchangeable Ca	meq/100g	11	17
Exchangeable K	meq/100g	0.3	0.6
Exchangeable Mg	meq/100g	5.0	6.7
Exchangeable Na	meq/100g	0.38	0.28
Cation Exchange Capacity	meq/100g	16	24

Texture and Salinity*						
Our Reference		226180-1	226180-2	226180-3	226180-4	226180-5
Your Reference	UNITS	TP1	TP1	TP2	TP2	TP3
Depth		0.2	1.2	0.2	0.9	1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	80	110	130	98	56
Texture Value	-	7.0	8.0	7.0	8.0	8.0
Texture		MEDIUM CLAY	LIGHT MEDIUM CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY	LIGHT MEDIUM CLAY	LIGHT MEDIUM CLAY
ECe	dS/m	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
Class		NON SALINE	NON SALINE	NON SALINE	NON SALINE	NON SALINE

Texture and Salinity*						
Our Reference		226180-6	226180-7	226180-8	226180-9	226180-10
Your Reference	UNITS	TP4	TP4	TP5	TP6	TP6
Depth		0.3	0.9	0.3	0.6	1.5
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	66	60	48	39	58
Texture Value	-	9.0	9.0	7.0	7.0	8.0
Texture		CLAY LOAM	CLAY LOAM	MEDIUM CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY	LIGHT MEDIUM CLAY
ECe	dS/m	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
Class		NON SALINE	NON SALINE	NON SALINE	NON SALINE	NON SALINE

Texture and Salinity*						
Our Reference		226180-11	226180-12	226180-13	226180-14	226180-15
Your Reference	UNITS	TP7	TP8	TP8	TP9	TP10
Depth		0.6	0.2	0.6	1.6	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	110	45	63	56	61
Texture Value	-	8.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	7.0
Texture		LIGHT MEDIUM CLAY	CLAY LOAM	CLAY LOAM	LIGHT MEDIUM CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY
ECe	dS/m	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
Class		NON SALINE	NON SALINE	NON SALINE	NON SALINE	NON SALINE

Texture and Salinity*						
Our Reference		226180-16	226180-17	226180-18	226180-19	226180-20
Your Reference	UNITS	TP11	TP11	TP12	TP12	DUP1
Depth		0.2	0.7	0	1.2	-
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	92	55	44	120	170
Texture Value	-	9.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	7.0
Texture		CLAY LOAM	MEDIUM CLAY	LIGHT MEDIUM CLAY	LIGHT MEDIUM CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY
ECe	dS/m	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
Class		NON SALINE	NON SALINE	NON SALINE	NON SALINE	NON SALINE

Texture and Salinity*			
Our Reference		226180-21	226180-30
Your Reference	UNITS	DUP2	TP9
Depth		-	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	58	78
Texture Value	-	9.0	7.0
Texture		CLAY LOAM	MEDIUM CLAY
ECe	dS/m	<2	<2
Class		NON SALINE	NON SALINE

Asbestos ID - soils						
Our Reference		226180-23	226180-24	226180-25	226180-26	226180-27
Your Reference	UNITS	TP1	TP2	TP3	TP5	TP7
Depth		0	0	0.1	0	0.1
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Sample mass tested	g	Approx. 50g	Approx. 45g	Approx. 50g	Approx. 40g	Approx. 40g
Sample Description	-	Brown coarse-grained soil & rocks	Brown coarse-grained soil & rocks	Brown coarse-grained soil & rocks	Brown coarse-grained soil & rocks	Brown coarse-grained soil & rocks
Asbestos ID in soil	-	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected
Trace Analysis	-	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected

Asbestos ID - soils			
Our Reference		226180-28	226180-29
Your Reference	UNITS	TP11	TP12
Depth		0	0
Date Sampled		10/09/2019	10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil	Soil
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Sample mass tested	g	Approx. 40g	Approx. 40g
Sample Description	-	Brown coarse-grained soil & rocks	Brown coarse-grained soil & rocks
Asbestos ID in soil	-	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected
Trace Analysis	-	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected

vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Water		
Our Reference		226180-22
Your Reference	UNITS	WASHBLANK
Depth		-
Date Sampled		10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil
Date extracted	-	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	22/09/2019
TRH C_6 - C_9	µg/L	<10
TRH C_6 - C_{10}	µg/L	<10
TRH C_6 - C_{10} less BTEX (F1)	µg/L	<10
Benzene	µg/L	<1
Toluene	µg/L	<1
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	<1
m+p-xylene	µg/L	<2
o-xylene	µg/L	<1
Naphthalene	µg/L	<1
Surrogate Dibromofluoromethane	%	100
Surrogate toluene-d8	%	97
Surrogate 4-BFB	%	85

svTRH (C10-C40) in Water		
Our Reference	UNITS	226180-22
Your Reference		WASHBLANK
Depth		-
Date Sampled		10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil
Date extracted	-	18/09/2019
Date analysed	-	19/09/2019
TRH C_{10} - C_{14}	µg/L	<50
TRH C_{15} - C_{28}	µg/L	<100
TRH C_{29} - C_{36}	µg/L	<100
TRH >C_{10} - C_{16}	µg/L	<50
TRH >C_{10} - C_{16} less Naphthalene (F2)	µg/L	<50
TRH >C_{16} - C_{34}	µg/L	<100
TRH >C_{34} - C_{40}	µg/L	<100
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%	77

PAHs in Water		
Our Reference		226180-22
Your Reference	UNITS	WASHBLANK
Depth		-
Date Sampled		10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil
Date extracted	-	18/09/2019
Date analysed	-	19/09/2019
Naphthalene	µg/L	<1
Acenaphthylene	µg/L	<1
Acenaphthene	µg/L	<1
Fluorene	µg/L	<1
Phenanthrene	µg/L	<1
Anthracene	µg/L	<1
Fluoranthene	µg/L	<1
Pyrene	µg/L	<1
Benzo(a)anthracene	µg/L	<1
Chrysene	µg/L	<1
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	µg/L	<2
Benzo(a)pyrene	µg/L	<1
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	µg/L	<1
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	µg/L	<1
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	µg/L	<1
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ	µg/L	<5
Total +ve PAH's	µg/L	NIL (+)VE
Surrogate p-Terphenyl-d14	%	90

OCP in water		
Our Reference		226180-22
Your Reference	UNITS	WASHBLANK
Depth		-
Date Sampled		10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil
Date extracted	-	18/09/2019
Date analysed	-	18/09/2019
HCB	µg/L	<0.2
alpha-BHC	µg/L	<0.2
gamma-BHC	µg/L	<0.2
beta-BHC	µg/L	<0.2
Heptachlor	µg/L	<0.2
delta-BHC	µg/L	<0.2
Aldrin	µg/L	<0.2
Heptachlor Epoxide	µg/L	<0.2
gamma-Chlordane	µg/L	<0.2
alpha-Chlordane	µg/L	<0.2
Endosulfan I	µg/L	<0.2
pp-DDE	µg/L	<0.2
Dieldrin	µg/L	<0.2
Endrin	µg/L	<0.2
pp-DDD	µg/L	<0.2
Endosulfan II	µg/L	<0.2
pp-DDT	µg/L	<0.2
Endrin Aldehyde	µg/L	<0.2
Endosulfan Sulphate	µg/L	<0.2
Methoxychlor	µg/L	<0.2
Surrogate TCMX	%	99

OP Pesticides in water		
Our Reference		226180-22
Your Reference	UNITS	WASHBLANK
Depth		-
Date Sampled		10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil
Date extracted	-	18/09/2019
Date analysed	-	18/09/2019
Azinphos-methyl (Guthion)	µg/L	<0.2
Bromophos ethyl	µg/L	<0.2
Chlorpyriphos	µg/L	<0.2
Chlorpyriphos-methyl	µg/L	<0.2
Diazinon	µg/L	<0.2
Dichlorvos	µg/L	<0.2
Dimethoate	µg/L	<0.2
Ethion	µg/L	<0.2
Fenitrothion	µg/L	<0.2
Malathion	µg/L	<0.2
Parathion	µg/L	<0.2
Ronnel	µg/L	<0.2
Surrogate TCMX	%	99

PCBs in Water		
Our Reference		226180-22
Your Reference	UNITS	WASHBLANK
Depth		-
Date Sampled		10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil
Date extracted	-	18/09/2019
Date analysed	-	18/09/2019
Aroclor 1016	µg/L	<2
Aroclor 1221	µg/L	<2
Aroclor 1232	µg/L	<2
Aroclor 1242	µg/L	<2
Aroclor 1248	µg/L	<2
Aroclor 1254	µg/L	<2
Aroclor 1260	µg/L	<2
Surrogate TCMX	%	99

Metals in Water - Dissolved		
Our Reference		226180-22
Your Reference	UNITS	WASHBLANK
Depth		-
Date Sampled		10/09/2019
Type of sample		Soil
Date digested	-	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-	20/09/2019
Arsenic - Dissolved	mg/L	<0.05
Cadmium - Dissolved	mg/L	<0.01
Chromium - Dissolved	mg/L	<0.01
Copper - Dissolved	mg/L	0.01
Lead - Dissolved	mg/L	<0.03
Mercury - Dissolved	mg/L	<0.0005
Nickel - Dissolved	mg/L	<0.02
Zinc - Dissolved	mg/L	<0.02

Method ID	Methodology Summary
ASB-001	Asbestos ID - Qualitative identification of asbestos in bulk samples using Polarised Light Microscopy and Dispersion Staining Techniques including Synthetic Mineral Fibre and Organic Fibre as per Australian Standard 4964-2004.
Inorg-001	pH - Measured using pH meter and electrode in accordance with APHA latest edition, 4500-H+. Please note that the results for water analyses are indicative only, as analysis outside of the APHA storage times.
Inorg-002	Conductivity and Salinity - measured using a conductivity cell at 25°C in accordance with APHA latest edition 2510 and Rayment & Lyons.
Inorg-008	Moisture content determined by heating at 105+/-5 °C for a minimum of 12 hours.
INORG-123	Determined using a "Texture by Feel" method.
Metals-009	Determination of exchangeable cations and cation exchange capacity in soils using 1M Ammonium Chloride exchange and ICP-AES analytical finish.
Metals-020	Determination of various metals by ICP-AES.
Metals-021	Determination of Mercury by Cold Vapour AAS.
Org-003	Soil samples are extracted with Dichloromethane/Acetone and waters with Dichloromethane and analysed by GC-FID. F2 = (>C10-C16)-Naphthalene as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater (HSLs Tables 1A (3, 4)). Note Naphthalene is determined from the VOC analysis.
Org-003	Soil samples are extracted with Dichloromethane/Acetone and waters with Dichloromethane and analysed by GC-FID. F2 = (>C10-C16)-Naphthalene as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater (HSLs Tables 1A (3, 4)). Note Naphthalene is determined from the VOC analysis. Note, the Total +ve TRH PQL is reflective of the lowest individual PQL and is therefore "Total +ve TRH" is simply a sum of the positive individual TRH fractions (>C10-C40).
Org-005	Soil samples are extracted with dichloromethane/acetone and waters with dichloromethane and analysed by GC with dual ECD's.
Org-005	Soil samples are extracted with dichloromethane/acetone and waters with dichloromethane and analysed by GC with dual ECD's. Note, the Total +ve reported DDD+DDE+DDT PQL is reflective of the lowest individual PQL and is therefore simply a sum of the positive individually report DDD+DDE+DDT.
Org-006	Soil samples are extracted with dichloromethane/acetone and waters with dichloromethane and analysed by GC-ECD.
Org-006	Soil samples are extracted with dichloromethane/acetone and waters with dichloromethane and analysed by GC-ECD. Note, the Total +ve PCBs PQL is reflective of the lowest individual PQL and is therefore" Total +ve PCBs" is simply a sum of the positive individual PCBs.
Org-008	Soil samples are extracted with dichloromethane/acetone and waters with dichloromethane and analysed by GC with dual ECD's.

Method ID	Methodology Summary
Org-012	Soil samples are extracted with Dichloromethane/Acetone and waters with Dichloromethane and analysed by GC-MS. Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater - 2013.
Org-012	Soil samples are extracted with Dichloromethane/Acetone and waters with Dichloromethane and analysed by GC-MS. Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater - 2013. For soil results:- 1. 'EQ PQL'values are assuming all contributing PAHs reported as <PQL are actually at the PQL. This is the most conservative approach and can give false positive TEQs given that PAHs that contribute to the TEQ calculation may not be present. 2. 'EQ zero'values are assuming all contributing PAHs reported as <PQL are zero. This is the least conservative approach and is more susceptible to false negative TEQs when PAHs that contribute to the TEQ calculation are present but below PQL. 3. 'EQ half PQL'values are assuming all contributing PAHs reported as <PQL are half the stipulated PQL. Hence a mid-point between the most and least conservative approaches above. Note, the Total +ve PAHs PQL is reflective of the lowest individual PQL and is therefore "Total +ve PAHs" is simply a sum of the positive individual PAHs.
Org-013	Water samples are analysed directly by purge and trap GC-MS.
Org-014	Soil samples are extracted with methanol and spiked into water prior to analysing by purge and trap GC-MS.
Org-016	Soil samples are extracted with methanol and spiked into water prior to analysing by purge and trap GC-MS. Water samples are analysed directly by purge and trap GC-MS. F1 = (C6-C10)-BTEX as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater.
Org-016	Soil samples are extracted with methanol and spiked into water prior to analysing by purge and trap GC-MS. Water samples are analysed directly by purge and trap GC-MS. F1 = (C6-C10)-BTEX as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater. Note, the Total +ve Xylene PQL is reflective of the lowest individual PQL and is therefore "Total +ve Xylenes" is simply a sum of the positive individual Xylenes.

QUALITY CONTROL: vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-1	226180-2
Date extracted	-			20/09/2019	1	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-			21/09/2019	1	21/09/2019	21/09/2019		21/09/2019	21/09/2019
TRH C_6 - C_9	mg/kg	25	Org-016	<25	1	<25	<25	0	102	92
TRH C_6 - C_{10}	mg/kg	25	Org-016	<25	1	<25	<25	0	102	92
Benzene	mg/kg	0.2	Org-016	<0.2	1	<0.2	<0.2	0	115	103
Toluene	mg/kg	0.5	Org-016	<0.5	1	<0.5	<0.5	0	101	91
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-016	<1	1	<1	<1	0	99	90
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	2	Org-016	<2	1	<2	<2	0	97	88
o-Xylene	mg/kg	1	Org-016	<1	1	<1	<1	0	98	88
naphthalene	mg/kg	1	Org-014	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%		Org-016	99	1	96	97	1	103	94

QUALITY CONTROL: vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-2	226180-21
Date extracted	-			[NT]	11	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-			[NT]	11	21/09/2019	21/09/2019		21/09/2019	21/09/2019
TRH C_6 - C_9	mg/kg	25	Org-016	[NT]	11	<25	<25	0	99	94
TRH C_6 - C_{10}	mg/kg	25	Org-016	[NT]	11	<25	<25	0	99	94
Benzene	mg/kg	0.2	Org-016	[NT]	11	<0.2	<0.2	0	112	106
Toluene	mg/kg	0.5	Org-016	[NT]	11	<0.5	<0.5	0	98	94
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-016	[NT]	11	<1	<1	0	95	91
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	2	Org-016	[NT]	11	<2	<2	0	94	89
o-Xylene	mg/kg	1	Org-016	[NT]	11	<1	<1	0	95	90
naphthalene	mg/kg	1	Org-014	[NT]	11	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%		Org-016	[NT]	11	99	101	2	100	93

QUALITY CONTROL: vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date extracted	-			[NT]	20	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		[NT]	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	20	21/09/2019	21/09/2019		[NT]	[NT]
TRH C_6 - C_9	mg/kg	25	Org-016	[NT]	20	<25	<25	0	[NT]	[NT]
TRH C_6 - C_{10}	mg/kg	25	Org-016	[NT]	20	<25	<25	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzene	mg/kg	0.2	Org-016	[NT]	20	<0.2	<0.2	0	[NT]	[NT]
Toluene	mg/kg	0.5	Org-016	[NT]	20	<0.5	<0.5	0	[NT]	[NT]
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-016	[NT]	20	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	2	Org-016	[NT]	20	<2	<2	0	[NT]	[NT]
o-Xylene	mg/kg	1	Org-016	[NT]	20	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
naphthalene	mg/kg	1	Org-014	[NT]	20	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%		Org-016	[NT]	20	90	94	4	[NT]	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-1	226180-2
Date extracted	-			20/09/2019	1	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-			21/09/2019	1	21/09/2019	21/09/2019		21/09/2019	21/09/2019
TRH C_{10} - C_{14}	mg/kg	50	Org-003	<50	1	<50	<50	0	108	115
TRH C_{15} - C_{28}	mg/kg	100	Org-003	<100	1	<100	<100	0	112	115
TRH C_{29} - C_{36}	mg/kg	100	Org-003	<100	1	<100	<100	0	130	126
TRH >C_{10} -C_{16}	mg/kg	50	Org-003	<50	1	<50	<50	0	108	115
TRH >C_{16} -C_{34}	mg/kg	100	Org-003	<100	1	<100	<100	0	112	115
TRH >C_{34} -C_{40}	mg/kg	100	Org-003	<100	1	<100	<100	0	130	126
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%		Org-003	89	1	89	91	2	106	92

QUALITY CONTROL: svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-2	226180-21
Date extracted	-			[NT]	11	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-			[NT]	11	21/09/2019	21/09/2019		21/09/2019	21/09/2019
TRH C_{10} - C_{14}	mg/kg	50	Org-003	[NT]	11	<50	<50	0	109	111
TRH C_{15} - C_{28}	mg/kg	100	Org-003	[NT]	11	<100	<100	0	114	109
TRH C_{29} - C_{36}	mg/kg	100	Org-003	[NT]	11	<100	<100	0	123	112
TRH >C_{10} -C_{16}	mg/kg	50	Org-003	[NT]	11	<50	<50	0	109	111
TRH >C_{16} -C_{34}	mg/kg	100	Org-003	[NT]	11	<100	<100	0	114	109
TRH >C_{34} -C_{40}	mg/kg	100	Org-003	[NT]	11	<100	<100	0	123	112
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%		Org-003	[NT]	11	93	91	2	109	88

QUALITY CONTROL: svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date extracted	-			[NT]	20	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		[NT]	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	20	21/09/2019	21/09/2019		[NT]	[NT]
TRH C_{10} - C_{14}	mg/kg	50	Org-003	[NT]	20	<50	<50	0	[NT]	[NT]
TRH C_{15} - C_{28}	mg/kg	100	Org-003	[NT]	20	<100	<100	0	[NT]	[NT]
TRH C_{29} - C_{36}	mg/kg	100	Org-003	[NT]	20	<100	<100	0	[NT]	[NT]
TRH >C_{10} -C_{16}	mg/kg	50	Org-003	[NT]	20	<50	<50	0	[NT]	[NT]
TRH >C_{16} -C_{34}	mg/kg	100	Org-003	[NT]	20	<100	<100	0	[NT]	[NT]
TRH >C_{34} -C_{40}	mg/kg	100	Org-003	[NT]	20	<100	<100	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%		Org-003	[NT]	20	89	88	1	[NT]	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: PAHs in Soil						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-1	226180-2
Date extracted	-			20/09/2019	1	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-			20/09/2019	1	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	118	126
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	108	110
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	108	112
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	106	110
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	110	112
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	112	120
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.2	Org-012	<0.2	1	<0.2	<0.2	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	Org-012	<0.05	1	<0.05	<0.05	0	112	118
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate p-Terphenyl-d14	%		Org-012	96	1	97	99	2	100	101

QUALITY CONTROL: PAHs in Soil						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-2	226180-21
Date extracted	-			[NT]	11	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-			[NT]	11	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	124	122
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	116	108
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	110	110
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	110	108
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	112	110
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	118	120
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.2	Org-012	[NT]	11	<0.2	<0.2	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	Org-012	[NT]	11	<0.05	<0.05	0	116	116
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate p-Terphenyl-d14	%		Org-012	[NT]	11	100	102	2	103	100

QUALITY CONTROL: PAHs in Soil					Duplicate				Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date extracted	-			[NT]	20	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		[NT]	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	20	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		[NT]	[NT]
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.2	Org-012	[NT]	20	<0.2	<0.2	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	Org-012	[NT]	20	<0.05	<0.05	0	[NT]	[NT]
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-012	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate p-Terphenyl-d14	%		Org-012	[NT]	20	99	99	0	[NT]	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: Organochlorine Pesticides in soil						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-1	226180-2
Date extracted	-			20/09/2019	1	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-			21/09/2019	1	21/09/2019	21/09/2019		21/09/2019	21/09/2019
HCB	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	104	110
gamma-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
beta-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	102	107
Heptachlor	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	98	104
delta-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aldrin	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	106	111
Heptachlor Epoxide	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	107	111
gamma-Chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
alpha-chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDE	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	105	112
Dieldrin	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	124	129
Endrin	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	94	93
pp-DDD	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	99	102
Endosulfan II	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDT	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endosulfan Sulphate	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	108	102
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-005	103	1	104	105	1	99	102

QUALITY CONTROL: Organochlorine Pesticides in soil					Duplicate				Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-2	226180-21
Date extracted	-			[NT]	11	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-			[NT]	11	21/09/2019	21/09/2019		21/09/2019	21/09/2019
HCB	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	103	105
gamma-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
beta-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	101	101
Heptachlor	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	97	98
delta-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aldrin	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	105	106
Heptachlor Epoxide	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	107	107
gamma-Chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
alpha-chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDE	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	105	105
Dieldrin	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	124	123
Endrin	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	93	95
pp-DDD	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	99	97
Endosulfan II	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDT	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endosulfan Sulphate	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	97	97
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-005	[NT]	11	105	104	1	98	98

QUALITY CONTROL: Organochlorine Pesticides in soil					Duplicate				Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date extracted	-			[NT]	20	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		[NT]	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	20	21/09/2019	21/09/2019		[NT]	[NT]
HCB	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
gamma-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
beta-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Heptachlor	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
delta-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aldrin	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Heptachlor Epoxide	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
gamma-Chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
alpha-chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDE	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Dieldrin	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endrin	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDD	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endosulfan II	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDT	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endosulfan Sulphate	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	0.1	Org-005	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-005	[NT]	20	104	102	2	[NT]	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: Organophosphorus Pesticides						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-1	226180-2
Date extracted	-			20/09/2019	1	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-			21/09/2019	1	21/09/2019	21/09/2019		21/09/2019	21/09/2019
Azinphos-methyl (Guthion)	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Bromophos-ethyl	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chlorpyriphos	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	107	101
Chlorpyriphos-methyl	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Diazinon	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Dichlorvos	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	91	101
Dimethoate	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Ethion	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	94	87
Fenitrothion	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	116	97
Malathion	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	78	108
Parathion	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	71	75
Ronnel	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	102	100
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-008	103	1	104	105	1	99	102

QUALITY CONTROL: Organophosphorus Pesticides						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-2	226180-21
Date extracted	-			[NT]	11	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-			[NT]	11	21/09/2019	21/09/2019		21/09/2019	21/09/2019
Azinphos-methyl (Guthion)	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Bromophos-ethyl	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chlorpyriphos	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	108	101
Chlorpyriphos-methyl	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Diazinon	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Dichlorvos	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	120	101
Dimethoate	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Ethion	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	92	95
Fenitrothion	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	110	101
Malathion	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	118	122
Parathion	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	70	78
Ronnel	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	104	96
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-008	[NT]	11	105	104	1	98	98

QUALITY CONTROL: Organophosphorus Pesticides					Duplicate				Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date extracted	-			[NT]	20	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		[NT]	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	20	21/09/2019	21/09/2019		[NT]	[NT]
Azinphos-methyl (Guthion)	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Bromophos-ethyl	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chlorpyriphos	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chlorpyriphos-methyl	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Diazinon	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Dichlorvos	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Dimethoate	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Ethion	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Fenitrothion	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Malathion	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Parathion	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Ronnel	mg/kg	0.1	Org-008	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-008	[NT]	20	104	102	2	[NT]	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: PCBs in Soil						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-1	226180-2
Date extracted	-			20/09/2019	1	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-			21/09/2019	1	21/09/2019	21/09/2019		21/09/2019	21/09/2019
Aroclor 1016	mg/kg	0.1	Org-006	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1221	mg/kg	0.1	Org-006	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1232	mg/kg	0.1	Org-006	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1242	mg/kg	0.1	Org-006	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1248	mg/kg	0.1	Org-006	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1254	mg/kg	0.1	Org-006	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	98	103
Aroclor 1260	mg/kg	0.1	Org-006	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-006	103	1	104	105	1	99	102

QUALITY CONTROL: PCBs in Soil						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-2	226180-21
Date extracted	-			[NT]	11	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-			[NT]	11	21/09/2019	21/09/2019		21/09/2019	21/09/2019
Aroclor 1016	mg/kg	0.1	Org-006	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1221	mg/kg	0.1	Org-006	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1232	mg/kg	0.1	Org-006	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1242	mg/kg	0.1	Org-006	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1248	mg/kg	0.1	Org-006	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1254	mg/kg	0.1	Org-006	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	103	99
Aroclor 1260	mg/kg	0.1	Org-006	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-006	[NT]	11	105	104	1	98	98

QUALITY CONTROL: PCBs in Soil						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date extracted	-			[NT]	20	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		[NT]	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	20	21/09/2019	21/09/2019		[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1016	mg/kg	0.1	Org-006	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1221	mg/kg	0.1	Org-006	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1232	mg/kg	0.1	Org-006	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1242	mg/kg	0.1	Org-006	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1248	mg/kg	0.1	Org-006	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1254	mg/kg	0.1	Org-006	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1260	mg/kg	0.1	Org-006	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-006	[NT]	20	104	102	2	[NT]	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: Acid Extractable metals in soil						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-1	226180-2
Date prepared	-			20/09/2019	1	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-			20/09/2019	1	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Arsenic	mg/kg	4	Metals-020	<4	1	<4	<4	0	111	#
Cadmium	mg/kg	0.4	Metals-020	<0.4	1	<0.4	<0.4	0	108	83
Chromium	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	<1	1	14	15	7	115	89
Copper	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	<1	1	27	29	7	108	97
Lead	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	<1	1	8	9	12	113	82
Mercury	mg/kg	0.1	Metals-021	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	103	94
Nickel	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	<1	1	12	12	0	106	84
Zinc	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	<1	1	40	45	12	113	91

QUALITY CONTROL: Acid Extractable metals in soil						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-2	226180-21
Date prepared	-			[NT]	11	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Date analysed	-			[NT]	11	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	20/09/2019
Arsenic	mg/kg	4	Metals-020	[NT]	11	<4	<4	0	114	71
Cadmium	mg/kg	0.4	Metals-020	[NT]	11	<0.4	<0.4	0	108	82
Chromium	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	[NT]	11	22	24	9	123	90
Copper	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	[NT]	11	33	37	11	111	100
Lead	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	[NT]	11	7	7	0	118	78
Mercury	mg/kg	0.1	Metals-021	[NT]	11	<0.1	<0.1	0	95	87
Nickel	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	[NT]	11	17	19	11	108	86
Zinc	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	[NT]	11	28	32	13	112	99

QUALITY CONTROL: Acid Extractable metals in soil						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date prepared	-			[NT]	20	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		[NT]	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	20	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		[NT]	[NT]
Arsenic	mg/kg	4	Metals-020	[NT]	20	<4	<4	0	[NT]	[NT]
Cadmium	mg/kg	0.4	Metals-020	[NT]	20	<0.4	<0.4	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chromium	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	[NT]	20	30	32	6	[NT]	[NT]
Copper	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	[NT]	20	21	20	5	[NT]	[NT]
Lead	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	[NT]	20	10	9	11	[NT]	[NT]
Mercury	mg/kg	0.1	Metals-021	[NT]	20	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Nickel	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	[NT]	20	8	8	0	[NT]	[NT]
Zinc	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	[NT]	20	29	29	0	[NT]	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: Misc Inorg - Soil						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-1	[NT]
Date prepared	-			20/09/2019	1	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	[NT]
Date analysed	-			20/09/2019	1	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	[NT]
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units		Inorg-001	[NT]	1	7.1	7.1	0	102	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: Misc Inorg - Soil						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-2	[NT]
Date prepared	-			[NT]	12	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	12	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	[NT]
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units		Inorg-001	[NT]	12	8.3	8.2	1	102	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: Misc Inorg - Soil						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date prepared	-			[NT]	18	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		[NT]	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	18	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		[NT]	[NT]
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units		Inorg-001	[NT]	18	7.5	7.6	1	[NT]	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: CEC						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-1	[NT]
Date prepared	-			20/09/2019	1	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	[NT]
Date analysed	-			20/09/2019	1	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	[NT]
Exchangeable Ca	meq/100g	0.1	Metals-009	<0.1	1	19	20	5	93	[NT]
Exchangeable K	meq/100g	0.1	Metals-009	<0.1	1	0.6	0.6	0	95	[NT]
Exchangeable Mg	meq/100g	0.1	Metals-009	<0.1	1	7.7	7.9	3	92	[NT]
Exchangeable Na	meq/100g	0.1	Metals-009	<0.1	1	0.37	0.40	8	113	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: CEC						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-2	[NT]
Date prepared	-			[NT]	12	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	12	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	[NT]
Exchangeable Ca	meq/100g	0.1	Metals-009	[NT]	12	17	16	6	92	[NT]
Exchangeable K	meq/100g	0.1	Metals-009	[NT]	12	0.2	0.2	0	95	[NT]
Exchangeable Mg	meq/100g	0.1	Metals-009	[NT]	12	7.5	7.2	4	91	[NT]
Exchangeable Na	meq/100g	0.1	Metals-009	[NT]	12	1.3	1.3	0	109	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: CEC						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date prepared	-			[NT]	21	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		[NT]	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	21	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		[NT]	[NT]
Exchangeable Ca	meq/100g	0.1	Metals-009	[NT]	21	11	11	0	[NT]	[NT]
Exchangeable K	meq/100g	0.1	Metals-009	[NT]	21	0.3	0.4	29	[NT]	[NT]
Exchangeable Mg	meq/100g	0.1	Metals-009	[NT]	21	5.0	5.2	4	[NT]	[NT]
Exchangeable Na	meq/100g	0.1	Metals-009	[NT]	21	0.38	0.46	19	[NT]	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: Texture and Salinity*						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-1	[NT]
Date prepared	-			20/09/2019	1	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	[NT]
Date analysed	-			20/09/2019	1	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	[NT]
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	1	Inorg-002	<1	1	80	79	1	105	[NT]
Texture Value	-		INORG-123	[NT]	1	7.0	7.0	0	[NT]	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: Texture and Salinity*						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-2	[NT]
Date prepared	-			[NT]	12	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	12	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		20/09/2019	[NT]
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	1	Inorg-002	[NT]	12	45	46	2	104	[NT]
Texture Value	-		INORG-123	[NT]	12	9.0	9.0	0	[NT]	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: Texture and Salinity*						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date prepared	-			[NT]	18	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		[NT]	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	18	20/09/2019	20/09/2019		[NT]	[NT]
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	1	Inorg-002	[NT]	18	44	45	2	[NT]	[NT]
Texture Value	-		INORG-123	[NT]	18	8.0	8.0	0	[NT]	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Water						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W2	[NT]
Date extracted	-			20/09/2019	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	20/09/2019	[NT]
Date analysed	-			21/09/2019	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	21/09/2019	[NT]
TRH C_6 - C_9	µg/L	10	Org-016	<10	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	127	[NT]
TRH C_6 - C_{10}	µg/L	10	Org-016	<10	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	127	[NT]
Benzene	µg/L	1	Org-016	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	120	[NT]
Toluene	µg/L	1	Org-016	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	130	[NT]
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	1	Org-016	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	128	[NT]
m+p-xylene	µg/L	2	Org-016	<2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	128	[NT]
o-xylene	µg/L	1	Org-016	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	130	[NT]
Naphthalene	µg/L	1	Org-013	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate Dibromofluoromethane	%		Org-016	104	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	101	[NT]
Surrogate toluene-d8	%		Org-016	99	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	101	[NT]
Surrogate 4-BFB	%		Org-016	95	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	101	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: svTRH (C10-C40) in Water						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W2	[NT]
Date extracted	-			18/09/2019	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	18/09/2019	[NT]
Date analysed	-			19/09/2019	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	19/09/2019	[NT]
TRH C_{10} - C_{14}	µg/L	50	Org-003	<50	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	83	[NT]
TRH C_{15} - C_{28}	µg/L	100	Org-003	<100	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	73	[NT]
TRH C_{29} - C_{36}	µg/L	100	Org-003	<100	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	92	[NT]
TRH >C_{10} - C_{16}	µg/L	50	Org-003	<50	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	83	[NT]
TRH >C_{16} - C_{34}	µg/L	100	Org-003	<100	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	73	[NT]
TRH >C_{34} - C_{40}	µg/L	100	Org-003	<100	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	92	[NT]
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%		Org-003	80	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	103	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: PAHs in Water						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W2	[NT]
Date extracted	-			20/09/2019	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	20/09/2019	[NT]
Date analysed	-			20/09/2019	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	20/09/2019	[NT]
Naphthalene	µg/L	1	Org-012	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	122	[NT]
Acenaphthylene	µg/L	1	Org-012	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Acenaphthene	µg/L	1	Org-012	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Fluorene	µg/L	1	Org-012	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	106	[NT]
Phenanthrene	µg/L	1	Org-012	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	94	[NT]
Anthracene	µg/L	1	Org-012	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Fluoranthene	µg/L	1	Org-012	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	94	[NT]
Pyrene	µg/L	1	Org-012	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	100	[NT]
Benzo(a)anthracene	µg/L	1	Org-012	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Chrysene	µg/L	1	Org-012	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	100	[NT]
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	µg/L	2	Org-012	<2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(a)pyrene	µg/L	1	Org-012	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	90	[NT]
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	µg/L	1	Org-012	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	µg/L	1	Org-012	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	µg/L	1	Org-012	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate p-Terphenyl-d14	%		Org-012	89	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	90	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: OCP in water						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W2	[NT]
Date extracted	-			18/09/2019	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	18/09/2019	[NT]
Date analysed	-			18/09/2019	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	18/09/2019	[NT]
HCB	µg/L	0.2	Org-005	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
alpha-BHC	µg/L	0.2	Org-005	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	98	[NT]
gamma-BHC	µg/L	0.2	Org-005	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
beta-BHC	µg/L	0.2	Org-005	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	104	[NT]
Heptachlor	µg/L	0.2	Org-005	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	105	[NT]
delta-BHC	µg/L	0.2	Org-005	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Aldrin	µg/L	0.2	Org-005	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	102	[NT]
Heptachlor Epoxide	µg/L	0.2	Org-005	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	106	[NT]
gamma-Chlordane	µg/L	0.2	Org-005	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
alpha-Chlordane	µg/L	0.2	Org-005	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Endosulfan I	µg/L	0.2	Org-005	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDE	µg/L	0.2	Org-005	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	113	[NT]
Dieldrin	µg/L	0.2	Org-005	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	118	[NT]
Endrin	µg/L	0.2	Org-005	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	111	[NT]
pp-DDD	µg/L	0.2	Org-005	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	112	[NT]
Endosulfan II	µg/L	0.2	Org-005	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDT	µg/L	0.2	Org-005	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Endrin Aldehyde	µg/L	0.2	Org-005	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Endosulfan Sulphate	µg/L	0.2	Org-005	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	118	[NT]
Methoxychlor	µg/L	0.2	Org-005	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-005	97	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	91	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: OP Pesticides in water						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W2	[NT]
Date extracted	-			18/09/2019	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	18/09/2019	[NT]
Date analysed	-			18/09/2019	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	18/09/2019	[NT]
Azinphos-methyl (Guthion)	µg/L	0.2	Org-008	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Bromophos ethyl	µg/L	0.2	Org-008	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Chlorpyriphos	µg/L	0.2	Org-008	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	123	[NT]
Chlorpyriphos-methyl	µg/L	0.2	Org-008	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Diazinon	µg/L	0.2	Org-008	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Dichlorvos	µg/L	0.2	Org-008	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	99	[NT]
Dimethoate	µg/L	0.2	Org-008	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Ethion	µg/L	0.2	Org-008	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	128	[NT]
Fenitrothion	µg/L	0.2	Org-008	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	116	[NT]
Malathion	µg/L	0.2	Org-008	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	110	[NT]
Parathion	µg/L	0.2	Org-008	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	110	[NT]
Ronnel	µg/L	0.2	Org-008	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	113	[NT]
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-008	97	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	104	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: PCBs in Water						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W2	[NT]
Date extracted	-			18/09/2019	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	18/09/2019	[NT]
Date analysed	-			18/09/2019	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	18/09/2019	[NT]
Aroclor 1016	µg/L	2	Org-006	<2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1221	µg/L	2	Org-006	<2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1232	µg/L	2	Org-006	<2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1242	µg/L	2	Org-006	<2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1248	µg/L	2	Org-006	<2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1254	µg/L	2	Org-006	<2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	102	[NT]
Aroclor 1260	µg/L	2	Org-006	<2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-006	98	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	113	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: Metals in Water - Dissolved						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W1	[NT]
Date digested	-			20/09/2019	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	20/09/2019	[NT]
Date analysed	-			20/09/2019	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	20/09/2019	[NT]
Arsenic - Dissolved	mg/L	0.05	Metals-020	<0.05	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	101	[NT]
Cadmium - Dissolved	mg/L	0.01	Metals-020	<0.01	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	97	[NT]
Chromium - Dissolved	mg/L	0.01	Metals-020	<0.01	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	99	[NT]
Copper - Dissolved	mg/L	0.01	Metals-020	<0.01	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	101	[NT]
Lead - Dissolved	mg/L	0.03	Metals-020	<0.03	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	104	[NT]
Mercury - Dissolved	mg/L	0.0005	Metals-021	<0.0005	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	94	[NT]
Nickel - Dissolved	mg/L	0.02	Metals-020	<0.02	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	96	[NT]
Zinc - Dissolved	mg/L	0.02	Metals-020	<0.02	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	101	[NT]

Result Definitions

NT	Not tested
NA	Test not required
INS	Insufficient sample for this test
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
<	Less than
>	Greater than
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
NS	Not specified
NEPM	National Environmental Protection Measure
NR	Not Reported

Quality Control Definitions

Blank	This is the component of the analytical signal which is not derived from the sample but from reagents, glassware etc, can be determined by processing solvents and reagents in exactly the same manner as for samples.
Duplicate	This is the complete duplicate analysis of a sample from the process batch. If possible, the sample selected should be one where the analyte concentration is easily measurable.
Matrix Spike	A portion of the sample is spiked with a known concentration of target analyte. The purpose of the matrix spike is to monitor the performance of the analytical method used and to determine whether matrix interferences exist.
LCS (Laboratory Control Sample)	This comprises either a standard reference material or a control matrix (such as a blank sand or water) fortified with analytes representative of the analyte class. It is simply a check sample.
Surrogate Spike	Surrogates are known additions to each sample, blank, matrix spike and LCS in a batch, of compounds which are similar to the analyte of interest, however are not expected to be found in real samples.

Australian Drinking Water Guidelines recommend that Thermotolerant Coliform, Faecal Enterococci, & E.Coli levels are less than 1cfu/100mL. The recommended maximums are taken from "Australian Drinking Water Guidelines", published by NHMRC & ARMC 2011.

Laboratory Acceptance Criteria

Duplicate sample and matrix spike recoveries may not be reported on smaller jobs, however, were analysed at a frequency to meet or exceed NEPM requirements. All samples are tested in batches of 20. The duplicate sample RPD and matrix spike recoveries for the batch were within the laboratory acceptance criteria.

Filters, swabs, wipes, tubes and badges will not have duplicate data as the whole sample is generally extracted during sample extraction.

Spikes for Physical and Aggregate Tests are not applicable.

For VOCs in water samples, three vials are required for duplicate or spike analysis.

Duplicates: >10xPQL - RPD acceptance criteria will vary depending on the analytes and the analytical techniques but is typically in the range 20%-50% – see ELN-P05 QA/QC tables for details; <10xPQL - RPD are higher as the results approach PQL and the estimated measurement uncertainty will statistically increase.

Matrix Spikes, LCS and Surrogate recoveries: Generally 70-130% for inorganics/metals; 60-140% for organics (+/-50% surrogates) and 10-140% for labile SVOCs (including labile surrogates), ultra trace organics and speciated phenols is acceptable.

In circumstances where no duplicate and/or sample spike has been reported at 1 in 10 and/or 1 in 20 samples respectively, the sample volume submitted was insufficient in order to satisfy laboratory QA/QC protocols.

When samples are received where certain analytes are outside of recommended technical holding times (THTs), the analysis has proceeded. Where analytes are on the verge of breaching THTs, every effort will be made to analyse within the THT or as soon as practicable.

Where sampling dates are not provided, Envirolab are not in a position to comment on the validity of the analysis where recommended technical holding times may have been breached.

Measurement Uncertainty estimates are available for most tests upon request.

Analysis of aqueous samples typically involves the extraction/digestion and/or analysis of the liquid phase only (i.e. NOT any settled sediment phase but inclusive of suspended particles if present), unless stipulated on the Envirolab COC and/or by correspondence. Notable exceptions include certain Physical Tests (pH/EC/BOD/COD/Apparent Colour etc.), Solids testing, total recoverable metals and PFAS where solids are included by default.

Report Comments

pH/Salinity - out of recommended holding time
water sample - Incorrect container

Dissolved Metals: no filtered, preserved sample was received, therefore the unpreserved sample was filtered through 0.45µm filter at the lab.
Note: there is a possibility some elements may be underestimated.

8 metals in soil - #Low spike recovery was obtained for this sample. Sample matrix interference is suspected. However, an acceptable recovery was obtained for the LCS

KELLEY COVEY
GROUP PTY LTD
Consulting Engineers

DEVELOPMENT SERVICING STRATEGY

FOR

PROPOSED COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT

AT

LOTS 2 & 3 IN DP1234850, 55 DAMPIER STREET, TAMINDA NSW

FOR

ELTON CONSULTING PTY LTD

PROJECT NO: T196893

REPORT NO: 52286RPT ISSUE B AUGUST 2019

DOCUMENT ISSUE APPROVAL

Project No: T196893

Title: Development Servicing Strategy Report

Client: Elton Consulting Pty Ltd

Date: August 2019

Issue No: B

Distribution: Justin Foong – Elton Consulting Pty Ltd - One (1) electronic copy

Kelley Covey Group Pty Ltd - One (1) copy

© Copyright **Kelley Covey Group Pty Ltd** (2019).

This document is the property of **Kelley Covey Group Pty Ltd**. This document and the information contained in it are solely for the use of the authorised recipient and this document may not be used, copies of reproduced in whole or part for any purpose other than that for which it was supplied by Kelley Covey Group Pty Ltd. **Kelley Covey Group Pty Ltd** makes no representation, undertakes no duty and accepts no responsibility to any third party who may use or rely upon this document or the information contained in it.

COPYRIGHT NOTICE: Parts of this document contain material originally prepared by:

Rothelowman Architects
Tamworth Regional Council

This material is the intellectual property and copyright of Kelley Covey Group Pty Ltd.

Checked: Matthew Ferris

BEng, AdDipMgt

Approved: Justin Cant

BEng, CPEng, MIEAust, NPER,RPEQ(15162)

1. Introduction

This Servicing Strategy Report has been prepared by Kelley Covey Group for Elton Consulting Pty Ltd to accompany a planning proposal and future development application for the rezoning of Lots 2 & 3 in DP1234850, part 55 Dampier Street, Taminda. It is proposed to re-zone the site to a mix of IN1 – General Industrial and B5 – Business Development zones to facilitate development of a commercial/industrial business park at the site. The site is currently zoned RU4 – Primary Production Small Lots as per the *Tamworth Local Environment Plan, 2010 (LEP).*

This Servicing Strategy Report has been prepared in accordance with *Schedule 1 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation, 2000*, the *Tamworth Regional Development Control Plan, 2010 (TRDCP)* and the *Tamworth Regional Engineering Minimum Standards for Subdivisions and Developments*. Within this report, references to "the site" means Lot 2 & 3 in DP1234850 to which this servicing strategy relates, "the parcel" refers to the Consolidated Chan Abbey Holdings Pty Ltd Lands (described herein) and "Council" refers to Tamworth Regional Council.

2. Description of the Property

1. Site Details

- Property Description: Lot 2 & 3 in DP 1234850
  Locality of Taminda
  Parish of Murroon
  County of Parry
- Property Address: 55 Dampier Street, Taminda NSW.
- Registered Owner: Chan Abbey Holdings
- Applicant: Elton Consulting Pty Ltd
- Local Authority: Tamworth Regional Council
- Total Site Area: 11.34ha
- Zoning: RU4 – Primary Production Small Lots, Minimum Lot Size: 40ha;

2. Property Description

The subject property is located on the north-eastern fringe of the Taminda industrial area, approximately 3km west of the Tamworth city centre. The site consists of two lots; Lot 2 on the western side has road frontage to Wallamore Road, whilst Lot 3 on the eastern side has frontage to Dampier Street. The lots are bisected adjacent to their northern boundary by a 40m road reserve for future road construction. The lots are part of a larger parcel of land, known as the Consolidated Chan Abbey Holdings Lands Pty Ltd (the parcel), that also includes Lot 1 in DP 1234850 and Lot 60 in DP1227482, also known as Somerset Farm. For the purpose of this servicing strategy, only Lots 2 & 3 are to be considered.

Along the southern boundary of the site are existing small lot light-industrial developments, to the east is the Tamworth Lawn Cemetery (with expansion currently under construction), and to the north and west of the site the land is used for agriculture, in particular irrigated feed crops and some stock grazing.

The site is located within the Wallamore Anabrach flood plain of the Peel River system, and is within the Flood Planning Area as defined in the TRLEP. The site is considered reasonably flat, with a slight grade north towards the Peel River. The natural topography ranges from RL372 to RL373.

The site is virtually undeveloped, with a history of mixed use farming including crop production and stock grazing. In the south-east corner of the site, an area of approximately 6,500m^2 is currently being used for the storage of miscellaneous items including shipping containers, small transportable buildings and temporary ablutions blocks.

An existing 6m wide easement for the drainage of sewer traverses the site diagonally from the south eastern corner to the north-western corner. The easement contains a 900mm diameter trunk sewer main that conveys flows from a large catchment including South Tamworth, West Tamworth and Taminda and eventually discharges to the Westdale Wastewater Treatment Plant located approximately 2.7km to the west.

There is also a 5m easement to drain water the traverses the site north to south along the eastern boundary of Lot 2. A 450mm diameter RCP discharges via a headwall to a channel formed within the easement. A 150mm diameter sewer line is also constructed within this easement, and discharges into the 900mm trunk main.



Plate 1. Site Location Plan (*Source: SIX Maps, retrieved 12 August 2019*)

Plate 2. Aerial Photo of Site (*Source: NearMaps, retrieved 12 August 2019*)

3. Existing Services and Utilities

The site benefits from a water service connection from the existing DN100 DICL main located on the northern side of the Wallamore Road reserve. There is sewer infrastructure available to provide connection to the site but it is believed that the site is not currently connected to sewer.

The site drains as sheet flow north to the Peel River, and the 450mm diameter RCP and headwall located in the drainage easement at the southern boundary of the site discharges runoff from the upstream developed area to the south of the site in Kingsford Smith Street. A stormwater pipe also discharges at the south-eastern boundary of the site in Dampier Street to existing open channels located within the road reserve. There is minimal stormwater drainage infrastructure in Wallamore Road, and flows from the road reserve discharge through roughly formed open swales to a natural watercourse and causeway west of the site, which forms part of the Timbumburi Creek floodplain.

There is overhead electrical power in both Wallamore Road and Dampier Street as well as underground telecommunications and natural gas connections. The site is within the NBN-ready area of Tamworth.

4. Proposed Development

It is proposed to re-zone the site from RU4 – Primary Production Small Lots to a mix of IN1 – General Industrial and B5 – Business Development, with the long term objective to develop the site as a mixed use commercial/industrial business park consisting of up to 41 Business Development sites and 14 General Industrial sites, as well as internal roads, car parking and landscaped areas. The potential site layout consists of a proposed central loop road using the existing road reserve that traverses the site intended for future road construction. Additional internal roads will branch off this main loop road, potentially intersecting with Dampier Street.

The industrial sites will occupy the southern half of the site, with the business development sites to occupy the remaining northern half of the site east of the existing sewer easement. An indicative masterplan for the proposed development is included in Appendix A. The final layout for the proposed development is still to be finalised, and the mixture of business types that may occupy the site is presently at a very preliminary stage, however the following areas are considered a reasonable estimation of the proposed land use for the site (as taken from the TRLEP permitted uses for land use tables);

- B5 – Business Development; 1.5ha Gross Floor Area (GFA). Potential business types could include, but not to be limited to, the following;

  Centre-based child care facilities; Garden centres; Hardware and building supplies; Landscaping material supplies; Light industries; Liquid fuel depots; Oyster aquaculture; Passenger transport facilities; Respite day care centres; Self-storage units; Shop top housing; Specialised retail premises; Tank-based aquaculture; Warehouse or distribution centres;

- IN1 – General Industrial; 3.5ha Gross Floor Area (GFA). Potential business types could include, but not to be limited to, the following;

  *Depots; Freight transport facilities; Garden centres; General industries (**general industry** means a building or place (other than a heavy industry or light industry) that is used to carry out an industrial activity); Hardware and building supplies; Industrial training facilities; Landscaping material supplies; Light industries; Liquid fuel depots; Neighbourhood shops; Oyster aquaculture; Places of public worship; Plant nurseries; Rural supplies; Shop top housing; Take away food and drink premises; Tank-based aquaculture; Timber yards; Vehicle sales or hire premises; Warehouse or distribution centres;*

The legal framework defining the development and individual sites (ie. Torrens Title lots, Strata Title or Community Title) is yet to be determined and will be decided following consultation between the owners, potential purchasers and Council as part of future development applications for the site. For the purposes of this strategy, the legal framework of the development is not considered critical.

5. Site Servicing

Strategies for stormwater drainage, electrical and telecommunications utilities, road and pedestrian access and traffic management have been prepared separately and are not included in this report, and only sewer and water utilities have been considered here. Each of the utilities will comply with the design requirements of Council's *Engineering Guidelines for Subdivisions and Developments* (the Guidelines) and *the Tamworth Regional Development Control Plan, 2010 (DCP).* The specific requirements for each service are detailed below:

Sewerage Supply

Options Considered

The site is located within the footprint of the Tamworth Regional gravity sewer network, and indeed several existing sewer mains either traverse the property or are located in adjacent properties and road reserves. A 900mm diameter trunk main traverses the site, and a 150mm diameter main also runs through the site to discharge to the 900mm trunk main, as per Plate 3 below. However, the following advice has been received from Tamworth Regional Council regarding the capacity of the existing 900mm trunk main;

> *"Council officers indicate that the sewer line that traverses this site is at capacity, particularly during wet weather. The supporting information needs to demonstrate that a system acceptable to Council can be put in place for sewerage."*



Plate 3. GIS Sewer Information (*Source: Tamworth Regional Council, retrieved 12 August 2019*)

Following a meeting with Council officers to discuss the potential capacity of the 900mm trunk main further, and following further investigation of GIS data and other available information regarding the existing sewer system, the following has been determined;

- The trunk main commences at the Plain Street Sewer Pump Station, approximately 2km away (by pipeline alignment) and located at 62-64 Plain Street. The pump station collects gravity sewer discharge from a large catchment including West Tamworth, South Tamworth and Taminda, at an invert level of approximately RL367.400, and lifts this discharge to a level of approximately RL372.150 (a lift of approximately 4.75m) to discharge to the 900mm trunk main, which flows by gravity to the Westdale Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) at minimal grade.
- The trunk main has been demonstrated to be at full capacity, particularly during wet weather, and there have been occasions of surcharge from manholes during wet weather events. Analysis of the invert levels of the pipe along the length from the site to the WWTP indicate a grade of less than 0.1%, which is considered significantly constrained.
- The main may have capacity during dry weather and at times of low flows (outside peak daily demand times).
- Council will not permit discharge of sewer by gravity directly to the trunk main on the grounds of there not being consistent and reliable capacity in the main at all times.
- There are no other potential discharge points for sewer by gravity in the vicinity of the site. Indeed, the site is located at essentially the last connection point to the trunk sewer main and the overall sewer network in general.

Council advised there are preliminary plans to upgrade the Plain Street Pump Station capacity by constructing a rising main and associated pump infrastructure to discharge via direct connection to the WWTP. The intention is that the existing 900mm trunk main will be utilised to discharge at full capacity, and any additional required capacity would be met by the rising main, thereby providing additional overall capacity for the Plain Street pump station catchment. This arrangement will not

provide additional capacity at the site however, which is downstream of the pump station and relies on capacity being available in the trunk main.

Following the meeting with Council, and after analysis of available information regarding the existing sewer network, several options for resolution of sewer servicing have been identified;

1. *Gravity discharge to an alternative location within the vicinity of the site* – this is not considered a viable option as the site is within the larger catchment for the Plain Street Pump Station and related trunk main, and so any connection nearby will eventually discharge to the over-capacity trunk main. Therefore, gravity discharge will not be possible without building additional capacity in the trunk main, particularly during wet weather and peak discharge times;

2. *Gravity discharge to a location within a different catchment within the network* – this is not considered viable as the closest network connection point outside the catchment is several kilometres away and a gravity connection will not be physically possible due to natural topography constraints;

3. *Duplicated gravity connection to discharge directly to WWTP* – the construction of a dedicated sewer main for the development along either the same alignment as the trunk main or on a new alignment within road reserve allocations is plausible. The minimum pipeline length, along the same alignment as the existing trunk main, is approximately 2.5km. The principle challenges for this option include the availability of a connection point to the WWTP, achieving minimum vertical grade for the smaller pipe size and discharge rates, the acquirement of easements over private land or finding appropriate service corridors within road reserves, and the cost of construction. However, if these challenges could be overcome, this option represents the least risk and lowest maintenance burden for both the developer and Council.

4. *Sewer Pump Station (SPS) and Rising Main to discharge directly to WWTP* – a pump station and rising main to connect directly to the WWTP would overcome the challenge of finding a viable vertical alignment for the main (as Option 4 above), however the initial capital cost and on-going operational costs of an SPS will typically be considerably higher compared to a gravity connection.

5. *Sewer Pump Station with discharge to an alternative catchment* – A portion of the upstream Westdale area discharges to the trunk main further downstream and closer to the WWTP. There may be an option to construct an SPS to pump to a connection point within this catchment and then allow gravity flow through the existing network. From the discussions with Council it appears as though the existing trunk main has capacity constraints all the way to the WWTP, so this option may not be considered viable.

6. *Sewer Pump Station with discharge to existing rising main on Consolidated Chan Abbey Holdings Lands* – there is an existing rising main traversing the larger lots to the north within the land parcel, which conveys flows across the Peel River from the Swan Street pump station in North Tamworth to the WWTP. Connection to this rising main is plausible, and would involve an SPS and approximately 1.6km of rising main, however the capacity of this rising main to accept additional flows is not known, and there are multiple technical challenges to be overcome with connecting rising mains.

7. *Sewer Pump Station with storage and timed/delayed discharge to trunk main* – Council have advised that the existing 900mm trunk main may have capacity to accept flows outside peak daily flow times (typically around 7-9am and 6-10pm) and during dry weather. This capacity could be utilised by the construction of an SPS with a rising main connection to the trunk main at the site with appropriate pump well storage capacity to enable the restriction or delaying of pumping to the trunk main to times where there is demonstrated and confirmed capacity.

   This option is considered favourable by Council, and will remove the cost of construction of a considerable length of rising main (as per other rising main options discussed here) although

the initial capital cost and on-going operational costs of an SPS may still be prohibitive. There is also considerable risk involved in the basic assumption that there will always be capacity in the trunk main available to permit discharge of the stored contents of the pump station at some time before the storage capacity is exceeded. The addition of surplus emergency storage or even an offline emergency storage tank would mitigate this risk, albeit by increasing the initial capital and operational costs of the system. The storage of untreated sewerage for an extended length of time also increases the risk of odour and bacteria growth and potential septicity, and will need to be carefully managed.

8. *Co-ordination of proposed Plain Street Pump Station Upgrade to provide additional trunk main capacity* – As discussed earlier, Council outlined a proposal to upgrade the Plain Street Pump Station to add a rising main with direct connection to the WWTP. In fact a connection point for the proposed rising main was built in to the WWTP when it was constructed several years ago. The rising main is intended to provide additional capacity above that of the 900mm trunk main rather than reduce the demand on the trunk main. That is, following the upgrade the trunk main will still "run full" – and the rising main will fulfil the remainder of the demand.

   There is potential for the developer and Council to co-ordinate their efforts (through a joint funding arrangement or similar) and apply a modified design philosophy whereby the pump station and rising main upgrade is increased in scope and scale to achieve a reduction in demand on the 900mm trunk main so that the main will not have to "run full"; thereby providing capacity in the main that may be utilised for the proposed development.

   This option provides synergies for both Council and the developer, as the infrastructure required to meet the needs of both parties with a single pump station/rising main system will be far less in quantity and scale compared to two systems running independently, thereby reducing overall initial capital and operational costs.

   Of course, the viability of this option will require extensive consultation between the developer and Council, and there remains a risk that the timeframes of each party for the delivery of the upgraded system may not align.

9. *Low-pressure sewer system* – There are existing areas. Including residential areas in the Hills Plain area and an industrial development in Taminda, where low-pressure sewer has been used to service the development. Low-pressure sewer involves the construction of small individual pump stations at each lot, with rising mains connecting each pump station to form a network. The network outlet can then discharge to a gravity system or other rising main.

   This type of system could be considered in lieu of a single large pump station as per Options 4-7 above. Council discourage the use of low-pressure sewer systems as they have been proven to have expensive operating and maintenance costs, however they have allowed their use in extenuating circumstances.

Assessment of Daily Flows

The assessment of flows generated by development of the proposal and the associated capacity of the existing sewer network to accept these flows is difficult to quantify due to the highly variable nature of flows generated by industrial developments. *Appendix HW N 'Estimation of Equivalent Tenements (ET), Storm Allowance (SA) and Design Flow' in the Hunter Water Edition (Version 2) of WSA 02* provides a range of values for a range of different industrial and business uses based on either the number of employees or the gross area of development. These values are highly variable and industry specific, and it is impossible at this stage of the planning process to determine an appropriate value to estimate design flows for this development without any knowledge of the likely use of each lot. As the planning process evolves and the likely nature of proposed developments at the site becomes known; more detailed estimates of design sewer flows can be determined.

However, as a guide, the following conservative values have been adopted;

- Industrial – Multi Purpose, Future Use Unknown; 30 ET per gross hectare;
- Commercial – High Density Zone; 10 ET per built-up hectare.

Based on the preliminary proposed development areas for each zone type, the following demand has been determined;

(10 ET x 1.5ha Commercial GFA) + (30 ET x 3.5ha Industrial GFA) = 120 ET

PWWF = 13.16 litres/second

Daily Operating Storage Demand; 120 x 180 litres/ET = 21,600 litres

Recommended Servicing Methodology

From the options explored above, and following discussions with Council and preliminary investigation of the existing sewer networks, we are of the opinion that a technical solution for sewer servicing of the site and the proposed development can be found, however we cannot provide any guarantee such solution exists without further analysis of the existing sewer network and design of the proposed development layout. Such analysis is not within the scope of this report.

Similarly, the financial viability of any proposed solution has not been assessed and cannot be reasonably assessed until further detailed investigation and design to accurately determine the existing conditions, the physical attributes of the proposed development, and the suitability of the proposed options has been thoroughly assessed.

In our opinion, we believe the options with the greatest chance of success with regard to technical and financial feasibility would be (in ascending order of assumed capital cost);

1. Option 3 - Duplicated gravity connection to discharge directly to WWTP;
2. Option 7 - Sewer Pump Station with storage and timed discharge to trunk main, and
3. Option 8 - Co-ordination of proposed Plain Street Pump Station Upgrade to provide additional trunk main capacity.

Duplication of a gravity connection is certainly the simplest technical solution (and most likely the least expensive), however finding an alignment that provides a suitable vertical grade (a 225mm diameter sewer main will require a minimum grade of 0.3% to provide self-cleansing flows for a 120 ET loading), and achieving a direct discharge to the WWTP may be problematic.

A stand-alone pump station with timed discharge to the existing main provides a development specific solution, but will require co-ordination with Council (such as the installation of flow meters on the existing trunk main to determine when capacity is available) and will involve significant capital investment and operational costs. The legal framework of the development (torrens title, strata etc) may also affect the viability of this option, as Council may be reluctant to acquire assets that impose a financial burden on operational budgets, and so the system may need to be owned and operated by the development as a collective agreement.

The potential to address capacity shortfalls and co-ordinate a shared-investment upgrade to the existing Plain Street Pump Station in collaboration with Council is a sensible and potentially cost-effective solution, but will require extensive consultation and negotiation with Council and there is a risk that if timeframes for funding and/or delivery aren't aligned the development may be delayed.

We recommend that the developer explore each of these three options further (as well as the other options outlined if desired), in consultation with Council, to determine the most suitable option for servicing of the proposed development.

Water Supply

The site is serviced by a water connection from the existing 100mm diameter main in Wallamore Road. The development will require reticulation of a minimum 150mm main (as per Clause 4.5.6 of The Guidelines) to provide adequate service and fire-fighting capabilities. As there are no suitable mains within the vicinity of the development, and extension of an existing main is required. Analysis of GIS data of the existing water network indicates that the two closest 150mm mains are located at the following, and as shown in Plate 4 below;

- The intersection of Dampier Street and Gunnedah Road (Oxley Highway), approximately 850m south. Whilst the extension of this main seems relatively straight-forward, the road reserve verges in Dampier St are known to be congested with existing and redundant infrastructure, and a subterranean crossing of an active railway line (the Main Northern Railway) will be required, which can be problematic from a regulatory and administration perspective.
- The intersection of Barnes Street and Showground Road, approximately 1,050m east. Despite being a further distance, this route may be more desirable as the road verges in Showground Road are wider than those of Dampier Street, and re-instatement costs will be lower as Showground Road has unsealed shoulders without kerb and gutter.

The most appropriate route for the extension of the 150mm water main to the site should be determined following detailed survey of the proposed routes and consultation with all regulatory authorities, including Council, John Holland (for the railway crossing) and other asset owners.



Plate 4. GIS Water Information (*Source: Tamworth Regional Council , retrieved 12 August 2019*)

Following the extension of the 150mm water main to the site, the reticulation of the water supply within the development is considered relatively simple. The main will be required to loop through the site (most likely from Dampier Street) and connect to the existing 100mm main in Wallamore Road to provide continuity of supply and eliminate dead ends, and individual service connections will need to be provided to each development lot.

Council have advised that the suitability of supply from a pressure and flow perspective will not be a constraint. The legal framework of the development will have an impact on the metering arrangement for the site – torrens title lots will each have individual water meters whilst a strata or community title development will have a single meter at the connection to the main with individual sub-meters for the development lots.

6. Summary

The proposed development consists of a re-zoning of two existing lots in Taminda from RU4 – Primary Production Small Lots to a mixture of B5 – Business Development and IN1 – General Industrial, with the intention of developing the site into a mixed business/industrial development consisting of 41 business site and 14 industrial sites.

Servicing of the site involves the provision of bitumen sealed roads, reticulated water supply, sewer supply, stormwater drainage and underground electrical and telecommunications supply.

A preliminary feasibility analysis to determine the potential for sewer and water serviceability of the site, in the context of the proposed lot layout, has been undertaken to ensure the development can be adequately serviced by each. The preliminary analysis has been undertaken in accordance with the Tamworth Regional Council Engineering Guidelines for Subdivisions and Developments, other applicable standards and design specifications and in consultation with Council officers. The detailed design of each of the services will be completed as part of future development applications.

In our opinion, the preliminary investigation of each of the abovementioned services and utilities indicates that the satisfactory provision of suitable sewer and water infrastructure to the site and proposed development can be achieved, however the technical and financial feasibility of any proposed servicing design, particularly with respect to sewer, will need to be carefully considered as part of further detailed investigations. Additionally, detailed analysis of the existing supply networks for water and sewer, as well as adoption of best-practice demand figures for both water and sewer utilities will be required to determine the requirements for adequate servicing.

Appendix A – Indicative Masterplan (supplied by Elton Consulting)

7.11 Traffic Impact Assessment



Traffic Impact Assessment Report

Rezoning Application, 55 Dampier Street, Taminda

(Our Reference: 29433-TIA01_0)

© Barnson Pty Ltd 2016. Confidential.

barnson
DESIGN.PLAN.MANAGE

a Unit 1 / 36 Darling Street
Dubbo NSW 2830
t 1300 BARNSON (1300 227 6766)
e generalenquiry@barnson.com.au
w www.barnson.com.au

date
19.08.2019

reference
29433-TIA01_0

receiver
Elton Consulting
Attn: Justin Foong

Dear Justin,

Traffic Impact Assessment Report
Rezoning Application
55 Dampier Street, Taminda

With reference to the above, please find the following Traffic Impact Assessment report regarding the rezoning application at 55 Dampier Street, Taminda.

If you have any further enquiries regarding this matter, please contact the undersigned.

Yours faithfully
BARNSON PTY LTD

Luke Morris
BE MIEAust CPEng (Reg)
DIRECTOR

Disclaimer

This report has been prepared solely for Elton Consulting in accordance with the scope provided by the client and for the purpose(s) as outlined throughout this report.

Barnson Pty Ltd accepts no liability or responsibility for or in respect of any use or reliance upon this report and its supporting material by anyone other than the client.

Project Name:	Traffic Impact Assessment Report - Rezoning application at 55 Dampier Street, Taminda
Client:	Elton Consulting
Project No.	229433
Report Reference	29433-TIA01_0
Date:	19.08.2019
Revision:	Final

Prepared by:
Luke Morris BE MIEAust CPEng (Reg) DIRECTOR

LIST OF CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION 7
1.1 Project Background 7
1.2 Purpose and Scope 7
1.3 Existing Development 8
1.3.1 Existing Traffic Hierarchy 9
1.4 Proposed Development 10
2 EXISTING TRANSPORT ENVIRONMENT 11
2.1 Traffic Volumes on Public Roads 11
2.1.1 Wallamore Road Traffic 11
2.1.2 Dampier Street Traffic 11
2.2 Existing Site Access and Pavement 11
2.2.1 Site Access from Wallamore Road 11
2.2.2 Wallamore Road from the South 13
2.2.2.1 Gunnedah Road (Oxley Highway) and Dampier Street Intersection 13
2.2.2.2 Wallamore Road and Dampier Street Intersection 15
2.2.3 Jewry Street from the North-East 16
2.2.3.1 Ebsworth and Jewry Street Intersection 16
2.2.3.2 Arvo and Jewry Street Intersection 18
2.2.3.3 Lockheed and Jewry Street Intersection 19
2.2.4 Dampier Street from the east 20
2.2.4.1 Showground Road and Dampier Street Intersection 20
2.3 Public Transport 23
2.4 Traffic Safety 24
2.5 Existing Operating Hours 24
2.6 Existing parking 24
3 FUTURE TRAFFIC ENVIRONMENT 25
3.1 Traffic Generation 25
3.2 Site Access Location 25
3.3 Wallamore Road Analysis 26
3.4 Proposed Site Entry Intersection Analysis 26
3.5 Wallamore Road and Dampier Street Intersection Analysis 27
3.6 Car parking 28
3.7 Proposed Operating Hours 28
3.8 Cumulative Impacts 28
4 CONCLUSION 29

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Summary of existing traffic volumes on Wallamore Road & Dampier Street 11
Table 2 Summary of traffic generation rates from Rezoning 25
Table 3 Summary of general traffic volumes on Wallamore Road after rezoning 26

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Site Location 7
Figure 2 Site Aerial Photograph 8
Figure 3 Site Road Hierarchy 9
Figure 4 Site Access Intersection with Wallamore Road 12
Figure 5 Site access intersection with Wallamore Road – east view 12
Figure 6 Site access intersection with Wallamore Road – west view 13
Figure 7 Intersection of Gunnedah Road and Dampier Street, west view 14
Figure 8 Intersection of Gunnedah Road and Dampier Street, east view 14
Figure 9 Intersection of Gunnedah Road and Dampier Street, north view 15
Figure 10 Intersection of the Wallamore Road and Dampier Street, south view 15
Figure 11 Intersection of the Wallamore Road and Dampier Street, south view 16
Figure 12 Intersection of the Wallamore Road and Dampier Street, west view 16
Figure 13 Intersection of Ebsworth and Jewry Street, south view 17
Figure 14 Jewry Street, north view past intersection with Ebsworth Street 17
Figure 15 Intersection of Arvo and Jewry Street, south view 18
Figure 16 Intersection of Arvo and Jewry Street, north view 19
Figure 17 Intersection of Lockheed and Jewry Street, south view 19
Figure 18 Intersection of Lockheed and Jewry Street, north view 20
Figure 19 Intersection of Dampier Street and Showground Road towards subject site 21
Figure 20 Intersection of Dampier Street and Showground Road, south view 21
Figure 21 Intersection of Dampier Street and Showground Road, north view 22
Figure 22 Intersection of Dampier Street and Showground Road with existing site entrance 22
Figure 23 55 Dampier Street, existing site entrance 23
Figure 24 Bus lines route map 23
Figure 25 Accident history map 24
Figure 26 Possible site access locations 25
Figure 27 Warrants for turn treatments at unsignalised intersections 27
Figure 28 Traffic distribution as a percentage of total generated traffic 27

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Proposed Site plans
Appendix B – Wallamore Road Traffic Counts
Appendix C – Dampier Street Traffic Counts

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) is for an industrial rezoning application for Lots 2 & 3 DP 1234850, known as 55 Dampier Street, Taminda, NSW 2350.

The proposed development consists of approximately 9Ha of Industrial and Business Development land subject to rezoning.

The property is located within the Tamworth Regional Local Government Area and is currently used for both a portable building/shipping container depot and agricultural purposes.

Access to the site is currently provided from Dampier Street adjacent to the southern site boundary and the intersection of Showground Road and Dampier Street.

This report has considered:

- Review of existing key transport network conditions;
- Calculation of the traffic generated by the proposed developments;
- Determination of key intersections impacted by the proposed developments;
- Analysis of the development's impact on the surrounding road and intersection network impacts on capacity, condition, safety and efficiency; and
- Recommendations to mitigate or manage traffic impact.

Upon analysis, it was found that the development would generate approximately 10.8% increase in daily traffic on Wallamore Road. It is therefore recommended that a new intersection be constructed at the site entrance on Wallamore road comprising:

- A short channelised right-turn (CHR(S)) treatment for the right hand turn into the development; and
- A short auxiliary left-turn (AUL(S)) treatment for the left hand turn into the development.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

Barnson Pty Ltd have been commissioned by Elton Consulting to prepare a Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) for a rezoning application for Lots 2 and 3 in DP 1234850, known as 55 Dampier Street, Taminda, NSW 2350. The site is currently used for a portable building/shipping container depot and agricultural purposes.

The site is currently zoned RU4 Primary Production small lots pursuant to the provisions under the *Tamworth Local Environmental Plan 2010* (the LEP). A locality sketch is shown below in Figure 1.



Source: SIX Maps e-Topo, NSW Spatial Information Exchange, 2019

Figure 1 Site Location

1.2 Purpose and Scope

This report has been commissioned by the applicant as part of a re-zoning application for the subject site.

The purpose of this report is to provide specialised assessment of the proposed development's impacts on traffic, transport and local road infrastructure. The study area of this Traffic Impact Assessment includes the public road infrastructure traversed by vehicles accessing the site.

This report will assess the impacts of the proposed development in accordance with the RMS Guide to Traffic Generating Developments 2002 and Tamworth Regional Councils Development Control Plan 2010.

Specifically, this assessment includes:

- Review of existing key transport network conditions;
- Calculation of the traffic generated by the proposed developments;
- Determination of key intersections impacted by the proposed developments;
- Review car parking;
- Analysis of the development's impact on the surrounding road and intersection network impacts on capacity, condition, safety and efficiency; and
- Recommendations to mitigate or manage traffic impact.

1.3 Existing Development

The site is currently used for:

- A portable building/shipping container business;
- Agricultural (cropping) purposes.

An aerial image is shown below in **Figure 2**.

Source: SIX Maps e-Topo, NSW Spatial Information Exchange, 2019

Figure 2 Site Aerial Photograph

Existing access to the site is via Dampier Street and Wallamore Road.

1.3.1 Existing Traffic Hierarchy

The road hierarchy in the vicinity of the site is shown in **Figure 3** with the following roads being considered the main access roads for the development:

- Gunnedah Road (Oxley Hwy): an RTA State Road (MR 11) that generally runs in an east-west direction and acts as the primary arterial link between Tamworth and Gunnedah in the west;
- Jewry Street: a local collector road that runs in an east-west direction between North Tamworth and Taminda (Dampier Street). It is an RMS classified road from Gunnedah Road (Oxley Highway - HW11) to Peel Street (MR63) at Tamworth;
- Dampier Street: a local road that runs in a north-south direction and currently forms an extension of Jewry Street to the Oxley Highway;
- Wallamore Road: a local road that generally runs to the northwest from Dampier Street (Taminda). It primarily serves the industrial area of Glen Artney, saleyards, abattoirs and the Tangaratta Feedmill further to the north.



Source: SIX Maps e-Topo, NSW Spatial Information Exchange, 2019

Figure 3 Site Road Hierarchy

1.4 Proposed Development

The proposed development will divide the lot into 6.6Ha of General Industrial IN1 zoning and 2.4Ha Business Development B5 zoning. Access to the site will be via a new intersection at the south-western corner of the site on Wallamore Road.

The site layout has not yet been finalised however indicative site plans are provided in Appendix A.

2 EXISTING TRANSPORT ENVIRONMENT

2.1 Traffic Volumes on Public Roads

2.1.1 Wallamore Road Traffic

Traffic counts for Wallamore Road were obtained from Tamworth Regional Council for October 2018.

The counts were taken on Wallamore Road adjacent to Dampier Street over 17 days. These counts indicated the average daily traffic to be 3,692vpd with a heavy vehicle percentage of approximately 9.3% (classes 3-12 inclusive). This data is summarized in Appendix B.

As outlined in Section 1.3.1, Wallamore Road is a local road. The speed limit is 60km/hr approaching the intersection with Dampier Street and 80km/hr exiting the town towards the west.

2.1.2 Dampier Street Traffic

Traffic counts for Dampier Street were also obtained from Tamworth Regional Council for October 2018.

The counts were taken on Dampier Street immediately to the north of Wallamore Road over 17 days. These counts reflected average daily traffic to be 7,493vpd with a heavy vehicle percentage of approximately 14.9% (class 3 – 12 inclusive).

From the Schedule of Classified Roads and Unclassified Regional Roads (RMS, 2017), Dampier Street is a classified Road from Gunnedah Road (Oxley Highway - HW11) via Dampier Street and Jewry Street to Peel Street (MR63) at Tamworth. This is shown in **Figure 3.** Speed limits vary from 50km/hr – 60km/hr.

Table 1 Summary of existing traffic volumes on Wallamore Road & Dampier Street

Location	Vehicles per day[1]	Vehicles per hour[2]
Wallamore Road	3,692	369
Jewry/Dampier Street	7,493	749

1) All vehicle rates shown are for movements in both directions.

2) Vehicle per hour rate (vph) nominally 10% of vehicle per day (vpd) rate, as per RMS guidelines.

2.2 Existing Site Access and Pavement

2.2.1 Site Access from Wallamore Road

The site of the proposed driveway is shown below in **Figure 4**. Current access from Wallamore Road comprises a basic dirt driveway as can be seen in **Figure 6**.

Figure 4 Site Access Intersection with Wallamore Road

At this location Wallamore Road consists of one lane of traffic in each direction with centre line markings. There is a 5m wide sealed parking lane on the north side and sight distances in excess of 200m in both directions. The existing pavement is in reasonable condition.

Figure 5 Site access intersection with Wallamore Road – east view

Figure 6 Site access intersection with Wallamore Road – west view

2.2.2 Wallamore Road from the South

Vehicles accessing the site from the south would predominately turn left or right from the Gunnedah Road (Oxley Hwy) onto Dampier Street, and turn onto Wallamore Road through a key intersection. This is shown in Figure 3.

2.2.2.1 Gunnedah Road (Oxley Highway) and Dampier Street Intersection

The Gunnedah Road (Oxley Highway) and Dampier Street intersection is a three-way RAB (round-a-bout) with 4 lane dual carriageway on each approach. It has concrete kerb and gutter on all side of the approaches. There are designated left and right turn lanes for vehicles exiting the Gunnedah Road into Dampier Street. The RAB is of mountable concrete construction to accommodate the sweep paths of larger vehicles and the surrounding pavement is concrete in excellent condition.

Figure 7 Intersection of Gunnedah Road and Dampier Street, west view

Figure 8 Intersection of Gunnedah Road and Dampier Street, east view

Figure 9 Intersection of Gunnedah Road and Dampier Street, north view

2.2.2.2 Wallamore Road and Dampier Street Intersection

The Wallamore Road and Dampier Street is a standard T-intersection with traffic along Dampier Street forming the major stream. Traffic approaching Dampier Street from Wallamore Road must give-way.

There are centre median strips along Dampier Street for vehicles turning into Wallamore Road. The road has centerline markings and is of sufficient width allowing slip lanes on the inside of turning traffic. It is of asphaltic concrete construction in excellent condition with kerb and gutter on both sides.

Figure 10 Intersection of the Wallamore Road and Dampier Street, south view

Figure 11 Intersection of the Wallamore Road and Dampier Street, south view

Figure 12 Intersection of the Wallamore Road and Dampier Street, west view

2.2.3 Jewry Street from the North-East

Vehicles approaching the site from the north-east travel via Jewry Street and pass through the key intersections with Ebsworth, Arvo, Lockheed and Wirraway Streets. This is shown in **Figure 3**.

2.2.3.1 Ebsworth and Jewry Street Intersection

The Ebsworth and Jewry Street is a four-way RAB (round-a-bout) with splitter islands and four lane dual carriageway on key approaches. It has concrete kerb and gutter on all

side of the approaches. The RAB is of mountable concrete construction to accommodate the sweep paths of larger vehicles and the surrounding pavement is asphaltic concrete in excellent condition.

Figure 13 Intersection of Ebsworth and Jewry Street, south view

Figure 14 Jewry Street, north view past intersection with Ebsworth Street

2.2.3.2 Arvo and Jewry Street Intersection

The Arvo and Jewry Street is a standard T-intersection with traffic along Jewry Street forming the major stream. Traffic approaching Jewry Street from Arvo Street must give-way.

Jewry Street has centerline markings and is of dual carriageway width with kerb and gutter on the eastern side only. It is of asphaltic concrete construction and is in reasonable condition.

Figure 15 Intersection of Arvo and Jewry Street, south view

Figure 16 Intersection of Arvo and Jewry Street, north view

2.2.3.3 Lockheed and Jewry Street Intersection

The Lockheed and Jewry Street is a standard T-intersection with traffic along Jewry Street forming the major stream. Traffic approaching Jewry Street from Lockheed Street must give-way.

Jewry Street has centerline markings and is of sufficient width allowing slip lanes on the inside of turning traffic. It has kerb and gutter on the eastern side only and is of asphaltic concrete construction in reasonable condition.

Figure 17 Intersection of Lockheed and Jewry Street, south view

Figure 18 Intersection of Lockheed and Jewry Street, north view

2.2.4 Dampier Street from the east

For vehicles accessing the site from the south, they would do so from Showground Road. Showground Road forms a staggered 'T' intersection with the subject site and Dampier Street. This is shown in **Figure 3**.

2.2.4.1 Showground Road and Dampier Street Intersection

The Showground Road and Dampier Street is a standard T-intersection with traffic along Dampier Street forming the major stream. Traffic approaching Dampier Street from Showground Road must give-way.

Jewry Street has centerline markings and narrows at the subject site entrance. There is kerb and gutter on both sides of the road immediately south of the entrance but not to the north. The wearing surface is of asphaltic concrete construction is in reasonable condition.

The current entrance to 55 Dampier Street is not directly opposite Showground Rd, as shown in **Figure 3**. The current entrance has no formal driveway and is in poor condition, as shown in **Figure 23.**

Figure 19 Intersection of Dampier Street and Showground Road towards subject site

Figure 20 Intersection of Dampier Street and Showground Road, south view

Note: Existing site access shown on right.

Figure 21 Intersection of Dampier Street and Showground Road, north view

Note: Existing site access shown on left.

Figure 22 Intersection of Dampier Street and Showground Road with existing site entrance

Figure 23 55 Dampier Street, existing site entrance

2.3 Public Transport

A bus service operated by Tamworth Bus-lines operates a daily service along Gunnedah Road, Dampier Street and Jewry Street, with the 437-route passing the subject site twice daily as shown in Figure 21 below:



Figure 24 Bus lines route map

Additional school buses also utilise Jewry Street. There is currently no formal bus stop adjacent to the site.

2.4 Traffic Safety

Traffic accident history of the area has been sourced from the RMS website. In the last six years, there have been two accidents along the subject route within 400m of the proposed site entrance.

The accident history plan is shown in **Figure 25** below.



Degree of crash

Fatal | Serious Injury | Moderate Injury | Minor/Other Injury | Non-casualty (towaway)

Repo..	Crash ID	Degree of crash	RUM - code	RUM - descripti..	Type of location	Natural l..	Longitude	Lattitude	No ..	No Inju..
2013	847196	Serious Injury	20	Head on	2-way undivided	Darkness	150.889831	-31.090475	-	2
2014	1010996	Serious Injury	32	Right rear	2-way undivided	Daylight	150.89616	-31.092549	-	1

Figure 25 Accident history map

2.5 Existing Operating Hours

The existing operating hours for the portable building/shipping container depot are 9.00am-5.00pm Monday to Friday, and 9.00am – 12.00pm on Saturdays.

2.6 Existing parking

At present there are no formal parking provisions on the site, however vehicles do currently park informally on the site as shown in **Figure 23.**

3 FUTURE TRAFFIC ENVIRONMENT

3.1 Traffic Generation

It is proposed to divide the site into 6.6Ha of General Industrial IN1 zoning and 2.4Ha Business Development B5 zoning. The lot configuration has not yet been finalised, however an indicative plan is presented in Appendix A.

Since the number of employees is not yet known, the following indicative figures have been adopted:

- General Industrial IN1 – 35 Employees/Ha;
- Business Development B5 - 70 Employees/Ha.

Therefore, the expected traffic generation is:

Table 2 Summary of traffic generation rates from Rezoning

Rates	Area (Ha)	Daily Traffic Generation (vpd)	Peak Hourly Traffic Generation (vph)[1]
General Industrial IN1 (35/Ha)	6.6	231	23
Business Development B5 (70/Ha)	2.4	168	17
TOTAL:		*399*	*40*

1) Assumed 10% of VPD.

3.2 Site Access Location

Two options for the location of the site ingress/egress have been considered, with frontages to Wallamore Road or Dampier Street. Upon consideration of the high hourly traffic volumes on Dampier Street and further consultation with Tamworth Regional Council regarding the intersection upgrade that would be required, it was concluded that this option was not feasible at this time.



Figure 26 Possible site access locations

It is therefore proposed that site access will be from Wallamore Road in order to minimise impact on local traffic.

It is noted that access from Dampier Street will be reconsidered in future when such an intersection upgrade aligns with Council's Development Plan. Another traffic impact assessment will be conducted at such time.

3.3 Wallamore Road Analysis

The projected total traffic volumes when the site is rezoned and fully developed is summarised in Table 3 below.

Table 3 Summary of general traffic volumes on Wallamore Road after rezoning

Location	Existing/Proposed VPD[1]	Existing/Proposed VPH
Wallamore Road	3,692 / 4,091	369 / 409

1) Combined movements, both directions.

The increase in traffic volumes on when the site is rezoned and fully developed on Wallamore Road is approximately 10.8%.

3.4 Proposed Site Entry Intersection Analysis

As shown in Appendix A, there is a new proposed combined entry/exit to access/egress the site from Wallamore Road. From Table 3 Summary of general traffic volumes on Wallamore Road after rezoning, the increase in traffic on Wallamore Road as a result of the rezoning is approximately 10.8%, which is significant and will require an intersection upgrade.

As per Table 3, it is projected that major stream traffic volume on Wallamore Road will be 409vph; and as per Table 2 the minor stream traffic volume turning into the development will be 40vph. Reviewing these figures against Figure 27 below, it is recommended that a new intersection be constructed at the site entrance on Wallamore Road comprising a short Channelised Right-turn (CHR(S)) treatment for the right hand turn into the development and a short Auxiliary Left-trun (AUL(S)) treatment for the left hand turn.



Source: Figure 4.9, Guide to Road Design Part 4a, Austroads, 2010

Figure 27 Warrants for turn treatments at unsignalised intersections

The proposed intersection will be constructed as per the Austroads Guide to Road Design Part 4a (2010) Figure 7.18 and Figure 8.10.

3.5 Wallamore Road and Dampier Street Intersection Analysis

With the increase in traffic on Wallamore Road there will be higher volumes travelling through the intersection of Wallamore Road and Dampier Street. Since it is within the route for vehicles travelling from the site towards the centre of Tamworth, it is assumed indicatively that 80% of generated traffic, will pass through the intersection. Of this figure it is assumed that the traffic volumes turning left and right onto Wallamore Road from Dampier street and vice versa are equal. This distribution is visualised in Figure 28 below.

Figure 28 Traffic distribution as a percentage of total generated traffic

As per Table 1 the existing major stream traffic volume on Dampier Street as 749vph, and of the 40vph generated by the proposed development it is assumed that 80% or 32vph will pass through the subject intersection.

From Figures 10-12 it can be observed that each lane entering the intersection also features a slip lane. This means that for any traffic stopped to turn right or left off Dampier Street onto Wallamore Road, through traffic can continue to pass. Likewise, vehicles turning left or right from Wallamore Road onto Dampier Street can stop side by side simultaneously.

Since the intersection has capacity for any combination of these movements without interrupting the flow of through traffic, it is assessed that the impact on the intersection resulting from traffic generated by the proposed development will be negligible.

3.6 Car parking

The property is located within the Tamworth Regional Local Government Area. With reference to council's Development Control Plan 2010 (DCP), Appendix A, "Parking Requirements Schedule", carparking will be reviewed against the applicable rate upon receipt of the Development Application.

3.7 Proposed Operating Hours

The specific types of industry and/or businesses are not yet known; however it is expected the operating hours will be between 7.00am-6.00pm Monday to Friday, and 7.00am – 12.00pm on Saturdays, subject to future Development Applications.

3.8 Cumulative Impacts

There are no other known major developments currently being assessed by Tamworth Regional Council in proximity to the subject site.

4 CONCLUSION

This Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) is for an industrial rezoning application at Lots 2 and 3 in DP 1234850, known as 55 Dampier Street, Taminda, NSW 2350.

The development of the site shall consist of rezoning 9Ha of land into Industrial and Business Development zones.

The property is located in the Tamworth Regional Local Government Area and is currently used for a portable building/shipping container business and agricultural (cropping) purposes.

This report has considered:

- Review of existing key transport network conditions;
- Calculation of the traffic generated by the proposed development;
- Determination of key intersections impacted by the proposed development;
- Analysis of the development's impact on the surrounding road and intersection network impacts on capacity, condition, safety and efficiency; and
- Recommendations to mitigate or manage traffic impact.

Upon analysis, we recommend a new intersection be constructed at the site entrance comprising:

- A CHR(S) treatment for the right hand turn into the development; and
- An AUL(S) treatment for the left hand turn into the development.

Should you require any further information or clarification regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Yours faithfully
BARNSON PTY LTD

Luke Morris
BE MIEAust CPEng (Reg)
DIRECTOR

Appendix A – Proposed Site plans

Drawing Register

No.	Title	Rev
TP00.01	EXISTING SITE & DEMOLITION PLAN	A
TP00.02	SITE CONSTRAINTS PLAN	A
TP00.03	SITE PLAN	A
TP03.01	SECTIONS	A

TAMINDA SOLAR FARM AND INDUSTRIAL PRECINCT DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY

SITE AREAS

NAME	AREA	
Solar Farm	77,165 m²	
IN1 Zone	65,684 m²	Excl. Pt Bypass Easement
B5 Zone	24,114 m²	Excl. Pt Bypass Easement

GFA AREAS

NAME	AREA	FSR
Industrial Units (IN1 Land Zone)	35,410 m² GFA	0.54:1 FSR
Retail/Business Sheds (B5 Land Zone)	14,915 m² GFA	0.62:1 FSR



Taminda Solar Farm & Industrial Precinct

Project
55 Dampier St & 72 Wallamore Rd,
Taminda, NSW 2340

6/08/2019 9:06:22 AM

Client
Chan Abbey Holdings Pty Ltd

Disclaimer: Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. retains all common law, statutory law and other rights including copyright and intellectual property rights in respect of this document. The recipient indemnifies Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. against all claims resulting from use of this document for any purpose other than its intended use, unauthorized changes or reuse of the document on other projects without the permission of Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. Under no circumstance shall transfer of this document be deemed a sale or constitute a transfer of the license to use this document. ABN 76 005 783 997

PRELIMINARY

Project No	Date
218133	**13.11.18**
Drawing No.	Revision
TP00.00	**B**

rothelowman
Brisbane, Melbourne, Sydney
www.rothelowman.com.au



INDICATIVE MASTERPLAN

Demolition Notes

Contractor to confirm existing conditions on site.

Contractor to demolish existing structure/remove all materials. Cut and seal services as required in accordance with local authority requirements and a.s. codes for that trade u.n.o

Protection of works as required by local authorities

Cleaning of site to epa requirements by contractor

Preliminary
Precautionary measures to be undertaken as described in AS.2601-2001. A "hazardous substances management plan" is to be implemented before and during demolition if the preliminary investigation of the building identifies any hazardous materials contained therein. These materials will be removed as per the guidelines of the responsible authority and clearance certificate obtained before demolition commences

Safety fencing
Security fences shall be provided to the street boundary of the demolition site and any additional precautionary measures, taken as may be necessary to prevent unauthorised entry to the site, where the demolition site adjoins a public thoroughfare the common boundary between them shall be fenced for its full length with a hoarding unless the least horizontal distance between the common boundary and the nearest part of the structure is greater than twice the height of the structure. The fencing shall be the equivalent of the chain wire as specified in AS.1725

Notices lettered in accordance with AS.1319 and displaying the words "warning demolition in progress", or similar, shall be fixed to the fencing at appropriate places to warn the public. Provision shall be made for ready access to the site by emergency services personnel in the event of fire or accident

Demolition work
Structures shall be demolished in the reverse order to that of their construction. The order of demolition for buildings shall be progressive, storey by storey, having proper regard to the type of construction. No part of any structure shall be left unsupported or unattended in such a condition that it may collapse or become dangerous. Precautions shall be taken to ensure that the stability of all parts of the structure, and the safety of persons on and outside the site, will be maintained in the event of sudden and severe weather changes.

Walls on the boundaries are to be demolished in a safe and workmanship like manner. Walls shall not be laterally loaded by accumulated debris or rubble, to the extent that they are in danger of collapse, allowable loading of the suspended floors shall be determined by an independent structural engineer.

Dust control
The techniques adopted for stripping out and for demolition shall minimise the release of dust into the atmosphere. Before the commencement of stripping or demolition in an area of a structure, any existing accumulations of dust in that area shall be collected, placed in suitable containers and removed. Selection of an appropriate collection technique, such as vacuuming or hosing down, shall take due account of the nature of the dust and the type of hazard it presents. Dust generated during stripping, or during the breaking down of the building fabric to removable sized pieces, shall be kept damp until it is removed from the site or can be otherwise contained. The use of excess water for this purposed shall be avoided.

Noise control
Noise shall be minimised as far as practicable, by the selection of appropriate methods and equipment, and by the use of silencing devices wherever practicable to epa/code requirements.

Note:
1. Attention is drawn to recommendations in AS.2436
2. Hours of operating equipment may be restricted by regulatory authority

Fire services
Where a fire hydrant service or a fire hose reel service is provided in a building, it shall be available at all times during the demolition of the building, so that all remaining storeys except the two uppermost storeys, are serviced. Access to the fire protection services, including any booster fitting, shall be maintained at all times. If a sprinkler system is installed in a building to be demolished, it shall be maintained in an operable condition at each storey below the two uppermost unstripped storeys.

Suitable portable fire extinguishers shall be kept at all times in working area and are not protected by other fire services, and maintained in an operable condition.

Adjoining properties
Safe access to and egress from adjoining buildings shall be maintained at all times for the duration of the demolition work. No demolition activity shall cause damage to or adversely affect the structural integrity of adjoining buildings. The effects of vibration and conversion on adjoining buildings and their occupants shall be minimised by selecting demolition methods and equipment appropriate to the circumstances. Where any surface of an adjoining building is exposed by demolition, the need for weatherproofing the exposed surface shall be investigated and temporary or permanent protection provided as appropriate. Precautions shall be taken to minimise the spreading of mud and debris by vehicles leaving the site.

Revisions

-	20.12.18	PLANNING PROPOSAL	AK
A	27.02.19	AMENDED PLANNING PROPOSAL	AK

6/08/2019 9:06:31 AM

Project: **Taminda Solar Farm & Industrial Precinct**
55 Dampier St & 72 Wallamore Rd,
Taminda, NSW 2340

Drawing: **EXISTING SITE & DEMOLITION PLAN**

Project No: **218133** Date: **08.11.18** Author: **AK** Scale: @ A1 **1 : 2000** Drawing No: **TP00.01 A**

Disclaimer: Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. retains all common law, statutory law and other rights including copyright and intellectual property rights in respect of this document.The recipient indemnifies Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. against all claims resulting from use of this document for any purpose other than its intended use, unauthorized changes or reuse of the document on other projects without the permission of Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. Under no circumstance shall transfer of this document be deemed a sale or constitute a transfer of the license to use this document. ABN 76 005 783 997

rothelowman
Brisbane, Melbourne, Sydney
www.rothelowman.com.au



INDICATIVE MASTERPLAN

Revisions			
-	20.12.18	PLANNING PROPOSAL	AK
A	27.02.19	AMENDED PLANNING PROPOSAL	AK

6/08/2019 9:06:37 AM

Project: **Taminda Solar Farm & Industrial Precinct**
55 Dampier St & 72 Wallamore Rd,
Taminda, NSW 2340

Drawing: **SITE CONSTRAINTS PLAN**

Project No: **218133**

Date: **11/22/18**

Author: **AK**

Scale: @ A1: **1 : 2000**

Drawing No.: **TP00.02 A**

Disclaimer: Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. retains all common law, statutory law and other rights including copyright and intellectual property rights in respect of this document.The recipient indemnifies Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. against all claims resulting from use of this document for any purpose other than its intended use, unauthorized changes or reuse of the document on other projects without the permission of Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. Under no circumstance shall transfer of this document be deemed a sale or constitute a transfer of the license to use this document. ABN 76 005 783 997

rothelowman

Brisbane, Melbourne, Sydney
www.rothelowman.com.au

INDICATIVE MASTERPLAN

Revisions

-	20.12.18	PLANNING PROPOSAL	AK
A	27.02.19	AMENDED PLANNING PROPOSAL	AK

6/08/2019 9:06:50 AM

Project: **Taminda Solar Farm & Industrial Precinct**
55 Dampier St & 72 Wallamore Rd,
Taminda, NSW 2340

Drawing: **SITE PLAN**

Project No: **218133**

Date: **13.11.18**

Author: **AK**

Scale: @ A1 **1 : 2000**

Drawing No.: **TP00.03 A**

Disclaimer: Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. retains all common law, statutory law and other rights including copyright and intellectual property rights in respect of this document.The recipient indemnifies Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. against all claims resulting from use of this document for any purpose other than its intended use, unauthorized changes or reuse of the document on other projects without the permission of Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. Under no circumstance shall transfer of this document be deemed a sale or constitute a transfer of the license to use this document. ABN 76 005 783 997

rothelowman

Brisbane, Melbourne, Sydney
www.rothelowman.com.au

INDICATIVE MASTERPLAN

Revisions

-	20.12.18	PLANNING PROPOSAL	AK
A	27.02.19	AMENDED PLANNING PROPOSAL	AK
B	06.08.19	AMENDED PLANNING PROPOSAL	SM

6/08/2019 9:07:08 AM

Project: **Taminda Solar Farm & Industrial Precinct**
55 Dampier St & 72 Wallamore Rd,
Taminda, NSW 2340

Drawing: **GROUND FLOOR PLAN** | Project No: **218133** | Date: **11/13/18** | Author: **AK** | Scale: @ A1 **1 : 1000** | Drawing No.: **TP01.01 B**

Disclaimer: Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. retains all common law, statutory law and other rights including copyright and intellectual property rights in respect of this document.The recipient indemnifies Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. against all claims resulting from use of this document for any purpose other than its intended use, unauthorized changes or reuse of the document on other projects without the permission of Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. Under no circumstance shall transfer of this document be deemed a sale or constitute a transfer of the license to use this document. ABN 76 005 783 997

rothelowman

Brisbane, Melbourne, Sydney
www.rothelowman.com.au



Section 01



Section 02

INDICATIVE MASTERPLAN

Revisions

-	20.12.18	PLANNING PROPOSAL	AK
A	27.02.19	AMENDED PLANNING PROPOSAL	AK

6/08/2019 9:07:16 AM

Project: **Taminda Solar Farm & Industrial Precinct**
55 Dampier St & 72 Wallamore Rd,
Taminda, NSW 2340

Drawing: **SECTIONS**

Project No: **218133**

Date: **13.11.18**

Author: **AK**

Scale: @ A1: **1 : 1000**

Drawing No.: **TP03.01 A**

Disclaimer: Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. retains all common law, statutory law and other rights including copyright and intellectual property rights in respect of this document.The recipient indemnifies Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. against all claims resulting from use of this document for any purpose other than its intended use, unauthorized changes or reuse of the document on other projects without the permission of Rothe Lowman Property Pty. Ltd. Under no circumstance shall transfer of this document be deemed a sale or constitute a transfer of the license to use this document. ABN 76 005 783 997

rothelowman

Brisbane, Melbourne, Sydney
www.rothelowman.com.au

Appendix B – Wallamore Road Traffic Counts

Tamworth Regional Council
Ray Walsh House
437 Peel Street
Tamworth NSW 2340
Ph: (02) 6767 5555
Email: trc@tamworth.nsw.gov.au

Traffic Summary Report

Site: 992 (Wallamore Road (Dampier Street to 60m West) <50> NICHOLASS)

Date: 0:00 Friday, 28 September 2018 to 0:00 Monday, 15 October 2018 (17 days)

Posted speed limit (PSL) = 50 km/h

Average Daily Traffic (ADT)

	ADT	AWDT (weekdays)	AWET (weekend)
Passenger Vehicles Classes 1 to 2 - Light	3347 (90.68%)	4137 (89.72%)	1900 (94.71%)
Rigid Vehicles Classes 3 to 5 - Medium	245 (6.611%)	337 (7.309%)	75 (3.741%)
Articulated Vehicles Classes 6 to 12	100 (2.682%)	137 (2.949%)	31 (1.546%)
Total (All classes)	3692	4612	2006
Days	17	11	6

Traffic Speed *km/h*

	All Days	Weekdays	Weekend
Average Speed	43.0	42.7	44.5
85% Speed - Combined	49.4	49.2	50.5
85% Speed - East	49.3	49.2	49.7
85% Speed - West	49.6	49.1	50.5
Min Speed	10.0	10.0	10.4
Max Speed	78.2	78.2	72.4
% Exceeding PSL	12.83 %	11.86 %	16.94 %
15km/h Pace	36.3	35.8	37.3
No. in Pace (% in Pace)	48281 (76.93%)	38777 (76.44%)	9671 (80.36%)

Total Traffic Volume

	All Days	Weekdays	Weekend
Both Directions (Total Vehicles)	62761	50727	12034
East Direction Only	32438	26241	6197
West Direction Only	30323	24486	5837
Passenger Vehicles Classes 1 to 2 - Light	56906	45509	11397
Rigid Vehicles Classes 3 to 5 - Medium	4163	3712	451
Articulated Vehicles Classes 6 to 12	1692	1506	186

Equivalent Standard Axles (ESAs)

	All Days	Weekdays	Weekend
ESAs	1624.3	1445.8	178.6

Calculation of ESAs based on State, vehicle classification and Road Functional Class

Ref: AustRoads Pavement Design Guide 1992, Table E4, Road Functional Class 3, NSW

Class scheme = AustRoads94 Aggregate (0 1 1 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 13)

Report created 16:33 Tuesday, 6 August 2019 using MTE version 5.0.2.0 - Template not certified by MetroCount

Appendix C – Dampier Street Traffic Counts

Tamworth Regional Council
Ray Walsh House
437 Peel Street
Tamworth NSW 2340
Ph: (02) 6767 5555
Email: trc@tamworth.nsw.gov.au

Traffic Summary Report

Site: 990 (Dampier Street (Wallmore Road to 50m North) <50> NICHOLASS)

Date: 0:00 Friday, 28 September 2018 to 0:00 Monday, 15 October 2018 (17 days)

Posted speed limit (PSL) = 50 km/h

Average Daily Traffic (ADT)

	ADT	AWDT (weekdays)	AWET (weekend)
Passenger Vehicles Classes 1 to 2 - Light	6373 (85.04%)	7929 (84.06%)	3519 (89.38%)
Rigid Vehicles Classes 3 to 5 - Medium	926 (12.36%)	1240 (13.13%)	351 (8.915%)
Articulated Vehicles Classes 6 to 12	194 (2.589%)	264 (2.799%)	67 (1.676%)
Total (All classes)	7493	9433	3937
Days	17	11	6

Traffic Speed *km/h*

	All Days	Weekdays	Weekend
Average Speed	48.6	48.0	51.2
85% Speed - Combined	56.5	55.9	58.5
85% Speed - North	57.1	56.5	59.0
85% Speed - South	56.1	55.4	58.5
Min Speed	10.0	10.0	10.4
Max Speed	139.4	139.4	101.9
% Exceeding PSL	44.88 %	41.96 %	57.68 %
15km/h Pace	41.9	41.6	44.0
No. in Pace (% in Pace)	87496 (68.69%)	71284 (68.70%)	16950 (71.76%)

Total Traffic Volume

	All Days	Weekdays	Weekend
Both Directions (Total Vehicles)	127385	103763	23622
North Direction Only	60836	49491	11345
South Direction Only	66549	54272	12277
Passenger Vehicles Classes 1 to 2 - Light	108339	87224	21115
Rigid Vehicles Classes 3 to 5 - Medium	15742	13635	2107
Articulated Vehicles Classes 6 to 12	3304	2904	400

Equivalent Standard Axles (ESAs)

	All Days	Weekdays	Weekend
ESAs	3171.8	2787.8	384.0

Calculation of ESAs based on State, vehicle classification and Road Functional Class

Ref: AustRoads Pavement Design Guide 1992, Table E4, Road Functional Class 3, NSW

Class scheme = AustRoads94 Aggregate (0 1 1 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 13)

Report created 16:30 Tuesday, 6 August 2019 using MTE version 5.0.2.0 - Template not certified by MetroCount

Taminda Employment Lands

Addendum to Planning Proposal

Client: Chan Abbey Holdings
Date: 19 August 2019

A Veris Company

Contact:

Jenny Rudolph
jenny.rudolph@elton.com.au
0400 953 317

SYDNEY
02 9387 2600

Level 6, 332 - 342 Oxford Street
Bondi Junction NSW 2022

www.elton.com.au
consulting@elton.com.au
Sydney | Brisbane | Canberra | Darwin | Melbourne | Perth
ABN 56 003 853 101

Prepared by	Justin Foong
Reviewed by	Jenny Rudolph
Date	19 August 2019
Version	Final

Contents

1	**INTRODUCTION**	**3**
1.1	Purpose and introduction	3
2	**THE PLANNING PROPOSAL**	**4**
2.1	Proposal	4
2.2	Responce	**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
3	**RESPONSE TO CLARIFICATIONS AND COMMENTS**	**5**
3.1	Strategic merit assessment	5
3.2	Site specific merit assessment	7
4	**CONCLUSION**	**11**
APPENDICES		**12**

FIGURES

NO TABLE OF FIGURES ENTRIES FOUND.

TABLES

Table 1	Supporting Documentation	3

APPENDICES

A	Planning Proposal	13
B	Holding Redlich advice	14

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose and introduction

The purpose of this document is to supplement the Planning Proposal submitted to Council on the 12th of March 2019. The Planning Proposal relates to lands at 21 Wallamore Road and 55 Dampier Street, Taminda, legally described as Lots 2 and 3 DP1234850. (The Planning Proposal is included as **Appendix A**).

This document has been structured to reflect clarifications and statements raised in the 'Council Review Prior to Gateway Determination' (Council Report) prepared by MikeSvikis Planning dated 30 July 2019.

Table 1 outlines the updated documentation being submitted in response to the Council report.

Table 1 Supporting Documentation

Document	Prepared by	Date
Preliminary Site investigation (Environmental)	Environmental Investigation Australia	19 August 2019
Services strategy assessment - Rev B	Kelley Covey	August 2019
Traffic Impact Assessment – Rev F	Barnson	16 Aug 2019

This addendum report should be read in conjunction with the Planning Proposal report.

Together, the two reports (and supporting technical reports) provide adequate information to meet section 1.3 of the Department of Planning, Industry and Environments "Planning Proposal – A Guide to preparing Planning Proposals". The two reports demonstrate the strategic framework of the proposed development, and provide sufficient information regarding the likely social, economic and environmental impacts for the site. It is likely that the Minister for Planning and Public Places, or his delegate, will provide study requirements post Gateway, including:-

» Contamination study including site test sampling and remediation measures

» Refinement of a sewer serving strategy

2 The Planning Proposal

2.1 Proposal

The Planning Proposal is slightly amended to include the following in response to the council's issues, outlined below.

The Planning Proposal seeks to achieve the intended outcomes by proposing the following amendments to the Tamworth Regional Council LEP 2010:

» Amend the Land Zoning Map (LZN_004C), from RU4 Primary Production to part B5 Business Development and part IN1 General Industrial

» Amend the Minimum Lot Size Map (LSZ_004C) Map, from 40ha to no minimum lot size control

» Amend the Floor Space ratio (FSR_004C) Map, for B5 land use with an FSR control of 1:1 for the proposed B5 zoned area

2.2 Response

Theme or issue: Inclusion of road reserve into LEP mapping

Council Report

> *It is noted that the subject land is traversed by a road reserve running east/west across the northern end of the property. This road reserve has no property description but has been included in the planning proposal for the land to be rezoned consistent with adjacent land. It covers an area of approximately 1.85 hectares. It is owned by Tamworth Regional Council. Identification of this land on the Land Reservation and Acquisition Map would indicate that it is land intended to be acquired for a public purpose by a public authority. In this case the public authority already owns the land and its inclusion on this map would serve no purpose.*

Response

» The inclusion of the road reserve in the proposed mapping for Tamworth LEP Land Reservation and Acquisition Map was undertaken in error. Information at the time of providing the initial Planning proposal was not accurate which resulted in the inclusion of the road reserve area for mapping.

» Originally the zoning over the road reserve was proposed in order to provide flexibility for the road and land use, once the full detailed design of the road had been undertaken. However, as council does not wish to rezone the road, we no longer propose the land as part of the rezoning request.

3 Response to clarifications and comments

This section of the addendum report provides a response to the key issues raised by Council in the report dated 30 July 2019.

3.1 Strategic merit assessment

Theme or issue: Services strategy

Council Response

> *These statements do not address the capacity of existing water and sewer infrastructure (eg pumps and pipes) to deal with the demand generated by this site. There is no attempt to quantify the future water and sewer demand and it is therefore not possible to conclude that servicing can occur from existing infrastructure or that new infrastructure can be properly funded without financial implications for Council.*

Applicant's Response

A servicing strategy report for sewer and potable water has been prepared by Kelley Covey for the proposed development. The report considered site characteristics, services infrastructure and included consultation with relevant engineering Council officers.

Kelley Covey considered and assessed several strategies for water and sewer servicing. Both water and sewer are available to the site, with the key issue being augmentation and engineering design.

Potable water supply through 100mm infrastructure exists in close proximity to the site, however, the provision of 150mm diameter piping is likely a requirement. Further investigations and designs for system extensions could take place post Gateway or associated with a development application.

In relation to sewer, up to three sewer strategies of the list were deemed to be practical, with further refinement and details to be assessed posy Gateway. Kelley Covey advice:

In our opinion, we believe the options with the greatest chance of success with regard to technical and financial feasibility would be (in ascending order of assumed capital cost);

1. *Option 3 - Duplicated gravity connection to discharge directly to WWTP;*
2. *Option 7 - Sewer Pump Station with storage and timed discharge to trunk main, and*
3. *Option 8 - Co-ordination of proposed Plain Street Pump Station Upgrade to provide additional trunk main capacity.*

Kelley Covey's analysis report demonstrates that a range of solutions for sewer servicing exist for the proposed development and that further investigation and exploration of options could be undertaken post gateway.

Theme or issue: Utilisation of the proposed bypass road, access to and from the bypass road, and the associated funding of infrastructure

Council Response

> *The other key infrastructure issue for Council is road access. The site has a 180-metre frontage to Dampier Street that could provide access to the land. The applicant suggests that the proposed Jewry Street extension at the northern edge of the land would also be used for access and a roundabout would be located at the north-east corner of the subject land.*

"Until such time as the by-pass road is constructed, it is proposed that access to the site be from the proposed new roundabout into the site. The landowner is prepared to discuss entering into discussions and negotiation of a voluntary planning agreement to support and pay its proportion of the contribution to the construction of the roundabout on Dampier/Jewry Street and/or the by-pass road."

A basic analysis of traffic and intersections is provided with the planning proposal premised on access to the site being from the proposed Jewry Street extension with no consideration of a Dampier Street access despite it being shown in draft site layout options. The traffic consultant concludes:

"A new roundabout at the intersection of the subject site and Jewry Street. The roundabout shall incorporate the following design features:

• Two lanes in each direction on the approaches from Jewry Street;

• One lane in each direction on the approaches from the new rezoning access road."

The traffic consultant's conclusion is based partly on the applicant's need to access a proposed solar farm that is not part of this planning proposal. It also does not consider that Council regards the Jewry Street extension as a bypass road and not just an access to the subject land.

If Council supports this planning proposal, it needs to be on the premise that Council is either ready to fund its share of the Jewry Street extension and roundabout (or other intersection type to be determined) or that this rezoning should not rely on the bypass and intersection and be based on access from Dampier Street somewhere between Showground Road and Jewry Street.

An access from Dampier Street would be consistent with Direction 16 of the Regional Plan. Relying on the Jewry Street extension and roundabout would not meet the requirement that "new infrastructure can be properly funded".

Applicant's Response

The applicant's offer to discuss funding/contribution matters with Council related to the proposed provision of an interim access road and/or roundabout delivery. The site is sufficiently large and benefits from multiple street frontages being, Dampier Street and Wallamore Road. The technical study has now considered the pros and cons, and workability of access of both or either road to service the proposal.

Adjusting the location of the Dampier Street access point was considered. The traffic demands form the proposed uses are around a 10% increase. Dampier Street connecting to Jewry Street is already a relatively busy thoroughfare, and with the location of staggered intersections (Showground Road) it was considered more advantageous to provide satisfactory access options from Wallamore Road. Barnson, in their updated Traffic Impact Assessment state:

"Two options for the location of the site ingress/egress have been considered, with frontages to Wallamore Road or Dampier Street. Upon consideration of the high hourly traffic volumes on Dampier Street and further consultation with Tamworth Regional Council regarding the intersection upgrade that would be required, it was concluded that this option was not feasible at this time".

Proposed upgrades at Dampier Street would require coordination at later stages, potentially in concert with the bypass road upgrade works.

Traffic counts from October 2018 for Wallamore Road were provided by Council for analysis. The counts reveal lower traffic volumes through Wallamore Road than Dampier Street. Using accepted traffic generation rates, the increases to the rate of vehicle movements by day and hour were calculated. Using Guide to Road Design Part 4a, Austroads, 2010, appropriate upgrades were recommended for the proposed development.

"It is recommended that a new intersection be constructed at the site entrance on Wallamore Road comprising a short Channelised Right-turn (CHR(S)) treatment for the right hand turn into the development and a short Auxiliary Left-turn (AUL (S)) treatment for the left hand turn."

In summary, a relocation of the access point has been made for the proposed development concept. The new access point is proposed at Wallamore Road and no longer relies on the proposed bypass road. Upgrades are recommended for the new access location and are outlined above and in the updated Barnson Report.

3.2 Site specific merit assessment

Theme or issue: Flood mapping adjustment before flood works are complete

Council Response

However, the planning proposal as submitted specifically requests that Council remove the subject land from the Flood Planning Map in Tamworth Regional LEP 2010. The purpose of the Flood Planning Map is to identify land that is affected by flooding and trigger the application of clause 7.2 of the LEP (Flood Planning). Modelling a site as being capable of being filled or leveed to protect it against a 1% flood does not make that land flood free. The application of flood mapping on this land is appropriate until such time as work is lawfully carried out that renders the land not at or below the flood planning level.

Applicant's Response

The exclusion of the site from Council Flood Planning Mapping is no longer proposed.

Theme or issue: Contamination

Council Response

When rezoning land, pursuant to SEPP No 55 (Remediation of Land) Council needs to consider:

- *whether the land is contaminated; and*
- *if the land is contaminated, the planning authority is satisfied that the land is suitable in its contaminated state (or will be suitable, after remediation for the proposed use); and*
- *if the land requires remediation to be made suitable for any purpose for which land in that zone is permitted to be used, the planning authority is satisfied that the land will be so remediated before the land is used for that purpose.*

In this case, the PSI clearly identifies the site is potentially contaminated but cannot quantify the severity of any contamination or the remediation options without soil sampling. On this basis, Council cannot be sure if the site is contaminated or not. This fails the first test of the SEPP.

The second test cannot be assessed because the extent and severity of contamination is not quantified and therefore remediation options are unknown. The proposed uses in the B5 and IN1 zone exclude residential accommodation other than shop top housing (which is good), but the B5 zone specifically permits centre based child care facilities and respite day care centres. Schools are also permitted in the B5 zone pursuant to SEPP (Educational Establishments and Childcare facilities) 2017. All these uses are regarded as sensitive uses that should not be carried out on potentially contaminated land. Although Council agrees that industrial land may not require the same level of remediation as other land (eg residential) it is still required to understand the extent and severity of any contamination.

It is not appropriate that Council defers further contamination assessment of this site until the DA stage. SEPP No 55 requires Council to be properly informed at the rezoning stage so that better land use decisions can be made. A thorough soil sampling program should be undertaken based on the findings of the PSI prior to Council considering this planning proposal for a Gateway determination. If any contamination is found then a remediation program should be supplied to Council outlining what works are necessary to ensure the site is suitable for the uses proposed in relevant zones under the LEP and relevant SEPPs.

Council also requires confirmation as to whether the subject land was used for aviation or military related purposes (and subsequently contaminated from these uses) at or about the time of World War 2. Establishing land use back to 1976 based on air photos is not adequate.

Applicant's Response

The Applicant has sought legal advice in relation to the timing for the provision of detailed contamination studies on site. Legal advice is attached in **Annexure B**.

It is on this basis, that a detailed desktop and visual environmental assessment was undertaken for pre-gateway, together with previous site investigation and testing undertaken over the past five years, that no detailed soil samples have been taken at this stage. The need to quantify with exact accuracy the extent of potential contamination (and subsequent recommended mitigation/remediation) is therefore considered premature at pre-gateway. In addition, for this site, only small parts of the site have been found to have some potentially contaminated areas, and the extent not likely to be large.

SEPP55 refers to a consent authority needing to have reasonable satisfaction that contamination, if found, can be remediated before an LEP is amended. Please refer to Holding Redlich advice in the appendices.

The PSI report prepared by Environmental Investigation Australia (EI) and submitted in March 2019 has been updated and revised to address with greater clarity on, SEPP55, asbestos containing material, observations made and aviation uses.

EI analysed the location of the military uses and concluded that they were unlikely to pose a threat to the site given the relatively lengthy distance away from the site, and that the military uses were not upgradient to the subject site. For this reason, it is considered that it is not appropriate to limit any land uses at this stage, however can be reserved for post-site testing and detailed site analysis post gateway.

Theme or issue: Servicing capacity not defined

Council Response

The New England North West Regional Plan states in Direction 16: Coordinate infrastructure delivery (page 47) that "Rezoning will only occur when proposals for land release or development demonstrate that servicing can occur from existing infrastructure or that new infrastructure can be properly funded." The relevant actions (page 47) are Action 16.1 to "Undertake detailed infrastructure service planning to establish that land can be feasibly and economically serviced prior to rezoning" and Action 16.2 to "Maximise the cost effective and efficient use of infrastructure by focusing development on existing infrastructure or promoting colocation of new infrastructure." This planning proposal is inconsistent as it simply states:

A sewer line currently traverses the site and is expected to be capable of servicing and being accessible subject to further analysis. Challenges exist due to the low gradient of the site and existing invert level of the sewer line. Potable water is available from Dampier Street and provision to the site could be managed using future access roads.

These statements do not address the capacity of existing water and sewer infrastructure (eg pump stations and pipes) to deal with the estimated demand generated by this site. There is no attempt to quantify the future water and sewer demand and it is therefore not possible to conclude that servicing can occur from existing infrastructure or that new infrastructure can be properly funded without financial implications for Council officers indicate that the sewer line that traverses this site is at capacity, particularly during wet weather. The supporting information needs to demonstrate that a system acceptable to Council can be put in place for sewerage. Water supply options also need to be clearly identified. A preliminary water and sewerage servicing strategy should be prepared after consultation with Council engineers.

This planning proposal cannot proceed to a Gateway determination until sufficient information on water and sewer services is supplied such that Council can conclude that the land can be feasibly and economically serviced prior to rezoning. It will also need to show that future development will maximise the cost-effective and efficient use of infrastructure by focusing development on existing infrastructure or promoting co-location of new infrastructure.

Applicant's Response

See section 3.1 of this Addendum report

Theme or issue: Utilisation of the proposed bypass road, access to and from the bypass road, funding of infrastructure

Council Response

The other key infrastructure issue for Council is road access. The site has a 180-metre frontage to Dampier Street and a 25 metre frontage to Wallamore Road that could provide access to the land. The applicant suggests that the proposed Jewry Street extension at the northern edge of the land would primarily be used for access and a roundabout would be located at the north-east corner of the subject land. The applicant states:

Until such time as the by-pass road is constructed, it is proposed that access to the site be from the proposed new roundabout into the site. The landowner is prepared to discuss entering into discussions and negotiation of a voluntary planning agreement to support and pay its proportion of the contribution to the construction of the roundabout on Dampier/Jewry Street and/or the by-pass road.

A basic analysis of traffic and intersections is provided with the planning proposal premised on access to the site being from the proposed Jewry Street extension with no consideration of a Dampier Street or Wallamore Road access despite it being shown in draft site layout options. The traffic consultant concludes:

A new roundabout at the intersection of the subject site and Jewry Street. The roundabout shall incorporate the following design features:

• Two lanes in each direction on the approaches from Jewry Street;

• One lane in each direction on the approaches from the new rezoning access road.

The traffic consultant's conclusion is based partly on the applicant's need to access a proposed solar farm that is not part of this planning proposal. It also does not consider that Council regards the Jewry Street extension as a bypass road and not just an access to the subject land.

Council's Annual Operational Plan 18/19 does not make an allocation for either the Jewry street bypass or the Dampier Street roundabout. The applicant states on page 23 of its planning proposal submission, "The landowner is prepared to discuss entering into discussions and negotiation of a voluntary planning agreement to support and pay its proportion of the contribution to the construction of the roundabout on Dampier/Jewry Street and/or the by-pass road." An offer to discuss entering into discussions is not a firm commitment.

Voluntary planning agreements need to be discussed at the outset of a project, not at a later stage.

If Council supports this planning proposal, it needs to be on the premise that Council is either ready to fund its share of the Jewry Street extension and roundabout (or other intersection type to be determined) (which may be all of it) or that this rezoning should not rely on the bypass and intersection and be based on access from Dampier Street somewhere between Showground Road and Jewry Street or from Wallamore Road.

An access from Dampier Street would be consistent with Direction 16 of the Regional Plan. Relying on the Jewry Street extension and roundabout would not meet the requirement that "new infrastructure can be properly funded". An access from Wallamore Road may also be appropriate, but needs to be supported by a traffic impact analysis.

[Paragraph relocated to services]

The issue of access to the subject land should also be clarified prior to Gateway determination given that access from Dampier Street (and Wallamore Road) would be consistent with Direction 16 of the Regional Plan but relying on the Jewry Street extension and roundabout would not meet the requirement that "new infrastructure can be properly funded".

Applicant's Response

Some of the responses for this theme or issue are overlapped with earlier response/s in Section 3.1 However, in addition:-

» The inclusion of the bypass road in the concept design was not to facilitate access to the solar farm. The solar farm access road is a simple farm-type access road which can be constructed and can operate in isolation until such time upgrades of any road affect its usage.

» The intent of the originally proposed concept design was to utilise the road reserve for access until such time the bypass road was ready to be built. The bypass road design level would be, according to Council set at the 1:100 ARI. The proposed development could match these levels as required.

» Matters relating to funding of upgrades, provision of the bypass road are no longer applicable as a revised traffic access approach that no longer utilises Dampier Street is being submitted. The proposed access road at Wallamore Road no longer relies on access at a busier road frontage, nor does it rely on road infrastructure upgrades before finalisation of a bypass road design.

In summary, a relocation of the access point has been made for the proposed development concept. The new access point is proposed at Wallamore Road and no longer relies on the proposed bypass road. Upgrades are recommended for the new access location and are outlined above and in the updated Barnson Report. As a result of the revised access location reliance, on the bypass road, Dampier Street access, and/or upgrades to facilitate access to and from Dampier Street no longer applies.

4 Conclusion

- A servicing strategy report for sewer and potable water has been prepared by Kelley Covey for the proposed development. The report considered site characteristics, services infrastructure and included consultation with Council officers. In the report, several strategies for sewer servicing were identified and explored. Up to three sewer strategies from a list of several were deemed to be more practical, with greatest chances of success.
- Potable water supply through 100mm infrastructure exists in close proximity to the site, however, the provision of 150mm diameter piping is likely a requirement.
- Kelley Covey's report demonstrates that a range of solutions for sewer servicing and potable water exist for the proposed development and that further investigation and exploration of options could be undertaken at a later development phase.
- The revisions submitted as part of this response show that the proposed development no longer relies on the bypass road, Dampier Street access, and/or upgrades to facilitate access to and from Dampier Street. As such, upgrades to facilitate access that require funding are not proposed and the objectives from the relevant strategies referred to are no longer inconsistent with the proposed development.
- The Applicant has sought legal advice in relation to the timing for the provision of detailed contamination studies on site. Legal advice is attached in **Annexure B**. It is on this basis, that a detailed desktop and visual environmental assessment was undertaken for pre-gateway, together with previous site investigation and testing undertaken over the past five years, that no detailed soil samples have been taken at this stage. The need to quantify with exact accuracy the extent of potential contamination (and subsequent recommended mitigation/remediation) is therefore considered premature at pre-gateway. In addition, for this site, only small parts of the site have been found to have some potentially contaminated areas, and the extent not likely to be large, though, be determined at later phases.
- Environmental Investigation Australia (EI) analysed the location of the military uses and concluded that they were unlikely to pose a threat to the site given the relatively lengthy distance away from the site, and that the military uses were not upgradient to the subject site.
- The exclusion of the site from Tamworth LEP Flood Planning Maps and inclusion of the road reserve in the Land Reservation and Acquisition map is no longer proposed.

Appendices

A Planning Proposal

Planning Proposal - Taminda Employment Lands

55 Dampier Street and 21 Wallamore Road, Tamworth

Client: Chan Abbey Holdings
Date: 12 March 2019

A Veris Company

Contact:

Jenny Rudolph
Jenny.rudolph@elton.com.au
02 9387 2600

SYDNEY
02 9387 2600

Level 6, 332 - 342 Oxford Street
Bondi Junction NSW 2022

www.elton.com.au
consulting@elton.com.au
Sydney | Brisbane | Canberra | Darwin | Melbourne | Perth
ABN 56 003 853 101

Prepared by	Justin Foong
Reviewed by	Jenny Rudolph
Date	12 March 2019
Version	Final

Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY		**5**
PROJECT OVERVIEW		**10**
THE SITE		**11**
THE PROPOSED CONCEPT MASTER PLAN		**20**
1	**OBJECTIVES AND INTENDED OUTCOMES**	**26**
2	**EXPLANATION OF PROVISIONS**	**27**
3	**JUSTIFICATION**	**28**
4	**MAPS**	**73**
5	**COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**	**77**
6	**PROJECT TIMELINE**	**78**
7	**APPENDICES - SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION**	**79**

FIGURES

Figure 1	Site Context	11
Figure 2	Rezoning area	12
Figure 1	Proposed rezoning area in relation to solar farm	13
Figure 2	Land zoning 004C	14
Figure 3	Minimum Lot Size 004C	15
Figure 4	Floor Space Ratio 004C	15
Figure 5	ANEP and Flood Planning Map 004C	16
Figure 6	Heritage 004C	16
Figure 7	Flight Training Path Map 004C	17
Figure 8	Opportunities and Constraints Plan	19
Figure 9	Master Plan Concept pre-bypass road	21
Figure 10	Master Plan Concept post-bypass road	22
Figure 11	Landscape Master Plan	22
Figure 12	Direct economic impacts of the Planning Proposal	35
Figure 13	Indirect economic impacts of the Planning Proposal	36
Figure 14	Vacant land in Taminda	43
Figure 15	Historical jobs numbers by Industry	43
Figure 16	Projected jobs numbers by Industry	44
Figure 17	Employment directed to industrial precincts	45
Figure 18	Flood modelling concept	67
Figure 19	Flood modelling concept and proposed levels	68
Figure 20	Proposed Land Zoning map	74

Figure 21	Proposed Minimum lot size map	74
Figure 22	Proposed FSR map	75
Figure 23	Proposed Flood Planning map	75
Figure 24	Proposed Land reservation acquisition map	76

TABLES

Table 1	Supporting Documentation	10
Table 2	Proposed LEP Map amendments	27
Table 3	Assessment New England North West Regional Plan 2036	30
Table 4	Assessment Lower North West Regional Economic Development Strategy	37
Table 6	Assessment against relevant Ministerial Directions	62
Table 7	Estimated Project Timeline	78

APPENDICES

Executive Summary

Executive Summary

This Planning Proposal has been prepared by Elton Consulting on behalf of Chan Abby Holdings Pty Ltd and is submitted to Tamworth Regional Council (Council).

The Planning Proposal seeks to amend the Tamworth Regional Local Environmental Plan 2010 (LEP) as it relates to a 11.3ha site at 55 Dampier Street and 21 Wallamore Road, known as Lot 2 and Lot 3 DP 1234850 to enable an extension of development land within the Taminda industrial precinct, consistent with the Taminda Revitalisation + Economic Development Strategy. The Planning Proposal supports the proposed rezoning of part of the land currently zoned RU4 Primary Production Small Lots to part B5 Business Development and part IN1 General Industrial.



This report and supporting technical studies have been developed by a multi-disciplinary team to assess and address the strategic merit of the proposal at this preliminary stage. This includes a detailed investigation of the current site including agricultural lands assessment, Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal heritage, contamination as well a detailed economic assessment supporting. The reports demonstrate the suitability of the site for the proposed land uses and justify the need for additional employment land to ensure that Tamworth continues to provide for employment growth in the region. Traffic assessment, flood modelling, drainage and civil infrastructure design and assessment have also been provided to demonstrate that the proposal has merit and will enable the development of an accessible, serviced and flood free extension to the Taminda employment precinct.

The Planning Proposal will enable the southern part of the site with a green, sustainable and contemporary industrial and business precinct that complements the Taminda light industrial area. It also provides for the future road bypass opportunity.

Developing a green, sustainable employment and urban services site that provides for an industrial and business submarket that complements the Taminda Area, and differentiates itself to other recently zoned industrial lands.

This proposal will provide for a mix of employment uses and associated lot sizing that will cater for a growing dynamic market in the Taminda precinct and reactivate the precinct from an urban planning and design perspective and foster the addition of employment opportunities at a landmark, gateway site.

Its integration and co-location with a 9MW solar farm (subject to another application) will add to the uniqueness of the development by providing renewable and sustainable energy generation to supply the site.

The key design principles for the concept for the site include:

» Allowance for the proposed by-pass road across the northern part of the site to improve access and movement to Taminda employment area and through Tamworth

» Provide access to the site from a new roundabout on the eastern edge at Jewry Street

» Provide a flood engineered solution comprising of either fill for the site or a levee along the boundaries at the proposed site to address flood impact mitigation affecting this location

» Creation of green pockets to provide a place for workers and visitors to enjoy

» Greening the precinct to transition to the adjacent rural lands boundary

» Provide more business/commercial uses at the eastern end of the site along the future by-pass road, with lighter industrial uses south of the road towards existing industrial land

» Allow for a range of industrial land parcels to assist in encouraging investment to Taminda and Tamworth.

» Allow for maintenance and general access to the proposed solar farm within the site at the eastern length of the site

Proposed rezoning



Concept drawings have been prepared to demonstrate layouts and interactions, before and after, the future bypass road without impacting on the long-term delivery of this piece of road infrastructure. The ultimate layout has been designed to minimise direct access locations to the proposed bypass road and enable the efficient servicing of the employment and industrial land. The solar farm is intended to facilitate energy generation that is linked to, and integrated into the industrial and employment precinct. The indicative concept plans of the proposed development post bypass completion are below.

In summary development of the site for employment uses is supported:

» The site falls within the Taminda Precinct of the Taminda Revitalisation area and thus has a strategic framework to guide the land

» Tamworth also enjoys rates of low unemployment, high self-sufficiency and high job self-containment. These economic factors, combined with 4,700 new working age residents forecast to reside in the LGA over the next 25 years, demonstrates the need to provide increased employment opportunities and the need to plan for appropriate zoned land to accommodate them.

» Tamworth is in a strategic position being a regional employment hub and service provider for the region. Over the coming years there would likely be an increased demand for additional industrial land to be provided in close proximity to the town centre and transportation nodes. This will be required to both support and service Tamworth's growing population and its strategic position.

» The LGA provides just over 584 hectares of land zoned for industrial purposes (heavy industrial, general industrial and business park zonings) with 220 hectares or 38% being vacant. The majority of this vacant land is located outside the town, near the airport, on land that would need to be serviced, remediated and/or mitigated against flooding. The cost of such works may be undermining the viability of development

» Forty-six per cent (46%) of the employment area (267 hectares) is zoned IN1 General Industrial. The largest and most developed of these precincts is Taminda. Only 7 hectares or 3% of the precinct is currently vacant and only a further 0.2 hectares of such zoned land across the LGA is currently vacant.

» The Taminda estate is uniquely positioned to provide land for the expansion of urban services that support the growing township. It is superior to any alternative areas given the area is generally free of land use conflicts, is adequately serviced, enjoys agglomeration with existing businesses and has proximity to labour, capital and consumer markets. Our market research has shown that typical buyers show a strong preference for these attributes and that Taminda is preferred to alternative locations.

» The subject site is currently the most appropriate location to meet the short-term demand for IN1 zoned land in the Township given:

  > 1. The uncertainly in timeframes surrounding the proposed expansion areas

  > 2. Proximity to the Township and existing infrastructure

  > 3. Its high market appeal

  > 4. The fact that the subject site was previously recommended for rezoning for bulky goods or general industrial purposes in Council's Taminda Revitalisation + Economic Development Strategy 2008.

» The advantage of the B5 zone is the flexibility to allow more traditional industrial services should commercial and support services fail to be delivered due to lack of market interest.



Source: Rothelowman 2019

Project Overview

1 Project Overview

Introduction

This Planning Proposal has been prepared by Elton Consulting on behalf of Chan Abby Holdings Pty Ltd and is submitted to Tamworth Regional Council (Council).

The Planning Proposal seeks to amend the Tamworth Regional Local Environmental Plan 2010 (LEP) as it relates to an 11.3ha site at 55 Dampier Street and 21 Wallamore Road known as Lot 2 and Lot 3 DP 1234850.

The Planning Proposal is necessary to enable the expansion of the current industrial area consistent with the Strategies assessed in Sections 6.3 and 6.4. The proposed amendment to the LEP will provide for the development of the land for employment generating development protected from flooding by improvements to the site drainage. The proposed amendments will meet both the NSW Government and Council's broad strategic objectives to support the growth of Tamworth while ensuring that potential environmental impacts, including flooding, are minimised.

The Planning Proposal is consistent with the requirements of Part 3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment (EP&A) Act and in accordance with Department of Planning and Environment's (DPE) *A guide to preparing Planning Proposals*, and *A guide to preparing local environmental plans* (2016).

This report and supporting technical studies have been developed by a multi-disciplinary team to assess and address the strategic merit of the proposal at this preliminary stage. This Planning Proposal is to be read in conjunction with the supporting documents listed in **Table 1**.

Table 1 Supporting Documentation

Document	Prepared by	Date
Agricultural land study	Melaleuca Group	Mar-19
Architect Masterplan package	Rothelowman	Mar-19
Architect Drawing Package	Rothelowman	Mar-19
Biodiversity constraints assessment	Travers	Mar-19
Civil and services plan	Barnson	Mar-19
Cultural Heritage	Kayandel	Mar-19
Economic Impacts and Demand	HillPDA	Dec-18
European Heritage	Kayandel	Mar-19
Flood impact and riparian assessment report	Tooker and Associates	Feb-19
Preliminary Site investigation (Environmental)	Environmental Investigation Australia	Feb-19
Traffic Impact Assessment	Barnson	Mar-19

The supporting documentation can be found at **Section 10.**

This report provides sufficient detail to enable a Gateway determination to be issued and for the proposal to proceed to consultation and Public Exhibition.

2 The Site

Site Location and Context

The Planning Proposal relates to land known as 55 Dampier Street and 21 Wallamore Road (Lot 2 and Lot 3 DP 1234850) and the proposed bypass road. It is located in the Taminda industrial area approximately 7 minutes' drive west of the Tamworth city centre. The Taminda precinct supports a mix of industrial and commercial land uses. The holding is shown in **Figure 1.** The site has been identified for development being approximately 11.3ha extending from the existing Taminda industrial area north terminating at the proposed bypass road easement north boundary. The area proposed to be rezoning is shown in **Figure 2**.

The site is accessed by entry points along Wallamore Road and Dampier Street.

The site is bounded to the:

» South by Wallamore Road and a light industrial site accessed from Kingsford Smith Street

» North by rural land and the Peel River

» East by Dampier Street and the Tamworth Racecourse

» West by Somerset Farm. That has been used as a farming house with various sheds on a modified site also been cultivated, its land modified and has a number of farming sheds on the site.

Figure 1 Site Context



Source: Elton Consulting 2019

Figure 2 Rezoning area



Source: Elton Consulting 2019 and Barnson 2018

Site Description

The land is very flat and falls to the Peel River in the north approximately 2m over this length at a grade of approximately 0.3%. The land use is predominantly agriculture, however, part of the lot in the south eastern corner is currently occupied by an existing business use.

The site is accessed from Dampier Street and has a second small frontage to Wallamore Road to the south. The proposed bypass transects the site from east to west and this land has been reserved by Council for the purpose of a road.

The site is irregularly shaped made up of a 450m width with a varied north-south boundary of 245m up to 315m in length.

The site is subject to overland flow during large storm events at least in part as a result of the limitations in capacity of the existing drainage infrastructure for the development of which has been organic throughout the Taminda industrial area. Strategic flood modelling was undertaken as part of the design of the proposed development in order to ensure safe development of flood prone land, see Section **10.9**.

A solar farm (subject of another application) is proposed on approximately 7.7ha of land immediately north of the site, with the intention that the solar will provide sustainable solar to the industrial area.

A plan is shown at **Figure 3**.

Figure 3 Proposed rezoning area in relation to solar farm



Source: SBA Architects 2018

The site is bordered by industrial and commercial uses to its south and eastern sides. With semi-rural settings north and west of the site. All built form in the immediately surrounding area is of a low-density format with generally low set buildings and wide road reserves. Some green spaces exist and consist of semi-rural, farming, entertainment or vacant land.

Existing planning controls

Currently, under the Tamworth Regional Council Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2010, the site:

» Is zoned RU4 Primary Production Small Lots (Ref **Figure 4**)

» Has a minimum lot size of 40ha (Ref **Figure 5**)

» Has no maximum floor space ratio (FSR) controls, similar to the neighbouring properties (Ref **Figure 6**)

» Is not affected by the Australian Noise Exposure Forecast (ANEF) Map (Ref **Figure 7**)

» Is identified as flood prone land on the Flood Planning Map (Ref **Figure 7**)

» It does not contain any Local or State listed heritage items and is not located within a conservation area, however, the Racecourse, adjacent to the site to the east, is a locally listed item (Ref **Figure 8**)

» Is partially affected in the southern portion of the site by the Flight Path Training Map (Ref **Figure 9**)

Figure 4 Current Land zoning 004C



Figure 5 Current Minimum Lot Size 004C



Figure 6 Current Floor Space Ratio 004C



Figure 7 Current ANEP and Flood Planning Map 004C



Figure 8 Current Heritage 004C



Figure 9 Current Flight Training Path Map 004C



.

Opportunities and constraints

Elton Consulting has considered the opportunities and constraints for the site below



Rothelowman Architects have identified visually the opportunities and constraints for the site as shown in **Figure 10** below.

Figure 10 Opportunities and Constraints Plan



Source: Rothelowman 2018

Opportunities

1. Opportunity for employment land use
2. Higher architectural exposure and entrance development to Taminda and Tamworth via future bypass
3. Solar farm for a renewable energy source
4. Primary and secondary access points via Dampier Street and Wallamore Road respectively
5. Industrial units to the south allow a point of difference to what we propose
6. Connection to neighbouring precincts and racecourse
7. Marker location for retail development
8. Opportunity for future connections to northern site

Constraints

8. Bypass splits site
9. Flood prone land
10. Flood deflection required at boundaries
11. Solar farm position and layout must consider light pollution, particularly due to nearby Tamworth Regional Airport

3 The proposed rezoning - Concept Master Plan

Overview

It is proposed to develop the site with a green, sustainable and contemporary industrial and business precinct that completes the development of Precinct 6 in the Taminda light industrial area. The proposed development also provides for the future bypass road opportunity.

The proposed concept has been designed taking into account the various technical studies undertaken which demonstrate that the site can be developed for employment purposes. The proposed concept has also taken into consideration the current strategic framework which applies to part of the site, namely the Taminda Revitalisation + Economic Strategy.

This section of the report outlines the proposed vision, principles, concept and justification for the rezoning of part of the site.

Proposed vision and design principles

"Developing a green, sustainable employment and urban services site that provides for an industrial and business submarket that complements the Taminda Area, and differentiates itself to other recently zoned industrial lands."

This proposal aims to create a mix of employment uses and associated lot sizing that will cater for a growing dynamic market in the Taminda precinct. The proposal will permit the gradual transition from smaller architecturally designed commercial/industrial built forms to larger, architecturally designed spaces for other industrial uses. By providing a mix of uses and aesthetic appeal, the development can reactivate this precinct from an urban planning and design perspective and foster the addition of employment opportunities at a landmark, gateway site.

The co-location/close proximity with a 9MW solar farm (subject of another application) will add to the uniqueness of the development by providing renewable and sustainable energy generation to supply the site.

The key design principles for the concept for the site include:

- Allowance for the proposed by-pass road along the northern perimeter of the site to improve access and movement to Taminda employment area and through Tamworth
- Provide access to the site from a new roundabout on the eastern edge at Jewry Street
- Provide a flood engineered solution comprising a levee and/or the required fill, at the proposed site to address flood impact mitigation affecting this location
- Creation of green pockets to provide a place for workers and visitors to enjoy
- Greening the precinct to transition to the adjacent rural lands boundary
- Provide more business/commercial uses at the eastern end of the site along the future by-pass road, with lighter industrial uses south of the road towards existing industrial land
- Allow for a range of industrial land parcels to assist in encouraging investment to Taminda and Tamworth.
- Allow for maintenance and general access to the proposed solar farm within the site at the

Key Features

» Up to 11.3 hectares of employment lands

» Establishment of a flood protected development site with:

> flood protection measures that have little significant impacts on neighbouring properties; and

> combines a range of engineered and tested mitigation techniques

» Architecturally designed buildings

> an ability for the precinct to deliver of a mix of employment uses and lots sizing that will cater for a range of market demand.

» Located in the Taminda Precinct of the Taminda Revitalisation + Development Strategy as a 'gateway site'

» Is within the urban footprint, in the Taminda precinct, in good proximity to the city centre, in good proximity to the airport and releases of industrial lands such as Glen Artney (approximately 6-7kms away)

» 9MW solar farm (subject of development application) to support an embedded network arrangement

» Ability to integrate with future bypass road

Two concept drawings have been prepared to demonstrate concept layouts and interactions before and after the future bypass road. The indicative concept plans are shown at **Figure 11** and **Figure 12**. A proposed concept landscape plan is shown in **Figure 13**, illustrating the green and modern design, with green lined streets, open space.

Figure 11 Master Plan Concept pre-bypass road



Figure 12 Master Plan Concept post-bypass road



Figure 13 Landscape Master Plan



Source (all): Rothelowman 2019

Proposed land uses

The concept for the site proposes light industrial-type land uses on the southern part of the site between the existing Taminda industrial precinct and the proposed by-pass road.

The employment lands as part of this planning proposal proposes to include the bypass road resulting in an area of 11.3ha. The proposed solar farm is in close proximity with the proposed employment and business precinct to allow for the ability for the energy generation and integration. Of the proposed 11.3ha of employment land, an indicative area (minus the bypass road easement) is proposed for each of the proposed land zonings:

- 7.1ha as IN1 – General Industrial; and
- 3.7ha as B5 – Business Development uses.
- It is acknowledged that some of the 11.3ha will be lost to the future bypass road.

The two zones are proposed for the following reasons:

» The business development uses along the by-pass road can provide much needed uses at the entrance to the western portion of Taminda area, and support the other business zone to the eastern edge of the Taminda area

» The business uses can obtain greater exposure to traffic movement in the future, being alongside the by-pass road

» The business uses allows for slightly increase employment generation and thus supports the provision of some amenity and open space for workers

» The industrial lands are similar to the remainder of Taminda and provides the services needed by Tamworth community

» The site can provide for smaller or larger industrial lots to cater for the future need and investment to support the economy.

A study by HillPDA (December 2018) demonstrates the demand for the proposed light industrial and business lands. The detail of these findings is outlined further in Section 6.

Flood mitigation

The provision of this development gives the opportunity to review, plan and model a new flood concept design. The flood modelling undertaken using TRC's flood model showed that with the implementation of various measures, flood protected land was able to be provided for with little significant impacts to be borne by surrounding land. The analysis and results of Tooker and Associates' Flood Impact and Riparian Corridor Assessment is attached as an Appendix.

Traffic and Access

The proposed rezoning can provide certainty and can facilitate improved access into/out of Taminda with the ability to integrate with the final alignment of the proposed by-pass road.

Access to the site can be achieved with the extension of Jewry Street and a proposed new roundabout facilitating easy access to the site and improved thoroughfare through Taminda.

The proposed bypass road has been allocated a 40m road reserve as an easement on plan and the proposed access road can be accommodated within this reserve comfortably. Until such time as the by-pass road is constructed, it is proposed that access to the site be from the proposed new roundabout into the site.

The landowner is prepared to discuss entering into discussions and negotiation of a voluntary planning agreement to support and pay its proportion of the contribution to the construction of the roundabout on Dampier/Jewry Street and/or the by-pass road.

Infrastructure Servicing

Currently, the site benefits from access to various infrastructure. A concept design has been devised by Barnson demonstrating that there is servicing available to the site.

Sewer

A sewer line currently traverses the Site and is expected to be accessible to service the site, subject to further analysis. Challenges exist due to the low gradient of the site and existing invert level of the sewer line.

Water

Potable water is available from Dampier Street and provision to the site could be managed using future access roads.

Stormwater

The concept plan shows that future access roads can be used to channel water to drainage locations at lower portions of the site. Grading and levels will require resolution at later development phases.

A set of concept civil drawings is attached as an Appendix.

Planning Proposal

4 Objectives and intended outcomes

The objective of this planning proposal is to enable the redevelopment the land at 55 Dampier and 21 Wallamore Road, Tamworth (Lot 2 and Lot 3 DP 1234850) for employment generating land uses. This will expand the existing Taminda industrial land area to the west to support the light industrial and business hub for Tamworth.

The intended outcomes of the proposal are expected to deliver:

- Two employment zones (of approximately B5 Business Development (3.7ha), IN1 General Industrial (7.1ha) south of the future Taminda by-pass road, to support local service and industrial activities (IN1) and job growth in Tamworth
- Support the delivery of a contemporary light industrial area supported by well designed:
  - public domain and open space areas to provide opportunity for improved urban design outcomes and improved amenity
  - larger and smaller industrial and business complex to suit a range of business owners including start-up businesses that will offer opportunities for transitional built forms
  - Local light industrial and supporting uses, that provide for a different submarket to that approved near the airport
  - Provide a diversity of industrial unit sizing including large lot units for traditional warehousing, storage, and smaller lots for start-up businesses and boutique operators
- Supporting light business uses with the formal creation of the bypass road through the site to improve access and movement for Taminda and build the internal road network to serve the site's access and parking requirements
- Supply solar generated energy to the proposed light industrial/business area, and create cost and energy efficiencies for the proposed employment lands

5 Explanation of provisions

5.1 Proposed amendments

The Planning Proposal seeks to achieve the intended outcomes outlined in Part 1 by proposing the following amendments to the Tamworth Regional Council LEP 2010:

» Amend the Land Zoning Map (LZN_004C), from RU4 Primary Production to part B5 Business Development and part IN1 General Industrial (Ref to **Figure 28**)

» Amend the Minimum Lot Size Map (LSZ_004C) Map, from 40ha to no minimum lot size control (Ref to **Figure 29**)

» Amend the Floor Space ratio (FSR_004C) Map, for B5 land use with an FSR control of 1:1 for the proposed B5 zoned area (Ref **Figure 30**)

» Amend the Flood Planning Map (CL3_004C), to exclude the land proposed to be rezoned to B5 and IN1 from the "Flood Planning Area" (Ref **Figure 31**)

» Amend the Land Reservation Map to include the proposed bypass road reserve into the Land Reservation and Acquisition map. (Ref **Figure 32**)

The new planning controls provide:

» B5 Business Development zone that will enable a mix of business and warehouse uses, and specialised retail premises that require a variety of floor areas, in locations that are close to and support the viability of Taminda, and employment generation on the future bypass road

» IN1 General Industrial land zone will enable a wide range of industrial and warehouse land uses; to encourage employment opportunities; to minimise any adverse effect of industry on other land uses; to support the expansion of the Taminda industrial land for industrial uses; and to enable land uses that provide facilities or services to meet the day to day needs of workers in the area.

» FSR control of 1:1 across the proposed B5 zone to guide the future development outcomes and ensure that buildings are compatible with the bulk and scale of the desired future character of the surrounding locality. No FSR control is proposed for the IN1 zone, consistent with the current controls for IN1 land in the Tamworth LGA

» No minimum lot sizes so that a range of options can be provided for the future industrial and business uses.

Table 2 Proposed LEP Map amendments

Map	Description
Land Zoning	The land zoning map will show that part of the site to be zoned to B5 Business Development and part IN1 General Industrial (Ref to **Figure 28**)
Minimum Lot Size	No minimum lot size is proposed for the site (Ref to **Figure 29**)
Floor Space Ratio	A maximum of 1:1 FSR is to be applied to the B5 zone only (Ref **Figure 30**)
Flood Planning map	Exclude the site from the Flood planning map (Ref **Figure 31**)
Land reservation and acquisition map	Include the proposed bypass road reserve into this map (Ref **Figure 32**)

6 Justification

Section A – Need for the planning proposal

6.1 Is the planning proposal a result of any strategic study or report?

Yes. The site falls within the identified Precinct 6 Plan of the Taminda Revitalisation + Development Strategy Plan.

The Taminda Revitalisation + Development Strategy was undertaken in 2008. It is understood that the delineation of the northern extent of the Taminda Precinct was at least in part driven by the location of the bypass road and concerns as to the feasibility providing flood free land to the north of the bypass road. This proposal addresses flooding issues of the proposed rezoning area and the future provision of the bypass road. Further, since the preparation of the Strategy in 2008 the market and strategic planning framework has changed, with an emphasis on more sustainable and flexible economic uses to strengthen Tamworth.

For this reason, HillPDA was engaged by the landowner to undertake an economic and market analysis of employment lands in the Tamworth region. A detailed assessment of this strategy has also been undertaken by Elton Consulting and can be found at Section 6.4.1.

6.2 Is the planning proposal the best means of achieving the objectives or intended outcomes, or is there a better way?

Yes.

A Planning Proposal seeking to amend Tamworth Regional LEP is considered the best means of achieving the objectives for the redevelopment of the site and the most effective way of providing certainty for the land.

The existing zoning does not:

» permit the development envisaged for the employment component

» reflect market changes in the last decade, or

» respond to the local or state studies and strategies that identify the site for renewal and growth.

The proposal seeks to rezone the site part IN1 and B5 that will permit industrial and business uses envisaged. The proposal aligns with state and local strategic planning objectives, and is considered appropriate for the site, given its proximity to the Tamworth CBD, urban development and its partial inclusion into the Taminda precinct.

Section B – Relationships to Strategic planning framework

6.3 Is the planning proposal consistent with the objectives and actions of the applicable regional or sub-regional strategy?

Yes. This section outlines how the planning proposal has strategic merit being consistent with the objectives and actions of:

» A 20- year Economic Vision for Regional NSW (2018)

» New England North West Regional Plan 2036 (2017)

» Lower North West Regional Economic Development Strategy 2018 – 2022 (2018)

» Strategic Regional Land Use Plan New England North West (2012).

6.3.1 New England North West Regional Plan 2036

Overview

Adopted in 2017, the New England North West Regional Plan 2036 is a 20-year blueprint for the future.

The NSW Government's vision for the New England North West Region is: Nationally valued landscapes and strong, successful communities from the Great Dividing Range to the rich black soil plains.

The vision for the region acknowledges the opportunities provided by natural resources and strong communities and includes the following goals.

» A strong and dynamic regional economy

» A healthy environment with pristine waterways

» Strong infrastructure and transport networks for a connected future

» Attractive and thriving communities

Tamworth is located at the Southern gateway to the region providing opportunity for freight and transport access directly to both Newcastle and Sydney.

Figure 14: New England North West Regional Plan



Source: New England North West Regional Plan, NSW Government 2017.

Assessment

The table below provides an assessment of the proposal against the Regional Plan.

Table 3 Assessment New England North West Regional Plan 2036

Guiding Principles	Assessment
Direction 5: Grow New England North West as the renewable energy hub of NSW	
Diversify the energy sector by identifying renewable energy resource precincts and infrastructure corridors with access to the electricity network. Facilitate appropriate smaller-scale renewable energy projects using biowaste, solar, wind, hydro, geothermal or other innovative storage technologies	The proposed rezoning is for a sustainable and green industrial precinct. In this respect, the provision of a solar farm (subject of another application) will address the costs of energy use for the proposed industrial development. The solar farm will supply future development with sustainable energy. Any excess energy will be returned to the grid for other energy requirements within Taminda. The proposed

Guiding Principles	Assessment
	rezoning and the ability for connection a solar farm addresses the directions in a consistent manner.
Direction 6: Deliver new industries of the future	
Green industries will emerge as businesses identify new opportunities and productivity gains associated with environmental sustainability. These sectors will be at the heart of considerable innovation and can gain greater success with local partnerships between industry, government and educational institutions. Green industries will lead to the development of high-tech infrastructure or research and technology. In doing so, industry can underpin activity in other complementary manufacturing sectors.	The delivery of employment lands co-located with renewable energy generation will facilitate opportunities for business growth and investment. The clustering and networking of businesses by proximity should serve as a catalyst for new investment and the foundation to support diverse employment land offerings, which include diverse spaces which could cater for green industries and flexible employment land generally.
Identifying an adequate supply of employment land with the necessary infrastructure will attract investment in existing and emerging industry sectors. Consideration should also be given to the location of industries that can promote resource and infrastructure sharing and policies. Opportunities for research and development institutions will be secured with suitable employment land, including the creation of clusters around key education institutions, and by incorporating tools into local planning policies to encourage establishment. These industries may also require land with access to transport and freight corridors, protected from encroachment by sensitive or incompatible uses.	The proposed green energy supply to the proposed employment area will attract investment, not yet seen in Tamworth. The proposed rezoning allows for light industrial and business uses that need smaller land parcels compared to the already identified freight and logistics precincts near the Tamworth airport. The proposed rezoning will provide employment land that can secure local industry and business suitable employment areas, and due to its location can be accessible to Tamworth CBD The location of the proposed development could also support the Taminda industrial area cluster, and thus support the clustering of employment and service economy as well as be a submarket different to that of the transport and freight precincts closer to the airport.
Direction 7: Build strong economic centres	
Commercial and Industrial Clusters of economic activity around precincts will continue to be a source of economic diversity and higher skilled employment. The vitality of central business precincts within cities and centres will be promoted. New commercial precincts should be avoided outside of centres. Where out-of-centre commercial areas are proposed, they must be of an appropriate size to their service catchment. Well-located employment land is a key component to a successful centre. Employment land supply will be encouraged in locations supported by freight access and protected from encroachment by incompatible development. Certain industries may need to be located away from centres due to their type, scale and nature, and this should be addressed in local growth management strategies. 7.1 Develop local growth management strategies and use local plans to reinforce regional cities and centres as the primary locations for commerce, housing, tourism, social activity and regional services.	The location of the proposed development provides support for the clustering of employment and service economy around Taminda. The proposed rezoning provides the diversity of employment lands and uses to the Taminda area. The site is uniquely located, on the boundary of the existing Taminda area, in a way that it is: » within the urban footprint, and is not isolated or detracting from the Taminda area » in the Taminda precinct » in good proximity to the city centre » in good proximity to the airport and releases of industrial lands such as Glen Artney (approximately 6-7kms away » immediately adjacent to similar land uses to support clustering, competition and ideas sharing 7.1 The proposed development will support the growth of Tamworth and strengthen the investment

Guiding Principles	Assessment
7.4 Facilitate economic activity around industry anchors, such as health and education facilities, through planning controls that encourage clusters of complementary uses and address infrastructure needs. 7.5 Promote an appropriate mix of land uses and prevent the encroachment of sensitive uses on employment land through local planning controls. 7.6 Deliver an adequate supply of employment land through local growth management strategies and local environmental plans.	potential and employment base of Tamworth for commerce and industrial services. In relation to 7.4 and 7.5, The delivery of employment lands co-located with similar industries with the flexibility to adapt will facilitate opportunities for existing and new business growth and investment. The proposed development will deliver flexible employment land generally suitable for a mix of employment uses. 7.6 This proposed development has undergone its own extensive review of opportunities and constraints using technical expert analysis. Strategic planning documents from 2008 have driven the Taminda precinct boundary. This proposal provides justification on planning and economic merit that a review of this site is warranted and delivery of a structured and ordered employment lands is beneficial to the community and the public.
Direction 10: Sustainably manage and conserve water resources	
10.5 Incorporate measures to improve water efficiency in urban and rural settings, including water sensitive urban design for new developments, into local planning policies	Development of the site will provide opportunities for providing measures that feature Water Sensitive Urban Design. The proposed rezoning also allows for the ability to considerately manage impacts from high rainfall and flood events.
Direction 12: Adapt to natural hazards and climate change	
Flooding is a major hazard in some areas. Councils are responsible for managing flood risks, including the development and implementation of floodplain risk management plans. These plans use a merit-based approach that balances social, economic, environmental and flood-risk parameters to determine the appropriate use of flood-prone land.	The flood modelling has been undertaken for the site, based on Tamworth Council's flood model. The technical assessment indicates that the proposed rezoning and flood mitigation concept will improve management of the water from flood and high rain falls in a more defined and orderly manner for the site, and the adjacent lands to the west. Refer **Section 10.9**.
Direction 13: Expand emerging industries through freight and logistics connectivity	
13.1 Implement local planning controls to protect freight and logistics facilities from encroachment of sensitive land uses. 13.4 Locate freight and logistics facilities to maximise existing infrastructure, support future industrial development and capitalise on inter-regional connections and external markets.	The proposed rezoning will facilitate development that will complement and support freight and logistics uses in Tamworth. The provision of employment lands will support the opportunities for future employment investment in the region, and effectively use existing and future infrastructure.
Direction 16: Coordinate infrastructure delivery	The proposed rezoning supports new solar generating infrastructure that can provide value adding to the industrial area and support co-location of new infrastructure.
16.2 Maximise the cost-effective and efficient use of infrastructure by focusing development on existing	

Guiding Principles	Assessment
infrastructure or promoting co-location of new infrastructure. 16.3 Work with stakeholders and infrastructure providers to investigate funding models for utility infrastructure.	The proposal provides an economic model to support energy efficiency energy delivery to industrial land at Taminda by facilitating and embedded network that will be connected to the grid network.
Direction 17: Strengthen community resilience	
17.1 Develop local plans and economic strategies that: foster collaboration with business sectors to develop employment opportunities that can attract and retain younger people and professional and skilled workers.	The proposal will provide a well-located employment gateway site that could attract a range of users and interests due to its size and proximity to the Taminda precinct. The proposal provides opportunities for new business, and professionals to work within the Taminda area. The significant investment and creation of mixed-use commercial/industrial job opportunities provides support to strengthen the local economy.
Local government narrative	
Tamworth Regional Identify and protect intensive agriculture clusters and encourage opportunities for agribusiness including research and development to maximise innovation and efficiencies in the agricultural sector. » Support the development of employment lands including Glen Artney. » Continue to develop access and logistics infrastructure on appropriate sites to encourage new industry opportunities. » Identify and promote wind, solar and other renewable energy production opportunities.	The site is currently not used for intensive agricultural clusters, but rather local agriculture as well as rural industrial and container activities. This is driven by the market, flood inundation and competing uses (see Melaleuca Agricultural land assessment at **Section 10.1.**) The location of the site supports the proposed economic development to provide for a range of employment uses that would serve a range of industries. By proximity, it would naturally support potential for clustering and networking given it is: » within the edge of the urban footprint; » in the Taminda precinct; » in good proximity to the city centre; » in good proximity to the airport and releases of industrial lands such as Glen Artney (approximately 6-7kms away); and » a 9MW solar farm is proposed as part of the overarching development concept (subject of another application)

6.3.2 A 20- year Economic Vision for Regional NSW

Overview

The vision document has objectives to accelerate economic growth in key sectors such as agribusiness, tertiary education and health care, taking full advantage of trade and tourism opportunities with Asia to ensure regional NSW remains strong. The document brings together long-term planning and existing strategies like the Future Transport Strategy 2056, NSW State Infrastructure Strategy, and the regional plans.

Assessment

The table below provides an assessment of the proposal against the 20-year Economic Vision for Regional NSW

Table 4 Assessment – 20-year economic vision for Regional NSW

Content	Assessment
Populations are rapidly increasing as people migrate to regional cities such as Bathurst, Orange, Tamworth, Dubbo, Lismore, Wagga Wagga and Coffs Harbour, attracted by their lifestyles and employment industries. A focus on growth – Targeting investment in regional centres that are forecast to grow will prioritise efforts for the greatest and most sustainable growth, and cement those regional centres as hubs for their surrounding areas, in line with the Future Transport Strategy 2056 hub-and-spoke model. Significant Growth The regions which include Albury, Maitland, Coffs Harbour, Wagga Wagga, Port Macquarie, Tamworth, Byron-Ballina-Kyogle, Shoalhaven and Tweed could reach populations of more than 100,000 people. The Mid-Coast area could exceed 90,000 people. Regional NSW could add over 260,000 jobs between now and 2038. In total, that means almost 1.1 million jobs in the regions by 2038.	With increased population growth, a demand for employment lands and stimulation is likely. The facilitation of employment lands provides the supply needed to address future growth and demand in a Regional growth centre such as Tamworth. Calculations by HillPDA show that only 7 hectares of vacant general industrial land is present in the Tamworth Township, and additional land zoned for general industrial purposes will be required over the coming years.
Agribusiness and forestry Regional NSW will continue to be a significant producer of agricultural commodities. The shape of employment will change as primary producers move to value-added products and capitalise on the premium branding status of NSW produce. In addition to value-added food and beverages, key products will include meats, vegetables, grains, milk, cotton, wool and forestry products. Success is underpinned by productive farmland, diverse growing conditions, efficient technology use and a reputation for quality	Supplying employment lands is a flexible way to cater for opportunities to capitalise on existing industries and growing industries. It allows for businesses to expand their practices or smaller businesses to commence operations in a Regional growth centre such as Tamworth. While not directly related to these industries, the supply of land could potentially cater for sectors and sub markets that other employment precincts outside of Taminda may not be able to provide.
Resources and mining Resources and mining will continue to be an economic specialisation in a small number of regions. Raw materials from this sector will continue to be in demand across a broader number of regions and in industries such as construction	

Freight and logistics Freight, logistics and distribution services are already a backbone industry of regional NSW. Better freight connectivity with and along the east coast will enable more efficient and cost-effective logistics solutions, and could drive the development of distribution centres in Metro Satellite regions.	The proposed employment zone is well-suited to support freight and logistics given its proximity to Taminda, existing urban services and reasonable proximity to Tamworth City and Tamworth Regional airport
Renewable energy	The overarching development proposal includes the provision of a 9MW solar farm
Portfolio Policies – Jobs for the Future, Securing job opportunities across the state	
7. Regulation and planning to promote commercial opportunities Planning and regulation settings must maximise employment and income-generating opportunities. Better coordination, joined-up governance and clear signals to attract industries to targeted locations will optimise local advantages.	HillPDA reports that, 'The Taminda estate is uniquely positioned to provide land for the expansion of urban services that support the growing township. It is superior to any alternative areas given the area is generally free of land use conflicts, is adequately serviced, enjoys agglomeration with existing businesses and has proximity to labour, capital and consumer markets. Our market research has shown that typical buyers show a strong preference for these attributes and that Taminda is preferred to alternative locations'. At time of writing, planning policy has been founded on strategy and documents from 2008. The Planning Proposal provides the planning and economic justification that a supply of well-considered employment lands would maximise employment and income generating opportunities. A theoretical upper limit of direct and indirect revenue generation from a 30ha development is projected to be $400M per year and this means the site at 11ha would likely generate approximately half this amount See **Figure 15** and **Figure 16** below

Figure 15 Direct economic impacts of the Planning Proposal

Industry	No. of Workers	Revenue ($m)	Salaries ($m)	GVA ($m)
Manufacturing	284	123.2	18.8	34.0
Electricity, Gas, Water and Waste Services	37	38.2	4.0	17.4
Construction	148	53.6	7.8	16.2
Wholesale trade	109	35.0	3.6	6.3
Retail Trade	62	52.3	4.6	7.5
Accommodation and Food Services	38	4.1	1.0	1.6
Transport, Postal and Warehousing	182	50.8	10.4	23.1
Information Media and Telecommunications	8	3.5	0.7	1.7
Financial and Insurance Services	10	3.9	0.4	2.1
Rental, Hiring and Real Estate Services	8	1.7	0.6	0.9
Professional, Scientific and Technical Services	14	1.5	0.7	0.9
Administrative and Support Services	12	1.6	0.6	0.9
Public Administration and Safety	23	1.4	1.1	1.5
Health Care and Social Assistance	42	6.9	1.3	2.6
Other Services	23	6.4	1.2	2.4
Total	**1,000**	**384.0**	**56.8**	**118.9**

Source: HillPDA from ABS Australian Industry 2016-17

Figure 16 Indirect economic impacts of the Planning Proposal

Industry	Direct GVA ($m)	Production Induced	Consumption Induced	Total GVA
Manufacturing	34.0	55.9	52.6	142.5
Electricity, Gas, Water and Waste Services	17.4	21.8	16.9	56.1
Construction	16.2	28.4	26.4	70.9
Wholesale trade	6.3	5.0	7.2	18.6
Retail Trade	7.5	4.2	7.7	19.4
Accommodation and Food Services	1.6	1.3	1.9	4.7
Transport, Postal and Warehousing	23.1	21.3	25.7	70.0
Information Media and Telecommunications	1.7	1.7	1.7	5.1
Financial and Insurance Services	2.1	1.1	1.3	4.5
Rental, Hiring and Real Estate Services	0.9	0.4	0.3	1.6
Professional, Scientific and Technical Services	0.9	0.7	1.2	2.8
Administrative and Support Services	0.9	0.5	1.1	2.5
Public Administration and Safety	1.5	0.7	1.8	4.1
Health Care and Social Assistance	2.6	0.8	3.0	6.5
Other Services	2.4	0.8	2.8	5.9
Total	118.9	144.5	151.6	415.0

Source: HillPDA and ABS Input Output Tables 2015-16

Figure 17: Improve freight networks, plan

3. Improve freight networks from regional NSW to global gateways, to increase exports.

Within 5 years we will investigate:	Over 5 to 10 years we will investigate:	In the next 10 to 20 years we will investigate:
• inland intermodals near the production of agricultural products • more efficient transport between inland intermodals and global gateways.	• optimising or increasing container flows through ports • benefits that can be realised from Inland Rail.	• more efficient east-west transport connections, including between inland NSW and Newcastle, Sydney and Wollongong • air freight potential in regional areas.

	Some of the investment that Tamworth has/will benefit from has been confirmed for the Regional Centre. A supply of well-located employment lands will support opportunities for utlisation of future infrastrucure as well as support opportunities for the growth that regional cities like Tamworth are expected to experience
9. Provide an attractive environment for businesses to establish and invest in regional NSW locations, consistent with regions' economic endowments. potential growth areas (hubs) for targeted industries in specific locations	The Taminda precinct is labelled as the 'single most significant industrial lands precinct within the LGA', and supporting growth areas in key regional cities like Tamworth align with this objective (Taminda Revitalisation + Development Strategy 2008)
10. Grow vibrant places to live and work to encourage business and population growth. (5-10 years) opportunities to activate regional town centres with growth potential, to support night-time economies.	

6.3.3 Lower North West Regional Economic Development Strategy 2018 – 2022

Overview

"The Lower North West Regional Economic Development Strategy 2018–2022 (the Strategy) sets out a long-term economic vision and associated strategy for the functional economic region encompassing Tamworth Regional, Gunnedah Shire and Liverpool Plains Shire Local Government Areas (the Region). Economic research suggests that regions are becoming increasingly specialised in the key 'engine' industries that drive the regional economies and a region's relative strengths (endowments) play a key role in determining these specialisations".

Assessment

The table below provides an assessment of the proposal against the Lower North West Regional Economic Development Strategy.

Table 5 Assessment Lower North West Regional Economic Development Strategy

Strategy comment	Assessment
Strategy	
The Region has significant opportunities to build on its specialisations and its endowments to grow the economy. These opportunities include growing the key ('engine') industry sectors: » Agriculture and Agri-business – to further leverage the Region's significant advantages for agriculture to increase productivity, foster emerging activities, and encourage growth and diversification of the agri-processing and services sectors. » Mining – to leverage the proposed expansion in mining to grow the Mine Services sector and attract new residents. A. Deliver key infrastructure as a foundation for growth » upgrade and augment utility services (power, water, sewerage) to meet current needs and provide the capacity for growth; and » facilitate the development of serviced industrial and employment land, e.g. by providing last mile infrastructure to key industrial precincts. B. Provide a positive, supportive environment to facilitate business growth and investment Key initiatives in this Strategy include: » grow and develop the Region's workforce to address labour and skill shortages; » identify and advocate for a review of and changes to, regulatory barriers that hinder industry development and business growth; and	HillPDA has identified that while industries associated with 'blue collar' occupations have historically been a major component of Tamworth's local economy and employment generator, there are a still a range of industries that drive the Tamworth economy. HillPDA write that increased demand for additional industrial land in close proximity to the town centre and transportation nodes (pg. 65 of HillPDA report) is needed. A range of industries demands a range and mix of spaces to serve different needs and uses. The supply of well-located industrial and commercial land facilitates the needs of the Taminda area and the region generally. HillPDA state that, 'Commercial services, retail and human support services including accommodation, food services and childcare facilities are important components in successful employment precincts whether they are predominantly white-collar office precincts or blue-collar industrial estates' Its proximity to other businesses, clusters, access points and even other employment lands further away will catalyse change and foster growth and opportunity. The proposed industrial rezoning could cater for market demands in agriculture, agri-business, mining and transport and logistics. HillPDA state, '*The Taminda estate is uniquely positioned to provide land for the expansion of urban services that support the growing township. It is superior to any alternative areas given the area is generally free of land use conflicts, is adequately serviced, enjoys agglomeration with existing businesses and has proximity to labour, capital and consumer markets. Our market research has shown that typical buyers show a strong preference for these attributes and that Taminda is preferred to alternative locations*'.

Strategy comment	Assessment
» deliver information and services to actively support and nurture businesses. Infrastructure priorities » New business start-up and the relocation of businesses to the Region. C. Support and leverage key sectors There are several key sectors that will drive the Lower North West Region's economy in the foreseeable future by creating income and employment opportunities within the Region, and provide nuclei for the attraction of new businesses and investment. The key initiatives in this Strategy are to: » facilitate growth in the Transport and Logistics sectors, including Aviation; The key infrastructure priorities under this Strategy are to: » provision of adequate supply of serviced residential and industrial land to accommodate growth; and » Facilitate expansion of serviced residential and industrial land to attract mine workers and mining related businesses and services Provide suitable land for the expansion of the Transport and Logistics sectors Strong engine industries create income and employment opportunities within the Region, and provide nuclei for the attraction of new businesses and investment. D Position and promote the Lower North West region as a location of choice. Retaining existing and attracting new businesses and residents is essential for increasing the size and capacity of the Region's workforce and for driving growth. People making location decisions consider a range of factors including the attractiveness, amenity and appeal of the area, the facilities and services available (including retail, medical and health, education, child care, telecommunications), suitable and affordable housing, employment prospects and the vibrancy and inclusiveness of the community. Ensuring that the Region is an attractive place to live, work, invest and visit, and has the facilities and services available is part of the foundation for economic growth. Key initiatives in this Strategy are to:	The proposed rezoning can provide infrastructure to support the growth of Taminda. The proposal supports the creation of the by-pass road infrastructure. The proposed solar farm will alleviate and improve the energy use and environmental sustainability of the proposed development, which can support the site but also the Taminda precinct. Taminda is supported by major roads and logistic access. The proposed development would complement those supply lines at the Taminda precinct's western gateway site. It can provide support to the existing businesses in the area but also facilitate their growth by providing support facilities (possibly a child care for employees' families) and amenity (food and beverage, open spaces and parks, and improved access movement) for the Taminda area. The provision of employment lands creates opportunities and possibilities for businesses and industries to draw more demand for a workforce. As outlined by HillPDA in a 30ha development scenario, the proposed rezoning of lands is predicated to provide up to 30 jobs during construction but in addition, up to 1,000 jobs from the employment uses (on a fully developed 30ha site). The proportional adjustment will be provided on an 11ha site. Some employment land will be taken up by the solar farm however with 7.7ha dedicated for renewable energy generation. The Taminda Revitalisation Strategy has guided Taminda employment areas for the last ten years. Many of the strategies have been implemented, and as identified in HillPDA report, the economy has changed over this time. The HillPDA report justifies the need to review and update the Taminda revitalisation plan, and create opportunities to implement a green, modern industrial extension of local urban services as a shortfall of employment land is unable to meet projected demands in Tamworth. 'If we assumed that 50% of future demand for industrial land is directed towards general industrial zoned land and light industries, then around 17 to 57 hectares of additional land would be required to accommodate the growth over the next 20 years. Whilst there is 18 hectares of vacant IN1 general industrial land only 7 hectares is located in Tamworth Township, which is considered insufficient to meet short-term demand' The proposed development is a catalyst and a precursor to support clustering, diverse offerings, which include diverse spaces for micro-businesses and

Strategy comment	Assessment
» grow Tamworth and consolidate its role as the primary regional service centre; » improve and expand the activities, facilities and services available; » market and promote the Lower North West Region as a 'location of choice' to attract new businesses, investment, residents and visitors. The key infrastructure priorities under this Strategy are to: Potential benefits and implications » Retention and expansion of existing businesses, facilities and services. » Attraction of new businesses and services.	start-ups. The proposed sustainable development can attract new businesses and provide additional job opportunities for the workforce. The proposed rezoning could also provide for opportunities for the local manufacturing business. The facilitation of flexible, mixed, employment lands on within the high potential Taminda and Tamworth urban footprint will support with this strategy's action for increased attractiveness and growing the service economy. In particular, the proposed development of a sustainable and modern employment area will provide an attractor to new and existing businesses and expanding offerings to new and existing residents and stakeholders in Tamworth.
Supporting analysis (weaknesses – barriers and issues)	
Energy Uncertainty in energy policy has impacted on investment decisions, particularly in the renewables sector. Rising energy prices have impacted on business profitability and uncertainty about energy security is impacting on investment decisions	The provision of a solar farm (subject of another application) will address the cost and management of energy use for the proposed development. It creates value, generates income and offers an ability for employment land users to benefit from renewable energy sources as well as the benefits that result from the additional investment.
Limited access to capital Funding institutions are reluctant to lend money for residential, commercial and industrial properties located outside of Tamworth. The Region is not attracting investment from superannuation funds. Government funding policies are often based on population considerations and allocated on a per capita basis rather than on need and/or return on investment.	The proposed development is well located within the Taminda precinct, a growing and landlocked precinct of activity. The staged approach to the development and release of employment lands will reduce risks and improve certainty for quality outcomes. The proposed development and solar generation has had interest from investors, due to the location and size of the site, and the integration of solar generation with a new modern industrial/business precinct.
Drivers of change	
Improvement in transport and freight infrastructure include the establishment of intermodal terminals, significant investment in rail and port infrastructure, and the increasing use of high productivity vehicles. Drive to reduce energy costs Energy costs within the Lower North West Region are 20% higher than Sydney. There is a focus is on renewable energy sources, biomass conversion, and energy saving. There is also investment in liquid biofuels with trials underway to produce diesel fuel from crop oils and green waste.	Whilst the proposed development suggests some direct road and traffic upgrades, other major funding commitments invested in the region (such as the intermodal rail and land releases like Glen Artney) can benefit from the new investment into solar and employment lands and associated infrastructure. The proposal can provide an incentive of change for employment and investment in the region. In the HillPDA report, there are case studies that demonstrate how the mix of industrial/business uses can provide good economic and employment outcomes by providing a range of key amenities for a precinct or locale. The provision of a solar farm (subject of another application) will address the costs of energy use for the proposed development. The ability to capture

Strategy comment	Assessment
	solar radiation (and for it to be contained on site) to supply future development and the grid network is critical.
Action Plan	
B. Provide a positive, supportive environment to facilitate industry and business growth and investment 4c - Facilitate opportunities for professional development, networking and innovation and encourage the exchange of ideas through business clusters and networks 4d. Encourage and nurture micro-businesses and business start-ups	The delivery of employment lands co-located with renewable energy generation will facilitate business growth and investment. The proposed rezoning will provide opportunities to complete the western side of Taminda through business clustering and networking. The proposed development is the catalyst and the foundation to support clustering, diverse offerings, which include diverse spaces for micro-businesses and start-ups.
D. Position and promote the Lower North West Region as a location of choice 4a. Review urban development strategies and planning instruments to ensure they provide for a range of housing and property options, including small rural holdings 6a. Formulate and implement marketing initiatives for attraction of businesses, investment and residents	The proposed development is in its own right a function of a review development strategy and review of planning instruments. Strategic planning documents which the Taminda precinct is reliant on and the planning structure that exists, has not been reviewed in over a decade. This proposal however, provides justification on planning and economic merit that a change of this site is warranted, and is beneficial to the community and the public. While planning authorities devise and implement 'marketing initiatives for attracting business and investment' to Tamworth, the facilitation of this employment land will provide well-located land to support future strategies.

6.4 Is the planning proposal consistent with a council's local strategy or other local strategic plan?

Yes. The site is included in Precinct 6 of the Taminda Revitalisation and Economic Development Strategy (September, 2008).

This section outlines how the planning proposal has strategic merit being consistent with the objectives and actions of the local strategies.

6.4.1 Taminda Revitalisation + Economic Development Strategy 2008

Overview

In 2008, the Taminda Revitalisation + Economic Development Strategy was commissioned to assess Taminda as a region to provide new opportunities for economic growth and employment generation through the facilitation of industrial/employment lands. The strategy considered existing conditions, strengths and weaknesses, regional supply and demand and made recommendations accordingly.

Key findings

The report identified 6 zones in the Taminda Precinct. Using qualitative and quantitative research, the report found that at time of report preparation in 2008, there was a shortage of industrial/employment lands. Growth in the population was also projected in the Tamworth region. Each of the 6 sites were identified as having potential to be developed in the Taminda area. Each site had its own opportunities and constraints. Whilst the development proposal of the subject site generally aligns with the objectives, commentary and recommendations of this study, the subject site is in particular closely related to the Precincts 4 and 6.

The study is supported by a Statistical Economic Profile analysis.

Table 6 Assessment - Taminda Revitalisation + Economic Development Strategy 2008

Study Comment	Assessment
3.1 Economic growth + structure	
Population growth and structural changes to retailing will drive growth in the bulky goods sector independently of any action Council needs to take	Calculations by HillPDA show that only 7 hectares of vacant general industrial land is present in the Tamworth Township, and additional land zoned for general industrial purposes will be required over the coming years. See **Section 10.7**. The facilitation of employment lands with a mix of uses will foster growth with little Council action needed but with notable effects and benefits to the changing population and changes in built form requirements for businesses HillPDA reports that, 'The Taminda estate is uniquely positioned to provide land for the expansion of urban services that support the growing township. It is superior to any alternative areas given the area is generally free of land use conflicts, is adequately serviced, enjoys agglomeration with existing businesses and has proximity to labour, capital and consumer markets. Our market research has shown

Study Comment	Assessment
	that typical buyers show a strong preference for these attributes and that Taminda is preferred to alternative locations'. The recently rezoned Federation Park west of the Racecourse site incorporates a rezoning of B5 and IN1 lands east of the Tamworth Racecourse. Whilst the Taminda Revitalisation + Economic Development Strategy in 2008 labelled this site as Precinct 5 and suitable to supplement uses that exist with the Racecourse uses, the site is constrained heavily with the need to provide this location with suitable stormwater detention storage capacity severely limiting its scale and opportunity to deliver a range of outcomes.
Taminda would be best served by a flexible approach to industry attraction that provides opportunities for a wide range of light industrial land uses across the metals manufacturing – particularly agricultural, vehicle, mining machinery etc. products, along with construction, and wholesaling.	The development form proposed in this Planning Proposal aligns consistently with the recommendation in the Taminda Revitalisation + Economic Development Strategy. A mix of land zonings are proposed and subsequently a mix of lot sizes and uses will facilitate the flexibility needed to cater for a dynamic market.
3.2 Population growth + change	
The implication of this growth scenario for employment and economic development planning is that the vast majority of new jobs will need to be created in the regional centre. Indeed, Tamworth will be increasingly relied upon to provide employment opportunities for the surrounding rural areas.	Facilitation of employment lands as part of this development proposal will serve to meet the needs of the growing Tamworth region. Whilst the strongest beneficiaries of the revitalised development site may be in the immediate proximity, potential for benefits to a wider catchment are worth considering. The proposed development will function as a catalyst to support clustering and diverse offerings in close proximity to existing built form and the regional centre. Analysis from the HillPDA report support the importance of well-located urban services and employment lands to support a growing township. HillPDA estimates that a shortage in well-located industrial/employment lands is being experienced in the Taminda area. Areas of appropriate employment generating areas are shown in the Figure 18 and below Figures also show historical jobs numbers and projected growth.

Study Comment	Assessment

Figure 18 Vacant land in Taminda



Source: HillPDA – vacant land either vacant lots or a large proportion of a lot that is vacant and not being used as storage

Figure 19 Historical jobs numbers by Industry

Study Comment	Assessment



Figure 20 Projected jobs numbers by Industry



4.1 Supply + demand

It (Glen Artney) is not suitable for many of the lighter or even general industrial purposes that rely on substantial interaction with surrounding business operators or accessibility to retail or wholesale customers.

Taminda is clearly the single most significant industrial lands precinct within the local government area and as such plays a critical role in the functioning of the Tamworth Regional economy.

The proposed development meets the needs of businesses and customers, by creating increased interaction and opportunity by clustering complementary uses to the Taminda precinct. The proposal will offer a varied range of employment lands that will cater for a dynamic market that is within the Taminda precinct and partly immediately adjacent.

The Taminda precinct is labelled as the 'single most significant industrial lands precinct within the LGA', and providing an opportunity to improve its offerings,

Study Comment	Assessment
	strengthen its presentation and revitalise the Taminda precinct will further support the growth of Tamworth.
Anecdotal evidence suggests that these sites are filling rapidly and that in-town industrial land is already in short supply. This is evidenced by the rapid increase in the value of industrial land (pers. Comm.. LJ Hooker). A further five lots were unoccupied and four lots had further development potential in West Taminda.	Market based feedback such as this is enforced by the lack of land available for the provision of development. According to the Taminda Revitalisation and Economic Development strategy the Federation Park rezoning is likely to be constrained by the need for detention storage resulting in perhaps 50% of the 7ha made available to the Taminda precinct and thus a shortage is anticipated. The HillPDA report projects greater growth and demand representing a shortfall in available lands necessary for economic stimulation
These ranges (demand forecasts) simply reinforce the need for long range planning and staged management of release areas, rather than detracting from the forecasting methodology	The programme and delivery of the proposed development will provide for the organic and ordered delivery of employment lands to the market. A staged approach for the delivery of the lands would also be a typical practice by developers and sales agents and provides flexibility in which a landowner can deliver land to the market.

Figure 21 Employment directed to industrial precincts

Industry	% directed to Industrial zoned land	Scenario 1		Scenario 2	
		2016	2036	2016	2036
Mining	70%	40	156	40	186
Manufacturing	100%	2,513	2,597	2,513	3,474
Electricity, Gas, Water & Waste Ser	100%	389	856	389	856
Construction	50%	1,089	1,607	1,089	2,151
Wholesale Trade	70%	609	514	609	454
Retail Trade	10%	311	332	311	346
Accommodation and Food Services	30%	538	629	538	488
Transport, Postal and Warehousing	90%	1,129	1,127	1,129	1,248
Rental, Hiring & Real Estate Services*	20%	84	127	84	136
Other Services**	40%	514	635	514	653
Total		**7,215**	**8,580**	**7,215**	**9,993**

Source: HillPDA, *includes agricultural farming equipment's sales and renting, **includes automotive repairs

5.1 Improving structure

This (Jewry Street thoroughfare) would have the benefit of removing unnecessary traffic from internal streets; provide the opportunity to create well defined entrance points to the estate; and increase traffic movement along Jewry Street to support development of adjacent land parcels.	The alleviation of traffic impacts and improving permeability is possible with the provision of a future bypass road. The subject site's relationship and interface to this road means that future development can activate the zone, take advantage of exposure to the road and also plan for improved access for the precinct and the subject site. The proposed rezoning has allowed for a 40m wide bypass road to ensure adequate future capacity as well as acceptable exit and entry points.
The capital works program could be funded through a mix of s.94 contributions and developer agreements over new land releases within Taminda, funds raised	The proposed rezoning will allow for the location of the bypass road. It is not proposed to zone the road

Study Comment	Assessment
from the sale of Council land, and Council's usual capital works budget	as SP2 at this stage, until the exact alignment, design and width of the road is confirmed by Council/RMS. The delivery of this development means that contribution charges can be realised earlier for Tamworth Regional Council, assisting with the development and delivery of works programs. This provides improved clarity and certainty to the strength of the Taminda region by cumulatively adding to the pool of investment in the area and acting as a catalyst for change. The landowner could enter into a voluntary planning agreement in relation to the dedication of land and construction and/payment of the applicable local contributions.
5.2 Placemaking	
Gateway Sites – subject site, in part (Portion B)	Facilitation of this Planning Proposal would provide strong opportunities to support and justify the delivery of the two gateway locations for Taminda as envisaged by this strategy. The "gateway" location of the subject site is further supported by the bypass road and will cater for high traffic and strong visibility opportunities. The delivery of the gateway sites will improve and reactivate the Taminda entry point from the west and the major intersection at Jewy/Dampier Street and future bypass road. The gateway sites will be more challenging to develop and justify without the provision and intensification of employment lands
A program of tree planting is recommended, with larger trees bordering the major perimeter roads; Jewry Street, Gunnedah Road and Ebsworth Street to clearly define the boundaries of the Estate. Smaller trees should (be) planted along a number of major internal roads to create a clear internal street hierarchy.	This proposal anticipates a landscaping strategy to public frontages as well as open space provisions within the subject site that will align with Tamworth Regional Council's Development Control Plan and best practices. The development of this proposal permits the opportunity to improve and renew the land and achieve better design outcomes for the area.
6.1 Overview	
It is proposed that each precinct be developed to cater for a different market to both manage the risk to Council from the project and to meet the varying demands of a complex local market. As such a mixture of lot sizes is also proposed across the Estate. The lot sizes provided in table 9 should be taken as indicative only.	This proposal will be delivered at a rate that will be commensurate with market demands and trends. This single site can cater for different markets and varying demands of a complex local market without risk to Council, given its relatively compact size to other employment lands outside of Taminda. The HILLPDA report outlined the different uses/submarket and need for the employment land.
The precincts are nominally listed in order of their more likely staged delivery to the market; however, given the range of potential markets, there would be no reason to withhold precincts 1 to 5 from the market. These areas could be developed in parallel with precinct 6 held back until the flood levee and Jewry Street extension is completed and the market	The Taminda Revitalisation + Development strategy has been developed since 2008, and many of the vacant lands have been developed or rezoned. Precinct 6 was seen as a medium/longer term site, until the market changed or the preferred use is identified. The HillPDA report 2018 outlines the need to plan for this employment plan, and rezoning of the site.

Study Comment	Assessment
becomes clearer as to the preferred land use for this site.	Employment lands in Taminda can be delivered in a timely manner with flood impacts of the subject site having been resolved. The flood impacts and relevant mitigative solutions have been modelled and confirmed to be acceptable at a scientific level of enquiry. The release of employment lands is therefore appropriate now and can support the market demand and knowledge.
6.5 Precinct 4 – Jewry + Dampier	
The second, and perhaps more compelling reason, is the risk arising from not developing the site. If precinct 4 is used as a cemetery it will reduce the viability of the entire Taminda redevelopment strategy. The site's characteristic that make it most attractive for development, its high visibility to Jewry Street traffic, becomes a major threat to the impression any visitor to the Estate will receive if confronted by a cemetery. Development of the site will reinforce the chain of new development sites stretching along the spine created by the upgrading and extension of Jewry Street.	The development of Precinct 4 and 6 will complement each other in re-creating the Taminda precinct at the west. The justification and delivery of the gateway sites will also provide opportunities for the creation of employment, improved public domain and improved built form. Both Precinct 4 and 6 (the subject site) are highly visible to Jewry Street traffic and their development will support according to the Taminda Revitalisation + Development strategy 'the chain of new development sites stretching along the spine created by the upgrading and extension of Jewry Street'. As at time of this report, Precinct 4 has not developed with no site activity in the last several years. This further justifies the facilitation of employment lands for the Taminda and Tamworth region that will meet the criteria of stimulating the economy and providing an opportunity to create a Gateway site as envisaged by this strategy
6.7 Precinct 6 – Jewry Street extension (part subject site)	
The precinct created by these works would be nearly 13 hectares in size and would provide an opportunity to create a land mark development at one of the Tamworth's and the Taminda Estate entrances. The precinct is bordered for nearly one kilometre by the Proposed Jewry Street extension, providing outstanding visibility and accessibility opportunities. The precinct would provide a longer-term option for Council to identify a future flexible development area within the city limits while capital is raised via land sales and development contributions over the earlier precincts. Precinct 6 is suitable for both bulky goods retailing and industrial uses, although any industrial development would require careful design and aesthetic management to confirm Taminda's new identity as a higher order business environment. The precinct is large enough to support up to twenty-two 5000sqm lots, or up to fifty smaller lots. It's identification at this point as part of a longer-term economic development strategy for the city will	The proposed development would take advantage of its prime location to the Taminda precinct and the future bypass road, linked to Jewry Street. The Strategy has identified the southern part (approximately 11ha) of the site for industrial purposes. An injection of employment lands to the Taminda precinct aligns with Council and State regional strategies. The HillPDA report demonstrates the demand for employment lands in locations within or near Taminda is between 17ha to 57ha, and thus the proposed industrial zoning of the freight and logistics, as well as the other industrial land together with the site can provide the future employment land for Tamworth. The ordered and structured delivery of the 11.3-hectare site will provide clarity and not hinder the delivery of the bypass road. Development can be achieved by ensuring that a level of stability of earthworks/fill, servicing on either side and certainty for access points for the internal road network and future levee design, such that access requirements can be designed and delivered efficiently.

Study Comment	Assessment
reduce pressure on Council to support development proposed for other, less desirable, out of town areas. It will also provide certainty to the market that a longer-term strategy is in place to meet ongoing land demand.	The proposed development site is in a single ownership that will aid to ensure a consistent delivery of the project objectives, design intent and consistent outcomes. The delivery of the site will not rely on any other land sales, development contributions or risk to Council or stakeholders. As described above, the provision of the precinct will allow for the delivery of a mix of employment uses and lots sizing that will cater for a wide, dynamic market and locality. The proposal will permit the gradual transition from smaller architecturally designed smaller commercial/industrial built form to larger, architecturally designed spaces for other uses. By providing a mix of uses and aesthetic appeal, the development can reactivate this precinct from an urban planning and design perspective and foster the addition of employment opportunities at a landmark, gateway site.
Duo Statistical Economic Profile	
A micro business sector that is growing in numbers as well as profitability Relatively high proportions of people working in wholesale trade, transport and storage, and hospitality; all export income earners to the city. Strong growth in the agriculture, manufacturing, and hospitality sectors – all export earners – supported by strong growth in the service sector – suggesting a move to a service-oriented economy attractive to migrants Tamworth will have most success building on its existing skills base in the agriculture, natural resource management and in particular engineering fields. Population growth and structural changes to retailing will drive growth in the bulky goods sector independently of any action Council needs to take. Taminda would be best served by a flexible approach to industry attraction that provides opportunities for a wide range of light industrial land uses across the metals manufacturing – particularly agricultural, vehicle, mining machinery etc. products, along with construction, and wholesaling.	The findings from the economic study that formed the basis for the 2008 Taminda Revitalisation + Economic Development Strategy, report that emerging micro business sectors are growing amongst the usual sectors of agriculture, manufacturing and hospitality. The varied nature of these growing industries and sectors would likely require a mix of employment land sizes to cater for a changing market. The proposed development will provide varying lot sizes to cater for smaller operators, start-ups, boutique operators, food and beverage with the ability to provide larger lot sizes for bulky goods, warehousing and light manufacturing for example. The proposed development would permit the flexibility to anticipate and/or react to market conditions. The proposed mix of IN1 and B5 for the site will achieve the objective of developing a site that can provide opportunities for bulky good, light industrial, urban service. The B5 land is proposed to be 3.7ha so to complement that at Federation Park, and provide for some services for the workers in Taminda, together with light industrial opportunities to support agriculture, service economy or any engineering fields. The site's location within (and adjacent) to the existing urban footprint of Taminda creates better opportunities for urban design outcomes and possibilities for business growth and interactions for operators and consumers. The delivery of this employment precinct to complement the highly valuable Taminda precinct would not require action or responsibility of Council.

Study Comment	Assessment
	The proposal size is small enough such that a single land owner has sought a rezoning of 11.3ha from a broader landownership of 102ha parcel The ability to stage the delivery of employment land product to the market is also expected as with any large development and responds to projected demands and for a localised Taminda market

6.4.2 Tamworth Regional Development Strategy 2008

Overview

The Tamworth Regional Development Strategy 2008 (TRDS) is Tamworth's strategic plan to manage growth and give strategic direction for urban and rural areas in the Tamworth LGA. The report was commissioned by TRC three years earlier in 2005 with the intent to guide the preparation of a new region-wide LEP.

Key findings

See the assessment in the below table.

Table 7 Assessment - Tamworth Regional Development Strategy 2008

Content	Assessment
Strengthening the region and supporting existing centres	
Foster links between the various centres and communities in such a way that recognises the role of each place and the needs that place may have. Ensure planning provisions support and promote sustainable employment, industrial lands and specialised centres.	As outlined by HillPDA report, the proposed development would strengthen the already strong but limited land supply at Taminda and serve to act as a supporting link to other centres. The delivery of employment lands co-located with renewable energy generation will facilitate business growth and investment. It will act to support business clustering and networking.
3.2 Strategic directions and actions	
2. (a) Contain urban growth within current town boundaries. (d) Ensure that development contributes to the desired character and exhibits a strong contextual relationship with its locality.	The proposed development is located in the defined Taminda boundary and adjacent to the current precinct boundary of Taminda. The growth is considered orderly and well-located to existing services and businesses. It is seen as an extension to the current urban area, as there is industrial development to the south and the south east, and the Racecourse to the east. The site therefore has a strong contextual relationship to the existing Taminda. The scale of the proposal means that a level of flexibility exists that will permit the gradual transition from smaller architecturally designed smaller

	commercial/industrial built form to larger, architecturally designed spaces for other uses. By providing a mix of uses and aesthetic appeal, the development can complement and enhance its surrounding development area.
3. (a) Encourage participation, co-ordination and partnerships in consideration and development of infrastructure projects and public places. (b) Promote the benefits of high-quality urban design in project planning and development through programs, demonstration projects and public awareness raising. (c) Reinforce the importance of active frontages between public places and the private domain to revitalise centres and create quality pedestrian experiences. (e) Identify and emphasise key arrival points into towns and reinforce the contrast between urban development and the countryside.	The facilitation of the proposed development will provide opportunities to work with stakeholders and Council in achieving greater outcomes for the public and stakeholders. The masterplan response as proposed is designed by award-winning architects with a strong focus in delivering quality outcomes. The subject site's relationship and interface to the bypass road means that future development can activate the zone, by taking advantage of exposure to the road and revitalise the gateway site.
Supporting employment and economic development	
Provide for and facilitate future economic growth in the Region. Encourage and support commercial development within the Region. Ensure the provision of well-located, suitable land for future industrial purposes.	Facilitation of employment lands as part of this planning proposal will serve to meet the economic needs of the growing Tamworth region. The provision of B5 and IN1 will give the flexibility needed to cater for changes and the shortfall of employment lands within Taminda. The strongest beneficiaries of the revitalised development site may be in the immediate proximity, potential for benefits to a wider catchment are worth considering
Strategic directions and actions	
1. A) Ensure planning policy facilitates the establishment of new businesses based on the competitive advantages of the Region, including providing access to major road and rail access routes, land and separation distances from adjoining land uses.	Taminda is supported by major road and logistic access. The proposed development could act as a catalyst for land and development supply that would make use of major infrastructure investment such as the Intermodal Freight network as well as bolster its own catchment for increased opportunity and activity.
2. Align land use planning with regional economic development priorities for key industry sectors. (a) Provide for the location and land needs of key industry sectors by changing Development Plans to include performance-based policies based upon land capability assessment.	Whilst the Tamworth Regional Development Strategy does specify the exact 'regional economic development priorities' in this document, the proposed development is consistent with many of the objective in Regional and local strategies. The proposed development is a catalyst and a precursor to support key industries and clustering, diverse offerings, which include diverse spaces for micro-businesses and start-ups. The Tamworth Regional Development Strategy has guided Tamworth for the last ten years. Many of the strategies have been implemented, and as identified in HillPDA report, the economy has changed over this time. The HillPDA report has justified land capability and the projection of demand.

7. Ensure planning policy facilitates and supports business development (a) Ensure that planning policy facilitates the establishment of new businesses based on the competitive advantages of the Region, including providing access to major roads and rail access routes, land, and separation distances from adjoining land use. (b) Develop infrastructure that improves trading and commercial opportunities. (c) Encourage spin-off value added commercial activity in synergy with the current economic base. (d) Allow for a mix of related and complementary land uses in employment areas to provide services to local employees, while not undermining the key role and function of the area or zone.	At time of writing, planning policy has been founded on strategy and documents from 2008. The Planning Proposal provides the planning and economic justification that a supply of well-considered employment lands is advantageous to the Tamworth region by allowing for new competitive new businesses to prosper, deliver internal access and facilitate new access. A mix of employment lands is proposed and will support and provide opportunities to local employees and the region.
8 Identify land that is appropriate for industrial development (c) Provide a range of allotment sizes for industrial uses. (d) Rehabilitate and make available underutilised industrial land for new development. (e) Any new development must include WSUD principles including effluent reuse, stormwater harvesting.	The site is suitable for the proposed employment uses, of IN1 and B5. The key reasons are: The proposed development can accommodate a variety of industrial land parcels, which the TRDS 2008 suggests there are benefits of allotment sizes in industrial development Part of the site is currently used for rural industrial and other uses. The proposed rezoning can thus formalise the underdeveloped employment use and deliver part of the site which was envisaged for employment lands to support future market demands of the Tamworth region in the medium to long term. The rezoning of the site is the best option for Taminda, as the land has been previously identified for employment purposes and can provide an extension to the current urban area. Future development of the site will provide opportunity for providing measures that feature WSUD and similar principles.
9. Ensure the location of industry and surrounding land use does not jeopardise future industrial expansion and efficiency (a) Encourage the co-location of industry to achieve a demand that warrants the provision of infrastructure. (b) Encourage industries to locate where other industries could benefit from the use of their by-products. c) Protect and enhance industrial amenity and reduce the visual dominance of industrial estates/sites on the gateways to Tamworth City through the provision of adequate buffers, landscaping and appropriate building form and design. d) Ensure that industry is compatible in terms of its scale and physical character with its rural and urban surroundings.	It has been established that the Taminda is in a specialised and unique location, 'Taminda is clearly the single most significant industrial lands precinct within the local government area and as such plays a critical role in the functioning of the Tamworth Regional economy' (Taminda Revitalisation and Development Strategy). As a result, industrial land outside of the Taminda precinct is considered to be for a different market, where HillPDA describes the bulk of these outer areas as not suitable or, at a minimum, not ideal to accommodate the future growth in urban services. Other precincts rely heavily on proposed or anticipated investment with lead times difficult to factor or provide market certainty to. The geographic location of some precincts lends to more specialised tenants that either have a relationship with the airport or require relative isolation to other uses, for example a poultry abattoir / processing plant.

e) Ensure land use policy clearly describes the appropriate scale of industrial development in a locality or Region. f) Design landscaping, built form, access and services in a way that assists the appearance of industry.	The delivery of this proposal co-locates employment lands with an existing urban footprint and adjacent to the Taminda precinct. Facilitation of employment lands at this site will uniquely provide opportunities to complete the western side of Taminda through reactivation and clustering of businesses. HillPDA draws on these benefits by stating, 'Commercial services, retail and human support services including accommodation, food services and childcare facilities are important components in successful employment precincts whether they are predominantly white-collar office precincts or blue-collar industrial estates'. This suggests that a mix of uses that support employment precincts are important for success. The proposed development will allow for the delivery of a mix of employment uses and lots sizing that will cater for a wide, dynamic market and locality. The proposal will permit the gradual transition from smaller architecturally designed smaller commercial/industrial built form to larger, architecturally designed spaces for other uses. This will allow other industries to benefit from co-locating with the site. The masterplan response as proposed is designed by award-winning architects with a strong focus in delivering quality outcomes. The proposed controls will ensure that it is both of a scale and built form typically found in employment areas in Tamworth. The proposed B5 is of scale and a floor area typical of such uses in Tamworth. The Industrial section of the DCP can apply demonstrating consistency of scale to the built form.
Caring for the natural environment	
Conserve areas of scenic amenity; Minimise the impact on the climate; Minimise the risks associated with natural hazards; Protect and enhance areas supporting high conservation values.; Avoid fragmentation of the landscape with an emphasis on consolidating and connecting intact landscapes; Protect and enhance the quality and quantity of local water resources.	The flood modelling concept will alleviate drainage, provide flood and high flows a more defined and ordered manner, one that has been scientifically considered as an engineered solution. A singular concept development with a holistic approach with multiple solutions to address constraints of the site and aim to restore man-made modified landscapes. As the proposal features a flooding solution using levee or fill (subject to a future DA with council) and improvements to drainage the flooding to the west of the site will remain and will, provides a solution to delineate the Taminda area from further development to the west. As identified in the Aboriginal heritage and non-Aboriginal heritage assessment, little potential for impacts is expected to occur, and the reports recommend that the proposed development 'can proceed with caution'. A biodiversity assessment of, flora and fauna found that '…the Development Site as being modified to the extent that potential for high value flora and fauna was low or unlikely…No threatened flora species, populations or ecological

	communities have been observed or considered likely to occur in a natural state within the subject site'. Whilst the triggering of the Biodiversity Offset scheme may be required with clearance of over a threshold of 0.5ha, further assessment of quantum is proposed at later phases. Overall, the highly cleared natured of the subject site means that impacts from proposed development are expected to be low.
Strategic directions and actions	
1. Encourage innovative approaches to energy consumption to reduce the impacts of climate change (b) Investigate the possibility of providing solar energy to the Region for the operation of public utilities such as street lights. 3. Ensure development does not have a detrimental impact on nearby water bodies (a) Performance criteria should be developed and applied to all development to ensure that impact on water bodies is minimised. (d) Promote water sensitive urban design (WSUD) and integrated water cycle management (IWCM) in Development Plans and development proposals to achieve catchment water management objectives. (e) Riparian zones should be protected and revegetated to improve the water quality of waterways by reducing erosion and removing pollutants before they reach the river. (f) Monitor water quality, particularly in relation to salinity levels, so that the location of pollution sources can be determined and the clean up can be targeted to specific areas.	An embedded network as part of the solar farm is proposed to be integrated into the employment lands, although will be subject of development application approval from TRC. A modern, 9MW solar farm has been designed and will be sited in close proximity to the employment lands. The solar farm at time of this report is expected to undergo the necessary processes and design development to facilitate a connection to the grid network. The intention is such that the solar farm could sell excess power back to the grid and also power future employment development. The provision of the solar farm, of this scale and connected to the grid supports the directions and actions as a reduction in climate change impacts through solar energy technology. Future development of the proposed development would permit the possibility of improvement measures through WSUD and the like. In a 'do-nothing' situation, opportunities to deliver employment generating lands and therefore the possibility of improving environment outcomes for improved water quality and flood handling would be removed. By nature, the proposed flooding works will implement an engineered solution to the subject site whereby, benefits could be realised by setting a new standard for flooding and stormwater management for the site and the adjacent area and would not have had the benefit of in some time. The provision of the wide flow channel is a soft, aesthetically appealing solution that has been proven to be effective.
Improve transport and accessibility	
Manage and improve the road and rail networks. Support the role of the airport in strengthening the Region's economy. 2. Maintain a functional hierarchy of road types throughout the Region to ensure safe and convenient vehicular movement. (c) Provide ready controlled access to the arterial road system.	Taminda is supported by major road and logistic access. The proposed development could act as a catalyst for investment that would capitalise on available major infrastructure investment such as the Intermodal Freight network as well as support the Tamworth catchment for increased opportunity and activity. The future bypass road has been registered on title as an easement. While its alignment and design have not yet been confirmed by Council, the proposed development is able to accommodate a future bypass road at any time with sufficient notice. This can be

(d) Maintain the efficiency and integrity of major roads by minimising the number of direct access points. (e) Make provision for future road links as part of development approvals. (f) Control intersections at a level commensurate with their carrying capacity. (g) Require buffers between major arterial roads and residential areas by means of physical separation, alternative land uses, or an appropriate zoning. (h) Adopt the following roads hierarchy for Tamworth as adopted from RTA guidelines 3. Enable the safe and efficient movement of people (e) Locate compatible land uses and development adjacent to freight routes to facilitate freight movement while minimising the impact on the community and the environment.	achieved by ensuring that a corridor is retained for the by-pass road and that there are clear points for access/egress at the roundabout as well as any internal crossover with the internal road network. The by-pass road will be part of the consideration of levels with the future levee design such that access requirements can be designed and delivered efficiently. Further, the earthworks and flood mitigative works proposed for the subject site also provide an improved baseline in which the bypass road would share the benefit of (a raised design level, levee provision). The single ownership of the land and the ability to collaborate with a single owner in the design of the bypass road is therefore proposed. Barnson Traffic report 2018 indicates traffic conditions are not operating at an optimal level across key roads during peak hours. In order to alleviate these pressures and to address future impacts from the proposed development, Barnson suggest the provision of: » a roundabout upgrade at Jewry Street and the subject site entrance; and » road widening on Jewry Street approaches. The relatively large area of the proposed development and the proposed mixed zones is conducive to mixed built forms and other urban design measures that can be implemented to improve design outcomes, micro climate and wellbeing.
Improving infrastructure provision	
6. Provide opportunities to develop viable and sustainable non-electricity energy systems in the Region (a) Investigate and promote the use of renewable energy sources such as wind farms, solar, biofuels and other forms of renewable energy supply. (b) Support and facilitate increased use of renewable energy systems for providing or supplementing domestic and commercial electricity supplies. (c) Raise community awareness about the benefits of utilising natural gas to increase interest throughout the Region.	An embedded solar farm is proposed and subject of another application to Council. A modern, 9MW solar farm has been designed and will be sited adjacent to the employment lands. The solar farm at time of this report is expected to undergo the necessary processes and design development to facilitate a connection to the grid network. The intention is such that the solar farm could sell excess power back to the grid and also power future employment development. The provision of the solar farm of this scale and connected to the grid supports the directions and actions as envisaged here such as a reduction in climate change impacts through solar energy technology.
Local area strategies	
Planning comments There is a need for additional industrial land to allow for large employment generating industries to locate in Tamworth. Many felt that the gas pipeline would provide value-adding opportunities for agriculture.	This is addressed above and throughout the document. This Planning Proposal and the supporting documents provide justification for the amendment to development plans that have concluded the benefits of providing additional employment lands in Taminda.

Principle: Supporting Employment and Economic Development The two main factors central to economic growth in the region are population growth and the ability for the Tamworth community to attract new economic activity. The following actions are designed to retain and enhance economic development. Encourage the expansion of the Taminda Industrial areas following the completion of levy banks. A significant amount of industrial land exists around the airport and has the potential to accommodate general and heavy industry, aviation-based industries or offensive industry that could utilise the airport without impacting upon adjoining land uses. The concentration of industrial activities in two precincts within the City's urban area contributes to the development of distinctive conveniently located industrial precincts in close proximity to the CBD and places of residences. These precincts may also be attractive to further showroom development and thus should be protected from further encroachment by non-industrial uses to preserve their function.	Tooker and Associates, have undertaken detailed studies that demonstrates the proposed flood concept model removes the need to rely on public funding to extend the levee banks to create flood protected land. The proposed solution is a wide flow channel that is a soft, aesthetically appealing solution that has been proven to be effective without excessively high levee banks. The details can be developed at development application stage. At time of this report, the Glen Artney precinct had been rezoned. As the Tamworth Revitalisation + economic development strategy said: *Glen Artney is located outside of the Taminda and Tamworth urban footprint. It appears to cater for a certain industrial market and demographic requirement that is reliant on road, air and the intermodal network for support. The proposed development is uniquely situated within Taminda and the Tamworth urban footprint yet located at a distance of 6-7kms from the Glen Artney/Airport precinct. The subject site serves a range of uses and requirements by its relative scale to Taminda's offerings (being low).*
Implementation	
Short term Take steps at Glen Artney to unlock land for future industrial development through incentives/ directives in the LEP. Investigate opportunities for general and heavy industry, aviation-based industries or offensive industry that could utilise the airport through the implementation of a special uses zone. Investigate the development of a business park area in South Tamworth or near the airport to provide a range of office and light industrial such as high technical industries. Investigate the expansion of the Taminda Industrial areas following the completion of the flood levy banks.	Glen Artney having now been rezoned as a heavy industrial-type precinct, servicing air and the future intermodal addresses this objective by locating general and heavy industry, aviation-based industries or offensive industries that could utilise the airport. The availability of land of a scale in South Tamworth for employment land such as a business park appears limited, as outlined by HillPDA, where it has not been included in its assessment. A visual assessment of aerial maps also shows high proportion of residential uses in a fragmented arrangement. The subject site is well-located within Taminda and the Tamworth urban footprint. A flooding solution has been formulated that removes the need for a publicly funded levee bank and instead delivers a gateway site as envisaged by the Tamworth Revitalisation + economic development strategy to be developed with a future proof supply of employment lands within Taminda.

Medium term Supporting Employment and Economic Development Protect and enhance industrial amenity and reduce the visual dominance of industrial estates/sites on the gateways to Tamworth City through the provision of adequate buffers, landscaping and appropriate building form and design. Allow for a mix of related and complementary land uses in employment areas to provide services to local employees, while not undermining the key role and function of the area or zone.	The proposed rezoning will allow for the delivery of a mix of employment uses and lots sizing that will cater for a wide, dynamic market and locality. The proposed response could cater for a gradual transition from smaller architecturally designed smaller commercial/industrial built form to larger, architecturally designed spaces for other uses. By providing a mix of uses and aesthetic appeal, the development can reactivate this precinct from an urban planning and design perspective and foster the addition of employment opportunities at a landmark, gateway site. The proposed uses will service the immediate Taminda precinct by proximity and complement surrounding areas such as Glen Artney and other infrastructure

6.4.3 2017 – 2027 Community Strategic Plan

Overview

The 2017 – 2027 community Strategic Plan (CSP) describes the things that Council stands for, our objectives, the main activities we will complete and how these activities are going to be resourced.

A prosperous region

P1.1 Support and facilitate economic development and employment opportunities

1. Implement actions and report on Council's Regional Economic Development Strategy 'Tamworth Tomorrow'
2. Respond to emerging opportunities
3. Facilitate opportunities for new business
4. Deliver the Glen Artney expansion

Table 8 Assessment – P1.1, 2017 – 2027 Community Strategic Plan

	Response
1	Objectives from Tamworth Tomorrow 2016 – 2021 are addressed at Section 6.4.4
2	The limited amount of developable land in the Taminda region is not responding or pre-empting any emerging markets or opportunities.
3	The need to facilitate new opportunities for business includes provision of employment lands and precincts. It is the catalyst for clustering and completion of the Taminda west gateway site
4	The Glen Artney precinct has been rezoned. The proposed development that forms this Planning Proposal aims not to compete with other industrial rezonings of the Tamworth region, as the proposed development is uniquely contained within the Taminda precinct, has an urban footprint with an established built form, as well as clientele that supports established and evolving businesses. There is limited land of this type and size in the Taminda precinct available for the provision of employment generating opportunities.

A region for the future

Community comments:

» Council should be a leader in renewable energy and sustainable practices

» Ensure we are planning at the current rate of growth

» A sustainable energy future phasing out fossil fuels.

F2.1 Protect our natural environment

» Planning and operational processes ensure consideration of biosecurity and natural environmental impacts

Table 9 Assessment – F2.1

Response
In response to community comments and actions, the proposed solar farm addresses these goals at a scale that is commensurate with the size of the proposed development. A reduction in impacts, fossil fuel dependence and utilisation of renewable energy sources and technology is proposed as part of this unique development offering employment opportunities and solar energy

Further, the supply of mixed land and employment opportunities has wider reaching benefits which also indirectly serve the other themes and desires of the community.

6.4.4 Tamworth Tomorrow 2016 - 2021

Overview

Tamworth is a region with a diverse economy that has consistent growth in majority of sectors. The need to protect and foster this is critical to the economic growth of the Tamworth region. The Framework developed provides a structured approach to aid business leaders that aspire to be leaders and innovators in their industry, for partners to collaborate and harness new opportunities and to share knowledge to enable global competitiveness.

The Framework allows Tamworth Regional Council to strategically plan and facilitate actions that will activate the region's Strategic Drivers.

Assessment

Table 10 Assessment – Tamworth Tomorrow 2016 - 2021

Description	Assessment
Economic profile	
POPULATION Tamworth has a population of over 60,000 residents and services a broader catchment area of some 200,000 people. With one of the highest projected population rates in regional NSW, the region is expected to grow to 68,000 in the coming 15 years. Tamworth's current population growth (1.47%) is better in percentage terms than some major regional centres such Port Macquarie, Coffs Harbour, Wagga Wagga, Dubbo, Maitland, Newcastle, Wollongong and Toowoomba. A key objective of Tamworth Tomorrow is to build on this organic growth and drive a higher population. The region has a strong youth presence higher (0-15 years - 22.1%) than the NSW average with forecasts indicating a growing trend in families.	The theoretical upper limit of direct and indirect revenue generation of around $200M and up to 500 jobs is projected from a rezoning scenario that includes 30ha of land (calculated as a percentage of an investment of $400m). This Planning Proposal puts forward arguments for a partial rezoning being 11.3ha (approx.) and provides an initial and meaningful level of scale and impact that the employment generating site would deliver. HillPDA's report demonstrates that the projections in population growth are consistent with various studies assessed in this Planning Proposal. As noted in previous sections of assessment, and in HillPDA's report, a shortfall in well-located industrial/employment land is anticipated already.

Description	Assessment
The increased proportion of younger residents together with an ageing population indicate continued population growth and are key factors in driving investment in and around Tamworth	
INDUSTRY Tamworth's economy is robust and grew by $19 million between 2012/13 and 2013/14 with the largest growth industries being Mining Services (16%); Construction (15%); Rental, Hiring and Real Estate Services (12%) and Retail Trade (11%). With national trends showing a slowing of the mining sector in the future, the remaining strong sectors are expected to grow to support the increasing population. Rather than having a concentration in one or two major industries the region's economy is diverse and well structured, spread across multiple key industry sectors. This non-reliance on any specific sector ensures the community is buffered against major fluctuations in industry and spurs economic growth.	A growing economy in a spread of sectors requires a mix of flexible employment lands to foster growth and encourage investment.
LAND RELEASE & ZONING Tamworth Regional Council has provided for future land release to accommodate increased economic opportunity and a growing population. In and around the urban area, 238ha of unconstrained residential zoned land is immediately available for development. There are approximately 7 ha of unconstrained commercial land available and moves are afoot to zone a further 35 ha. Approximately 70 ha of unconstrained industrial land can be developed and Tamworth Regional Council has strategically planned to expand the industrial zone exponentially. Potential across all three zones also exists in the wider region.	Demand for Taminda is outlined in the HILLPDA report.

HillPDA's analysis of current and projected market conditions align with the findings of the Tamworth tomorrow document.

Figure 22: Historical Jobs numbers by industry, Tamworth LGA



Figure 23: Project Jobs numbers by industry, Tamworth LGA



Figure 24: Employment directed to industrial precincts

Table 13: Employment directed to industrial precincts

Industry	% directed to Industrial zoned land	Scenario 1		Scenario 2	
		2016	2036	2016	2036
Mining	70%	40	156	40	186
Manufacturing	100%	2,513	2,597	2,513	3,474
Electricity, Gas, Water & Waste Ser	100%	389	856	389	856
Construction	50%	1,089	1,607	1,089	2,151
Wholesale Trade	70%	609	514	609	454
Retail Trade	10%	311	332	311	346
Accommodation and Food Services	30%	538	629	538	488
Transport, Postal and Warehousing	90%	1,129	1,127	1,129	1,248
Rental, Hiring & Real Estate Services*	20%	84	127	84	136
Other Services**	40%	514	635	514	653
Total		**7,215**	**8,580**	**7,215**	**9,993**

Source: HillPDA, *includes agricultural farming equipment's sales and renting, **includes automotive repairs

Figure 25: Industrial supply, Tamworth LGA

Table 16: Current and potential supply of industrial zoned land in Tamworth LGA and potential supply

Precinct	Zoning	Land area (ha)	Vacant land (ha)	Identified expansion (ha)	IN1 expansion (ha)
Airport precinct	IN3	194.4	79	290	53
Country Rd	B7	123	123		
Taminda	IN1	238.7	7	8	1.6
Duri Rd	IN1	4.8			
Marius St	IN1	2.8			
East ST	IN1	0.5	0.2		
Depot St	IN1	1.1			
Manilla	IN1	19.1	11		
Total		**584.4**	**220.2**	**298**	**54.6**

Source: HillPDA; Attachment 4_Tamworth Enterprise area PP an PP Federation Park Lota DP 217032

In summary, there is projected growth in population across a range of sectors and that whilst manufacturing has declined slightly in recent periods, the general trend for most industries is increasing. Suitable, vacant land is limited across locations in Taminda. HillPDA calculated that projected growth and required demand for employment/industrial lands would be 17ha to 57ha.

6.4.5 Tamworth Regional Development Control Plan 2010

The proposed development has a range of opportunities to achieve or better compliance requirements in the Tamworth Regional Development Control Plan 2010.

6.5 Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPP)?

Yes.

An assessment of this proposal has been undertaken in relation to all current SEPPs. In particular: -

SEPP No. 55 —Remediation of Land applies to the proposal.

» The SEPP provides state-wide planning controls for the remediation of contaminated land. Clause 8 states that land must not be rezoned unless contamination has been considered and, where relevant, land has been appropriately remediated.

» A preliminary site investigation which included a site inspection was carried out by Environmental Investigations Australia. Whilst Environmental Investigations Australia stated that findings in the preliminary site investigation should not withhold a rezoning, it was recommended that further intrusive testing be undertaken at future stages of development, for example at DA stage in order to confirm no impacts as a result of contaminated lands.

» For further detail refer to accompanying Environmental Investigations Australia's Preliminary Site Investigation report.

While a number of other SEPPs may apply to the development of the site, no other policies are directly applicable to the rezoning.

6.6 Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable Ministerial Directions?

The Ministerial Directions under section 9.1 of the EP&A Act requires planning proposals to be consistent with the terms of the relevant direction. The directions that apply to the proposal are considered below.

Table 11 Assessment against relevant Ministerial Directions

Ministerial Direction Objectives	Assessment
1. Employment and Resources	
1.1 Business and Industrial Zones » Encourage employment growth in suitable locations » Protect employment land in business and industrial zones » Support the viability of identified strategic centres. This direction applies when a relevant planning authority prepares a planning proposal that will affect land within an existing or proposed business or industrial zone (including the alteration of any existing business or industrial zone boundary).	Consistent. Calculations by HillPDA show that only 7 hectares of vacant general industrial land is present in the Tamworth Township, and additional land zoned for general industrial purposes will be required over the coming years. See **Section 10.7** The facilitation of employment lands with a mix of uses will foster growth with little Council action needed but with notable effects and benefits to the changing population and changes in built form requirements for businesses HillPDA reports that, '*The Taminda estate is uniquely positioned to provide land for the expansion of urban services that support the growing township. It is superior to any alternative areas given the area is generally free of land use conflicts, is adequately serviced, enjoys agglomeration with existing businesses and has proximity to labour, capital and consumer markets. Our market research has shown that typical buyers show a strong preference for these attributes and that Taminda is preferred to alternative locations'*.
1.2 Rural Zones The objective of this direction is to protect the agricultural production value of rural land. This direction applies when a relevant planning authority prepares a planning proposal that will affect land within an existing or proposed rural zone (including the alteration of any existing rural zone boundary).	Inconsistent but justified. It is noted that flood prone land burdens the area constraining the type of agriculture practised. Melaleuca report notes that the flow channel for flooding and solar farm can continue to be used as grazing locations, and a change of uses would not isolate any other existing agricultural land through a rezoning of land. More specifically, using the relevant criteria and framework the proposed development areas against the relevant lands in the Tamworth LGA are: » 0.013 % of the total area of Class 3 within the Tamworth LGA; » 0.001% of the total area of Class 4 within the Tamworth LGA Biophysical Strategic Agricultural Land (BSAL) Mapping – 0% of the site area is mapped as BSAL
1.5 Rural Lands The objectives of this direction are to:	Inconsistent but justified.

Ministerial Direction Objectives	Assessment
(a) protect the agricultural production value of rural land, (b) facilitate the orderly and economic development of rural lands for rural and related purposes. This direction applies when: (a) a relevant planning authority prepares a planning proposal that will affect land within an existing or proposed rural or environment protection zone (including the alteration of any existing rural or environment protection zone boundary) or (b) a relevant planning authority prepares a planning proposal that changes the existing minimum lot size on land within a rural or environment protection zone.	It is noted that flood prone land burdens the area constraining the type of agriculture practised. Melaleuca note that the flow channel for flooding and solar farm can continue to be used as grazing locations. The Melaleuca report concludes that urbanisation exists around the proposed development area and a change of uses would not isolate any other existing agricultural land through a rezoning of land. More specifically, using the relevant criteria and framework the proposed development areas against the relevant lands in the Tamworth LGA are: » 0.013 % of the total area of Class 3 within the Tamworth LGA; » 0.001% of the total area of Class 4 within the Tamworth LGA Biophysical Strategic Agricultural Land (BSAL) Mapping – 0% of the site area is mapped as BSAL
2. Environment and Heritage	
2.1 Environmental Protection Zones The objective of this direction is to protect and conserve environmentally sensitive areas. This direction applies when a relevant planning authority prepares a planning proposal.	Consistent The proposal has considered this direction. The proposal does not propose to impact on any existing or proposed environmentally sensitive areas.
2.3 Heritage Conservation To conserve items, areas, objects and places of environmental heritage significance and indigenous heritage significance.	Consistent. The proposal has considered this direction. Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal heritage due diligence studies found low potential for impacts resulting from the proposed development.
3. Housing, Infrastructure and Urban Development	
3.3 Home Occupations » To encourage the carrying out of low-impact small businesses in dwelling houses	Consistent. The proposal has considered this direction. Home industries are a permissible use in the B5 and IN1 zones, however residential accommodation is prohibited. As the site is currently vacant, there will not be an opportunity for a dwelling and therefore not an opportunity for home industry.
1.3 Integrating Land Use and Transport To ensure that urban structures, building forms, land use locations, development designs, subdivision and street layouts achieve the following planning objectives: » Improving access to housing, jobs and services by walking, cycling and public transport, and » Increasing the choice of available transport and reducing dependence on cars, and	Consistent. The proposal has considered this direction. The proposed development will provide opportunities to co-locate similar uses to a gateway site generally within the Taminda precinct. Public transport and access is constrained in this car dependant locale but the increase in permeability and provision of proximate employment lands and services could aid in improved access and convenience.

Ministerial Direction Objectives	Assessment
» Reducing travel demand including the number of trips generated by development and the distances travelled, especially by car, and » Supporting the efficient and viable operation of public transport services, and » Providing for the efficient movement of freight.	
3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes » To ensure the effective and safe operation of aerodromes, and » To ensure that their operation is not compromised by development that constitutes an obstruction, hazard or potential hazard to aircraft flying in the vicinity, and » To ensure development for residential purposes or human occupation, if situated on land within the Australian Noise Exposure Forecast (ANEF) contours of between 20 and 25, incorporates appropriate mitigation measures so that the development is not adversely affected by aircraft noise.	Consistent The proposal has considered this direction. The proposed development will be consistent with the existing built form in the environment where it is proposed and not expected to affect the operation and use of the Tamworth Regional Airport. Sectional drawings also show proposed development to be well under the Obstacle Height Limit. Further, it has been demonstrated in the SLR light impact report for the solar farm development that: *In all cases, the study found that the potential for adverse glare from the proposed facility will be minimal, with one potential exception. The lack of glare potential is due to a number of factors, including:* » *The distances of receivers (aviation, motorist, rail, residential, etc) from the Project and their respective line of sight relative to solar reflections from the facility, as well as the presence of intervening buildings, vegetation, etc.* *The single exception is the incidental angle of potential reflection affecting users from a location from the Racecourse site. A screening strategy was recommended at the eastern boundary. No significant impacts were noted for road, aircraft, aircraft training or the visual amenity of the residential area to the north of Peel River.* An enquiry was also made to CASA regarding the operation of a solar farm in the vicinity of the Tamworth Regional Airport. Their response is repeated here: *'In this particular proposal at Tamworth, the solar panels will be roughly adjacent and east of the runway which is facing more north west – south east (RWY 12/30). At the closest point, they are located 1.96nm from the runway end. While the closest corner of the farm is technically within 2nm, it is not runway aligned and therefore the likelihood the panels will impact on the airstrip is low. Therefore, CASA would advise that the proposal is unlikely to present a hazard to air navigation.'*
4. Hazard and Risk	
4.3 Flood Prone Land » To ensure that development of flood prone land is consistent with the NSW Government's Flood	Consistent. The flood concept plan can achieve flood mitigation with the following measures:

Ministerial Direction Objectives	Assessment
Prone Land Policy and the principles of the Floodplain Development Manual 2005, and » To ensure that the provisions of an LEP on flood prone land is commensurate with flood hazard and includes consideration of the potential flood impacts both on and off the subject land.	» Levee at perimeter of rezoning area; or » Required fill in proposed rezoning area » Levee crest at 1m over the 1% AEP flood level (or filling to required level) » Lot 60 DP1227482 to be used as a dedicated flow channel » Flow channel created with a modest level of cut and regrading » Provision of a low flood flow path » Adjusting the design level of the development area and provision of detention storage to suit requirements of in site water management
5. Regional Planning	
5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies The objective of this direction is to give legal effect to the vision, land use strategy, goals, directions and actions contained in Regional Plans.	Consistent. Refer to the assessment of the 20-year Economic Vision for Regional NSW and Lower North West Regional Economic Development Strategy 2018-2022 at **Section 6.3.2** and **6.3.3**
5.1 Implementation of Regional Plans The objective of this direction is to give legal effect to the vision, land use strategy, goals, directions and actions contained in Regional Plans.	Consistent. Refer to the assessment of the New England North West Regional Plan at **Section 6.3.1**
6. Local Plan Making	
6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements Ensure that LEP provisions encourage the efficient and appropriate assessment of development	Consistent. The proposed land zonings of B5 and IN1 and their respective allocations of area is the result of an in-depth economic and market analysis carried out by HillPDA and the strategic planning assessment undertaken by Elton Consulting.
6.1 Reserving Land for Public Purposes » to facilitate the provision of public services and facilities by reserving land for public purposes, and » to facilitate the removal of reservations of land for public purposes where the land is no longer required for acquisition	Consistent. The proposed development accommodates a future proposed bypass road and the Planning Proposal suggests the road holding to be mapped in TRC's LEP Land Reservation Map.
6.3 Site Specific Provisions » To discourage unnecessarily restrictive site-specific planning controls	N/A

6.7 Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities or their habitats will be adversely affected as a result of the proposal?

Travers Bushfire and Ecology's Biodiversity Constraints report described the site as being modified to the extent that potential for high value flora and fauna was low or unlikely.

Travers Bushfire and Ecology determined that Koala species with suitable habitat was unlikely. The reasons include the lack of supporting tree density in the disturbed land of the Site. In light of the above, Council can be satisfied that the Site is not koala habitat, and the provisions of SEPP 44, should not affect the assessment of this rezoning.

Travers reports, '*No threatened flora species, populations or ecological communities have been observed or considered likely to occur in a natural state within the study area'*.

Whilst the Travers Bushfire and Ecology's Biodiversity Constraints report advises of the potential to trigger the Biodiversity Offset Scheme with area clearance over the threshold of 0.5ha, further analysis of the quantum of land affected and species and value is required at later stages of development.

For further detail refer to accompanying Travers Bushfire and Ecology's Biodiversity Constraints report.

6.8 Are there any other likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal and how are they proposed to be managed?

6.8.1 Flooding

The site (and the general land along Peel River) is identified in TRC's flood planning map in the 2010 LEP documents as flood prone.

Tooker and Associates' prepared a Flood Impact and Riparian Corridor Assessment (see **Section 10.9**) describes the site *'being affected by local flood flows down the Timbumburi Creek and the interaction with the regional flooding in the Peel River'*. The behaviour of flood waters in the current scenario do not follow a particular strategy or best practices. The provision of this development gives the opportunity to review, plan and model a new planning and flood concept design. The flood modelling showed that with the implementation of various measures, flood protected land was able to be provided for with little significant impacts to be borne by surrounding land.

The flood concept plan achieves flood mitigation with the following measures:

- Levee at perimeter of 11.3ha development area
  - Levee crest at 1m over 1% AEP flood level (or filling to required design level); or
  - Earthworks/fill to achieve a flood planning level deemed suitable for development
- Lot 60 DP1227482 to be used as a dedicated flow channel
  - Flow channel created with a modest level of cut and regrading
- Provision of a low flood flow path
- Adjusting the design level of the development area and provision of detention storage to suit requirements of in site water management

Figure 26 Flood modelling concept



Source: Elton Consulting and Tooker and Associates 2019

The flood mitigation works that make up the flood concept plan are proposed as part of the solar farm development application submitted to Council for assessment. Flood modelling demonstrated that the implementation of the above measures resulted in flood protected land that had little significant impacts on neighbouring and downstream properties.

Figure 27 Flood modelling concept and proposed levels



Source: Tooker and Associates and Elton Consulting 2019

6.8.2 Contamination

A preliminary site investigation which included a site inspection was carried out by Environmental Investigations Australia. From their inspections and assessments, items causing potential localised contamination, primarily related to farming operations and materials were identified in the lower third of the Site. Whilst Environmental Investigations Australia stated that findings in the preliminary site investigation should not withhold a rezoning, it was recommended that further testing be undertaken at future stages of development, for example at DA stage in order to confirm no impacts as a result of contaminated lands. No further assessment was required for the provision of the solar farm in its proposed location.

For further detail refer to accompanying Environmental Investigations Australia's Preliminary Site Investigation report at **Section 10.10**.

6.8.3 Noise

The noise impacts from the proposed development are expected to be consistent with activities that already exist in the area. Sensitive receivers from the Site are residential areas located approximately 1.3km west and 1.8 north of the Development Site.

Additional analysis and assessment for noise impacts could be undertaken at later phases of the development process.

6.8.4 Agricultural land assessment

Melaleuca Group was engaged by Elton Consulting to undertake an agricultural land assessment for the proposed development. The report found that the adjacent and general area is located on characteristically good agricultural land, as referenced to:

» Land Capability Mapping (Emery 1985 Soil Conservation Service of NSW);

» Land and Soil Capability Mapping (NSW OEH 2013); and

» Biophysical Strategic Agricultural Land (BSAL) Mapping (Department of Planning and Environment 2013).

The proposed rezoning area of 11.3ha when assessed against the above criteria and framework show the following potential impacts:

» 0.013 % of the total area of Class 3 within the Tamworth LGA;

» 0.001% of the total area of Class 4 within the Tamworth LGA

Biophysical Strategic Agricultural Land (BSAL) Mapping – 0% of the site area is mapped as BSAL.

It was noted that flood prone land burdens the area constraining the type of agriculture practised. Melaleuca note that the flow channel for flooding and solar farm can continue to be used as grazing locations. The report concludes that urbanisation exists around the proposed development area and a change of uses would not isolate any other existing agricultural land through a rezoning of land.

This report can be found in **Section 10.1**.

6.8.5 Aboriginal heritage

Kayandel Archaeological Services was commissioned to undertake the assessment of impacts from the proposed works against relevant provisions for Aboriginal heritage. The report stated:

1. The activity will disturb the ground surface, but will not disturb any culturally modified trees;
2. a. the Subject Area does not have previously confirmed site records or other associated landscape feature information on AHIMS;

   b. there are no sites identified within the Subject Area;

   c. there are landscape features that are likely to indicate the presence of Aboriginal objects;
3. The carrying out of the proposed activity can be avoided at the relevant landscape features identified over the Subject Area; and

4. The desktop assessment completed indicate that the likelihood of Aboriginal objects being present is low.

Kayandel Archaeological Services recommended that works can proceed as proposed but with caution.

This report can be found in **Section 10.6**

6.8.6 Non-Aboriginal heritage

Kayandel Archaeological Services was commissioned to undertake the assessment of impacts from the proposed works against relevant provisions for Non-Aboriginal heritage. It was concluded that the proposed development will not result in any impacts, either direct or indirect, to any items of heritage significance or value.

Kayandel Archaeological Services recommended that works can proceed as proposed without further study or investigation.

This report can be found in **Section 10.8**

6.9 Has the planning proposal adequately addressed any social and economic effects?

This planning proposal has examined the following social and economic effects of the proposal:

» Community benefits

» Provision of social infrastructure

» Economic impacts.

6.9.1 Community benefits

This Planning Proposal aims to create a mix of employment uses and associated lot sizing that will cater for a growing dynamic market in the Taminda precinct. The proposal will permit the gradual transition from smaller architecturally designed commercial/industrial built forms to larger, architecturally designed spaces for other industrial uses.

By providing a mix of uses and aesthetic appeal, the development can reactivate this precinct from an urban planning and design perspective and foster the addition of employment opportunities at a landmark, gateway site.

Its integration and co-location with a 9MW solar farm (subject on another application) will add to the development's uniqueness by proposing a package of benefits as a renewable and sustainable energy generation that supplies energy to the employment lands in an existing urban/semi-rural environment.

The clustering and networking of businesses by proximity should serve as a catalyst for new investment and the foundation to support diverse employment land offerings, which include diverse spaces which could cater for green industries and flexible employment land generally.

6.9.2 Social Infrastructure

The scale of the site and proximity to existing employment lands and city centre means there is flexibility to provide spaces for potential social infrastructure. The landowner could consider discussions in relation to this and the offsets in contributions that may be borne by future applications.

6.9.3 Economic Impacts and Industrial Supply outside of Taminda

Preliminary advice offered to Elton Consulting from Tamworth Regional Council was that Tamworth had an abundant supply of industrial lands, stating that the recently rezoned 78-hectare precinct at Glen Artney adjacent to the Tamworth Regional Airport is a significant supply of industrial lands. Glen Artney is a significant supply of industrial land but is characteristically different on the following:

» Servicing the Tamworth Regional Airport, its focus remains to co-locate with heavier uses and air and freight

» Approximately 6-7kms away from the Taminda precinct

» The uses and intent for the Glen Artney precinct is arguably singular, being heavy industrial uses with no flexibility for softer, flexible employment generation critical to clustering of businesses

The Taminda Revitalisation + Economic Development Strategy states that, *'Taminda is clearly the single most significant industrial lands precinct within the local government area and as such plays a critical role in the functioning of the Tamworth Regional economy'*. Taminda's importance and significance in the Tamworth LGA and the region should be recognised. Other supply of land should serve to complement the precinct. Other industrial or employment land precincts appear to be too far out of the Taminda region for businesses and consumers to find practical or attractive. Page 11 of the Taminda Revitalisation + Economic Development Strategy states, *'…The [Glen Artney] precinct is seen by the business community as a large lot industrial area suitable for smelly industries best located out of town. It is not suitable for many of the lighter or even general industrial purposes that rely on substantial interaction with surrounding business operators or accessibility to retail or wholesale customers'*.

The proposed development is in a unique location and opportunity to provide valuable employment lands to Tamworth without compromising the other land releases due to each respective precinct having its own traits and purposes.

For example, the Glen Artney Development Strategy draws on these characteristics by cautioning against conclusions that there is sufficient industrial land by confirming:

» The majority of existing zoned land in Taminda and West Taminda is conveniently located within the urban centre of Tamworth, suggesting that these sites will be favoured by service industry and commercial activities to the exclusion of large lot enterprises.

» Furthermore, any new development will essentially be in-fill in character, requiring greater attention to potential impacts generated by activities and vehicle movements

» The majority of the vacant zoned industrial land and rural zoned land in Glen Artney is committed or constrained.

The above points indicate that particular industries will favour certain locations and areas. The ability for a single land owner to deliver a quantum of employment lands, partially 'in-fill' in character to the Taminda precinct without affecting the specialised uses as envisioned in the Glen Artney precinct is unique. The proposal considers all impacts and how they can be managed within its current setting.

The recently rezoned Federation Park at Lot 1, DP217032 is a rezoning of B5 and IN1 lands east of the Tamworth Racecourse. Whilst the Taminda Revitalisation + Economic Development Strategy in 2008 labelled this site as Precinct 5 and suitable to supplement uses that exist with the Racecourse uses. The site is constrained heavily with the need to provide this location with suitable stormwater detention storage capacity severely limiting its scale and opportunity to deliver a range of outcomes.

6.10 Is there adequate public infrastructure for the planning proposal?

Currently, the site benefits from access to various infrastructure. A concept design has been devised by Barnson demonstrating that there is servicing available to the site (See **Section 10.5**)

Sewer

A sewer line currently traverses the site and is expected to be capable of servicing and being accessible subject to further analysis. Challenges exist due to the low gradient of the site and existing invert level of the sewer line.

Water

Potable water is available from Dampier Street and provision to the site could be managed using future access roads.

Stormwater

The concept plan shows that future access roads can be used to channel water to drainage locations at lower portions of the site. Grading and levels will require resolution at later development phases.

Traffic and access

Taminda is supported by major road and logistic access. The proposed development could act as a catalyst for investment that would capitalise on available major infrastructure investment such as the Intermodal Freight network as well as support the Tamworth catchment for increased opportunity and activity.

The future bypass road has been registered on title as an easement. Whilst its alignment and design have not yet been confirmed by Council, the proposed development is able to accommodate a future bypass road at any time with sufficient notice. This can be achieved by ensuring that a corridor is retained for the by-pass road and that there are clear points for access/egress at the roundabout as well as any internal crossover with the internal road network. The by-pass road will be part of the consideration of levels with the future levee design such that access requirements can be designed and delivered efficiently.

Further, the earthworks and flood mitigative works proposed for the site also provide an improved baseline in which the bypass road would share the benefit of (a raised design level, levee provision). The single ownership of the land and the ability to collaborate with a single owner in the design of the bypass road is therefore proposed.

Barnson Traffic Impact Assessment report (**Section 10.11**) indicates traffic conditions are not operating at an optimal level across key roads during peak hours. In order to alleviate these pressures and to address future impacts from the proposed development, Barnson suggest however, the provision of:

» a roundabout upgrade at Jewry Street and the subject site entrance; and

» road widening on Jewry Street approaches.

The relatively large area of the proposed development and the proposed mixed zones is conducive to mixed built forms and other urban design measures that can be implemented to improve design outcomes, micro climate and wellbeing.

6.11 What are the views of state and Commonwealth public authorities consulted in accordance with the Gateway determination?

Consultation with state agencies will occur following or in conjunction with the consideration of the proposal by Council.

7 Maps

7.1 Existing LEP Maps

7.2 Proposed LEP Maps

Figure 28 Proposed Land Zoning map



Figure 29 Proposed Minimum lot size map



Figure 30 Proposed FSR map



Figure 31 Proposed Flood Planning map


TAMINDA
PEEL RIVER
MARIUS ST
PEEL RIVER
JEWRY ST
PEEL ST
PEEL ST
PEEL

Figure 32 Proposed Land reservation acquisition map



Source (all): Rothelowman

8 Community Consultation

PRE GATEWAY

Prior to lodgement of this planning proposal, discussions took place with Tamworth Regional Council and the Department of Planning and Environment Regional Office (DPE).

No further community consultation was undertaken.

Discussions took place following the lodgement of a Planning Proposal for the rezoning of 30ha (inclusive of this Planning Proposal area and part of 72 Wallamore Road). This Planning Proposal has been prepared following those discussions.

POST GATEWAY

The gateway determination will specify the community consultation requirements that must be undertaken on the planning proposal. The gateway determination will:

» outline the timeframe for exhibition

» relevant state or Commonwealth authorities to be consulted

» whether a public hearing is to be held into the matter by the PAC or other specified person or body.

It is expected that the planning proposal will be publicly exhibited for 28 days and that the public agencies aforementioned will be consulted.

9 Project Timeline

PRE GATEWAY

The timeframe for the completion of the planning proposal will depend on Council, the community and the complexity of comments received. Requests for a gateway review by either Council or the proponent, if made, may also influence the timeframe of a planning proposal.

The benchmark timeframe for a major land release is 14-20 months (after the first Council decision to support the principle of the proposal and to be sent to gateway) where the LEP is consistent with the State's strategic planning framework.

An estimated project timeline is outlined in the **Table 12**. This timeline assumes Council and DPE support for the project progressing. Further the timeline based on the project being determined by Council and submitted to DPE for gazettal.

POST GATEWAY

An estimated project timeline is outlined in the **Table 12**. The timeframe is based on the Condition that the Planning Proposal is supported by Council in time for Gateway Determination which states that the planning proposal is to be finalised within 12 months of the week following the gateway determination assumed to be April 2019.

Table 12 Estimated Project Timeline

Project Timeline	Proposed Dates
Submission of Planning Proposal to Council	March 2019
Council Assessment	April 2019
Local Planning Panel review of Planning Proposal	May 2019
Consideration of the planning proposal by Council	June 2019
Submission to the DPE	June 2019
Gateway Determination Issued	July/August 2019
Pre-exhibition government agency consultation (if required)	August 2019
Revised documentation prepared in response to Gateway	August – October 2019
DPE/Council assessment of the planning proposal and approve for public exhibition	October 2019
Planning proposal publicly exhibited	November 2019
Council/DPE assess of submissions and resolve any agency objections	December 2019 - January 2020
Post exhibition submission report prepared/ considered	January 2020
Finalisation of the LEP	February 2020
LEP amendment published on NSW legislation website	February 2020

10 Appendices - Supporting Documentation

10.1 Agricultural land study

10.2 Architect Masterplan package

10.3 Architect Drawing Package

10.4 Biodiversity constraints assessment

10.5 Civil and services plan

10.6 Cultural Heritage

10.7 Economic Impacts and Demand

10.8 Non-Aboriginal Heritage

10.9 Flood impact and riparian assessment report

10.10 Preliminary Site investigation (Environmental)

10.11 Traffic Impact Assessment

elton consulting

www.**elton**.com.au

B Holding Redlich advice

HOLDING REDLICH

6 August 2019

Mr Paul Bennett
General Manager
Tamworth Regional Council
437 Peel Street
TAMWORTH NSW 2340

Special Counsel Peter Holt
Direct Line (02) 8083 0421
Email peter.holt@holdingredlich.com
Partner Breellen Warry
Our Ref PEH:CGE 17980072

By email trc@tamworth.nsw.gov.au

Dear Mr Bennett

Taminda Employment Lands Planning Proposal
Property: 55 Dampier Street and Wallamore Road, Taminda

1. We act for Chan Abbey Holdings Pty Ltd, the owner of land at 55 Dampier Street and 21 and 72 Wallamore Road, Taminda, otherwise known as Lot 2 and Lot 3 in DP 1234850 respectively (**Land**).

2. Our client submitted a planning proposal to Tamworth Regional Council (**Council**) which requested a change to the zoning of the Land under the *Tamworth Local Environmental Plan 2010* (**TLEP 2010**) from RU4 Primary Production Small Lots to part B5 Business Development and part IN1 General Industrial (**Planning Proposal**). Accompanying the Planning Proposal was a preliminary site investigation undertaken by EI Australia in November 2018 (**PSI**).

3. Notwithstanding the above, we understand that the Planning Proposal has been reviewed by Mike Svikis Planning (**MSP**) and a report dated 30 July 2019 submitted to Council for its consideration (**MSP Report**).

4. We have had an opportunity to review the MSP Report and note it raises concerns relating to the Planning Proposal and the Gateway determination process under the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (**EPA Act**), particularly regarding contamination issues. We have also had the benefit of reviewing a report from EnviroScience regarding removal of visual asbestos at 55 Dampier St dated 18 November 2015, and which concluded visible asbestos contamination had been "satisfactorily remediated" on the Land.

5. Council is aware that section 3.34 of the EPA Act prescribes a determination process where the Minister is required to review a planning proposal and decide whether it meets a number of requirements under that section (**Gateway Determination**). Pursuant to section 3.34 the Minister also has the discretion to forward a planning proposal for further review, consultation and exhibition before making a final determination to accept or reject it.[1]

6. We note that the MSP report refers to the PSI and an, in our view, erroneous interpretation of the legislation. On the basis of this interpretation, the MSP Report states it would be inappropriate for

[1] Section 3.34(2), *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*.

Council to defer consideration of contamination issues for the Land until after the Gateway Determination stage.

7. Prior to and as a result of the finalisation of the MSP Report, we understand Council has requested a number of site investigations and reports are carried out, particularly for contamination and remediation issues pursuant to the *State Environmental Planning Policy – Remediation of Land* (**SEPP 55**) to address the concerns raised.

8. It is our view, supported by recent case law, that the reports and further investigation requested by Council and recommended in the MSP Report are not required until a later stage of the Gateway Determination process.

9. We refer you to the decision of her Honour Justice Pain in *Moorebank Recyclers Pty Ltd v Tanlane Pty Ltd (No 2),*[2] in which she considered the interaction between SEPP 55 and the Gateway process. In that matter she referred to clause 6 of the SEPP, which stated (and continues to state):

> ***6 Contamination and remediation to be considered in zoning or rezoning proposal***
>
> *(1) In preparing an environmental planning instrument, a planning authority is not to include in a particular zone (within the meaning of the instrument) any land specified in subclause (4) if the inclusion of the land in that zone would permit a change of use of the land, unless:*
>
> > *(a) the planning authority has considered whether the land is contaminated, and*
> >
> > *(b) if the land is contaminated, the planning authority is satisfied that the land is suitable in its contaminated state (or will be suitable, after remediation) for all the purposes for which land in the zone concerned is permitted to be used, and*
> >
> > *(c) if the land requires remediation to be made suitable for any purpose for which land in that zone is permitted to be used, the planning authority is satisfied that the land will be so remediated before the land is used for that purpose.*

10. Ordinarily, a local council as the relevant planning authority preparing a rezoning or zoning matter will forward the proposal to the Minister for consideration. This may or may not include reference to reports or investigation regarding matters in clause 6 of SEPP 55.

11. As outlined at [5] above, the Minister will then use discretion in the next step of the Gateway Determination process, including deciding to refer the matter for concurrence or further investigation. The Minister may also request that the relevant council provide further information or reports in accordance with section 3.32(4) of the EPA Act. Further, section 3.34(2)(g) of the EPA Act empowers the Minister to impose a condition on the proposed instrument with which a local council is required to comply. A condition relating to further site investigation of remediation in accordance with SEPP 55 is an example of a potential condition, or a requirement that limited

[2] [2017] NSWLEC 186.

sampling be undertaken prior to exhibition of the proposed new instrument. These are reasonable conditions which we would expect to be imposed, and note that this could occur after, or as a result of, the Gateway Determination process.

12. We understand from Pain J in *Moorebank* that the position at law is that the consideration of SEPP 55 requirements is a separate process to that within the gateway process under the EPA Act. Her honour stated as much at [69] of the judgement and went on to state:

> *…there is no overlap between Pt 3 Div 4 of the EPA Act*[3] *and SEPP 55. SEPP 55 continues as a standalone obligation which a planning authority must meet before making an LEP...*

13. We interpret her Honour's decision as meaning that SEPP 55 must be complied with, however, this is not required prior to the Gateway Determination process.

14. Our client is concerned to avoid incurring unnecessary costs in preparing these reports until it is obligatory in accordance with SEPP 55 and any other legislation.

If you have any questions regarding the above please contact Peter Holt, Special Counsel, of our offices on (02) 8083 0421.

Yours sincerely

Holding Redlich

[3] Now Part 3, Division 3.4.

elton consulting

www.**elton**.com.au